Nitrate Reductase Activity in Soybeans (Glycine max [L.] Merr.)

II. ENERGY LIMITATIONS1

Received for publication April 21, 1976 and in revised form August 9, 1976

JOSEPH C. NICHOLAS, JAMES E. HARPER, AND RICHARD H. HAGEMAN United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, and Department of Agronomy, Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station, Urbana, Illinois 61801

ABSTRACT

Growth chamber studies with soybeans (Glycine max [L.] Merr.) were designed to determine the relative limitations of $NO₃⁻$, NADH, and nitrate reductase (NR) per se on nitrate metabolism as affected by light and temperature. Three NR enzyme assays $(+NO₃- in vivo,$ $-NO_3^-$ in vivo, and in vitro) were compared. NR activity decreased with all assays when plants were exposed to dark. Addition of $NO₃⁻$ to the in vivo NR assay medium increased activity (over that of the $-NO_3^$ in vivo assay) at all sampling periods of a normal day-night sequence (14 hr-30 C day; 10 hr-20 C night), indicating that $NO₃$ was rate-limiting. The stimulation of in vivo NR activity by $NO₃⁻$ was not seen in plants exposed to extended dark periods at elevated temperatures (16 hr-30 C), indicating that under those conditions, NO_3 ⁻ was not the limiting factor. Under the latter condition, in vitro NR activity was appreciable (19 μ mol NO₂⁻ [g fresh weight, hr]⁻¹) suggesting that enzyme level per se was not the limiting factor and that reductant energy might be limiting.

The addition of NADH to the in vivo NR assay medium did not stimulate NR activity, although it was not established that NADH entered the tissue. The addition of glucose, fructose 1,6-diphosphate, pyruvate, citrate, succinate, or malate to the in vivo assay medium significantly increased measurable NR activity of leaf tissue from plants pretreated to extended dark periods at elevated temperature. Glucose additions were most effective, usually stimulating increases 2- to 3-fold greater than the other metabolites. Increased NR activities from the various additives were attributed to production of NADH. The loss of in vivo NR activity in soybeans during darkness appeared to be due to the combination of a net loss of enzyme per se and energy depletion. The subsequent light stimulation of NR activity was likely due to increased availability of reductant energy as well as ^a net synthesis of the NR enzyme.

Since the original characterization of reductant energy requirements of $NR²$ (3), many investigators have reported on the capacity of various plant species to utilize NADH as the preferred electron donor for NR (1, 2, 5). Klepper et al. (5) concluded that sugars which migrated from the chloroplasts were the primary source of energy, and that the oxidation of glyceraldehyde 3-P was the in situ source of NADH for nitrate reduction in corn. Malate has also been implicated as an energy source for NADH generation in corn (7). Tingey (10) reported that addition of 48 mm glucose to the incubation medium significantly

¹ Cooperative investigation of the North Central Region, Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture and the Department of Agronomy, Illinois Agricultural Experiment Station. Research was supported in part by the National Soybean Crop Improvement Council.

2Abbreviations: NR: nitrate reductase; PMS: phenazine methosulfate; 1,3-PGA: 1,3-diphosphoglyceric acid.

increased the in vivo NR activity of dark-pretreated soybeans. Partial purification of soybean NR has revealed two isozymes which have different preferential activities with NADH and NADPH (4). Thus, the immediate precursor of reductant energy production for NR activity in soybeans is unclear.

The current study was initiated to determine the effect of the addition of various glycolytic or Krebs cycle intermediates to the in vivo NR assay medium on measurable NR activity of soybean leaves harvested from plants pretreated with different temperature, light, and dark regimes reported previously (8).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Culture. Soybeans (Glycine max [L.] Merr. cv. Calland) were grown as previously described (8). Plants were grown in environmental chambers under 14-hr light and 10-hr dark periods at 30 and 20 C, respectively. Normal light intensity (45 klux) was supplied by incandescent and cool-white fluorescent lamps. Various short term temperature and dark-pretreatment conditions were imposed as indicated with individual experiments. Plants were sampled 19 to 21 days after planting. Leaflets of the first and second trifoliolate leaves were selected for assay.

Energy Source Studies. Studies were conducted to determine if prolonged dark periods depleted the level of reductant energy available for in vivo NR activity. Plants were subjected to ^a 16 hr dark period at ³⁰ C to decrease NR activity. Plants were then sampled and analyzed for in vivo NR activity in the presence of NADH or various glycolytic and Krebs cycle intermediates. Various concentrations (detailed with individual experiments) of NADH, glucose, fructose-1,6-diP, pyruvate, citrate, or succinate were added to the incubation media prior to vacuum infiltration and incubation. Nitrite produced during incubation in the presence of the various intermediates was compared with a control assay containing no added metabolic intermediates.

NR Assays. In vivo NR activity was determined as previously described (8). In vitro NR activity was assayed as described by Scholl et al. (9), with the exception that the crude (uncentrifuged) extract was assayed.

Nitrate Analysis. Nitrate content of leaf material was determined as previously described (8).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Diurnal variation of NR activity over ^a normal ¹⁴ hr-30 C day and 10 hr-20 C night was similar for all three assays (Fig. 1). Enzyme activity increased with the initial 4-hr light exposure, but had decreased by the end of the 14-hr light period. A further decline in in vitro NR activity occurred during the dark period whereas activity measured with both in vivo assays was somewhat constant. Upon re-exposure to light, NR activity increased rapidly during the first 2 hr of light and more slowly during the

FIG. 1. Comparison of $-NO_3^-$ in vivo, $+NO_3^-$ in vivo, and in vitro NR assays during a normal 14-hr day (30 C) and 10-hr night (20 C).

next ² hr. The increased NR activity rates consistently observed with the addition of $NO₃⁻$ to the *in vivo* assay medium suggested that under these growth conditions, $NO₃⁻$ availability at the site of reduction could be limiting. The observation that the in vitro NR activity was consistently higher than the $+NO₃⁻$ in vivo activity suggested that reductant energy might also be a limiting factor.

Lack of significant differences in in vivo NR activity with or without supplemental nitrate in the assay medium, in soybeans assayed following a 16 hr-30 C dark period, suggested that $NO₃$ availability was no longer rate-limiting (Figs. 2 and 3). The in vitro NR assay confirmed the presence of viable NR enzyme after ¹⁶ hr of dark. The differences in NR activity with the various assays suggested the lack of some factor (probably reductant energy) essential to in vivo NR activity.

Upon illumination of plants held in dark (16 hr-30 C), the in vitro NR activity increased almost linearly through ⁷ hr (Fig. 3). In vivo NR activity increased very rapidly the first ³ to ⁴ hr, then began to decline. The level of tissue $NO₃⁻$ measured (Fig. 3) at the end of ^a 16 hr-30 C dark period suggested that substrate was not the rate limitation in in vivo NR activity. The relatively linear increase in measurable in vitro NR activity upon illumination was thought to reflect a net increase in enzyme, since substrate and energy should be nonlimiting. The increases in in vivo activities in response to light (Figs. 2 and 3) likely reflect not only the net increase in actual enzyme level, but also the increase in available reductant energy. The combination of these two factors may account for the very rapid increase in measurable in vivo NR activity in response to light. The increase in $-NO₃$ ⁻ in vivo NR activity in response to light was less, and probably reflected the limitation of $NO₃^-$ availability.

The rapid increase in in vivo NR activity upon illumination exceeded the rates of nitrate reductase production attributed to protein synthesis (11, 12), although the tissues and assay systems used were markedly different. It seems likely that the rapid increase in in vivo NR activity was due in part to increasing availability of reductant energy. Direct addition of NADH or NAD to the in vivo assay medium did not significantly increase NR activity in soybeans pretreated to either ^a ¹⁰ hr-20 C or ¹⁰ hr-30 C dark period (Table I). The addition of NADH concentrations of 0, 0.1, 0.5, and ¹ mg/ml to the in vivo assay media resulted in activities of 16.87, $\overline{17.48}$, 17.24, and 17.18 μ mol $NO₂$ ⁻ (g fresh weight, hr)⁻¹, respectively, with soybeans pretreated to ^a 10 hr-30 C dark period. These differences were not significant. The lack of a significant response to the addition of NADH may have been due to the large size of the molecule which prevented its transport across cell membranes during or following vacuum infiltration (5, 6). Excess NADH, which interferes with $NO₂$ ⁻ color development, was removed with PMS as previously reported (9).

FIG. 2. Comparison of $-NO₃⁻$ in vivo, $+NO₃⁻$ in vivo, and in vitro period at 30 C.

FIG. 3. Comparison of $-NO_3^-$ in vivo, $+NO_3^-$ in vivo, and in vitro NR assays and nitrate content of the leaf tissue during a 10 hr-30 C light period. Plants were previously held in the dark for 16 hr at 30 C.

Table 1. Effects of Glucose, NAD, and NADH on $+NO_3^-$ in Vivo NR Activity Compared with in Vitro NR Activity

Soybeans were exposed to specified light and temperature pretreatments prior to sampling leaves for enzyme analysis. The additives were included in the basic incubation medium consisting of 50 mm KNO_3 , 100 mm potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5), and 1% (v/v) 1-propanol.

In contrast to NADH, ¹⁰⁰ mm glucose addition to the in vivo NR assay medium increased leaf NR activity ³⁶ and 95% with plants pretreated to 10-hr dark periods at 20 and 30 C, respectively (Table I). Addition of NAD or NADH in conjunction with glucose had no additional effect with any treatment combination. Glucose, NAD, and NADH, alone or in combination, had little, if any, effect on NR activity of plants receiving ⁴ hr light, regardless of the previous night temperature. In vitro NR activity exceeded in vivo activity with all treatment combinations, again suggesting that enzyme level per se was not limiting (Table I).

Glucose concentrations of ⁵ and ¹⁰ mm significantly increased in vivo NR activity of dark-pretreated soybeans, while lower concentrations were ineffective (Table II). This is in contrast to a previous report (10) in which a minimum glucose concentration of 48 mm was required to stimulate significant increases in in vivo NR activity from dark-pretreated soybeans. The addition of MgSO4 to the assay medium stimulated NR activity at all glucose concentrations, although the increases exceeded significant levels at only the ⁵ mm glucose level. A trend of increased in vivo NR activity of dark-pretreated plants occurred with FDP additions to the assay medium. These increases approached significance at the ¹⁰ mm treatment level. No significant effects of either glucose or FDP were evident when plants had received ³ hr illumination prior to assay (data not shown).

The effectiveness of pyruvate, citrate, succinate, or malate in stimulating in vivo NR activity was compared with glucose at various concentrations (Table III). Whereas addition of ¹⁰ mm and higher concentrations of glucose to the in vivo NR assay medium stimulated NR activity of dark-pretreated soybeans, only concentrations of ¹⁰⁰ and 200 mm pyruvate, citrate, succinate, and malate stimulated activity. The addition of glucose consistently enhanced measurable in vivo NR activity 2-fold or more compared with the other intermediates added at similar concentrations. This observation is consistent with that of Klepper et al. (5), who concluded that the oxidation of glycolytic sugars to 1,3-PGA generates the NADH energy source for NR activity. The observed responses of in vivo NR activity to addi-

Table II. Effects of Glucose, FDP, and $MgSO_4$ on $+NO_3^-$ in Vivo NR Activity

Plants were sampled following ^a 10 hr-30 C dark pretreatment. Other experimental details as in Table I.

Carbohydrate level	Glucose		FDP
	mM 0 Mg _{SO}	10 mM MgSO,	
mM	μ mole NO ₂ ⁻ (g fresh wt, hr) ⁻¹		
0	5.29	6.10	5.29
0.1	5.70	6.43	5.59
0.5	5.69	6.86	6.34
1.0	6.11	7.23	6.33
5.0	6.82	8.17	6.39
10.0	8.30	8.65	6.43
LSD (0.05)		1.12	

Table III. Effects of Various Concentrations of Intermediary Metabolites on $+NO₃⁻$ in Vivo NR Activity

Soybean leaves were analyzed following ^a ¹⁶ hr-30 C dark pretreatment of the plants. Other experimental details as indicated in Table ^I legend.

Table IV. Effects of Various Intermediary Metabolites and Light-Temperature Pretreatment on $+NO_3^-$ in Vivo NR Activity

tions of citrate, succinate, and malate to the assay medium may also be due to NADH generation. The mitochondrial membrane is thought to be impermeable to NADH. However, electrons from the intramitochondrial NADH may be transported to extramitochondrial NADH in the cytosol through the mitochondrial membrane transport system by way of the bidirectional malate-aspartate shuttle and thus be available to NR (6).

The addition to the *in vivo* assay medium of 100 mm citrate, pyruvate, or malate stimulated small but significant increases in NR activity in plants taken from ^a normal (10 hr-20 C) dark period, while addition of ¹⁰⁰ mm glucose increased NR activity quite significantly (Table IV). When the dark period was extended to 16 hr and the temperature was elevated to 30 C, the NR activity of the controls was greatly reduced, and the responses to the addition of all intermediates tested were significant. There was no significant stimulation of enzyme activity by the addition of any metabolic intermediates when plants were sampled at the end of a 4-hr light period, regardless of the temperature of the previous dark period. These results indicate that the 4-hr light period was sufficient to allow the plants to recover from the apparent energy deficit during the dark period.

CONCLUSION

The decrease in in vitro NR activity exhibited during an extended dark period, particularly at elevated temperatures, appeared to be due in part to a net loss of enzyme. In addition to loss of enzyme, ^a large part of the decline in in vivo NR activity appeared to be due to a decrease in available reductant energy. The subsequent stimulation of in vivo NR activity by the addition of a variety of metabolic intermediates suggested that internal pools of metabolic intermediates may have been limiting in soybeans exposed to extended dark periods. The lack of stimulation of in vivo NR activity by the addition of exogenous $NO₃⁻$ in dark-pretreated plants analyzed in the absence of supplemental energy sources further implied energy limitation rather than substrate limitation. Both glycolytic and Krebs cycle intermediates are capable of providing the reductant energy for in vivo NR activity. Under normal energy levels in the plant (such as expected in soybeans analyzed from the light), $NO₃⁻$ availability at the NR enzyme site appears to limit the rate of nitrate reduction.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. BEEVERS, L. AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1969. Nitrate reduction in higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 20: 495-523.
- 2. BEEVERS, L. AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1972. The role of light in nitrate metabolism in higher plants. Photophysiology 7: 85-114.
- 3. EvANs, H. J. AND A. NASON. 1953. Pyridine nucleotide-nitrate reductase from extracts of higher plants. Plant Physiol. 28: 233-254.
- 4. JOLLY, S. O., W. H. CAMPBELL, AND N. E. ToLaErr. 1975. NADH- and NADPH-nitrate reductase isoenzymes isolated from soybean leaves. Plant Physiol. 56: S-73.
- 5. KLEPPER, L., D. FLESHER, AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1971. Generation of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide for nitrate reduction in green leaves. Plant Physiol. 48: 580- 590.
- 6. LEHNINGER, A. L. 1975. Biochemistry, Ed. 2. Worth Publishers, Inc., New York. p. 535.
- 7. NEYRA, C. A. AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1976. Relationships between carbon dioxide, malate, and nitrate accumulation and reduction in corn (Zea mays L.) seedlings. Plant Physiol. 58: 726-730.
- 8. NICHOLAS, J. C., J. E. HARPER, AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1976. Nitrate reductase activity in soybeans (Glycine max L. Merr.). I. Effects of light and temperature. Plant Physiol 58: 731-735.
- 9. SCHOLL, R. L., J. E. HARPER, AND R. H. HAGEMAN. 1974. Improvements of the nitrite color development in assays of nitrate reductase by phenazine methosulfate and zinc

acetate. Plant Physiol. 53: 825-828.

- 10. TINGEY, D. T., R. C. FITES, AND J. BAHARSJAH. 1973. Factors influencing nitrate reduction in soybean foliage. New Phytol. 73: 21-29.
- 11. TRAVIS, R. L., W. R. JORDON, AND R. C. HUFFAKER. 1970. Light and nitrate requirements for induction of nitrate reductase activity in Hordeum vulgare. Physiol. Plant. 23: 678- 685.
- 12. ZIELKE, R. H. AND P. FILNER. 1971. Synthesis and turnover of nitrate reductase induced by nitrate in cultured tobacco cells. J. Biol. Chem. 246: 1772-1779.