

Supplementary Figure 1 Isolation and characterization of GppNHp-bound active KRasG12D-specific binding RT4. (**a**) Flow cytometric analysis of antigen binding and expression levels of HC in the haploid library and Fab in the mated diploid yeast library. (**b**) Binding activity of RT4 and TMab4 with KRas^{G12D}⋅GppNHp and KRas^{G12D}⋅GDP, determined by direct ELISA. ELISA plates were coated with 5 μg ml⁻¹ of antibodies and then incubated with the antigen at concentrations of 10, 100, and 1000 nM. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. (*n* = 3). (c) Representative SPR sensorgrams showing the kinetic interactions of RT4 with KRas^{G12D}⋅GppNHp. The injection of KRas^{G12D}⋅GDP (1 μM) (dashed line) exhibited negligible binding to RT4. The inset table shows the kinetic interaction parameters.

a

* : Spiked oligomer designed
to maintain RT4 residues at ~50% levels X: NNK codon

KRas^{G12D}-GppNHp KRas^{G12D}GDP

2

Supplementary Figure 2 Affinity maturation of RT4 and biochemical characterization of the isolated RT4 variants. (**a**) Library construction scheme. Amino acid sequence alignment of RT4 VH showing the positions of conserved and mutated residues in the three libraries differing in the length of VH-CDR3, dubbed library 6, 7, and 9. The residues (31–33 residues) of VH-CDR1 and (50, 52–56 residues) of VH-CDR2, highlighted by asterisks (*), were randomly mutated, while maintaining the original amino acids at each residue of RT4 at a frequency of ~50%, using designed oligonucleotides. The VH-CDR3 region (residues 95–100a) was randomized with the degenerated codon of NNK, as indicated by 'X', which resulted in variation in the total length of 6, 7, and 9 residues. The framework residue of 94 was also permutated with degenerate codons of ARG to introduce Arg or Lys, in an attempt to cover the most conserved amino acids at the residues in human antibodies. Numbering is according to the Kabat definition. (**b**) Amino acid sequence alignment of the isolated RT4 variants. (**c**) Reducing ('*R*') and nonreducing ('*NR*') SDS-PAGE analyses of the purified RT4 variants(each 5 μg) in the human IgG1 format. (**d**) Cellular internalization and cytosolic localization of the purified TMab4 (green) and RT4 variants (green) in HeLa cells treated with antibodies (1 μM) for 6 h at 37 °C and then analyzed by confocal microscopy. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). Scale bar, 20 µm. (**e**) Binding specificity of RT4 variants to KRas^{G12D}⋅GppNHp or KRas^{G12D}⋅GDP, determined by direct ELISA. ELISA plates were coated with 5 μg ml⁻¹ of antibodies and then incubated with the antigen at concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 nM. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. (*n* = 3). (**f**) Representative SPR sensorgrams showing the kinetic interactions of RT11 with KRas^{G12D}⋅GppNHp. The injection of KRas^{G12D}⋅GDP (1 μM) (dashed line) exhibited negligible binding to RT11. The inset table shows the kinetic interaction parameters.

Supplementary Figure 3 Epitope mapping of RT11 iMab by alanine-scanning mutagenesis. (**a**) Reducing SDS-PAGE analyses of bacterially expressed and purified $KRas^{G12D}$ mutants (residue $1-168$) with Ala mutation at the indicated residues. (b) Highlight of the epitopes of RT11 (red in the right panel) on the three-dimensional structure of KRas, determined by alanine scanning mutagenesis as shown in Fig. 1e. Three-dimensional structural comparison between GDP-bound inactive KRas (PDB ID: 4EPV)¹ and GppNHp-bound active KRas (PDB ID: 3GFT) shows conformational differences only in the switch I and II regions. Both GppNHp and GDP are shown as sticks colored according to heteroatoms (oxygen: red; nitrogen: blue; carbon: gray; phosphorus: orange), and the Mg^{2+} ion is shown as a green sphere. The switch I and switch II regions of KRas∙GppNHp and KRas∙GDP are represented by green/blue or orange/cyan wires, respectively, with Ala mutation residues indicated. The rest of the protein structure is displayed in gray ribbon. The images were generated using PyMol program (Schrödinger).

Supplementary Figure 4 RT11/RT11-i binds weakly to the active forms of MRas and RRas, but does not cross-react with Rac1. (**a**) Reducing SDS-PAGE analyses of bacterially expressed and purified MRas^{WT}, RRas^{WT}, and Rac1^{WT}. (b) Binding activity of the indicated antibodies to the GppNHp-bound active forms or GDP-bound inactive forms of MRas^{WT}, RRas^{WT}, and Rac1^{WT}, compared to that of active KRas^{G12D}. ELISA plates were coated with 5 μ g ml⁻¹ of antibodies and then incubated with the antigen at 100 nM. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. ($n = 3$). (c) Comparison of KRas residues in the switch I and II regions with those of HRas and NRas as well as other Ras superfamily GTPases such as MRas, RRas, and Rac1. The residues identified as putative binding epitopes of RT11 on KRas^{G12D} by alanine scanning mutagenesis are highlighted as red circles. The residue numbering is based on the sequence of KRas. (**d**) Comparison of the crystal structures of GppNHp-bound active KRas (PDB ID: 3GFT), HRas (PDB ID: 1CTQ)^{[2](#page-23-1)}, MRas (PDB ID: 1X1S)^{[3](#page-23-2)}, and Rac1 (PDB ID: 5FI0)^{[4](#page-23-3)}, highlighting the putative binding epitopes of RT11 on KRas (right panel). The switch I and II regions of KRas superimpose well with HRas showing rootmean-square-deviations of 0.45Å, but poorly with MRas and Rac1 showing root-mean-square-deviations of 2.06 and 2.47 Å, respectively. The crystal structure of GppNHp-bound RRas has not yet been determined, but it is expected to be similar to that of MRas rather than to that of KRas based on primary sequence homology ([6](#page-23-5)0.9% and 51.8% sequence identity with MRas and KRas, respectively)^{5,6}. The images were generated using the PyMol program (Schrӧdinger).

Supplementary Figure 5 RT11 inhibits the growth of tumor cells harboring oncogenic Ras mutants, but not those with RasWT. (**a, b**) Cellular proliferation assay in indicated cells, treated twice at 0 and 72 h with the indicated concentration of antibody (RT11 or TMab4) (**a**) or the pharmacological inhibitor (Raf kinase inhibitor sorafenib or PI3K-Akt inhibitor LY294002) (**b**) for 6 d. Error bars represent the mean ± s.d. $(n=3)$. ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$. (c) Inhibition of soft agar colony formation of tumor cells by RT11. Anchorage-independent cell growth was examined by soft agar colony formation assays using the oncogenic KRas mutant, NRas mutant, and Ras^{WT} cells, treated with RT11 (2 μM) and TMab4 (2 μM) every 72 h for 2–3 weeks. Following treatment, the number of colonies (diameter > 200 μm) was counted after BCIP/NBT staining, as shown in the pictures of the representative soft agar plates (right). The results are presented as percentages compared to the PBStreated control. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. ($n = 3$). $\frac{p}{2}$ \leq 0.05, $\frac{p}{2}$ \leq 0.01; n.s., not significant. The pictures are representative of three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 6 RT11 does not affect the intrinsic GTPase activity of KRasWT and KRasG12D proteins. (**a,b**) Kinetic profiles of GTP hydrolysis of GTP-loaded KRas^{WT} and KRas^{G12D} proteins in the absence (intrinsic GTPase activity) and presence of GTPase-activating RasGAP protein (GAP-stimulated GTPase activity) (**a**) and the indicated antibodies (**b**). In (**a**), GAP-stimulated GTP hydrolysis was accessed with the catalytic domain of RasGAP (residues 714–1047)⁷. (c) Comparisons of GTP hydrolysis rate constants (k). GTP hydrolysis was determined by continuously measuring the release of phosphate using a purine nucleoside phosphorylase–based colorimetric assay⁷. The concentration of phosphate released *vs*. time was plotted and the first-order rate constant was determined. In (**c**), error bars represent the mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments. ****P* < 0.001; n.s., not significant. The intrinsic and RasGAP-stimulated GTP hydrolysis rate constants of KRas^{WT} and KRas^{G12D} were comparable to those reported earlier⁷.

Supplementary Figure 7 Biochemical and biological characterization of RGD10 peptide-fused RT11-i iMab. (**a**) Reducing ('*R*') and nonreducing ('*NR*') SDS-PAGE analyses of the purified antibodies (each 5 μg) in the human IgG1 format. (**b**) Size exclusion elution profiles of purified TMab4-i and RT11-i (50 µl of 100 µg ml⁻¹) monitored at 280 nm. Cetuximab (50 µl of 100 µg ml⁻¹) was included as a control. The

arrows indicate the elution positions of molecular weight standards. Two independent analyses were performed with the same results. (**c**) ELISA showing the selective binding of RT11-i, but not TMab4-i, to GppNHp-bound active Ras variants over GDP-bound inactive forms at comparable levels to those observed with RT11. Error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (*n* = 3). (**d**) Cellular internalization and cytosol localization of TMab4 i and RT11-i, assessed by confocal microscopy for complemented GFP signals (green) in HeLa-SA-GFP1-10 cells after treatment with 1 µM of TMab4-i-GFP11-SBP2 and RT11-i-GFP11-SBP2 for 6 h. Scale bar, 20 μm. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). Scale bar, 20 μm. (**e**) Cellular proliferation assay under monolayer culture conditions with the indicated cells, treated twice at 0 and 72 h with 1 μM of RT11-i or TMab4-i for 6 d. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. $(n = 3)$. ** $P < 0.01$, ** $P < 0.001$; n.s., not significant. (f) Inhibition of soft agar colony formation by RT11-i compared to that with TMab4-i, as described in Fig. 4f. The pictures are representative of three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 8 RT11-i possesses comparable thermal stability to that of RT11. (**a,b**) Thermal stability of RT11 and RT11-i was assessed by ELISA for the selective antigen binding (**a**) and size exclusion chromatography (**b**) after incubating the antibodies (2 mg ml–1) at 50 °C for the indicated periods. In (**a**), the binding activity (%) was relative to the initial binding of antibody stored at 4 °C. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. ($n = 3$). In (b), size exclusion chromatogram of antibodies (20 μ l of 1 mg ml⁻¹) was monitored at 280 nm. Antibody stored at 4 °C was included as a control. Two independent analyses were performed with the same results. The arrows indicate the elution positions of molecular weight standards. SEC analysis of purified antibodies was performed on the Agilent 1100 high performance liquid chromatography system using a superdexTM200 10/300GC (10 mm \times 300 mm, GE Healthcare) size-exclusion column with a mobile phase of PBS buffer (pH 7.4) at a flow rate 0.5 ml min^{-1} .

Supplementary Figure 9 Preferential tumor tissue accumulation of TMab4-i and RT11-i in SW480 tumor-bearing xenograft mice. (a,b) Comparison of biodistribution between RT11 and RT11-i, evaluated by intravenously injecting Dylight755-labeled antibodies (20 μg per mouse) into SW480 xenograft tumor-bearing mice. In (**a**), representative whole body fluorescence images, which were acquired at the indicated times post-injection. Fluorescence intensities in the tumor tissue (T), as indicated by arrows, and normal tissues (N) were quantified. In (**b**), *ex vivo* analysis of fluorescence intensities of dissected tumors and normal organs, which were acquired at 24 h post-injection. The right panel shows quantified fluorescence intensities of each tissue or organ. In (a,b) , error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. (*n* = 6 per group). (c) *Ex vivo* analysis of fluorescence intensities for isolated tumors and normal organs 72 h after intravenous injection of Dylight755-labeled TMab4-i and RT11-i antibodies (20 μg per mouse) into mice bearing SW480 tumor xenografts, as shown in Fig. 5b. Error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (*n* = 5 per group). In (**b,c**), tumor tissue and normal organs of one representative mouse from each group are shown. In (**a-c**), fluorescence intensities were quantified as radiant efficiency (photons s^{-1} cm⁻² steradian⁻¹ per μ W cm⁻²) using Living Image software.

Supplementary Figure 10 RT11-i suppresses the *in vivo* **growth of oncogenic Ras mutant tumor xenografts in mice.** (**a**) Representative photographs of tumors excised from mice following treatment described in Fig. 6a. (**b**) The weight of individual tumors from each treatment group, as described in Fig. 6a. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001; n.s., not significant. (**c**) Mouse weight measured during the treatments described in Fig. 6a. Notably no signs of systemic toxicity (e.g., no significant changes in body weight, gross appearance, or behavior) were observed in mice treated with TMab4-i or RT11-i, compared to those in vehicle-treated mice. In (**b,c**), error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (*n* = 8 per group).

Supplementary Figure 11 Immunohistochemical and western blot analyses of tumor tissues excised from mice, as described in Fig 6a. (**a**) Cellular penetration and co-localization of RT11-i (green), but not TMab4-i (green), with activated Ras (red) in oncogenic KRas mutantharboring LoVo and NRas mutant-harboring HT1080 tumor tissues. In the Ras^{WT}-harboring Colo320DM tissues, both RT11-i (green) and TMab4-i (green) were internalized into cells, but did not significantly co-localize with Ras^{WT} proteins, likely because the Ras^{WT} proteins are not highly activated. Scale bar, 10 µm. (**b**) Analysis of p-ERK1/2 (green) and p-Akt (green) levels in LoVo, HT1080, and Colo320DM tumor tissues. Scale bar, 100 µm. The right panels show the percentage of relative fluorescence intensity compared to that in the vehicle-treated control. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. of five random fields for each immunofluorescence sample ($n =$ two tumors per group). (c) Western blot analysis of tumor tissue lysates prepared after treatment, as described in Fig. 6a to analyze the downstream signaling activation of Raf-MEK1/2- ERK1/2 and PI3K-Akt pathways. As indicated, two independent tumor tissue samples (sample 1 and sample 2) were analyzed. (**d,e**) Tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis were determined in tumor tissues through Ki-67 staining (**d**) and TUNEL staining (**e**), respectively. Scale bar, 100 µm. The right panels show the percentage of Ki-67-positive (**d**) and TUNEL-positive (**e**) cells compared to the number of Hoechst 33342 stained cells for each sample. Error bars represent the mean \pm s.d. of five random fields for each immunofluorescence sample ($n =$ two tumors per group). In (**a, b, d** and **e**), nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). In (**b,d** and **e**), statistical analyses were performed using a one-way ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls post-test. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 *vs*. TMab4-i; n.s., not significant. Images are representative of at least two independent experiments.

Figure 2c

Supplementary Figure 12 Unprocessed scans of western blots.

Supplementary Figure 12 Unprocessed scans of western blots. (Continued)

Supplementary Table 1 Binding constants for the interactions of RT11 and RT11-i with GppNHp-loaded active forms of Ras, determined by SPR.

Anti- bodies	Ras proteins	Kinetic parameters ^a			
		k_a (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	$k_{\rm d}$ (s ⁻¹)	$K_{\text{D}}(\text{M})$	
RT11	KRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(2.77 \pm 0.72) \times 10^5$	$(3.30 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.09 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG12D.GppNHp	$(8.04 \pm 2.51) \times 10^{4}$	$(9.07 \pm 1.68) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.11 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG12V.GppNHp	$(9.11 \pm 1.17) \times 10^4$	$(1.41 \pm 0.49) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.65 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG13D.GppNHp	$(1.27 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{5}$	$(2.22 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.55 \pm 0.84) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRas ^{Q61H} ·GppNHp	$(5.22 \pm 0.91) \times 10^{4}$	$(7.44 \pm 0.84) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.58 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-8}$	
	HRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(6.46 \pm 0.75) \times 10^5$	$(2.17 \pm 0.71) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.62 \pm 1.32) \times 10^{-9}$	
	HRasG12V.GppNHp	$(2.55 \pm 1.02) \times 10^5$	$(1.41 \pm 0.52) \times 10^{-3}$	$(5.01 \pm 1.12) \times 10^{-9}$	
	NRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(3.19 \pm 1.11) \times 10^{4}$	$(3.55 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.14 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-8}$	
	NRas ^{Q61R} ·GppNHp	$(3.31 \pm 0.66) \times 10^{4}$	$(3.68 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.21 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-8}$	
RT11-i	KRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(1.65 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{5}$	$(2.44 \pm 1.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.44 \pm 1.51) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG12D.GppNHp	$(3.33 \pm 0.55) \times 10^{4}$	$(6.84 \pm 2.64) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.95 \pm 0.98) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG12V.GppNHp	$(5.42 \pm 2.10) \times 10^{4}$	$(1.15 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.16 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRasG13D.GppNHp	$(8.01 \pm 1.72) \times 10^{4}$	$(1.85 \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.11 \pm 0.81) \times 10^{-8}$	
	KRas ^{Q61H} ·GppNHp	$(2.67 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{4}$	$(4.95 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.95 \pm 0.88) \times 10^{-8}$	
	HRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(4.41 \pm 1.60) \times 10^5$	$(1.62 \pm 0.78) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.65 \pm 1.42) \times 10^{-9}$	
	HRasG12V.GppNHp	$(2.36 \pm 0.88) \times 10^{5}$	$(1.42 \pm 0.56) \times 10^{-3}$	$(5.58 \pm 0.51) \times 10^{-9}$	
	NRas ^{WT} ·GppNHp	$(2.35 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{4}$	$(2.91 \pm 0.88) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.11 \pm 0.89) \times 10^{-8}$	
	NRas ^{Q61R} ·GppNHp	$(2.09 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{4}$	$(2.98 \pm 0.87) \times 10^{-4}$	$(1.39 \pm 0.83) \times 10^{-8}$	

^a Each value represents the mean \pm s.d. of two independent experiments. In each experiment, at least five data sets were used to determine the kinetic constants. The dissociation (*k*off) and association rate constants (k_{on}) , and the dissociation equilibrium constant (K_D) values, were determined by the 1:1 Langmuir binding model using the BIAevaluation software provided by the manufacturer.

	Antibodies		Treated concentrations (μM)		
Parameters		0.1	0.5		
Cytosolic amount	RT11	0.25 ± 0.12	1.51 ± 0.20	3.66 ± 0.20	
(fmole) ^a	$RT11-i$	0.48 ± 0.03	2.41 ± 0.22	4.92 ± 0.29	
Cytosolic concentration	RT11	14 ± 6	80 ± 11	195 ± 11	
$(nM)^b$	$RT11-i$	25.3 ± 2	135 ± 12	308 ± 18	

Supplementary Table 2 Quantitative assessment of cytosolic concentrations of RT11 and RT11-i in HeLa cells.

^a The cytosolic amount was measured by enhanced split-GFP complementation assay obtained by incubation of HeLa-SA-GFP1-10 cells with RT11-GFP11-SBP2 and RT11-i-GFP11-SBP2 antibodies, as described in the Methods and in our previous work⁸.

^b The cytosolic molar concentration was estimated by dividing the cytosolic amount by the cytosolic volume of HeLa cells and cell numbers in each well, as described in the Methods and in our previous work⁸.

Supplementary Table 3 List of antibodies used in this study.

Supplementary References

- 1. Sun, Q. *et al.* Discovery of small molecules that bind to K-Ras and inhibit Sos-mediated activation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **51**, 6140-6143 (2012).
- 2. Scheidig, A. J., Burmester, C. & Goody, R. S. The pre-hydrolysis state of p21(ras) in complex with GTP: new insights into the role of water molecules in the GTP hydrolysis reaction of ras-like proteins. *Structure* **7**, 1311-1324 (1999).
- 3. Ye, M. *et al.* Crystal structure of M-Ras reveals a GTP-bound "off" state conformation of Ras family small GTPases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 31267-31275 (2005).
- 4. Cash, J. N., Davis, E. M. & Tesmer, J. J. Structural and Biochemical Characterization of the Catalytic Core of the Metastatic Factor P-Rex1 and Its Regulation by PtdIns(3,4,5)P3. *Structure* **24**, 730-740 (2016).
- 5. Karnoub, A. E. & Weinberg, R. A. Ras oncogenes: split personalities. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 517-531 (2008).
- 6. Matsumoto, K. *et al.* Critical roles of interactions among switch I-preceding residues and between switch II and its neighboring alpha-helix in conformational dynamics of the GTP-bound Ras family small GTPases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 15403-15412 (2011).
- 7. Hunter, J. C., Manandhar, A., Carrasco, M. A., Gurbani, D., Gondi, S. & Westover, K. D. Biochemical and Structural Analysis of Common Cancer-Associated KRAS Mutations. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **13**, 1325-1335 (2015).
- 8. Kim, J. S. *et al.* Quantitative assessment of cellular uptake and cytosolic access of antibody in living cells by an enhanced split GFP complementation assay. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **467**, 771-777 (2015).