Supplementary Note

Supplementary Note 1 | Origin of the IGHV4-61*09 allele

To determine whether the novel allele had been amplified from the *IGHV4-61* or *IGHV4-59* locus, we sequenced three individuals with each *IGHV4-61* haplotype using the amplification primers (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Separate reactions were used for forward and reverse strand primers targeting a 1599 bp product, with each primer amplifying approximately 800 bp of sequence. We then aligned these sequences with the corresponding 473 bp sequence from the main sequencing experiment (Supplementary Figure 11). Across 1,553 sequenced positions, there was noticeably greater identity between the *IGHV4-61**09 sequence and the two previously validated *IGHV4-61* alleles (97.7% identity for *IGHV4-61**01; 97.2% for *IGHV4-61**02) than between *IGHV4-61**09 and *IGHV4-59**01 (94.7% identity), which is the only validated allele at the *IGHV4-59* locus¹⁴. Moreover, focusing on the 1,013 non-coding positions, there was only one non-coding position at which *IGHV4-61**09 matched *IGHV4-59**01 but not *IGHV4-61**01 or *IGHV4-61**02 (0.1% positions) compared to 67 non-coding positions at which *IGHV4-61**09 matched *IGHV4-59**01 (6.6% positions).

Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1 | Phenotype of cases and outcome of quality control procedures. A, Phenotypic characteristics of cases recruited by the Pacific Islands Rheumatic Heart Disease Genetics Network. Severity was based on phenotypic findings. **B**, Selection of samples and allocation to ancestral strata. **C**, Selection of variants used for imputation and calculation of the kinship matrix. MAF, minor allele frequency; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium; INFO, imputation information metric³¹.



Supplementary Figure 21 Low-coverage whole genome sequencing of the population-specific imputation panel and imputation accuracy with and without sequence data. A, The lines show, for each of sixty-four samples, the fraction of bases covered at a given depth on a logarithmic scale. The bar charts show the proportions of, **B**, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and, **C**, insertion-deletion variants (INDELs) captured in the whole-genome sequencing by chromosome that are known and novel. Novel refers to variants not recorded in the NCBI database of short genetic variations (dbSNP). **D**, For each self-reported ancestral population, a boxplot is shown for mean sample concordance for variant of all frequencies following imputation of chromosome 1 carried out with (+) and without (-) the population-specific low coverage sequence data as a reference panel. Samples that had been sequenced were excluded from this analysis. MEL, Melanesians; POL, Polynesian; IND, Fijian Indian; MIX, Mixed and other.













□ Case ◇ Control

3.9e-07

I





Η

Supplementary Figure 3 | Principal components analysis to define ancestral strata and subsets of matched case-control pairs. To illustrate the ancestral strata, plots of the first three principal components (PCs) are shown for **A**, Melanesian, **B**, Polynesian, **C**, Fijian Indian, and **D**, Mixed and other. In each stratum, included individuals are coloured black while excluded individuals are coloured red. To investigate residual population structure in the Melanesian stratum, plots of the first five PCs are shown in **E**, with cases indicated by empty squares and controls indicated by empty diamonds. Self-reported ancestry is indicated by three shades of blue: Ni-Vanuatu by the lighter shade, iTaukei by the medium shade and Kanak by the darkest shade (the major indigenous peoples of Vanuatu, Fiji and New Caledonia respectively). To illustrate the ancestry matched case-control pairs, plots of the first two PCs, weighted by how much of phenotypic variance each explained in multiple regression, are shown for pairs reporting, **F**, iTaukei ancestry, **G**, Kanak ancestry, **H**, Samoan ancestry, and, **I**, Fijian Indian ancestry. Cases coloured blue and controls coloured orange. In all nine plots, cases are indicated by empty squares and controls indicated by empty diamonds.



Supplementary Figure 41 Assessment of heterogeneity and relatedness in the study. A, Autosomal homozygosity is plotted against missingness on a logarithmic scale by self-reported ancestry and the nature of the DNA sample. Horizontal lines are drawn at three standard deviations from the mean of autosomal homozygosity amongst individuals with missingness less than 5% reporting Melanesian/Polynesian ancestry or Fijian Indian ancestry. The Melanesian classification includes individuals reporting iTaukei, Kanak or Ni-Vanatuan ancestry. B, Pairwise relatedness estimates are plotted: k1 is an estimate of the fraction of sites where two individuals share one allele identity by descent (IBD) and k2 is the fraction of sites where two alleles are shared. The density of points is related to the colour scale blue to white using an exponent of 0.09 where dark blue represents the densest regions of the plot. Of 5839653 pairwise estimates, 310,024 (5.0%) had relatedness greater than 5% where r = 0.5k1 + k2. MEL, Melanesians; POL, Polynesian; IND, Fijian Indian; MIX, Mixed and other; AMP, genome-wide amplified sample; GEN, genomic sample.



Supplementary Figure 5 I Genome-wide discovery analysis for RHD susceptibility. For each variant, the negative common logarithm of the p-value from the LMM analysis is plotted against genomic position. The blue horizontal line indicates suggestive significance (LMM, $p=10^{-5}$). The signal located in the immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) locus is framed with a red box.



Supplementary Figure 6 l Quantile-quantile plots for primary, replication and meta-analyses. Quantilequantile (QQ) plots are shown for the LMM analyses in, **A**, Melanesian, **B**, Polynesian, **C**, Fijian Indian, **D**, Mixed or other strata, and in, **E**, for the inverse-variance weighted fixed effects meta-analysis limited to variants present with MAF exceeding 5% in all four strata. Each point represents an individual variant. An estimate of the genomic inflation factor (λ) is shown.



Gene segment	SNP	Genomic	HGVS	P_{FE}^*	$log_{10}BF^{\dagger}$	VEGA	IMGT	Amino	PolyPhen	IMGT
		position	notation			residue	residue	acids	score‡	allele
IGHV4-61	rs202117805	107095296	c.184C>G	7.40×10^{-9}	6.76	62	46	Ala/Pro	0.756	02
	$\mathrm{rs}200931578 \S$	107095268	c.212A>G	1.87×10^{-7}	5.53	71	55	Arg/Tyr	0.000	02
	$rs202166511\S$	107095269	c.211T>C	1.54×10^{-7}	5.52					
	rs201076896§	107095259	c.221A>C	2.10×10^{-7}	5.20	74	58	Thr/Tyr	0.239	02
	rs201691548§	107095260	c.220T>A	2.01×10^{-7}	5.35			, -3-		
IGHV1-58	rs1858692	107078790	c.19G>A	1.91×10^{-8}	6.23	7	N/A¶	Val/Ile	0.011	N/A¶

*Fixed-effects p-value from the genome-wide meta-analysis. †Common logarithm of the Bayes factor from the Bayesian trans-ancestral metaanalysis. ‡Polyphen-2 score based on the method outlined in Adzhubei et al (2010). §Both rs200931578/rs202166511 and rs201076896/rs201691548 are double nucleotide polymorphisms each resulting in a single amino acid change. ¶The rs1858692 variant localises to the leader sequence of IGHV1-58 which is not present in the final heavy domain. HGVS, Human Genome Variation Society; IMGT, International Immunogenetics Information System; VEGA, Vertebrate Genome Annotation database (vega.sanger.ac.uk/)

Supplementary Figure 7 | Frequentist and Bayesian refinement of the immunoglobulin heavy chain

locus signal. For an interval stretching 150kb either side of the lead variant, genomic position is plotted against: **A**, the negative common logarithm of the p-value from the meta-analysis; **B**, the common logarithm of the Bayes' factor from the trans-ancestral meta-analysis. The most associated variant (rs11846409) is represented by a purple triangle. Other variants are coloured by linkage disequilibrium (LD) with that variant averaged across the entire dataset (estimated r²: dark blue, 0-0.2; light blue, 0.2-0.4; green, 0.4-0.6; yellow, 0.6-0.8; red, 0.8-1.0). The recombination rate is shown as a line plotted on the right-hand y-axis. These plots are based on those drawn by the widely used LocusZoom software. In **C**, details of the five missense variants in the 99% credible set from the Bayesian analysis are shown.

Α	
	Forward and Reverse Primers
Polymerase Chain Reaction	F CAA TGC AGT AGA TTC CAA GGT TAG A R TTC ACC TCT CCG TAC AAA GGC
Chain Termination Sequencing	F TGG TGA CTC GAC TCT TGA GG R CAC CAC CCA CAT GCA AAT CC

В

	Optimised Polymerase Chain Reaction Procedures									
Cycle	Initial denaturation 95°C for 15 mins Denaturation 94°C for 30 secs Annealing 65.5°C for 30 secs Extension 72°C for 80 secs Final extension 72°C for 10 mins									
Conditions	Template 2.5 ng/ μ l MgCl ₂ 0.6mM DMSO 3%									

Supplementary Figure 8 | Details of Polymerase Chain Reaction and Chain Termination Sequencing.

A, Primers used for the amplification and sequencing of the *IGHV4-61* locus. **B**, Optimised conditions used for polymerase chain reactions.





Α





Supplementary Figure 9 | Haplotypes at the IGHV4-61 locus in the study population. Representative chromatograms from individuals with two copies of the IGHV4-61*01 allele compared to those with A, one or two copies of IGHV4-61*02 allele, with the five variants from the 99% credible set annotated, and **B**, one or two copies of the novel haplotype (provisionally designated IGHV4-61*09) comprising a six base inframe deletion (rs539138682) and a missense variant (rs2072046), together converting the sequence of IGHV4-61 to that of IGHV4-59. Notably, we find the in-frame deletion has dramatically different minor allele frequency (207 of 678 chromosomes, 30.5%) compared to that reported by the 1000 Genomes Project who submitted the variant to dbSNP (3 of 5008 chromosomes, 0.06%). Above the chromatograms, codons are numbered using IMGT unique notation with variable residues in red; in places this numbering is interrupted (asterisked) because variable domains have different length complementary determining regions. In C, the three IGHV4-61 haplotypes are shown by ancestry for the 339 Sanger-sequenced individuals (678 chromosomes) included in the association analyses. Haplotypes run horizontally with reference and nonreference alleles coloured blue and yellow, respectively. In addition to the seven coding variants, the haplotypes extend to a synonymous variant in the leader sequence of IGHV4-61 (rs2516897) as well as the nearest (9kb upstream) and most strongly associated (FE meta-analysis, p=5.5x10⁻⁹) directly genotyped variant (rs2583292), which is in strong linkage disequilibrium with *IGHV4-61**02 (r^2 =0.94).

Α

Part	Accession	Allele	Sequence
L-PART1	AH007113	61*01	ATGAAACACCTGTGGTTCTTCCTCCTGGTGGCAGCTCCCAGAT
	L10097	61*02	$\cdots \cdots \mathbb{T} \cdots \mathbb{T} \cdots \cdots$
	KX389267	61*09	TT
	AB019438	59*01	······T·····T······T·····T······
L-PART2	AH007113	61*01	GGGTCCTGTCC
	L10097	61*02	
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
FR1-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	CAGGTGCAGCTGCAGGAGTCGGGCCCAGGACTGGTGAAGCCTTCGGAGACCCTGTCCCTCACCTGCACTGTCTCT
	L10097	61*02	AC
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR1-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	GGTGGCTCCGTCAGCAGTGGTAGTTACTAC
	L10097	61*02	AA
	KX389267	61*09	A
	AB019438	59*01	A
FR2-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	TGGAGCTGGATCCGGCAGCCCCCAGGGAAGGGACTGGAGTGGGTAT
	L10097	61*02	G.C
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR2-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	ATCTATTACAGTGGGAGCACC
	L10097	61*02	AC
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
FR3-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	${\tt AACTACAACCCCTCCCTCAAGAGTCGAGTCACCATATCAGTAGACACGTCCAAGAACCAGTTCTCCCTGAAGCTGAGCTCTGTGACCGCTGCGGACACGGCCGTGTATTACTGT}$
	L10097	61*02	CA
	KX389267	61*09	CA
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR3-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	GCGAGAGA
	L10097	61*02	
	KX389267	61*09	C.
	AB019438	59*01	

В

Part	Accession	Allele	Sequence
FR1-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	QVQLQESGPGLVKPSETLSLTCTVS
	L10097	61*02	Q
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR1-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	GGSVSSGSYY
	L10097	61*02	I
	KX389267	61*09	IS
	AB019438	59*01	IS
FR2-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	WSWIRQPPGKGLEWIGY
	L10097	61*02	R
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR2-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	IYYSGST
	L10097	61*02	T
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
FR3-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	NYNPSLKSRVTISVDTSKNQFSLKLSSVTAADTAVYYC
	L10097	61*02	
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	
CDR3-IMGT	AH007113	61*01	AR
	L10097	61*02	
	KX389267	61*09	
	AB019438	59*01	

Supplementary Figure 10 | Nucleotide and amino acid changes in the coding region of the IGHV4-61

locus. Base pair changes in the coding regions of *IGHV4-61**02, *IHGV4-61**09 and *IGHV4-59**01 are shown in relation to *IGHV4-61**01 as **A**, nucleotide sequence, and **B**, amino acid sequence. The sequence is divided using IMGT notation.

61 * 0 1		2 <u>0</u>	3 <u>0</u> ACCCACATGCA	4 <u>0</u>	5 <u>0</u>	6 <u>0</u> ACAGGAAACC	7 <u>0</u>	8 <u>0</u> פררייים	9 <u>0</u>	10 <u>0</u>	11 <u>0</u>	12 <u>0</u> TGAGAGTCCT	13 <u>0</u> GGACCTCCTG	14 <u>0</u>
61*02 61*09 59*01	······								G	A				·····
61*01	15 <u>0</u> AC ATGAAACACCTG	16 <u>0</u> STGGTTCTTC	17 <u>0</u> CTCCTCCTGG1	18 <u>0</u> GGCAGCTCC	19 <u>0</u> : CAGAT GTGAG:	20 <u>0</u> IGTCTCAGGG	21 <u>0</u> ATCCAGACAT	22 <u>0</u> GGGGGTATGGO	23 <u>0</u> GAGGTGCCTC	24 <u>0</u> TGATCCCAGG	25 <u>0</u> gctcactgtg	26 <u>0</u> GGTCTCTCTG	27 <u>0</u> TTCACAG GGG	28 <u>0</u> TCCTGTC
61*02 61*09 59*01	T 	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	C	GT 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
51*01	29 <u>0</u> CCAGGTGCAGCTGC	30 <u>0</u> CAGGAGTCGG	310 GCCCAGGACTO	32 <u>0</u> GTGAAGCC1	33 <u>0</u> TCGGAGACCC	34 <u>0</u> IGTCCCTCAC	35 <u>0</u> CTGCACTGTC	36 <u>0</u> CTGGTGGCTC	37 <u>0</u> CCGTCAGCAG	38 <u>0</u> TGGTAGTTAC	39 <u>0</u> TACTGGAGCT	40 <u>0</u> GGATCCGGCA	41 <u>0</u> GCCCCCAGGG	42 <u>0</u> AAGGGAC
;1*02 ;1*09 ;9*01			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AC		•••••	· • • • • • • • • • • • • •	A A	 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	G.C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
51*01	430 TGGAGTGGATTGGG	440 STATATCTAT	450 TACAGTGGGAG	460 CACCAACTA	470 CAACCCCTCC	480 CTCAAGAGTC	490 GAGTCACCATA	500 ATCAGTAGACA	510 ACGTCCAAGA	520 ACCAGTTCTC	530 CCTGAAGCTG	540 AGCTCTGTGA	550 CCGCTGCGGA	560 CACGGCC
51*02 51*09 59*01		CG	AC				•••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CA CA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
51*01	570 GTGTATTACTGTGC	580 C gagaga cac	590 AGTGAGGGGAG	600 GTGAGTGTG	610 GAGCCCAGACAG	620 CAAACCTCC	630 TGCATGGACG	640 CGGAGGGGACC	650 CGGCGCAGGT	660 GCTGCTCAG <mark>G</mark>	670 ACCAGCAGGT	680 GGCGCGCGGG	690 GCCCCCAGAG	700 CATGAGG
51*02 51*09 59*01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A	GG. GG.	G.C.G.C.	3.C 3.C		GG G GG	C	A A	 G
51*01 51*02 51*09	71 <u>0</u> CCCGGTCAGGAGCA CT	72 <u>0</u> AggtgcAggg	73 <u>0</u> AGGGCGGGGC1	74 <u>0</u> TCCTCATCT	75 <u>0</u> CGCTCAGTGGTC	76 <u>0</u> CTCCGTCCTC	77 <u>0</u> GCCAGCACCTO	78 <u>0</u> C-GCTGTCACC	790 CAGGGCTCCT	80 <u>0</u> CTTTCTTTAT'	81 <u>0</u> TATCTGTGGT	82 <u>0</u> TCTGCTTCCT	83 <u>0</u> CACATTCTTG	840 TGCCAGG
1*01 1*02 1*09 9*01	85 <u>0</u> AAAGAAACGAGGAA 	86 <u>0</u> Agacaaattt	870 TCGTCTATAGI	880 TGAAGCTTC	89 <u>0</u> ACCAATTACTA	90 <u>0</u> AGGAACTTGC	91 <u>0</u> CTACAAGTTCC	920 CTGCATGACCO	93 <u>0</u> CATTATAACT	94 <u>0</u> TATCGATTAA. A	95 <u>0</u> AAAATATATA	960 TTCTAATGCT	970 TCTCACCATC	98 <u>0</u> 970 980 980 980 980 980 980 980 980 980 98
1*01 1*02 1*09	99 <u>0</u> TTGTATCATCAACT	100 <u>0</u> GAATTGTAC	101 <u>0</u> CCTCTTTGAAA	102 <u>0</u> ATTCATATGA	103 <u>0</u> TGAAACCTTAA	104 <u>0</u> AATTCAATGG	105 <u>0</u> ATCTATATTG(106 <u>0</u> GAATTTTTAATC	107 <u>0</u> GAAATAATTA	108 <u>0</u> AGGTTAAATG	109 <u>0</u> IGGTCATAAT	110 <u>0</u> TGTAAGACCC	111 <u>0</u> TAATGCAATA	112 <u>0</u> GACGTGT
51*01 51*02 51*09 59*01	1130 TGTCTTTATAAGAA 	114 <u>0</u> AGAGGAAGAG	115 <u>0</u> ACACCAGAGAG	116 <u>0</u> CCTCTCACTT	117 <u>0</u> TTTCACGTGCAC	118 <u>0</u> GGCAGAGAAG	119 <u>0</u> AGGCCATGTGG	1200 GAGACATAGTO	121 <u>0</u> GCACTAGAAG	122 <u>0</u> GTGGCCCAGT	123 <u>0</u> GCAAGCCAGG	124 <u>0</u> AAGAAGCCGC	125 <u>0</u> GCCAAGAACC	126 <u>0</u> AGCCCTG

	1270	1280	1290	1300	1310	1320	1330	1340	1350	1360	1370	1380	1390	1400
61*01	CCAGCACACTAATC	TTCAACATT	CAGACTGCAG	AATTTTAAG	аааатсаатат	TTGTTGTTT	AAGCCACCCAC	FCCTGTTGTC	TTCTTATGAA	gatcca g aca	GACTAATACC	ACATAACTCI	GTTAGTGCTC	TCCCCTG
61*02	A				T. <mark>G</mark>	C.							. C 	
61*09					<mark>G</mark>	C							. C 	
59*01	AT.G				<mark>.</mark>								. C 	
	1410_	1420	143 <u>0</u>	1440	145 <u>0</u>	1460	1470	148 <u>0</u>	_149 <u>0</u>	150 <u>0</u>	_151 <u>0</u>	152 <u>0</u>	153 <u>0</u>	1540
61*01	GATGGAGAATTAGC	CTCCTGAGG	CTGGGCACAT	CTCTCAGAT	TTCCACATAAA	A <mark>C</mark> AGGTAAA	AAATAGTAGTT	CTGATATAAA	AACTTGTCAT	GTCCCTGTTG	GCCAATTTCT	GGGCAAGGTC	TTTTTAAATA?	.GCCAAGT
61*02				A		. <mark>.</mark> .					G		<mark>.</mark>	
61*09	A					. T .					G			
59*01	cc	.CGG				G <mark>T</mark> C			T				. G	CT.G
		15.00	1.5.5.0	1 - 0 0	4 5 9 9									
	1550	1560	1570	158 <u>0</u>	159 <u>0</u>									
61*01	TTGCGGGGAAATGG	GAGACCATAT	GTTTGTGGGA	CTCTAACCG	IGGAATCTACI	GCATTG								
61*02														
61*09														
59*01	GGTTT.TC.CAA	AGTTGCC.	TATCATTT	A.TAGGA.A	.AACTGA.GAA	CAGA								

Supplementary Figure 11 | Alignment of sequence surrounding IGHV4-61*01, IGHV4-61*02, IGHV4-

61*09 and IGHV4-59*01. Amplification primers are shown in blue, bold and underlined. The coding sequence is underlined in black with the sequence that forms the IMGT allele highlighted in bold. The single non-coding position at which IGHV4-61*09 matches IGHV4-59*01 (but not IGHV4-61*01 or IGHV4-61*02) is highlighted red, whereas non-coding positions at which IGHV4-61*09 matches IGHV4-61*01 or IGHV4-61*02 (but not IGHV4-59*01) are highlighted grey.



Supplementary Figure 12 | Additive effect of the *IGHV4-61**02 **allele on RHD susceptibility.** In **A-D**, odds of disease are plotted with 95% confidence intervals against the copies of *IGHV4-61**02 as a categorical variable based on *IGHV4-61**02 genotypes with imputation probability of 80% or more. Separate plots are shown for each ancestral strata **A**, Melanesian, **B**, Polynesian, **C**, Fijian Indian, **D**, Mixed or other.





Supplementary Figure 13 | Sensitivity analyses of the effect of IGHV4-61*02 allele on RHD

susceptibility. Forest plots are shown for the effect of *IGHV4-61**02 under an additive genetic model in alternative subsets of the data: **A**, ancestry-matched case-control pairs from specific populations (see also Supplementary Figure 3); **B**, individuals of varied genetic ancestry recruited in one of the three countries from where both cases and controls were available; **C**, children recruited in Samoa with one of: non-diagnostic mild valve abnormalities, WHF borderline disease, or WHF definite disease, each compared to the Samoan controls used in the main analysis. For each analysis, the black squares center on the odds ratio estimate from LMM on a logarithmic scale and the size of the square is proportional to the analysis' weight. The horizontal line through each square corresponds to the confidence intervals (CIs). In **A-B**, the black diamond centers on the combined effect estimate by FE meta-analysis and stretches to the CIs. The dashed line indicates no effect.