

Additional file 3: Derivation of the prediction equation without availability of data, using a breed-specific allele substitution effects model, and purebred and crossbred reference animals.

The derivation of the equation for predicting the reliability of genomic estimated breeding values for crossbred performance using a breed-specific allele substitution effects model and a reference population including both purebred and crossbred animals (each associated with one record), without availability of genotyping data, is hereafter detailed. Consider N_A unrelated genotyped breed A reference animals and N_{AB} unrelated genotyped crossbred AB reference animals. It is assumed that the breed A (B) specific effect $\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)}$ ($\beta_{c_k}^{*(B)}$) of each k^{th} independent locus explains an equal amount of the breed A (B) specific additive genetic variance for the crossbred performance trait $\sigma_{c_A}^2$ ($\sigma_{c_B}^2$), i.e. $\sigma_{c_A}^2 = Me^{(A)}\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$ ($\sigma_{c_B}^2 = Me^{(B)}\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(B)}}^2$) with $Me^{(A)}$ ($Me^{(B)}$) being the effective number of chromosome segments underlying the crossbred performance trait for the breed A (B). It is also assumed that the effect $\beta_{a_k}^{*(A)}$ of each k^{th} independent locus explains an equal amount of the additive genetic variance for the purebred performance trait $\sigma_{a_A}^2$, i.e. $\sigma_{a_A}^2 = Me\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2$ with Me being the effective number of chromosome segments underlying the purebred performance trait for the breed A. The (co)variances among $\beta_a^{*(A)}$, $\beta_c^{*(A)}$, and $\beta_c^{*(B)}$, are assumed to be:

$$Var \begin{bmatrix} \beta_a^{*(A)} \\ \beta_c^{*(A)} \\ \beta_c^{*(B)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 & I\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} & \mathbf{0} \\ I\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} & I\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(B)}}^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The entries of the matrix $\mathbf{Z}_A^{*(A)}$, $\mathbf{Z}_{AB}^{*(A)}$, and $\mathbf{Z}_{AB}^{*(B)}$ are defined as previously in Appendices A and B.

The genomic breeding value ($c_{a_i}^{(A)}$) for the i^{th} purebred selection candidate can be predicted as

follows:

$$\hat{c}_{a_i}^{(A)} = \mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)} \hat{\beta}_c^{*(A)},$$

where $\mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{(A)}$ is a row vector of the standardized genotypes for the $Me^{(A)}$ independent loci of the i^{th} selection candidate of breed A; and the vector $\hat{\beta}_c^{*(A)}$ is the vector of the predictions of $\beta_c^{*(A)}$.

Following the mixed model theory [17, 19], the reliability of $\hat{c}_{a_i}^{(A)}$, $r_{c_{a_i}^{(A)}}^2$, is equal to:

$$r_{c_{a_i}^{(A)}}^2 = \frac{Var(\hat{c}_{a_i}^{(A)})}{Var(c_{a_i}^{(A)})} = \frac{Var(\mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)} \hat{\beta}_c^{*(A)})}{Var(\mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)})}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)} Var(\hat{\beta}_c^{*(A)}) \mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)'} }{\mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)} Var(\beta_c^{*(A)}) \mathbf{z}_{a_i}^{*(A)'}} = \frac{Var(\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)})}{Var(\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)})} = r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2,$$

because it is assumed that the effect $\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)}$ of each k^{th} independent locus explains an equal amount of $\sigma_{c_A}^2$, and that the accuracy of the estimated effect, $r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$, is the same for each locus.

The reliability $r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$ can be approximated as follows. The prediction of $\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)}$ for the k^{th} locus can be performed from the phenotypes, \mathbf{y}_A and \mathbf{y}_{AB} , corrected for all other fixed effects, for the breed A specific effects other than the k^{th} effect, $\hat{\beta}_{a_{\neq k}}^{*(A)}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{c_{\neq k}}^{*(A)}$, as well as for the breed B specific effects, $\hat{\beta}_c^{*(B)}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_A^*$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{AB}^*$ respectively, using the model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_A^* \\ \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{AB}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{a_k}^{*(A)} \\ \hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{A_k} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{AB_k} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the vectors $\mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)}$ contain the standardized breed A genotypes of breed A reference animals and breed A alleles of crossbred AB reference animals, respectively; and

$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{A_k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{AB_k}$ are the residual vectors.

For simplicity, it will be assumed that $Var\left(\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{A_k} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{AB_k} \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2 \end{bmatrix}$. Following the mixed

model theory [17,19], the prediction of $\begin{bmatrix} \beta_{a_k}^{*(A)} \\ \beta_{c_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{a_k}^{*(A)} \\ \hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix}$, is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_{a_k}^{*(A)} \\ \hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix} &= \\ &\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix}^+ \right. \\ &\left. \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} \\ \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} & \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_A^* \\ \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_{AB}^* \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

and, the reliability of $\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}$ is equal to:

$$r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 = \frac{Var(\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)}) - Var(\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)} - \beta_{c_k}^{*(A)})}{Var(\beta_{c_k}^{*(A)})} = \frac{\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 - PEV_{\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}}}{\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2},$$

where $PEV_{\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}}$ is the prediction error variance of $\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}$ and is equal to the diagonal element of

inverse of the left-hand-side of the mixed model equations (C1) [17].

The inverse of left-hand-side of the mixed model equations (C1) can be simplified as:

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)'} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}\sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix}^+ + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} \\ \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}\beta_c^{*(A)}} & \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)\prime} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)} \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)\prime} \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \beta_c^{*(A)}} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 & -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} \\ -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \left(\begin{bmatrix} N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{N_{AB}}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \beta_c^{*(A)}} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 & -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} \\ -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the correlation between $\beta_a^{*(A)}$ and $\beta_c^{*(A)}$ as $r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} = \frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}}$, it follows that:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 (1 - r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2)} = \frac{1}{\tau}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)\prime} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)\prime} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{A_k}^{*(A)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}_{AB_k}^{*(A)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} \\ \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} & \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \tau \left(\begin{bmatrix} \tau N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 & -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} \\ -\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \beta_c^{*(A)} & \tau \frac{N_{AB}}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\
&= \frac{\tau}{\left(\tau N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \right) \left(\tau \frac{N_{AB}}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \right) - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2} \begin{bmatrix} \tau \frac{N_{AB}}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 & \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} \\ \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} & \tau N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

The prediction error variance of $\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}$, $PEV_{\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}}$, is then equal to

$$PEV_{\hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)}} = \frac{\tau \left(\tau N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \right)}{\left(\tau N_A \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \right) \left(\tau \frac{N_{AB}}{2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \right) - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}, \text{ and the reliability of } \hat{\beta}_{c_k}^{*(A)} \text{ is equal to:}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} \left(\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_A} \right) + \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \right) - \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{\left(\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_A} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}$$

It is worth noting that the denominator:

$$\left(\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_A} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$$

is the determinant of the 2-by-2 matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_A} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^{-2} \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2} & \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^{-2} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, after reordering the terms, it follows that:

$$r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2}} & \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2}{\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2} + \frac{1}{N_A} & \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2}} & \frac{\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2 r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2}{2 \sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}'.$$

By approximating the residual variances $\sigma_{\varepsilon_A}^2$ and $\sigma_{\varepsilon_{AB}}^2$ by the corresponding $\sigma_{P_A}^2$ and $\sigma_{P_{AB}}^2$, and

because $r_{c_{ai}^{(A)}}^2 = r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$, $\sigma_{c_A}^2 = Me^{(A)} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2$, $\sigma_{a_A}^2 = Me \sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}}^2$, and, following Wientjes et al.

[14], $r_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}} = \frac{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)} \beta_c^{*(A)}}}{\sigma_{\beta_a^{*(A)}} \sigma_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}} = r_{PC}^{(A)}$ with $r_{PC}^{(A)}$ being the breed A specific genetic correlation

between the purebred and crossbred performance traits, the predicted reliability of the

genomic estimated breeding values for the i^{th} selection candidate of breed A is equal to,

without availability of genotyping data:

$$r_{c_{ai}^{(A)}}^2 = r_{\beta_c^{*(A)}}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} r_{PC}^{(A)} \sqrt{\frac{h_{aA}^2}{Me}} & \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} & r_{PC}^{(A)} \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h_{aA}^2}{Me} + \frac{1}{N_A} & r_{PC}^{(A)} \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} \frac{h_{aA}^2}{Me} \\ r_{PC}^{(A)} \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} \frac{h_{aA}^2}{Me} & \frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}} + \frac{1}{N_{AB}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{PC}^{(A)} \sqrt{\frac{h_{aA}^2}{Me}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{h_{cA}^2}{2Me^{(A)}}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where h_{aA}^2 is the heritability of the purebred performance trait for the breed A and h_{cA}^2 is the breed A specific heritability for the crossbred performance trait.