

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Supplementary Material

Comparison between Different Measures of Body Fat with Kidney Function Decline and Incident CKD

Magdalena Madero,* Ronit Katz,[†] Rachel Murphy,[‡] Anne Newman,[§] Kushang Patel,^{||} Joachim Ix,[¶] Carmen Peralta,** Suzanne Satterfield,^{††a} Linda Fried,^{‡‡} Michael Shlipak,** and Mark Sarnak^{|||}

*Division of Nephrology, National Heart Institute, Mexico City, Mexico; [†]Department of Biostatistics, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington; [‡]Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Science, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, Maryland; [§]Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; ^{||}Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; [¶]Division of Nephrology-Hypertension, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, California; Departments of **Medicine and ^{§§}Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California San Francisco School of Medicine, San Diego, California; ^{††}Department of Preventative Health, College of Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee; ^{‡‡}Division of Renal-Electrolyte, Veterans Affairs, Pittsburgh Healthcare Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and ^{|||}Division of Nephrology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts

^aDeceased.

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Supplementary Material

Table 1. Interactions between adiposity measures with race and sex

Adiposity measure	P-value for interaction	
	Sex	Race
SAT	0.4	0.6
VAT	0.7	1.0
IMAT	0.9	0.6
WC	0.8	0.2
BMI	0.5	0.5
WHR	0.4	0.4

Table 2. Association of measures of adiposity with kidney function decline using multiple predictors in the same model

	N	Δ eGFR_CysC>30%	Unadjusted	Model 1*	Model 2**
VAT, SAT and IMAT in the same model			OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Subcutaneous fat	2489	434	1.0 (0.9, 1.2)	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)
Continuous (per SD=121)					
Visceral fat	2489	434	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)
Continuous (per SD=67)					
R Thigh Intermuscular Fat Area (cm-sq)	2489	434	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.0 (0.9, 1.2)
Continuous (per SD=6.8)					
BMI and WC in the same model					
Waist Circumference	2489	434	1.2 (1.1, 1.5)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)
Continuous (per SD=12.5)					
BMI	2489	434	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.4)	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)
Continuous (per SD=4.6)					

*Adjusted for age, sex, race and site

**further adjusted for DM, SBP, HTN meds, ACR , smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, oral estrogen, prevalent CHD, prevalent HF, and CRP.

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Table 3. Association of measures of obesity with incident CKD defined by eGFR cystatin C using multiple predictors in the same model

	Unadjusted	Model 1*	Model 2**
VAT, SAT and IMAT in the same model	IRR (95% CI)	IRR (95% CI)	IRR (95% CI)
Subcutaneous fat	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)
Continuous (per SD=121)			
Visceral fat	1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)
Continuous (per SD=67)			
R Thigh Intermuscular Fat Area (cm-sq)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.0 (0.9, 1.1)
Continuous (per SD=6.8)			
BMI and WC in the same model			
Waist Circumference	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)	1.2 (1.0, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)
Continuous (per SD=12.5)			
BMI	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.1 (0.9, 1.3)	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)

*Adjusted for age, sex, race and site **further adjusted for DM, SBP, HTN meds, ACR, smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, oral estrogen, prevalent CHD, prevalent HF, and CRP.

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Table 4. Association of measures of adiposity with kidney function decline defined as cystatin C eGFR >40%

	N	Δ eGFR>40%	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Model 1* OR (95% CI)	Model 2** OR (95% CI)
Subcutaneous fat					
Continuous (per SD=121)	2489	210	1.2 (1.0, 1.3)	1.3 (1.1, 1.5)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)
Quartiles					
< 196	621	54	1. (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
196 -- 267	619	42	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)
268 -- 357	633	53	0.9 (0.6, 1.4)	1.1 (0.7, 1.6)	1.0 (0.6, 1.5)
> 357	616	61	1.1 (0.8, 1.7)	1.4 (0.9, 2.1)	1.1 (0.7, 1.7)
Visceral fat					
Continuous (per SD=67)	2489	210	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	1.1 (0.9, 1.2)
Quartiles					
< 94	618	43	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
94 – 132	626	45	1.0 (0.7, 1.6)	1.1 (0.7, 1.7)	1.0 (0.6, 1.5)
133 – 181	625	59	1.4 (0.9, 2.2)	1.5 (1.0, 2.2)	1.2 (0.8, 1.9)
> 181	620	63	1.6(1.0, 2.3)	1.6 (1.1, 2.5)	1.1 (0.7, 1.7)
R Thigh Intermuscular Fat Area (cm-sq)					
Continuous (per SD=6.8)	2481	208	1.3 (1.1, 1.4)	1.3 (1.1, 1.4)	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)
Quartiles					
< 6.15	631	32	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
6.15 – 9.01	641	52	1.7 (1.1, 2.7)	1.7 (1.1, 2.7)	1.7 (1.1, 2.8)
9.02 – 13.12	620	59	2.0 (1.3, 3.2)	2.1 (1.3, 3.3)	1.8 (1.12, 2.9)
> 13.12	589	65	2.4 (1.5, 3.7)	2.4 (1.5, 3.8)	1.8 (1.1, 3.0)
Waist Circumference					
Continuous (per SD=12.5)	2488	210	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)
Quartiles					
< 91.7	616	35	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
91.7 – 99.2	645	54	1.5 (0.9, 2.3)	1.5(0.9, 2.3)	1.5 (0.9, 2.3)
99.3 – 107.1	631	56	1.6 (1.1, 2.5)	1.6 (1.0, 2.5)	1.5 (0.9, 2.4)
> 107.1	596	65	2.0 (1.3, 3.1)	2.0 (1.3, 3.1)	1.5 (0.9, 2.4)
BMI					
Continuous (per SD=4.6)	2489	210	1.3 (1.1, 1.5)	1.3 (1.1, 1.5)	1.2(1.0, 1.4)
Quartiles					
< 24.1	615	39	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
24.1 – 26.8	641	44	1.2 (0.7, 1.8)	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	1.2 (0.7, 1.9)
26.9 – 30.0	638	61	1.7 (1.1, 2.6)	1.6 (1.1, 2.5)	1.4 (0.9, 2.2)
> 30.1	595	66	1.9 (1.2, 2.9)	1.9 (1.2, 2.9)	1.5 (0.9, 2.3)

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

*Adjusted for age, sex, race and site

**further adjusted for DM, SBP, HTN meds, ACR , smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, oral estrogen, prevalent CHD, prevalent HF, and CRP. Kidney function decline was defined as eGFR_cysC decline > 40%

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Table 5. Association of BMI by WHO criteria with kidney function decline defined by GFR_cysC decline > 30%

	N	$\Delta eGFR > 30\%$	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Model 1* OR (95% CI)	Model 2** OR (95% CI)
BMI					
WHO cut-points					
< 18.50	26	2	0.5 (0.1, 2.1)	0.5 (0.1, 2.1)	0.6 (0.1, 2.5)
18.50 – 24.99	769	113	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
25.00 – 29.99	1087	181	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	1.2 (0.9, 1.5)	1.1 (0.9, 1.5)
≥ 30.00	607	138	1.7 (1.3, 2.2)	1.7 (1.3, 2.3)	1.4 (1.1, 2.0)

*Adjusted for age, gender, race and site

**further adjusted for DM, SBP, HTN meds, ACR, smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, oral estrogen, prevalent CHD, prevalent HF, and CRP

Supplemental material is neither peer-reviewed nor thoroughly edited by CJASN. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and presentation of the material.

Table 6. A association of BMI defined by WHO criteria with incident CKD

	N	Inc CKD	Rate (%/yr)	Unadjusted IRR (95% CI)	Model 1* IRR (95% CI)	Model 2** IRR (95% CI)
BMI						
WHO cut-points						
< 18.50	25	2	1.3	0.6 (0.2, 2.5)	0.7 (0.2, 2.4)	0.7 (0.2, 2.6)
18.50 – 24.99	718	95	2.1	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)	1.0 (ref)
25.00 – 29.99	1008	165	2.6	1.3 (1.9, 1.6)	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)	1.1 (0.9, 1.4)
≥ 30.00	544	130	4.1	1.9 (1.5, 2.5)	1.6 (1.2, 2.0)	1.4 (1.10 1.9)

*Adjusted for age, gender, race, site and baseline eGFR

**further adjusted for DM, SBP, HTN meds, ACR, smoking, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, oral estrogen, prevalent CHD, prevalent HF and CRP.