

Supplemental Figure 1. Shoot Regeneration in *clv3*, *stm* and *cuc2 cuc3*. (Supports Figure 1).

The regenerative capacity in **(A)** was calculated as the number of shoots/total number of explants. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.d.. *n*=24; p-value: Student's *t* test; \*\*p<0.01. Bar = 0.5 cm.



Supplemental Figure 2. Comparison of the Expression Patterns of *WUS* Reporters. (Supports Figure 1).

(A) and (D) The expression pattern of *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* (Gordon et al., 2007) reporter during shoot regeneration (A) and in the SAM (D). The hypocotyls of *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* were used as explants. During shoot regeneration (A), WUS<sup>+</sup> cells (red) were visible after 1 day transfer to SIM and did not mark shoot progenitor cells. The difference between the mRNA accumulation pattern revealed by our *in situ* hybridization (Figures 1D to 1I) and protein localization revealed by live imaging analyses of Gordon's *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* reporter is not caused by the movement of DsRED because DsRED was fused to the N7 nuclear localization sequence. We speculate that the difference between our and Gordon's *WUS* reporter was due to the *35S* promoter in the binary construct pPZP222 used by Gordon et al (Gordon et al., 2007). However, this reporter does mimic endogenous *WUS* expression pattern in the SAM (D). Bar = 50 µm.

(B) The expression pattern of *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* (Gordon et al., 2007) reporter on SIM. The roots were used as explants. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .

(C) RNA *in situ* hybridization analyses. The roots of *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* were used as explants. Note when comparing (B) and (C), it appears that *ProWUS:dsRED-N7* reporter does not mimic the *WUS* mRNA expression pattern. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .



#### Supplemental Figure 3. Dynamic Expression Patterns of *WUS and CLV3* during Shoot Regeneration. (Supports Figure 1).

Hypocotyls from *ProWUS:3xVENUS-N7* (A) to (H) and *ProCLV3:GFP-ER* (I) to (P) were used for shoot regeneration. White and yellow arrows indicate  $WUS^+$  cell and the developing SAM respectively. Cell outlines were stained by propidium iodide (PI, red). Bar = 50 µm.



Supplemental Figure 4. Expression Patterns of *STM* and *WOX2*. (Supports Figure 1).

(A) Expression of *STM* is detectable beginning at stage III during shoot regeneration. Bar =  $20 \mu m$ . (B) and (C) The transcripts of *WOX2* (blue) could be detected in the proembryo during embryogenesis (C) but not in calli during shoot *de novo* regeneration (B). Bar =  $20 \mu m$ .



Supplemental Figure 5. Regeneration Assay in the arr Mutant. (Supports Figure 2).

(A) and (B) The hypocotyls of wild type and *arr1 arr10 arr12* mutant were used for the root regeneration assay. Note that the loss of function of *B*-*ARRs* did not affect root regenerative capacity. The regenerative capacity in (B) was calculated as the number of rooted explants/total number of explants. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  s.d.. *n*=32; Bar = 0.5 cm.

**(C)** Shoot regeneration of wild type (Col-0), *arr2*, *arr2 arr12* and *arr1 arr10 arr12*. While *arr2* and *arr12* single mutant regenerated shoots normally (Mason et al., 2005), *arr2 arr12* double mutant showed reduced shoot regenerative capacity. Bar = 0.5 cm.

(D) The expression level of WUS and ARR5 in the wild-type and arr1 arr10 arr12 explants. The expression of WUS and ARR5 in wild type was normalized to that of TUB. Data are means  $\pm$  s.d.. n=3. Student's t test; \*\*p<0.01.



#### Supplemental Figure 6. EMSA Assays. (Supports Figure 2).

(A) EMSA assays. Competitive EMSA showing binding of ARR2 to two DNA fragments [-550 to -620 bp (j-II) and -700 to -760 bp (i-II)] of the *WUS* promoter (left panel). Relative amounts (labeled oligonucleotide was set to 1.0) of the un-labeled competitive oligonucleotide used in the reactions are indicated on the top. The positions of probes (a-I, i-I, i-II, j-II, j-II) are labeled with different colors. Shifted bands are indicated. The D5 region (-726 to -541 bp) and a 57-bp regulatory region (-712 to -655 bp, i-I) identified by Bäurle and Laux are shown (Baurle and Laux, 2005). As a control, we performed the EMSA assays without (-) or with (+) ARR2 protein (right panel). Note that there is no shifted band for probe j-II and i-II in the absence of ARR2 protein.

**(B)** Supershift assays of j-II segment. The purified ARR2 proteins were mixed with different amounts of His antibody. The binding of His antibody with ARR2-6xHis proteins caused the decreased amounts of j-II shifted band.



### Supplemental Figure 7. The Progressive Decrease in H3K27me3 Marks at the *WUS* Locus is Delayed by OLO Treatment. (Supports Figure 3).

(A) ChIP analyses. Wild-type seedlings and explants cultured on SIM with or without OLO were used. Eight fragments (a to n) were analyzed. Error bars represent s.e.m. (n=3 biological replicates); p-value: Student's *t* test; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01.

**(B)** Shoot regeneration of the wild-type (Col-0) and *swn clf* +/- explants. Student's *t* test; \*\*p<0.01, *n*=18.



### Supplemental Figure 8. The Induction of *WUS* by Cytokinin is Delayed by OLO Treatment. (Supports Figure 3).

(A) to (D) The *ProWUS:3xVENUS-N7* and *ProTCSn:GFP* explants were regenerated on SIM with (B) and (D) or without OLO (A) and (C). White arrows indicate  $WUS^+$  cells. Cell outlines were stained by PI (red). Bar = 50 µm.



# Supplemental Figure 9. The Induction of *ARR5* by Cytokinin is not Delayed by OLO Treatment. (Supports Figure 3).

(A) and (B) The expression of *ARR5*. The wild-type explants were regenerated on SIM with (B) or without OLO (A). The expression of *ARR5* was analyzed at day 0, 3, 6 and 14. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .



## Supplemental Figure 10. The Induction of Shoot Regeneration by Cytokinin is Delayed by OLO Treatment. (Supports Figure 3).

(A) Set-up for the experiment to test the role of cell cycle in shoot regeneration. Four experiments (a-d) were designed.

(B) Shoot regeneration assays according to the experimental designs (A). Bar = 0.5 cm.



#### Supplemental Figure 11. Dynamic Expression Patterns of *REV*,

TCS and ARR1 during Shoot Regeneration. (Supports Figures 2, 4 and 7).

The hypocotyls from ProREV:sGFP-N7mirS (A) to (H), ProTCSn:GFP (I) to (P) and ProARR1:sGFP-N7 (Q) to (X) were used for shoot regeneration. Yellow arrows indicate the developing SAM. Cell outlines were stained by propidium iodide (PI, red). Bar = 50 µm.



## Supplemental Figure 12. ARR1, ARR2, ARR10 and ARR12 Bind to HD-ZIP III Proteins. (Supports Figure 4).

(A) and (B) *In vitro* pull-down assay. 6xHis-ARR1, 6xHis-ARR2 and GST-PHB were expressed in *E. coli*. Purified proteins were mixed and immunoprecipitated with glutathione sepharose 4B resins and blotted against anti-His or anti-GST antibody.

(C) BiLC assay in *N. benthamiana* leaves. ARR1, ARR2, ARR10 and ARR12 were fused to the amino-terminal domain of LUC (LUCn) and PHB/PHV/REV fused to the carboxyl-terminal domain of LUC (LUCc). Bar = 1.0 cm.



Supplemental Figure 13. Shoot Regeneration Assay of *HD-ZIP III* Mutants. (Supports Figure 4).

(A) and (B) Shoot regeneration assay of *HD-ZIP III* mutants. Bar = 0.5 cm.

(C) Shoot regeneration assay of Col-0, *Pro35S:ARR2*, *rev-*6 and *Pro35S:ARR2 rev-*6. Bar = 0.5 cm.



### Supplemental Figure 14. Expression of *HD-ZIP III* Transcription Factors and B-type *ARRs*. (Supports Figure 4).

(A) Expression of *PHB* in the wild-type and *arr1 arr10 arr12* explants. The explants were cultured on SIM for 3 days. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .

(B) Expression of A-type and B-type ARRs in wild type and *phb phv rev* explants. Expression was examined by qRT-PCR and normalized to that of *TUB*. Data are means  $\pm$  s.d. *n*=3.



## Supplemental Figure 15. Expression of *ARR1* and *ARR2* in Wild-Type Explants. (Supports Figure 6).

Expression was examined by qRT-PCR and normalized to that of *TUB*. Data are means  $\pm$  s.d.. *n*=3.



#### Supplemental Figure 16. Spatial Activation of *WUS* by REV and PHB. (Supports Figure 7).

(A) Expression of *ProREV:DsRED-N7 mirS ProWUS:3xVENUS-N7*. The explant was cultured on SIM for 2 days. The WUS<sup>+</sup> cell (green) is indicated by white arrows. Bar = 50  $\mu$ m.

**(B)** to **(D)** Expression of *ProPHB:sGFP-N7 mirS* (green). The explants were culture on SIM for 2, 6 and 12 days. The expression domain of *PHB* is marked with dashed lines. Bar =  $50 \mu m$ .



### Supplemental Figure 17. Local Induction of *HD-ZIP III* Promotes Shoot Regeneration on SIM. (Supports Figures 4 and 7).

(A) and (B) Shoot regeneration assay of wild type (Ler), *phb phv rev* and *phb phv rev Pro35S:rREV-GR*. (A) Schematic diagram shows the experimental procedure for local induction of *HD-ZIP III*. A biplate (Thermo fisher, PB5220E) which allows two separate media formulations was used. (B) Explants of different genotypes were cultured on SIM with or without 10  $\mu$ M DEX for 10 days. White arrows indicate regenerated shoots. Bar = 0.5 cm.



Supplemental Figure 18. Genetic Interaction between *ARR2* and *REV* during Shoot Development. (Supports Figures 4 and 7).

(A) Phenotypes of wild type and mutants. Bar = 1 cm. The proportion of transgenic plants showing phenotype is shown.

(B) to (D) Expression of WUS in wild type and mutants. The WUS transcripts were detected by *in situ* hybridization assay. Bar = 50  $\mu$ m.

#### Supplemental Table 1. Yeast Two-Hybrid Assay using ARR2 as Bait.

Gene	Interaction?	Biological Function
<i>PHB</i> (At2g34710)	Yes	HD-ZIP III transcription factor, defines adaxial leaf fates (Prigge et al., 2005)
REV (At5g60690)	Yes	HD-ZIP III transcription factor, defines adaxial leaf fates (Prigge et al., 2005)
PHV (At1g30490)	Yes	HD-ZIP III transcription factor, defines adaxial leaf fates (Prigge et al., 2005)
CUC2 (At5g53950)	No	Transcription factor of the <i>NAC</i> gene family, required for the embryonic SAM (Daimon et al., 2003)
CUC3 (At1g76420)	No	Transcription factor of the <i>NAC</i> gene family, required for embryonic apical meristem formation (Daimon et al., 2003; Vroemen et al., 2003; Hibara et al., 2006)
<i>TCP4</i> (At3g15030)	No	Target gene of miR319, regulate leaf differentiation (Efroni et al., 2013)
<i>ESR1</i> (At1g12980)	No	ERF/AP2 transcription factor, regulates shoot regeneration and meristem activity (Banno et al., 2001)
<i>MP</i> (At1g19850)	No	Auxin response factor, play roles in the development of shoot primordia (Zhao et al., 2010)
BRC1 (At3g18550)	No	TCP transcription factor, functions in axillary bud development (Aguilar-Martinez et al., 2007)
TFL2 (At5g17690)	No	TFL2 recognizes specifically H3K27me3 <i>in vivo</i> (Turck et al., 2007)
LCR (At1g27340)	No	F-box protein, involved in the regulation of leaf morphology and meristem activity (Knauer et al., 2013)

#### SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

- Aguilar-Martinez, J.A., Poza-Carrion, C., and Cubas, P. (2007). Arabidopsis BRANCHED1 acts as an integrator of branching signals within axillary buds. Plant Cell **19**, 458-472.
- Banno, H., Ikeda, Y., Niu, Q.W., and Chua, N.H. (2001). Overexpression of Arabidopsis ESR1 induces initiation of shoot regeneration. Plant Cell **13**, 2609-2618.
- Baurle, I., and Laux, T. (2005). Regulation of *WUSCHEL* transcription in the stem cell niche of the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. Plant Cell **17**, 2271-2280.
- Daimon, Y., Takabe, K., and Tasaka, M. (2003). The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON genes promote adventitious shoot formation on calli. Plant Cell Physiol 44, 113-121.
- Efroni, I., Han, S.K., Kim, H.J., Wu, M.F., Steiner, E., Birnbaum, K.D., Hong, J.C., Eshed, Y., and Wagner, D. (2013). Regulation of leaf maturation by chromatin-mediated modulation of cytokinin responses. Dev Cell 24, 438-445.
- Gordon, S.P., Heisler, M.G., Reddy, G.V., Ohno, C., Das, P., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2007). Pattern formation during *de novo* assembly of the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. Development **134**, 3539-3548.
- Hibara, K., Karim, M.R., Takada, S., Taoka, K., Furutani, M., Aida, M., and Tasaka, M. (2006). Arabidopsis *CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3* regulates postembryonic shoot meristem and organ boundary formation. Plant Cell **18**, 2946-2957.
- Knauer, S., Holt, A.L., Rubio-Somoza, I., Tucker, E.J., Hinze, A., Pisch, M., Javelle, M., Timmermans, M.C., Tucker, M.R., and Laux, T. (2013). A protodermal miR394 signal defines a region of stem cell competence in the Arabidopsis shoot meristem. Dev Cell 24, 125-132.
- Mason, M.G., Mathews, D.E., Argyros, D.A., Maxwell, B.B., Kieber, J.J., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., and Schaller, G.E. (2005). Multiple type-B response regulators mediate cytokinin signal transduction in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **17**, 3007-3018.
- Prigge, M.J., Otsuga, D., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Drews, G.N., and Clark, S.E. (2005). Class III homeodomain-leucine zipper gene family members have overlapping, antagonistic, and distinct roles in Arabidopsis development. Plant Cell **17**, 61-76.
- Turck, F., Roudier, F., Farrona, S., Martin-Magniette, M.L., Guillaume, E., Buisine, N., Gagnot, S., Martienssen, R.A., Coupland, G., and Colot, V. (2007). Arabidopsis TFL2/LHP1 specifically associates with genes marked by trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27. PLoS Genet 3, e86.
- Vroemen, C.W., Mordhorst, A.P., Albrecht, C., Kwaaitaal, M.A., and de Vries, S.C. (2003). The *CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON3* gene is required for boundary and shoot meristem formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **15**, 1563-1577.
- Zhao, Z., Andersen, S.U., Ljung, K., Dolezal, K., Miotk, A., Schultheiss, S.J., and Lohmann, J.U. (2010). Hormonal control of the shoot stem-cell niche. Nature **465**, 1089-1092.