GigaScience Genome sequencing of the winged midge, Parochlus steinenii, from the Antarctic Peninsula --Manuscript Draft--

GIGA-D-16-00062			
Genome sequencing of the winged midge, I Peninsula	Parochlus steinenii, from the Antarctic		
Data Note			
Korea Polar Research Institute (PE16070)	Dr Hyun Park		
Korea Polar Research Institute (PE16080)	Not applicable		
Background In the Antarctic, only two species of Chiron Belgica antarctica, and the winged midge, F unusual characteristics and it has adapted t antarctica are desiccation and freeze tolera Recently, a study suggested that the compa- result of adaptation to an extreme environm tolerant but not freeze tolerant at the larval Antarctic with B. antarctica. In addition, P. s steinenii could be a good species for compa- notable adaptations of B. antarctica. In this steinenii. Results The draft genome of P. steinenii had a total with an N50 contig size of 34,110 bp, and a contig had a contig coverage of approximate predicted using MAKER annotation pipeline (80.2%) predicted genes in gene ontology. Conclusions We present an annotated draft genome of th steinenii genome will help reveal the mecha compared with the genome of B. antarctica, freeze tolerant in the larval form.	peridae occur naturally: the wingless midge, Parochlus steinenii. B. antarctica has to an extreme environment. The larvae of B. act genome of B. antarctica could be the tent. On the other hand, P. steinenii, is cold stage, even though it occurs naturally in the teinenii adults are winged. As a result, P. arative analysis in order to understand the study, we sequenced the genome of P. size of 137 Mb, comprising 9,513 contigs GC content of 32.2%. The assembled ely 108.5×. In all, 13,468 genes were and classified to functions for 10,801 the Antarctic midge, P. steinenii. The P. anism underlying freeze tolerance when a s P. steinenii is cold tolerant but not		
Seung Chul Shin, Ph.D KOREA, REPUBLIC OF			
Sanghee Kim			
Sanghee Kim			
Mijin Oh			
Woongsic Jung			
Woongsic Jung Joonho Park			
Woongsic Jung Joonho Park Han-Gu Choi			
	GIGA-D-16-00062 Genome sequencing of the winged midge, I Peninsula Data Note Korea Polar Research Institute (PE16070) Korea Polar Research Institute (PE16080) Background In the Antarctic, only two species of Chirono Belgica antarctica, and the winged midge, F unusual characteristics and it has adapted that antarctica are desiccation and freeze tolera Recently, a study suggested that the comparesult of adaptation to an extreme environm tolerant but not freeze tolerant at the larval Antarctic with B. antarctica. In addition, P. s steinenii could be a good species for compa- notable adaptations of B. antarctica. In this steinenii. Results The draft genome of P. steinenii had a total with an N50 contig size of 34,110 bp, and a contig had a contig coverage of approximat predicted using MAKER annotation pipeline (80.2%) predicted genes in gene ontology. Conclusions We present an annotated draft genome of t steinenii genome will help reveal the mecha compared with the genome of B. antarctica, freeze tolerant in the larval form. Seung Chul Shin, Ph.D KOREA, REPUBLIC OF Sanghee Kim Mijin Oh		

Powered by Editorial Manager® and ProduXion Manager® from Aries Systems Corporation

	Seung Chul Shin, Ph.D
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Opposed Reviewers:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?	No
Experimental design and statistics Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our <u>Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist</u> . Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends. Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	Yes
	Vac
A description of all resources used, including antibodies, cell lines, animals and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> <u>Identifiers</u> (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible. Have you included the information requested as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	
Availability of data and materials All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in publicly available repositories (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript. Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	Yes

1 2	1	Genome sequencing of the winged midge, Parochlus steinenii, from the
3 4 5	2	Antarctic Peninsula
6 7	3	Sanghee Kim ¹ , Mijin Oh ⁴ , Woongsic Jung ¹ , Joonho Park ³ , Han-Gu Choi ¹ , Hyun Park ^{1,2} , and
9 10	4	Seung Chul Shin ^{1*}
11 12 13	5	
14 15	6	¹ Division of Life Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute (KOPRI), ² Department of Polar
16 17 18	7	Sciences, Korea University of Science and Technology, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea,
19 20	8	³ Department of Fine Chemistry, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, Seoul,
21 22 23 24	9 10	South Korea, ⁴ LabGenomics Clinical Research Institute, LabGenomics, Seongnam, Korea.
25 26 27	11	Keywords: Parochlus steinenii, complete mitochondrial genome, Antarctic winged midge
28 29 30	12	
31 32 33	13	
34 35 36	14	
37 38 30	15	* Corresponding author
39 40 41	16	Name: SEUNG CHUL SHIN
42 43 44	17	Address: Division of Polar Life Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute, 26 Songdomirae-ro,
45 46	18	Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 21990, Republic of Korea
47 48 49	19	Phone: +82-32-760-5572
50 51	20	Fax: +82-32-760-5598
52 53 54	21	E-mail: ssc@kopri.re.kr
55 56	22	
57 58 59	23	1
60 61		
62 63		
04		

24 Abstract

25 Background

In the Antarctic, only two species of Chironomidae occur naturally-the wingless midge, Belgica antarctica; and the winged midge, Parochlus steinenii. B. antarctica has unusual characteristics with a compact genome as a result of adaptation to an extreme environment. The larvae of *B. antarctica* are desiccation and freeze tolerant and the adults lose their wings. Even though they occur naturally in the Antarctic with *B. antarctica*, the larvae of *P. steinenii* are cold, but not freeze, tolerant and the adults are winged. Therefore, P. steinenii could be a good species for comparative analysis in order to understand the notable adaptations of B. antarctica. In this study, we sequenced the genome of P. steinenii.

Results

The draft genome of *P. steinenii* had a total size of 137 Mbp, comprising 9,513 contigs with an N50 contig size of 34,110 bp and a GC content of 32.2%. In all, 13,468 genes were predicted using MAKER annotation pipeline, and gene ontology classified 10,801 (80.2%) predicted genes to a function. As compared to genome architecture of *B. antarctica*, that of *P. steinenii* was 39 Mbp longer with 4-fold increased repeat sequences, whereas gene regions were similarly compact as *B. antarctica*.

41 Conclusions

We present an annotated draft genome of the Antarctic midge, *P. steinenii*. The *P. steinenii* genome will help reveal the mechanism underlying freeze tolerance when compared to the genome of *B. antarctica*, as *P. steinenii* is cold, but not freeze, tolerant in the larval form.

45 Keywords

46 Parochlus steinenii, cold tolerant, Antarctic midge

47 Data description

48 Sequencing

Specimen of Parochlus steinenii [1-3] was collected from King George Island, West Antarctica (62°14'S, 58°47'W) during 2014 and 2015. Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). For genome sequencing and assembly using ALLPATHS-LG [4], two types of libraries were prepared. One was a fragment library, which was of paired-end type with an insert size of 400 bp, while the other was a jumping library, which was of mate-pair type with insert sizes of 3 kbp and 5 kbp. Paired-end libraries were sequenced with the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using a read length configuration of 2×300 bp, and mate-pair libraries were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using a read length configuration of 2×150 bp (see Table 1). Library preparation and sequencing were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For gene annotation with expressed sequencing tags, RNA was extracted from whole body of *P. steinenii* using the Qiagen kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Paired-end
libraries with the insert size of 300 bp were constructed and sequenced with the Illumina
HiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), using a read length configuration of 2 ×
150 bp (Table 1).

Before assembly using ALLPATH-LG, the paired-end reads resulting from the fragment library were trimmed using the FASTX-Toolkit (Ver. 0.0.11) (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit) with the parameters -t 30, -1 200, and -Q 33. Paired sequences from the trimmed Illumina reads were then selected. Finally, data from paired-end trimmed reads with 14 gigabase pairs (Gbp) were obtained (Table 1).

Library	Mode	Insert	Library	Reads	Read lengths	Source
		size	type			
PE400trim	2×300	400	paired-end	51 648 324	14 775 480 106	Genomic DNA
PE400	2×300	400	paired-end	51 892 430	15 567 729 000	Genomic DNA
MP3K	2×150	3 000	mate-pair	170 887 140	25 633 071 000	Genomic DNA
MP5K	2×150	5 000	mate-pair	157 622 418	23 643 362 700	Genomic DNA
PE300	2×150	300	paired-end	27 663 170	3 539 060 573	RNA
PE300	2×150	300	paired-end	27 782 288	3 483 157 066	RNA
PE300	2×150	300	paired-end	30 806 804	3 875 228 963	RNA

Table 1. Sequencing statistics of *P. steinenii*

Genome assembly

Assembly was performed using ALLPATHS-LG for both, the fragment libraries (400 bp) and the jumping libraries (3 kbp and 5 kbp). These were performed on a 96-processor workstation with Intel Xeon X7460 2.66 GHz processors, 1 terabyte RAM, and default parameters. In ALLPATHS-LG, paired-end reads from the fragment library were merged to make longer reads, resulting in a better assembly and a larger k-mer size [4]. As a result, the fragment library should be designed to overlap, and the size of the paired-end library was slightly less than twice the read size [4]. In this assembly, 93.8% of the fragment library was full. The resulting assembly had a total size of 137 Mb, comprising 9,513 contigs, with an N50 contig size of 34,110 bp, and an N50 scaffold size of 168 kb (Table 2). The GC content was 32.2% and the assembly revealed contig coverage of approximately $108.5 \times$.

Table 2. Global statistics of the *P. steinenii* genome assembly.

Assembly results	Number	N50 (kb) *	Size (Mb)
Contig	9 513	34.1	130.6
Scaffold	4 151	168.1	138.0
			Percentage
Annotation	Number	Total length (kb)	of genome
			(%)
Genes	13 468	36 239.1	26.3
Coding region (Coding regions in <i>B. antarctica</i>)	13 468 (13 517)	17 967.6 (18 964.3)	13.0 (19.4)
Introns (Introns in B. antarctica)	69 960 (43 577)	24 191.6 (15 495.0)	17.5 (15.7)
Repeats (Repeats in <i>B. antarctica</i>)	37 507 (10 084)	2 252.6 (429.7)	1.6 (0.49)
*			

*Minimum sequence length in which half of the assembled bases were found. The statistics of gene annotation of *B. antarctica* are quoted from a previously reported paper [5].

89 Gene annotation

Gene annotation was accomplished using MAKER2 annotation pipeline [6]. RepeatMasker (Ver. 3.3.0) [7] was used to identify repetitive elements against a *de novo* repeat library, and the SNAP gene finder [8] was selected to perform ab initio gene prediction from the masked genome sequence in MAKER2. For proper gene annotation, RNA and protein evidence alignment were used. Alignment of expressed sequence tags with BLASTn and homologous protein information from tBLASTx were considered for evidence of alignment. Transcriptome assembly results were used for RNA evidence, and a CLC Genomics Workbench (Ver. 8.0.0) was used for assembly. In all, 68,392 contigs with an N50 contig size of 435 bp and an average contig size of 407 bp, were generated.

Protein sequences from six species, given in NCBI reference sequences, were used in the analysis—Drosophila melanogaster (Fruit fly, GCF_000001215.4), Ceratitis capitata (Mediterranean fruit fly, NC_000857.1), Bactrocera dorsalis (oriental fruit fly, NC_008748.1), Anopheles gambiae (African malaria mosquito, NZ_AAAB00000000.1), Aedes aegypti (yellow fever mosquito, AAGE0000000.2), and Culex quinquefasciatus (southern house mosquito, AAWU01000000). A total of 13,468 genes in the P. steinenii genome were predicted using the MAKER2 pipeline. This is similar to the number of genes in B. antarctica [5]. The compact genome of B. antarctica (99 Mbp) [5], which is endemic to Antarctica, notably comprises of low repeat density and a reduced intron length. Although P. steinenii showed a low repeat density (1.6%; Table 2), it was not as low as that of B. antarctica, but it does have a similar intron length in a percentage of genome [5].

Blast2Go (Ver. 2.6.0) assigned preliminary functions for 13,468 genes, and gene ontology (GO) classified 10,801 (80.2%) of the predicted genes to a function. This was annotated with the BLASTp results and InterproScan [9]. GO annotation described the classified proteins as those required for biological processes (7,434, 55.2%) and molecular functions (9,576, 71.1%), and as cellular components (4,871, 36.2%). Enzyme commission (EC) numbers were obtained for 987 proteins.

117 Gene annotation for *B. antarctica*

To investigate the difference in gene contents between *P. steinenii* and *B. antarctica*, we also annotated the genome of *B. antarctica* with the same methods used for *P. steinenii*. For RNA evidence alignment in MAKER2 annotation pipe lines [6], the reads in various experimental conditions with *B. antarctica* (SRR566981, SRR567289, SRR567164~7, SRR567169~71) were downloaded from SRA databases in NCBI and we assembled the reads into 38,017

contigs with an N50 contig size of 1,799 bp and an average contig size of 913 bp through CLC Genomics Workbench (Ver. 8.0.0).

We matched proteins from P. steinenii to those from six other species for protein evidence. From MAKER2, a total of 11,005 genes were predicted in the *B. antarctica* genome and were used for ortholog analysis.

Repeat analysis and Non-coding RNA

Interspersed repeats were predicted using RepeatMasker (Ver. 3.3.0) with a *de novo* repeat library [7]. A de novo repeat library was constructed using RepeatModeler (Ver. 1.0.3) [10], including the RECON (Ver. 1.07) [10] and RepeatScout (Ver. 1.0.5) [11] software, with default parameters, and tandem repeats including simple repeats, satellites, and low complexity repeats were predicted using TRF [12]. Putative tRNA genes were identified using tRNAscan-SE (Ver. 1.3.1) [13] with option -H. The total coverage of repeat sequences in P. steinenii were up to approximately four-fold from those of repeat sequences in B. antarctica (Table 2), and the percentage of genome was increased approximately three-fold as compared to that of *B. antarctica*. Most statistics of repeats were increased in the library of *P.* steinenii (Table 3). Through tRNAscan-SE, 186 tRNAs were predicted (Table 4).

Table 3. Repeat content in Antarctic midges

	P. stei	nenii	B. antarctica		
	Total	Number	Total	Number	
	coverage	of	coverage	of	
	(bp)	sequences	(bp)	sequences	
Low complexity	404 490	8 661	276 261	8 536	

1 2 2	Simple repe	eats	1 105 449	26 336	36 911	999	
3 4 5	Transposon	elements					
6 7	Class I/LTH	R	289 059	1 075	74 297	336	
8 9 10	Class I/Nor	I-LTR	169 298	675	26 554	128	
11 12 13	Class II/DN	IA elements	216 807	649	8 536	64	
14 15 16	Small RNA		67 503	111	7 165	36	
18	Total		2 252 606	37 507	429 724	10 069	
20 142	2						
22 143 23	B The statist	ics of repeats	of B. antarctic	a are quoted	l from a prev	iously reported	l paper [5].
24 25 144	ł						
26 27 145	5 Table 4. tl	RNA in <i>P. ste</i> l	inenii				
28 29 30	Anticodor	n number					
31 32	Ala	4					
33 34	Ara	13					
35 36	Alg	15					
37 38	Asn	5					
39 40	Asp	5					
41 42	Cys	3					
43 44	Gln	9					
45 46 47	Glu	15					
48 49	Gly	9					
50 51 52	His	9					
52 53 54 55 56	Ile	8					
57 58 59							
60 61							
62 63							
64							

1 2		Leu	13
- 3 4		Lys	7
5 6 7		Met	7
, 8 9		Phe	5
10 11		Pro	7
12 13 14		Pseudo	15
15 16		SeC(e)	1
17 18 19		Ser	13
20 21		Thr	13
22 23 24		Trp	3
24 25 26		Tyr	9
27 28 20		Val	13
29 30 31		sum	186
32 33	146		
34 35 36	147		
37 38	148		
39 40 41			
42 43			
44 45 46			
47 48			
49 50			
51 52			
53 54			
55 56 57			
57 58 59			
60 61			
62 63			
64 65			

149 Ortholog analysis.

Orthologous groups were identified using OrthoMCL (Ver. 2.0.5) [14]. We used the standard parameters and options of OrthoMCL for all steps. In this analysis, coding sequences (CDS) from six insects (D. melanogaster, A. gambiae, A. aegypti, C. quinquefasciatus, B. antarctica, and P. steinenii) were used. In this study, CDS from four genome assemblies (BDGP6 for D. melanogaster, AgamP4 for A. gambiae, AaegL3 for A. aegypti, and CpipJ2 for C. collected quinquefasciatus) were from Ensemble Metazoa (http://metazoa.ensembl.org/index.html) and the CDS from MAKER2 were used for B. antarctica and P. steinenii. Total proteins were categorized into 15,633 groups-4,814 orthologous groups were identified as common to all the six insects, 437 orthologous groups in P. steinenii genes were not identified in any other species, and 349 groups were identified only in the two Antarctic midges (Fig. 1A and Table 5).

Table 5. Shared orthologous gene clusters among six insects—D. melanogaster, A. *gambiae*, A. aegypti, C. quinquefasciatus, B. antarctica, and P. steinenii.

Group	Number	-	Group	Number
A	437		В	192
В	349		BC	28
BC	18		BCD	34
BCD	46		BCDE	130
BCDE	452		BCDEF	682
BCDEF	4 814		BCDF	22
BCDF	84		BCE	9
BCE	24		BCEF	25

1	ABCEF	102	BCF	5
2 3 4	ABCF	8	BD	10
5 6	ABD	9	BDE	6
7 8	ABDE	20	BDEF	31
9 10 11	ABDEF	190	BDF	2
12 13	ABDF	8	BE	6
14 15	ABE	11	BEF	6
16 17	ABEF	37	BF	33
18 19 20	ABF	69	С	638
21 22	AC	71	CD	1 196
23 24	ACD	65	CDE	1 258
25 26	ACDE	158	CDEF	359
27 28 29	ACDEF	410	CDF	50
30 31	ACDF	32	CE	105
32 33	ACE	15	CEF	20
34 35	ACEF	23	CF	31
36 37 38	ACF	4	D	375
39 40	AD	18	DE	114
41 42	ADE	12	DEF	17
43 44	ADEF	22	DF	25
45 46 47	ADF	3	Ε	288
48 49	AE	15	EF	25
50 51	AEF	9	F	2 330
52 53 54	AF	46	Total	15 633
54 55 163 56	A: P. steine	nii, B: B. antar	ctica, C: C. quind	quefasciatus, D

163 A: P. steinenii, B: B. antarctica, C: C. quinquefasciatus, D: A. aegypti, E: A. gambiae, and F:
164 D. melanogaster

166 Gene structure of Orthologous groups

B. antarctica showed a reduction in intron length with very low repeat sequences [5], so we compared intron lengths of orthologous gene among six insects to identify whether the intron length of the gene in P. steinenii was reduced or not. We used the information of gene structures from four genome assemblies (BDGP6 for D. melanogaster, AgamP4 for A. gambiae, AaegL3 for A. aegypti, and CpipJ2 for C. quinquefasciatus) and the information of maker annotation of *B. antarctica* and *P. steinenii*. Among the six insects, the average intron length of *B. antarctica* (302 bp) was reported as the smallest, but that of *P. steinenii* (319 bp) was similar to that of B. antarctica (Fig. 1B). Despite 39 Mbp difference in genome size between B. antarctica and P. steinenii, the average length of gene regions and CDS was also similar, but the average intron number in orthologous genes was highest in P. steinenii (Fig. 1B).

GO enrichment test

We identified which GO terms of 437 orthologous groups were statistically represented versus GO terms of total genes of *P. steinenii* using AgriGO [15]. AgriGO is a web-based tool for GO analysis and we tested GO terms with significant levels of p = 0.05. Complete hierarchies of GO terms for each gene were examined. Eighteen GO terms in biological process, 5 GO terms in cellular component, and 18 GO terms were identified significantly by GO enrichment analysis (Table 6). Enriched GO terms in this test proposed that the proteins associated with unfolded protein response [16] under stress conditions were developed independently. Representative GO terms related with unfolded protein response were RNA
splicing, via endonucleolytic cleavage and ligation (GO:0000394), response to unfolded
protein (GO:0006986), and endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response (GO:0030968)
in biological process.

Table 6. GO terms were statistically overrepresented only in *P. steinenii*.

	GO		number of	number of		
GO ID		Term	target genes	genes in	p-value	FDR
	tree		in term	terms		
GO:0006508	Р	proteolysis	106	632	8.60E-13	2.60E-10
GO:0006397	Р	mRNA processing	32	120	6.80E-10	1.00E-07
GO:0070054	D	mRNA splicing, via endonucleolytic cleavage and	8	8	1.40E.00	1 40E 07
00.0070034	1	ligation	0	0	1.402-07	1.401-07
GO:0016071	Р	mRNA metabolic process	32	130	5.80E-09	4.50E-07
GO:0000394	Р	RNA splicing, via endonucleolytic cleavage and	8	11	1 90E-07	1 10E-05
00.0000000	1	ligation	Ū		1.902 07	1.10E-05
GO:0006986	Р	response to unfolded protein	6	7	1.50E-06	7.60E-05
GO:0019538	Р	protein metabolic process	173	1 506	2.20E-06	9.40E-05
GO:0051789	Р	response to protein stimulus	6	8	5.50E-06	0.00021
GO:0006950	Р	response to stress	50	330	8.00E-06	0.00027
GO:0006468	Р	protein amino acid phosphorylation	42	272	2.40E-05	0.00074
GO:0080135	Р	regulation of cellular response to stress	9	24	4.80E-05	0.0013
GO:0006396	Р	RNA processing	34	210	5.00E-05	0.0013
GO:0051347	Р	positive regulation of transferase activity	8	22	0.00016	0.0031
GO:0033674	Р	positive regulation of kinase activity	8	22	0.00016	0.0031
GO:0045860	Р	positive regulation of protein kinase activity	8	22	0.00016	0.0031
GO:0034620	Р	cellular response to unfolded protein	4	5	0.00017	0.0031
GO:0030968	Р	endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response	4	5	0.00017	0.0031
GO:0042246	Р	tissue regeneration	6	13	0.00024	0.0041
GO:0031463	С	Cul3-RING ubiquitin ligase complex	5	5	2.90E-06	0.00019

1	GO:0031461	С	cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase complex	5	12	0.0014	0.047
2 3	GO:0005789	С	endoplasmic reticulum membrane	11	55	0.0032	0.063
4	GO:0042175	С	nuclear envelope-endoplasmic reticulum network	11	57	0.0042	0.063
5 6 7	GO:0044432	С	endoplasmic reticulum part	11	58	0.0049	0.063
8 9	GO:0004252	F	serine-type endopeptidase activity	76	292	3.70E-20	5.50E-18
10 11	GO:0004540	F	ribonuclease activity	30	54	1.90E-19	1.40E-17
12	GO:0008236	F	serine-type peptidase activity	76	318	6.90E-18	2.50E-16
13 14	GO:0017171	F	serine hydrolase activity	76	318	6.90E-18	2.50E-16
15 16	GO:0004175	F	endopeptidase activity	84	416	5.70E-15	1.70E-13
17	GO:0070011	F	peptidase activity, acting on L-amino acid peptides	103	570	1.60E-14	4.00E-13
18 19	GO:0008233	F	peptidase activity	103	595	2.40E-13	5.10E-12
20	GO:0004518	F	nuclease activity	30	102	1.70E-10	3.10E-09
22	GO:0031072	F	heat shock protein binding	10	17	1.00E-07	1.60E-06
23 24	GO:0004672	F	protein kinase activity	47	300	5.90E-06	8.70E-05
25	GO:0008234	F	cysteine-type peptidase activity	15	59	3.70E-05	0.00049
26 27	GO:0016787	F	hydrolase activity	171	1 580	5.00E-05	0.00061
28 29 30	GO:0016773	F	phosphotransferase activity, alcohol group as acceptor	49	363	0.00018	0.002
31 32	GO:0042802	F	identical protein binding	10	38	0.00052	0.0055
33	GO:0031625	F	ubiquitin protein ligase binding	5	12	0.0014	0.014
35	GO:0005515	F	protein binding	229	2 357	0.0015	0.014
36 37	GO:0016301	F	kinase activity	48	405	0.0032	0.027
38 39	GO:0003676	F	nucleic acid binding	144	1 469	0.0055	0.045

41 42

43 44

45 46 47

48 49

50

194 Likelihood analysis of gene gain and loss

The size of gene families had been changed through evolution [17, 18]. To estimate the average gene expansion/contraction rate and to identify gene families that have undergone significant size changes, we estimated differences in the size of 15,633 orthologs using the program CAFE3.0 (www.bio.indiana.edu/~hahnlab/Software.html) [19]. The ultrametric tree of the species drawn through Timetree [20] was used for the analysis (Fig. 1C). We performed the program using p < 0.05, estimated birth (λ) and death (μ) rates were calculated 14

 $\begin{array}{c} 51 \\ 52 \\ 53 \\ 54 \\ 55 \\ 57 \\ 58 \\ 60 \\ 61 \\ 62 \\ 63 \\ 64 \\ 65 \end{array}$

by using the program LambdaMu with "-s" option. We calculate the number of gene gains and losses on each branch of the tree with "-t" option. Average expansion size of two Antarctic midges were relatively lower than other insects (Fig. 1C), and average expansion size of *D. melanogaster* showed the highest score among six insects. Using p < 0.0001 in family-wide p-values, we expect there to be approximately one significant result by chance and calculated the exact p-values for transitions over every branch. We called individual branches significant at p < 0.005 [21]. We could identify that 3 and 2 gene families were significantly expanded in *P. steinenii* and *B. antarctica*, respectively (Table 7).

A. gambiae * D. melanogaster	&. A. gambiae	A. aegypti	C. quinquefasciatus	2*	1*	B. antarctica	P. steinenii	Family-wide P-values	D. melanogaster	4*	A. gambiae	3*	A. aegypti	C. quinquefasciatus	2*	1*	B. antarctica	P. steinenii	Annotation	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34
0.5 0.509 0.14	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.161	0.073	0.625	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	25 leucine rich membrane protein	35 36 37 38 20
0.5 0.509 0.14	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.161	0.073	0.625	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	32 clip-domain serine protease	40 41 42 43
0.5 0.509 0.14	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.161	0.073	0.875	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	26	98 zinc finger protein	44 45 46 47
0.5 0.509 0.14	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.161	0.073	0	0.625	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	29	0	74 serine protease	48 49 50 51
0.5 0.509 0.14	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.161	0.073	0	0.625	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	26	0	14 leucine rich repeat protein	52 53 PS0114 54 55
((0.5 (0.5 (0.5 (0.5 (0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.161 0.161 0.161 0.161	0.073 0.073 0.073 0.073	0.625 0.875 0 0	0 0 0.625 0.625	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 29 26	40 26 0	32clip-domain proteaseserine32protease98zinc finger protein74serine protease14leucine protein	40 PS0032 41 PS0032 42 43 43 PS0098 44 PS0098 45 PS0074 50 51 52 PS0114 54 55 55 210

Table 7. Gene families were significantly expanded in Antarctic midges

Although B. antarctica is notable for being freeze tolerant in its larval stages [5], the antifreezing protein has not yet been identified from the genome, and the mechanism is unclear. In this report, we present the draft genome and annotation of the Antarctic midge, P. steinenii. The genome of *P. steinenii*, which is only cold tolerant, rather than freeze tolerant, in their larval stage [1, 3], will help to clarify the mechanism for freeze tolerance.

Availability of supporting data

Supporting data are available in the GigaDB database, and the raw data were deposited in the PRJNA284858 (SRX1976250-5).

Declarations:

List of abbreviations

Gbp; giga base pairs, Mbp; mega base pairs; GO, gene ontology; EC, enzyme commission;

CDS, coding sequence; SRA, short read archive

Funding

This study was supported by Korea Polar Research Institute (grants PE16070 and PE16080).

Authors' Contributions

SHK, HGC, HP, and SCS designed the study. SHK, WSJ, HGC collected the samples and performed the experiments, S.C.S, H.P, and J.H.P analyzed the data. All authors participated in the writing of the manuscript.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Genome-wide analysis of protein-coding genes in *P. steinenii*. (A) Venn diagram displaying the overlap in orthologous genes in six insect species. (B) The statistics of gene structure of the six insects. (C) Lineage-specific gene gain and loss among the 6 insects. The numbers in boxes are identifiers for internal branches of the phylogeny. Numbers on each branch denote the number of expansion, remain, and decress. AE denotes average expansion.

б

7

11

15

21

25

240 **References**

- [1] Convey P, Block W. Antarctic Diptera: ecology, physiology and distribution. Eur J Entomol.
 242 1996;93:1–14.
- 8 243 [2] Edwards M, Usher MB. The winged Antarctic midge *Parochlus steinenii* (Gerke)(Diptera:
 9
 10 244 Chironomidae) in the South Shetland Islands. Biol J Linnean Soc. 1985;26(1):83–93.
- 12 245 [3] Shimada K, Ohyama Y, Pan C. Cold-hardiness of the Antarctic winged midge *Parochlus steinenii*13
 14 246 during the active season at King George Island. Polar Biol. 1991;11(5):311–4.
- 16 247 [4] Gnerre S, MacCallum I, Przybylski D, Ribeiro FJ, Burton JN, Walker BJ, et al. High-quality draft
 18 248 assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
 20 249 2011;108(4):1513–8.
- 22 250 [5] Kelley JL, Peyton JT, Fiston-Lavier AS, Teets NM, Yee MC, Johnston JS, et al. Compact genome of
 24 251 the Antarctic midge is likely an adaptation to an extreme environment. Nature Commun. 2014;5.
- 26 252 [6] Cantarel BL, Korf I, Robb SM, Parra G, Ross E, Moore B, et al. MAKER: an easy-to-use annotation
 27
 28 253 pipeline designed for emerging model organism genomes. Genome Res. 2008;18(1):188–96.
- ³⁰ 254 [7] Tarailo-Graovac M, Chen N. Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive elements in genomic sequences.
 ³² 255 Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2009;4.10. 11-14.10. 14.
- 34
35256[8]Korf I. Gene finding in novel genomes. BMC Bioinformatics. 2004;5(1):1.
- ³⁶ 257 [9] Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. Bioinformatics.
 ⁴⁰ 259 2005;21(18):3674–6.
- 42 43 260 [10] Bao Z, Eddy SR. Automated de novo identification of repeat sequence families in sequenced genomes.
 44 45 261 Genome Res. 2002;12(8):1269–76.
- ⁴⁶/₄₇
 ⁴⁶/₄₇
 ⁴⁸/₄₉
 ⁴⁸/₄₉
 ⁴⁸/₄₉
 ⁴⁸/₄₉
 ⁴⁰/₄₀
 ⁴⁰/₄₀
 ⁴⁰/₄₀
 ⁴¹/₄₀
 ⁴¹/₄₀
 ⁴¹/₄₀
 ⁴²/₄₀
 ⁴³/₄₀
 ⁴⁴/₄₀
 ⁴⁴/₄₀
 ⁴⁵/₄₀
 ⁴⁶/₄₀
 ⁴⁶
- 50
51264[12]Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res.52
532651999;27(2):573.
- 54
55266 [13]Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in56
57267genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25(5):955-64.
 - 19

61 62 63

58

- 64
- 65

- 268 [14] Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Roos DS. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes.
 2 3 269 Genome Res.2003;13(9):2178–89.
- 5 270 [15] Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z. agriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community.
 7 271 Nucleic Acids Res. 2010:gkq310.
- 9 272 [16] Chen Y, Brandizzi F. IRE1: ER stress sensor and cell fate executor. Trends Cell Biol.
 1 273 2013;23(11):547–55.
- ³ 274 [17] Lynch M, Conery JS. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. Science.
 ⁵ 275 2000;290(5494):1151-5.
- ⁷ 276 [18] Hahn MW, De Bie T, Stajich JE, Nguyen C, Cristianini N. Estimating the tempo and mode of gene
 ⁹ 277 family evolution from comparative genomic data. Genome Res. 2005;15(8):1153–60.
- 278 [19] De Bie T, Cristianini N, Demuth JP, Hahn MW. CAFE: a computational tool for the study of gene 279 family evolution. Bioinformatics. 2006;22(10):1269–71.
- 280 [20] Hedges SB, Dudley J, Kumar S. TimeTree: a public knowledge-base of divergence times among
 281 organisms. Bioinformatics. 2006;22(23):2971–2.
 - [21] Hahn MW, Han MV, Han S-G. Gene family evolution across 12 Drosophila genomes. PLoS Genet.
 2007;3(11):e197.









July 29, 2016

Dear Editor:

We wish to submit a new manuscript entitled, "Genome sequencing of the winged midge, *Parochlus steinenii*, from the Antarctic Peninsula", to be considered for publication in *GigaScience*.

In the Antarctic, only two species of Chironomidae occur naturally: the wingless midge *Belgica antarctica*, and the winged midge *Parochlus steinenii*. *B. antarctica* is notable for its tolerance to freezing, and its compact genome is thought to be the result of adaptation to an extreme environment. Despite this, an anti-freezing protein has not yet been identified in the genome, and the mechanism of freeze tolerance is unclear.

In this study, we present the annotated, draft genome of the Antarctic midge, *P. steinenii*. *P. steinenii* is cold tolerant but not freeze tolerant in the larval stage, so its genome will help to clarify the mechanism for freeze tolerance when compared with that of *B. antarctica*.

I confirm that all authors have approved the manuscript for submission, and the content of the manuscript has not been published, or submitted for publication, elsewhere.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me, at <u>ssc@kopri.re.kr</u>.

Thank you for considering our manuscript.

Sincerely, Seung Chul Shin Division of Polar Life Sciences Korea Polar Research Institute 26 Songdomirae-ro, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon 21990 South Korea