1	1	MinION <sup>™</sup> nanopore sequencing of environmental metagenomes: a synthetic approach
23	2	
1 2 3 4 5 5	3	Bonnie L. Brown, Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Biology, 1000 W Cary Street,
7	4	Richmond, VA 23284, USA, <u>blbrown@vcu.edu</u>
8 9 0	5	Mick Watson, The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Division of Genetics and Genomics,
1	6	Easter Bush, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK, mick.watson@roslin.ed.ac.uk
2 3 4	7	Samuel S. Minot, One Codex, 165 11th St, San Francisco, CA 94103, USA, sam@onecodex.com
4 5 5	8	Maria C. Rivera, Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Biology, 1000 W Cary Street,
7 3 9	9	Richmond, Virginia 23284, USA, mcrivera@vcu.edu
9 ) 1	10	Rima B. Franklin, Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Biology, 1000 W Cary Street,
23	11	Richmond, Virginia 23284, USA, <u>rbfranklin@vcu.edu</u>
4 5	12	
5 7	13	Corresponding author: Bonnie L. Brown, blbrown@vcu.edu
8 9 0	14	
1 2	15	Abstract
3 4	16	Background: Environmental metagenomic analysis is typically accomplished by assigning
5	17	taxonomy and/or function from whole genome sequencing (WGS) or 16S amplicon sequences.
7 8 2	18	Both of these approaches are limited, however, by read length, among other technical and
) 1	19	biological factors. A nanopore-based sequencing platform, MinION™, produces reads that are
2 3	20	$\geq 1 \times 10^4$ bp in length, potentially providing for more precise assignment, thereby alleviating some
4 5 5 7	21	of the limitations inherent in determining metagenome composition from short reads. We tested
	22	the ability of sequence data produced by MinION (R7.3 flow cells) to correctly assign taxonomy
8 9 0	23	in single bacterial species runs and in three types of low complexity synthetic communities: a
	24	mixture of DNA using equal mass from four species, a community with one relatively rare (1%)
1 2 3 4 5 5	25	and three abundant (33% each) components, and a mixture of genomic DNA from 20 bacterial
5	26	strains of staggered representation. Taxonomic composition of the low-complexity communities
/		

≛

was assessed by analyzing the MinION sequence data with three different bioinformatic approaches : Kraken, MG-RAST, and One Codex.

**Results**: Long read sequences generated from libraries prepared from single strains using the version 5 kit and chemistry, run on the original MinION device, yielded as few as 224 to as many as 3,497 bidirectional high-quality (2D) reads with an average overall study length of 6,000 bp. For the single-strain analyses, assignment of reads to the correct genus by different methods ranged from 53.1% to 99.5%, assignment to the correct species ranged from 23.9% to 99.5%, and the majority of mis-assigned reads were to closely related organisms. A synthetic metagenome sequenced with the same setup yielded 714 high quality 2D reads of approximately 5,500 bp that were up to 98% correctly assigned to the species level. Synthetic metagenome from MinION libraries generated using version 6 kit and chemistry yielded 899-3,497 2D reads with lengths averaging 5,700 bp with up to 98% assignment accuracy at the species-level. The observed community proportions for "equal" and "rare" synthetic libraries were close to the known proportions, deviating from 0.1 – 10% across all tests. For a 20-species mock community with staggered contributions, a sequencing run detected all but 3 species (each included at <0.05% of DNA in the total mixture); 91% of reads were assigned to the correct species, 93% of reads were assigned to the correct family.

**Conclusions**: At the current level of output and sequence quality (just under 4×10<sup>3</sup> 2D reads for a synthetic metagenome), MinION sequencing followed by Kraken or One Codex analysis has the potential to provide rapid and accurate metagenomic analysis where the consortium is comprised of a limited number of taxa. Important considerations noted in this study included: high sensitivity of the MinION platform to the quality of input DNA, high variability of sequencing results across libraries and flow cells, and relatively small numbers of 2D reads per analysis limit. Together, these limited detection of very rare components of the microbial consortia, and would likely limit the utility of MinION for the sequencing of high-complexity metagenomic

communities where thousands of taxa are expected. Furthermore, the limitations of the currently available data analysis tools suggest there is considerable room for improvement in the analytical approaches for the characterization of microbial communities using long reads. Nevertheless, the fact that the accurate taxonomic assignment of high quality reads generated by MinION is approaching 99.5% and, in most cases, the inferred community structure mirrors the known proportions of a synthetic mixture, warrants further exploration of practical application to environmental metagenomics as the platform continues to develop and improve. With further improvement in sequence throughput and error rate reduction, this platform shows great promise for precise real-time analysis of the composition and structure of more complex microbial communities.

#### **Keywords**

MinION<sup>TM</sup>, Oxford Nanopore Technologies, metagenome, whole-genome sequencing, long-read sequencing

### Background

Environmental metagenomics, employing whole genome sequence analysis to identify ecologically and epidemiologically important components of sediments, soils, waters, and surfaces, is rapidly evolving through advances in both hardware and software [1]. Knowledge of the consortia that inhabit these ecosystems allows for better understanding of the organisms and their ecological roles, provides for the development of effective strategies to mitigate ecosystem damage, and facilitates evaluation of the responses of species to environmental change. One common approach in environmental metagenomics involves sequencing and subsequent annotation of whole genome nucleic acid fragments (WGS) extracted directly from environmental samples to discover major microbial members of the ecosystem; if sequenced deeply enough, rare species can be detected [2]. For well-studied members of the microbial community, such metagenomic data also can be used to characterize the functional potential of complex communities.

One technique for characterizing environmental metagenomes is to use short-read high-throughput sequencing followed by mapping the reads to reference genomes. Profiling the taxonomic composition of the community also can be accomplished by the analysis of the distribution of k-mers (e.g., using Kraken or One Codex). Although these methodologies are very powerful due to the depth of sequencing, the capacity to resolve the taxonomy of the community to the species level is limited by read length. One approach to overcome this limitation is to assemble short reads into contigs prior to analysis and annotation. If assembled correctly, the longer sequence lengths of the contigs have a greater chance of accurately identifying the members of the community; however, due to the mixed nature of the samples, such assembly approaches are challenged by many artifacts including chimeric contigs that inappropriately combine sequence reads from multiple species. The high information content of very long reads such as those provided by MinION<sup>™</sup> (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Inc., Oxford, UK) has the potential to overcome some of the limitations of short reads by allowing for longer alignments that potentially can contribute to higher taxonomic specificity, functional characterization, and resolution. Although conceived almost two decades ago [3], nanopore-based whole-molecule sequencing has only recently become available to MinION<sup>TM</sup> Access Programme (MAP) participants for exploration and practical application [4]. Data generated by early access MinION<sup>™</sup> flow cells have been assessed for whole genome sequencing [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], gene expression and transcriptome studies [10, 11, 12], clinical applications such as inferring antibiotic resistance of bacterial strains and the detection of influenza and Ebola virus [13, 14, 15], bacterial and viral serotyping [16], and clinical metagenomes of viral pathogens [17]. Efforts to use this technology to study diverse environmental communities have been limited [18] and there has not been, to our knowledge, any cross-validation of the results or any systematic assessment to determine the best data analysis strategies for nanopore-based environmental metagenomics. To investigate the potential of this platform for broader applications, we performed a set of experiments to quantify the ability of MinION™ long-read sequence data to accurately characterize the taxonomic composition and structure of metagenomes by assessing its performance in the characterization of low complexity synthetic metagenomes.

## 9 Data description

The raw MinION data [19] collected during sequencing by MinKNOW software (versions 0.49.2.9 through 0.51.3.40 b201605171140) were immediately uploaded as FAST5 packets to Metrichor Agent (r7.3 2D basecalling, ver rx-2.22-44717-dg-1.6.1-ch-1.6.3; Mk1 2D base-calling, ver WIMP Bacteria k24 for SQK-MAP006), after which base-called data [19] were returned to the host computer, also in the form of FAST5 files. The programs poRe [20], Poretools [21], and NanoOK [22] were used to extract and characterize the numbers of reads and channels, after which only the 2D reads were stored in FASTQ and FASTA files for downstream analyses. The base-called data sets were scrutinized by methods commonly employed in metagenome analysis of short reads including MG-RAST [23], which assigns taxonomy based on predicted proteins and rRNA genes. The data sets also were analyzed by tools that have been shown to work for long-read data including: (1) WIMP [24], which assigns taxonomy by comparing read sequences against a database of bacteria, (2) Kraken [25], which uses exact alignments of k-mers and indexes more than 5000 genomes and plasmids, (3)One Codex [26], which uses exact k-mer alignment to classify sequences against a reference database of ~40,000 complete microbial genomes (including bacteria, viruses, fungi, protists, and archaea), and (4) by principal components analysis (PCA) based on the frequency of 5-mers in each read followed by annotation of reads with the top BlastN [27] hit (carried out in R [28]). Specific parameters are described in Methods.

## 128 Results

MinION<sup>™</sup> WGS libraries were generated from 1 µg of fresh DNA isolates (see Methods) of separate cultures of two Proteobacteria, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, and two Cyanobacteria, *Microcystis aeruginosa*, and *Synechococcus elongatus*, and from two different DNA mixtures of these four species. One mixture combined an equal mass of genomic DNA (gDNA) from each of the four species. The other mixture was created by combining 33% mass of gDNA from each of three species and only 1% of gDNA mass from the other species. The preparation of these libraries

yielded sufficient Pre-sequencing Mix for multiple loads of each flow cell. An additional library was 2 136 derived from a commercially prepared 20-species mock community. Because only 100 ng of material was provided by the supplier, genome pre-amplification using  $\Phi 29$  polymerase was required to generate sufficient mass of DNA to create the sequencing library (see Methods).

To assess the purity of the cultures used in this study, we used the Sanger method to sequence full-length (~1500 bp) 16S amplicons from each (Table 1). Inspection of those data revealed varying degrees of genomic uniqueness at the species level. For the strain of M. aeruginosa used in this study, the top 16S hit had a low sequence identity to any reference sequence in the database (90%). In contrast, the input strain of S. elongatus was 99% identical to two different species of Synechococcus (S. elongatus and S. UTEX 2973). In addition, whole-genome alignment indicated that the input strain of *P. fluorescens* was highly similar to multiple species of *Pseudomonas*. However, all of the input organisms were distinct at the genus level, thus that taxonomic level was used for downstream analysis of the single-species and 'Equal' and 'Rare' synthetic samples.

MinION sequencing of the single-species libraries generated up to  $31 \times 10^3$  reads (0.2–1.1×10<sup>3</sup> 2D reads that passed the quality filter) ranging from as short as 5 bp to as long as  $267 \times 10^3$  bp (data include both 2D pass and fail reads), and the resulting average length of single-species read subjected to downstream analysis was 6×10<sup>3</sup> bp. Using MG-RAST, Kraken, and One Codex, up to 99.5% of the high quality 2D reads obtained from the sequencing of the single-species libraries of E. coli, P. fluorescens, S. elongatus, and M. aeruginosa were taxonomically assigned to the corresponding input taxa (Table 3). The least accurate assignments were for *M. aeruginosa*, where at best 58% of 2D reads were correctly assigned to the level of species, although more than half of the mis-assigned reads were to closely related cyanobacteria genera and other prokaryotes known to break down microcystin [29] (data not shown). All three methods of analysis assigned sequence reads of the P. fluorescens single-species library to Stenotrophomonas. Over all of these analyses, MG-RAST generally showed the lowest rate of correct taxonomic assignment and, although One Codex and Kraken provided similar results, Kraken showed a lower rate of correct assignment for *M. aeruginosa* (85%) compared to One Codex (95%).

162 In the second round of validation, using three synthetic communities containing mixtures of the previously described species,  $6-12 \times 10^3$  reads (0.7-1.3×10<sup>3</sup> 2D reads) were generated per run, ranging 164 in length from  $0.6-56.8 \times 10^3$  bp (Table 2). For the two communities comprised of equal DNA contribution from four bacteria (25% each species), WGS proportions accurately aligned with the 166 known proportions 87-99% of the time when analyzed using Kraken or One Codex and 65-85% 167 using MG-RAST (Table 3). Specifically, taxonomic assignment of reads obtained from the 168 sequencing of the equal mixture of four species (25% of each) using version 5 chemistry and run on an original MinION device identified the following taxa: 27% E. coli, 16% M. aeruginosa, 30% P. fluorescens, 21% S. elongatus, 3% Enterobacteriaceae, and 3% misclassified. In a subsequent test (version 6 chemistry), classification results for the equal mixture were: 26% E. coli, 18% M. 172 aeruginosa, 30% P. fluorescens, 22% S. elongatus, and 3% Enterobacteriaceae, and 1% misclassified (Figure 1). For the community with three common (33% of each) and one rare (1%) representative, classifications were: 33% E. coli, 34% P. fluorescens, 29% S. elongatus, 1% M. aeurginosa, 2% misclassified (a third of those latter category of reads were assigned to Shigella). For both the 176 "Equal" and "Rare" community data sets, the 5-mer frequency profiles were computed and visualized using the top BlastN hit for each full read, revealing that 5-mer profiles for these long-read sequences were shared within species. This was reflected in the 5-mer frequency analysis which revealed distinct per-species clusters in the PCA plots (Figure 2).

In the final round of testing, the mock microbial community with 20 species included in "staggered" proportions (i.e., 1,000 to 1,000,000 16S rRNA operon copies per organism per  $\mu$ L of material supplied by BEI Resources, Catalog # HM-783D) yielded 14.7×10<sup>3</sup> reads (3.5×10<sup>3</sup> 2D reads) ranging in length from 0.5–20.9×10<sup>3</sup> bp, sufficient to detect all of the high and moderate abundance species, but the sequencing run failed to detect 3 of 5 species that were included at very low mass (0.6–1.0 pg/ $\mu$ L of material supplied; Table 4). For that run, misclassifications accounted for only 0.2% of read assignments but greatly overrepresented in the results for this run were reads assigned to *E. coli* (included as 20% of DNA but observed as 46-52% of read assignments), whereas greatly underrepresented in the results were reads assigned to *R. sphaeroides*, which was putatively included

as 41% of DNA mass but accounted for only 1% of read assignments (Figure 3). Although 75% of
the read assignments made by WIMP were to genera known to comprise the mock community, 93%
of the read assignments made by One Codex matched the correct genera.

**Table 1** Identity of single-species used in this study as determined by Sanger sequencing of

16S rDNA amplicons from different DNA preparations of each species.

**Final sequence** 

Sequence matches in BlastN

Culture a Length (bp) % Organism Escherichia coli 1440-1696 ª E. coli numerous strains Microcystis aeruginosa M. aeruginosa NIES-843 and NIEHS-2549, and M. panniformis FACHB-1757 Pseudomonas fluorescens P. fluorescens A506 and LBUM223 1478-1570 Synechococcus elongatus S. elongatus PCC 7942, PCC 6301, UTEX 2973 1431-1719 <sup>a</sup> Multiple DNA preparations from bacterial cultures were used during the progress of the study, and each was tested, vielding for each strain slightly different final 16S sequence lengths, but the same BLAST matches. Table 2 Details of MinION<sup>™</sup> whole genome sequencing output for single-species and synthetic mixtures. Sequencing experiments used the MinION device and new R7.3 flow cells. Libraries were prepared with kit SQK-MAP005 as indicated by (5) and SQK-MAP006 chemistry, indicated by (6). Columns relating to "2D" indicate bi-directional reads with quality above Q9.

Experiment (chemistry)	Pores with reads	Run time (hr) ª	Total bp (Mbp)	Total reads	Number of 2D pass reads	Mean 2D read length (bp)	MG-RAST accession	ENA accession
Single species								
E. coli <sup>(5)</sup>	430	42	83.6	26590	1112	5274	4629367.3	ERR1713483
P. fluorescens <sup>(5)</sup>	453	48	119.4	25228	777	7784	4629445.3	ERR1713487

3	<b>J</b>		-			
	Mixtures					
	Equal <sup>(5)</sup>	129	24	26.5	10592	714
	Equal <sup>(6)</sup>	437	44	77.1	12174	1358
	Rare <sup>(6)</sup>	449	18	39.0	6728	899
	Staggered <sup>(6)</sup>	300	33	39.0	14711	3497
	a Runs were set to e					
			o nours a			
	until the 2D pass ra	te was greatly	reduced	1.		
	Table 3Taxe	onomic as	ssignm	ent accu	racy of r	netageno
	metho	ods.				
				Accuracy of	assignment	to known g
	Experiment			MG-RAST		Kraken
	Single species					
	E. coli <sup>(5)</sup>			74.4 ª	1	99.5
	P. fluorescen	s <sup>(5)</sup>		84.9 <sup>k</sup>	)	84.6 <sup>b</sup>
	M. aeruginos	a <sup>(5)</sup>		53.1		85.8
	S. elongatus	(5)		87.9	)	98.1
	Mixtures					
	Equal <sup>(5)</sup>			65.0 <sup>t</sup>	)	97.6
	Equal (6)			85.9	)	98.0
	Rare (6)			92.9	)	99.1
	a 15% of reads as	signed to Shig	gella			
	<sup>b</sup> 7-15% of reads a	ssigned to St	tenotroph	omonas		
)	° 7% of reads assi	•	•			
	Accuracy was cal	culated as th	ne propo	rtion of read	ds assigned	to the know
	of the total numbe	er reads give	n anv as	signment a	at that rank	
			any a			
3						
	Table 4   Know	n composi	tion of	20-specie	es mock s	taggered
	result	s for WIMI	P and O	ne Codex	"nd" not	detected
5						
			peron	Quantity	% DNA in	WIMP
	Organism		unt/0Lª	pg/0L <sup>b</sup>	template °	
					-	•

M. aeruginosa (5)

S. elongatus (5)

1 2

65

205	<sup>a</sup> Runs were set to either 24 or 48 hours and were allowed to continue until either sufficient sequence data were collected or
206	until the 2D pass rate was greatly reduced.
207	
208	Table 3 Taxonomic assignment accuracy of metagenomic reads across three analysis
209	methods.
	Accuracy of assignment to known genus (%)

377

367

	Accuracy of assignment to known genus (%)				
Experiment	MG-RAST	Kraken	One Codex		
Single species					
E. coli <sup>(5)</sup>	74.4 a	99.5	98.7		
P. fluorescens <sup>(5)</sup>	84.9 <sup>b</sup>	84.6 <sup>b</sup>	84.2 <sup>b</sup>		
M. aeruginosa <sup>(5)</sup>	53.1	85.8	95.1		
S. elongatus <sup>(5)</sup>	87.9	98.1	97.6		
Mixtures					
Equal <sup>(5)</sup>	65.0 <sup>b</sup>	97.6	87.4 °		
Equal (6)	85.9	98.0	98.7		
Rare (6)	92.9	99.1	98.7		

40.8

18.3

18

23

22760

6163

569

224

5676

5101

5527

5202

6194

2612

4629369.3

4629381.3

4614572.3

4685746.3

4685745.3

4705090.3

mock staggered community compared with analysis

WIMP

% genus

One

Codex

% species

One

Codex

% genus

ERR1713486

ERR1713489

ERR1713484

ERR1713485

ERR1713488

ERR1713490

assigned to the known input organism at the genus level out

% species

Acinetobacter baumannii	10,000	8.2	0.24	0.14	0.14	0.29	0.29
Actinomyces odontolyticus	1,000	1	0.03	nd	nd	nd	no
Bacillus cereus	100,000	45	1.33	0.53	0.53	0.66	0.75
Bacteroides vulgatus	1,000	0.8	0.02	0.1	0.1	0.07	0.12
Clostridium beijerinckii	100,000	44	1.30	0.19	0.19	0.29	0.35
Deinococcus radiodurans	1,000	1	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06
Enterococcus faecalis	1,000	0.7	0.02	nd	nd	nd	nc
Escherichia coli	1,000,000	680	20.04	45.61	45.66	52.15	52.52
Helicobacter pylori	10,000	8.6	0.25	1.68	1.68	3.43	2.72
Lactobacillus gasseri	10,000	3.2	0.09	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.23
Listeria monocytogenes	10,000	5	0.15	0.38	0.38	0.58	0.52
Neisseria meningitidis	10,000	5.8	0.17	0.24	0.24	0.44	0.41
Propionibacterium acnes	10,000	8.8	0.26	0.48	0.48	0.07	0.64
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	100,000	160	4.71	1.25	1.25	3.07	3.18
Rhodobacter sphaeroides	1,000,000	1,400	41.25	1.01	1.01	1.46	1.27
Staphylococcus aureus	100,000	59	1.74	0.38	3.88	1.31	40.74
Staphylococcus epidermidis	1,000,000	510	15.03	7.67	7.72	6.65	12.74
Streptococcus agalactiae	100,000	32	0.94	0.96	1.01	0.95	
Streptococcus mutans	1,000,000	420	12.38	10.17	10.17	19.50	16.97
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1,000	0.6	0.02	nd	nd	nd	
Other		0	0	29.02 d	25.37 e	8.77 f	7.24
Correct assignments				70.98	74.63	91.23	92.76

<sup>a</sup> Theoretical copy number provided by BEI Resources certificate of analysis

<sup>b</sup> gDNA content provided by BEI Resources certificate of analysis

<sup>c</sup> Proportion of individual species within the mock community.

<sup>d</sup> Of these, 12.7% were correctly assigned to genus, 86.4% were Enterobacteriaceae, and only 0.7% were misclassifications.

e Of these, 86.4% were Enterobacteriaceae and only 0.7% were misclassified.

<sup>f</sup>Of these, 56.8% were Shigella.

<sup>50</sup> 223 <sup>9</sup> Of these, 63.3% were species of Escherichia and Shigella.

### 54 225 Discussion

<sup>56</sup> 226 Sequencing of whole genome libraries can enhance environmental metagenomic analysis by 59 227 providing more precise identification of the composition and structure of the community than is

228 possible by amplicon sequencing of marker genes (e.g., 16S) [2, 30]. Typical environmental samples contain tens of thousands to millions of organisms, yet the resulting metagenomes almost certainly 230 underrepresent this diversity and, often due to short-read strategy, the resulting data sets can be 231 confidently assigned only to higher taxonomic levels [31, 32]. One strategy to improve the accuracy 232 of taxonomic assignment is to carefully assemble metagenomic data, which despite the potential for chimeric contig formation has been shown to greatly enhance species call correctness [33]. However, even with enhanced sequencing and bioinformatic strategies, many public database accessions contain 235 sequences that are not innate to the species that was analyzed; these include symbionts, parasites, pathogens, and sequencing linkers/primers/adapters (unbeknownst to those who have accessed the data) that can lead to false discovery rates [34]. Contaminated and mis-annotated reference sequences can affect environmental metagenome analyses that are derived from short reads to a greater extent 239 than would be expected from analyses based on long reads. Long reads can circumvent these issues [31, 35, 36], so long as much of the genome for each component organism is represented in the sequencing library and there are few errors in the sequences and the reference database. The results reported here allow us to consider the potential utility of MinION long read sequencing and 243 subsequent bioinformatic analysis for shotgun environmental metagenomics.

The primary challenge of microbial metagenomic sequence analysis using long reads is the comparison of input sequences against a large reference database of whole genomes from bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc. Although a number of algorithms have been developed for alignment of long, error-prone reads [37, 38], those sensitive algorithms are not optimized for the challenge of comparison against the large and ever-expanding universe of microbial genomes. The bioinformatic methods used in this analysis, MG-RAST, Kraken, One Codex, and WIMP, each compare the input reads against their own more concise reference databases, providing an assignment for the most likely origin of each individual sequence.

We found that for low complexity synthetic communities, long reads generated by MinION provided sufficiently precise sequence data to assign organisms represented at or above 1%. In fact, two out of five species included at <0.05% in a mock community (and 9 out of 9 species included at 0.05-1.00%) were detected. Furthermore, for un-amplified whole genome preparations, read assignments were observed to be within about 10% of their proportional occurrence in the metagenome. Ultimately, we saw that although the reads were longer, because the sequence coverage was not as deep, the improvement in specificity of assignment was offset by a reduction in the sensitivity, and some of the genomes present at low concentration were not detected.

By comparing the output of multiple analysis methods, we were able to gain insight into the performance of various bioinformatic approaches for analyzing error-prone MinION reads. Overall, MG-RAST provided the lowest level of accuracy and detected multiple organisms that were not a part 263 of the known input set. This is not surprising given that MG-RAST is optimized for analyzing shortread, low-error data. Kraken and One Codex performed similarly for the single-species samples except in the case of *M. aeruginosa*, in which case One Codex correctly identified this taxon at a higher rate than Kraken (95% vs. 85%). For the equal mixture with the version 5 chemistry, Kraken 267 showed a higher rate of correct assignment than One Codex (97.6% vs 87.4%), although the two methods were generally comparable (actually One Codex was slightly more accurate) for the equal mixture when using version 6 of the MinION chemistry. An unexpected finding of this study was the detection by all three methods of Stenotrophomonas in the P. fluorescens single-species sample. Interestingly, Stenotrophomonas was classified as Pseudomonas when it was first discovered, based on similar metabolic capabilities, and was later moved to its own genus based on molecular data [39]. Our 16S sequences derived from laboratory cultures used in this study did not identify Stenotrophomonas, suggesting that its identification in the mixed metagenomes is not a result due to a contaminant but rather, an artifact caused by assigning taxonomy to reads with multiple sequencing errors. Also contributing to its identification is the fact that both Pseudomonas and Stenotrophomonas share functional phenotypic characteristics, indicating they may share homologous genes coding for those characteristics. The sharing of homologous genes, similar GC contents (both species genomes have 66% GC), and the higher error rate are the most likely factors responsible for 280 the assignment of Pseudomonas sequence reads to Stenotrophomonas.

The fact that the estimated proportions of community members in synthetic mixtures were not precise despite careful DNA quantitation could indicate differences across library preparation (all libraries were prepared by BLB), reagent kits, flow cells, MinKNOW control scripts, the quality of DNAs used to create the synthetic metagenomes, and the methods used for quantification (Qubit for the home-grown mixtures and UV spectrophotometry for the 20-species mixture). Because DNA quality is of paramount importance for MinION sequencing, PreCR (used in the version 5 protocol) or FFPE Repair Mix (used in the version 6 protocol) was included in the preparation of all libraries. The potential for profound effects related to library preparation recently was examined by Jones and collaborators [30], leading to the recommendation that studies of complex metagenomes should be based on PCR-free approaches. The current data indicate that the MinION lends itself well to a PCR-free approach but its utility for the analysis of complex metagenomes is presently limited by the small number of reads that pass the quality filtering process. The current study also provides data for considering alternatives to PCR for amplification, in this case GenomiPhi<sup>TM</sup>, which was used to generate sufficient DNA for one library in the current study ("Staggered"). This method is optimized for linear DNA and was intended to generate unbiased copies of the 20-species genomes. Nevertheless, the  $\Phi 29$  pre-amplification step is one possible reason for the overrepresentation of E. coli and underrepresentation of R. sphaeroides in the sequencing of the 20 species mock community. Also, a consequence of  $\Phi 29$  pre-amplification combined with putative differences in DNA quality, chimeric amplicons (known to occur with  $\Phi 29$  amplification of microbial communities [40]) could have been formed predominantly from higher quality E. coli DNA re-priming itself [41] leading to overrepresentation of the *E. coli* component. Notably, a novel low input DNA approach recently reported [42] could enhance MinION analyses of samples with low DNA yields. Although the preamplification step is the most likely culprit, an additional effect that could contribute to incongruence of known and estimated proportions in the 20 species mock community is that organisms for which there are many accessions in the public databases provide for more precise classification (e.g., NCBI has more than  $6 \times 10^5$  E. coli complete genome accessions) and that vice versa, organisms with relatively few accessions (e.g., NCBI has only 116 R. sphaeroides complete genome accessions) result in less precise classification.

309 Despite the rather small number of 2D reads that were observed to pass the quality filter across all310 MinION runs, there was a strong biological signal in the data (Figure 2). Thus, as investigators have

found MinION useful for single genome introspection [6, 9, 15], 16S and other amplicon resolution [16, 43], cDNA sequencing [11], and assembly [5, 44, 45], our findings imply that this platform has immediate utility for analysis of very simple mixtures (e.g., serum testing for pathogens). Over the 18-month period of MinION use for this set of experiments, 2D pass rates increased from 2% to 24%. Because the rate of improvement is concurrent with Moore's Law [46], we speculate that future improvements will make the MinION platform very useful in the analysis of complex metagenomic samples in the near future. The cloud-based WIMP base-calling and taxon prediction program associated with the device provides a method of real-time analysis of metagenomic data. However, because we had no control over the comparative database, the cloud implementation of WIMP was far less flexible for environmental metagenomic analysis than Kraken or One Codex, and we note that use of an incomplete database can lead to false positives and negatives. By the time of submission of this study, the R7.3 flow cells and sequencing chemistry were no longer available. Subsequent versions of the platform have shown dramatically lower error and higher throughput. This study nevertheless provides a baseline for considering nanopore metagenomics and provides an impetus for further development of MinION output and data analysis, specifically with regard to evaluation of the informative value of 1D reads, scrutiny of reference data, alternative alignment algorithms, and more sophisticated k-mer analyses. As the quality rate for this platform improves, the potential will increase for MinION to accurately resolve the diversity and composition of many of the taxa in an environmental metagenome.

# 45 331 Methods

To set a baseline of expectations for MinION metagenomic analysis, we performed single-species sequencing runs with four organisms. Cell cultures at log phase were harvested by spinning 15 mL culture tubes at  $3,000 \times g$  for 30 min, and DNA was isolated using the PowerSoil DNA kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nucleic acid quality and quantity were checked via Nanodrop 2000 and Qubit, whereafter 1 µg of DNA was used to prepare sequencing libraries. For the first two mixtures, equal portions of DNAs from all four organisms (250 ng each) 338 were used ("equal") and, for the third mixture ("rare"), equivalent amounts of three of the species were used (330 ng each) and *M. aeruginosa* was included as only 1% of the mixture (10 ng). An 340 additional preparation of a mock community containing DNA of 20 bacterial species in staggered 341 amounts was obtained from a commercial source (Catalog # HM-783D, BEI Resources, ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). This mock community preparation was chosen because it previously has been used to test the ability of the R7.3 version MinION to study microbial diversity via 16S amplicon 344 approach [43]. However, because sequencing libraries for this study required 1  $\mu$ g of DNA, to generate sufficient starting material 1  $\mu$ L of the mock community sample (5.5 ng of template, the amount recommended by the supplier for a typical reaction) was pre-amplified using  $\Phi 29$  enzyme 347 from the GenomiPhi V3 kit (25-6601-24, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. This version of  $\Phi 29$  enzyme was chosen for isothermal pre-amplification due to the high-fidelity proof-reading aspects of its replication process [47].

The composition of each microbial mixture was calculated on the basis of the relative DNA mass contributed from each organism. Due to the random nature of shotgun sequencing, this library construction strategy is expected to result in a relative proportion of reads sequenced from each organism that corresponds to the relative input mass. In other words, the relative genome size of each organism should not have impacted the relative proportion of reads recovered from each organism.

Sequencing libraries were prepared for R7.3 flow cells run on an original MinION device using the Genomic DNA Sequencing Kit SQK–MAP005 (version 5 chemistry) according to the base protocol from Oxford Nanopore with slight modifications [48] and for flow cells run using the Nanopore Sequencing Kit SQK–MAP006 (version 6 chemistry) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The steps for library SQK–MAP005 preparation included in this order: shearing 1 µg in a Covaris g-TUBE (Covaris, Inc., Woburn, MA, USA) at 2,000 × g for 2 min, treatment with PreCR (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA), cleanup with 1X AMPure beads (Agencourt, Beckman Coulter, Brea CA, USA), end-repair with NEBNext End Repair Module (New England Biolabs), cleanup with 0.5X AMPure beads, dA-tailing with NEBNext dA-Tailing Module (New England Biolabs), ligation to a cocktail of both the leader and hairpin sequencing adapters (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) using Blunt TA Ligase (New England Biolabs), cleanup using his-tag Dynabeads (Life Technologies, City, State, USA), and recovery of the pre-sequencing mix in 25 µL of Elution Buffer (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). After priming the flow cell with EP solution according to the manufacturer's recommendations, an initial 6 µL aliquot of the pre-sequencing mix (at 10-20 ng/µL) was combined with 141 µL EP Solution and 3 µL Fuel Mix and applied to the flow cell. Thereafter, at 6-8 hr intervals, additional pre-sequencing mix aliquots (held on ice) combined with EP Solution and Fuel Mix were added to the flow cell at times roughly coinciding with reprogrammed pore "remux," which is a process that adjusts the bias voltage and mux channels to maximize yield performance. Modified scripts (J. Tyson, pers. comm.) caused the MinION device to perform four remux steps at 8 h intervals to maintain regular increases in data (Figure 4).

Steps for library SQK–MAP006 preparation included in this order: shearing in a Covaris g-TUBE (Covaris, Inc., Woburn, MA, USA) at 2,000 × g for 2 min, treatment with PreCR (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA), cleanup with 1X AMPure beads (Agencourt, Beckman Coulter, Brea CA, USA), combined end-repair and dA-tailing with NEBNext UltraII End Repair/dA-Tailing Module (New England Biolabs), cleanup with 1X AMPure beads, ligation to a cocktail of both the leader and hairpin sequencing adapters (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) using Blunt TA Ligase (New England Biolabs), addition of a tether to the hairpin segment, cleanup using MyOne Streptavidin C1 Beads (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and recovery of the pre-sequencing mix in 25 µL of Elution Buffer (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). After priming the flow cell with running buffer and fuel according to the manufacturer's recommendations, an initial 6  $\mu$ L aliquot of the pre-sequencing mix (at 10-20 ng/ $\mu$ L) was combined with 75  $\mu$ L Running Buffer, 65  $\mu$ L water, and  $4 \mu L$  Fuel Mix and applied to the flow cell. Thereafter, at 8 hr intervals, additional pre-sequencing mix aliquots (held on ice) were combined with Running Buffer and Fuel Mix and added to the flow cell at times roughly coinciding with re-programmed pore remux (modified scripts from J. Tyson, pers. comm.) Modified remux scripts were not used for the final MinION run (Staggered community analysis) because that run was controlled by a new version of MinKNOW.

Whole genome sequence data (2D FASTQ) from the MinION R7.3 flow cells were accessed on the MG-RAST server [23] and annotated based on their predicted proteins and rRNA genes using the BLAT annotation algorithm [49] against the M5NR protein Db, screened to remove any sequences matching *H. sapiens* (none found), and without dereplication or dynamic trimming. Although optimized for short read data, the MG-RAST tools were implemented because they allow query of a suite of comprehensive nonredundant genetic databases and because this server provides a means to share both raw data and computational results. Raw read counts were later accessed from MG-RAST using the API endpoint for organism summaries. The recommended parameters "hit type=single", "source=RefSeq", and "evalue=15" were used to generate the appropriate read-level abundance information. The same read sets (2D FASTA) also were analyzed by Kraken [25] using the default kmer size, minimizers, and other parameters, and accessing a local database created from archaea, bacteria, fungi, virus, protozoa, human, and invertebrate genomes. The Kraken tool was implemented because it is much faster than MG-RAST and allowed use of a smaller, more targeted reference database. The results were translated (kraken-translate) and summarized (kraken-report) to provide full taxonomic names for each classified sequence. Metagenomic analysis using One Codex was performed by uploading the 2D FASTQ data to the One Codex platform at https://app.onecodex.com. This cloud-based k-mer method was selected because it is reportedly more accurate than either the MG-RAST or the Kraken tools and because like MG-RAST, it provides for community access to the data and analytical results. Because of the high error rate of the R7.3 version MinION nucleotide data, the unfiltered One Codex results were used for this analysis, which do not include an automated error-filtering step. The One Codex read-level classification results were accessed by selecting the "unfiltered" option in the web-based results display and downloading a data table for each sample to generate appropriate read-level abundance information for tabulation.

Comparative data sets were generated for each of the four single species templates using full length ~1500 bp Sanger sequencing of a 16S amplicons [50]. Reads from the 16S analysis were subjected to BlastN for taxonomic assignment.

### Figure 1 Result of "What's in my pot" analysis of a mixture with equal DNA mass from four bacterial strains.

Rendering of real-time analysis using WIMP [20] of whole genome sequences from a synthetic mixture prepared from equal DNA quantities of four cultured microbe species (experiment "Equal <sup>(6)</sup>" in Tables 1 and 2) and run on the MinION<sup>TM</sup> sequencing platform. Arc angle is proportional to the number of reads assigned to the indicated species. Colors (scale at bottom of diagram) refer to the classification score threshold (for this analysis the threshold for inclusion was 0.01).

# Figure 2 Principal component analysis of normalized 5-mer frequency (i.e., percentage) within each MinION<sup>TM</sup> read for a mixture with equal DNA mass from four bacterial strains and a mixture with one rare component.

A: Sequencing run with equal DNA mass from four species. B: sequencing run with three equally represented (33% DNA mass each) and one rare (1% DNA mass) species included in the DNA pool. "none": read had no BlastN hits. "other": read had BlastN hits but not one of the four species included in the mix.

# Figure 3 Log abundance of reads assigned from staggered mixture.

DNA of 20 species mixed in various proportions (BEI Resources, ATCC, HM-783D, operon counts  $\mu L^{-1}$  in original mixture indicated along bottom margin of bars) was pre-amplified with  $\Phi 29$  polymerase prior to library preparation and sequenced with MinION<sup>TM</sup> R7.3 flow cells. The 2D reads that passed quality filtering were assigned to taxa using Kraken. Colored bars are species included in the mix whereas gray bars indicate species detected but not included in the original DNA mixture.

Figure 4 Read production using a MinION<sup>™</sup> device and an R7.3 flow cell.

1	445	Illustration of reads collected from a synthetic metagenome made with equal DNA mass from
2 3	446	four microbias species and a library prepared using SQK-MAP006 kit. Arrows indicate
4 5 6	447	approximate times when additional aliquots of library and fuel were added.
	448	
9 10 11	449	Availability and requirements
12 13	450	Project name: Experimental Metagenome on MinION
14 15 16	451	• Project home page: https://github.com/gigascience/paper link will be here.
	452	• Operating system: Unix
	453	• Programming language: Bash and R
	454	• Other requirements: Unix
24 25 26	455	• License: N/A
27 28	456	
29 30 31	457	Availability of supporting data
32 33	458	The datasets supporting the results of this article are available in the GigaDB repository [19],
34 35 36	459	on the MG-RAST server 4629367.3, 4629445.3, 4629369.3, 4629381.3, 4614572.3,
	460	4685746.3, 4685745.3, 4705090.3, and at the European Nucleotide Archive as primary
40	461	accessions PRJEB8672 and PRJEB8716. One Codex results are available at
41 42 43	462	https://app.onecodex.com/projects/bb_minion_env.
45	463	
46 47 48	464	Competing interests
49 50	465	BLB, MW, MCR, and RBF are enrolled in the Oxford Nanopore MinION <sup>TM</sup> Access
51 52 53	466	Programme (MAP) and received free materials for this research. SSM is an employee of One
54 55	467	Codex.
56 57 58	468	
	469	Authors' contributions
61 62		
63 64		
65		

BLB conceived of the study, performed the DNA extraction and sequencing, directed the
data analysis, and drafted the manuscript. MW provided bioinformatic analyses and
statistical analyses. MCR participated in study design, sequence alignment, and
bioinformatic analysis. RBF participated in study design, sequencing, data analysis, and
manuscript preparation. SSM performed some of the bioinformatic analyses and data
interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# 7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Virginia Commonwealth University Department of Biology (BLB, MCR, RBF), and by GenEco, LLC, Richmond, Virginia to BLB. Funding for MW was from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council including Institute Strategic Programme and National Capability grants (BBSRC; BBS/E/D/20310000, BB/J004243/1, BB/M020037/1). The authors acknowledge M. Kensey Barker (VCU) for assistance with culturing bacteria. John Tyson (UBC) provided runtime plots and wrote the python scripts used to control the MinION device during the run. Hugh Eaves (VCU) provided programming assistance. Sarah Highlander (Venter Inst.) provided advice on determining DNA concentration. Michael Micorescu (ONT) provided assistance with Kraken. Arwyn Edwards and Kevin Keegan provided thorough review and suggestions for improvement of the manuscript. The following reagent was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH as part of the Human Microbiome Project: Genomic DNA from Microbial Mock Community B (Staggered, Low Concentration), v5.2L, for 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing, HM-783D. List of abbreviations MAP: MinION<sup>TM</sup> Access Programme

2D: refers to sequences where both the template and the complement were completed (bidirectional) and passed the Metrichor quality threshold (Q9) PCA: principal components analysis gDNA: genomic DNA isolates from putatively pure cultures of bacterial strains References 1. Mendoza MLZ, T Sicheritz-Ponten, MTP Gilbert. Environmental genes and genomes: understanding the differences and challenges in the approaches and software for their analyses. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 2015, 1-14. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbv001 2. Thomas T, Gilbert J, Meyer F. Metagenomics – a guide from sampling to data analysis. Microb Inform Experim. 2012;2:3. 3. Kasianowicz JJ, Brandin E, Branton D, Deamer DW. Characterization of individual polynucleotide molecules using a membrane channel. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1996;93:13770-73. 4. Eisenstein M. Oxford Nanopore announcement sets sequencing sector abuzz. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30:295-6. 5. Loman NJ, Quick J, Simpson JT. A complete bacterial genome assembled de novo using only nanopore sequencing data. Nat Methods. 2015;12:733-5. 6. Goodwin S, Gurtowski J, Ethe-Sayers S, Deshpande P, Schatz M, McCombie WR. Oxford Nanopore sequencing and de novo assembly of a eukaryotic genome. Genome Res. 2015a;25:1-7. 7. Risse J, Thomson M, Blakely G, Koutsovoulos G, Blaxter M, Watson M. A single chromosome assembly of Bacteroides fragilis strain BE1 from Illumina and MinION nanopore sequencing data. GigaScience 2015;4:60.

518	8. Quick J, Ashton P, Calus S, Chatt C, Gossain S, et al. Rapid draft sequencing and real-time
519	nanopore sequencing in a hospital outbreak of Salmonella. Genome Biol. 2015;16:114.
520	9. Madoui M-A, Engelen S, Cruaud C, Belser C, Bertrand L, et al. Genome assembly using nanopore-
521	guided long and error-free DNA reads. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:327.
522	10. Mongan AE, Yusuf I, Wahid I, Hatta M. The evaluation on molecular techniques of reverse
523	transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP), reverse transcription
524	polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and their diagnostic results on MinION <sup>™</sup> nanopore
525	sequencer for the detection of dengue virus serotypes. Am J Microbiol Res. 2015;3:118-24.
526	11. Hargreaves AD, Mulley JF. Snake venom gland cDNA sequencing using the Oxford nanopore
527	MinION portable DNA sequencer. PeerJ. 2015 Nov 24;3:e1441. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1441.
528	eCollection 2015.
529	12. Bolisetty MT, Rajadinakaran G, Graveley BR. Determining exon connectivity in complex
530	mRNAs by nanopore sequencing. Genome Biol. 2015;16:204.
531	13. Cao MD, Ganesamoorthy D, Elliott A, Zhang H, Cooper M, Coin L. Streaming algorithms for
532	identification of pathogens and antibiotic resistance potential from real-time MinION
533	sequencing. bioRxiv 2015; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/019356.
534	14. Judge K, Harris SR, Reuter S, Parkhill J, Peacock SH. Early insights into the potential of the
535	Oxford Nanopore MinION for the detection of antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob
536	Chemother 2015; doi:10.1093/jac/dkv206.
537	15. Wang J, Moore NE, Deng Y-M, Eccles DA, Hall RJ. MinION nanopore sequencing of an
538	influenza genome. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:766.
539	16. Kilianski A, Haas JL, Corriveau EJ, Liem AT, Willis KL, et al. Bacterial and viral identification
540	and differentiation by amplicon sequencing on the MinION nanopore sequencer. GigaScience
541	2015;4:12.

542	17. Greninger AL, Naccache SN, Federman S, Yu G, Mbala P, et al. Rapid metagenomic
1 2 543 3	identification of viral pathogens in clinical samples by real-time nanopore sequencing
4 5 6	analysis. Genom Med. 2015;7:99.
<sup>7</sup> 545	18. Edwards A, Debbonaire AR, Sattler B, Mur LAJ, Hodson AJ. Extreme metagenomics using
<sup>9</sup> 10 546	nanopore DNA sequencing: a field report from Svalbard, 78 °N. 2016. bioRxiv. doi:
11 12 <b>547</b> 13 14	http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/073965
15 <b>548</b> 16	19. Brown, B, L; Watson, M; Minot, S, S; Rivera, M, C; Franklin, R, B (2017): Supporting data for
$^{17}_{18}$ 549	"MinION nanopore sequencing of environmental metagenomes: a synthetic approach"
19 20 21	GigaScience Database. http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100278
<sup>22</sup> 23 551	20. Watson M, Thomson M, Risse J, Talbot R, Santoyo-Lopez J, et al. poRe: an R package for the
24 25 <b>552</b> 26	visualization and analysis of nanopore sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:114-5.
27 28 <b>553</b> 29	21. Loman NJ, Quinlan AR. Poretools: a toolkit for analyzing nanopore sequence data.
<sup>30</sup> 554 <sup>31</sup> <sup>32</sup>	Bioinformatics. 2014; 30:3399–3401.
$^{33}_{34}$ 555	22. Leggett RM, Heavens D, Caccamo M, et al.: NanoOK: Multi-reference alignment analysis of
<sup>35</sup> 556 <sub>36</sub> 37	nanopore sequencing data, quality, and error profiles. Bioinformatics. 2015;32:142-4.
<sup>38</sup> 39 557	23. Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M, Olson R, Glass EM, et al. The metagenomics RAST server –
40 41 <b>558</b> 42	a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes.
43 <b>559</b> 44 45	BMC Bioinfo. 2008;9:386.
$\frac{46}{47}$ 560	24. Juul S, Izquierdo F, Hurst A, Dai X, Wright A, Kulesha E, Pettett R, Turner D. What's in my pot?
<sup>48</sup> 49 561	Real-time species identification on the MinION <sup>™</sup> . bioRxiv. 2015; doi:
50 51 <b>562</b> 52	http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/030742.
53 54 <b>563</b>	25. Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact
55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65	alignments. Genome Biol. 2014;15:R46.
65	

65	26. Minot SS, Krumm N, Greenfield NB. One Codex: a sensitive and accurate data platform for
66	genomic microbial identification. bioRxiv. 2015. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/027607
67	27. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Gapped BLAST
68	and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucl Acids Res.
69	1997;25:3389.
70	28. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
71	Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2015;https://www.R-project.org/.
72	29. Park H-D, Sasaki Y, Maruyama T, Yanagisawa E, Hiraishi A, Kato K. Degradation of the
73	cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin by a new bacterium isolated from a hypertrophic lake.
74	Environ Toxicol 2001;16:337-43.
75	30. Jones MB, Highlander SK, Anderson EL, Li W, Dayrit M, et al. Library preparation methodology
76	can influence genomic and functional predictions in human microbiome research. Proc Nat
77	Acad Sci. 2015;45:14024-9.
78	31. Wommack KE, Bhavsar J, Ravel J. Metagenomics: Read Length Matters. Appl Environ
79	Microbiol. 2008;74:1453.
80	32. Brown BL, LePrell RV, Franklin RB, Rivera MC, Cabral FC, et al. Metagenomic analysis of
81	planktonic microbial consortia from a non-tidal urban-impacted segment of James River.
82	Stand Genomic Sci. 2015;10:65.
83	33. Magasin JD, Gerloff DL. Pooled assembly of marine metagenomic datasets: enriching annotation
84	through chimerism. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:311-317.
85	34. Freitas TAK, Li P-E, Scholz MB, Chain PSG. Accurate read-based metagenome characterization
86	using a hierarchical suite of unique signatures. Nucl Acids Res. 2015; doi:
87	10.1093/nar/gkv180.

1	588	35. Zhang Q, Ye Y, Doak TG. Artificial functional difference between microbial communities caused
1 2 3	589	by length difference of sequencing reads. Biocomputing 2012;259-270. DOI:
4 5 6	590	http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/9789814366496_0025.
7 8	591	36. Frank JA, Pan Y, Tooming-Klunderud A, Eijsink VGH, McHardy AC, Nederbragt AJ, Pope PB.
9 10 11	592	Improved metagenome assemblies and taxonomic binning using long-read circular consensus
	593	sequence data. Sci Rep. 2016:6:25373 doi:10.1038/srep25373.
	594	37. Sović I, Šikić M, Wilm A, Fenlon SN, Chen S, Nagarajan N. Fast and sensitive mapping of
	595	nanopore sequencing reads with GraphMap. Nat Comm. 2016;11307.
21	596	doi:10.1038/ncomms11307 doi:10.1038/ncomms11307.
	597	38. Chaisson MJ, Tesler G. Mapping single molecule sequencing reads using basic local alignment
24 25 26	598	with successive refinement (BLASR): application and theory. BMC Bioinfo. 2012;13:238
	599	doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-13-238.
	600	39. Hugh R. Note: Pseudomonas maltophilia sp. nov., nom. rev. Int J System Evol Microbiol.
32 33 34	601	1981;31:195. doi: 10.1099/00207713-31-2-195
	602	40. Binga EK, Lasken RS, Neufeld JD. Something from (almost) nothing: the impact of multiple
39	603	displacement amplification on microbial ecology. The ISME Journal. 2008;2:233-241.
	604	41. Lasken RS, Stockwell TB. (2007). Mechanism of chimera formation during the Multiple
42 43 44 45	605	Displacement Amplifi- cation reaction. BMC Biotechnol 7: 19. doi:10.1186/1472-6750-7-19
	606	42. Li C, Chng KR, Boey EJH, Ng AHQ, Wilm A, Nagarajan N. INC-Seq: accurate single molecule
48 49 50	607	reads using nanopore sequencing. GigaScience. 2016;5:34.
	608	43. Benítez-Páez A, Portune KJ, Sanz Y. Species-level resolution of 16S rRNA gene amplicons
53 54 55 56 57 58 60 61 62 63 64	609	sequenced through the MinION™ portable nanopore sequencer. GigaScience. 2016;5:4.
65		

	510	44. Goodwin S, Gurtowski J, Ethe-Sayers S, Deshpande P, Schatz M, McCombie WR. Oxford
1 2 ( 3	611	Nanopore sequencing, hybrid error correction, and <i>de novo</i> assembly of a eukaryotic genome.
4	612	Genome Res. 2015b;25:1750-6.
7 8	613	45. Karlsson E, Lärkeryd A, Sjödin A, Forsman M, Stenberg P. Scaffolding of a bacterial genome
9 10 <b>(</b> 11	514	using MinION nanopore sequencing. Scientif Rep 2015;5:11996.
12 13 ( 14	615	46. Stephens ZD, Lee SY, Faghri F, Campbell RH, Zhai C, et al. Big data: astronomical or
15 <b>(</b> 16	516	genomical? PLoS Biol. 2015;13:e1002195. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002195.
17 18 ( 19	617	47. Garmendia C, Bernad A, Esteban JA, Blanco L, Salas M. The bacteriophage phi 29 DNA
20 21 22	518	polymerase, a proofreading enzyme. J Biol Chem. 1992;267: 2594-2599.
23 24	519	48. Ip CLC, Loose M, Tyson JR, Cesare M, Brown BL, et al. MinION Analysis and Reference
25 26 <b>(</b> 27	520	Consortium: Phase 1 data release and analysis. F1000Research 2015;4:1075.
28 29 <b>(</b> 30	621	49. Kent WJ. BLAT—the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res. 2002;12:656–64.
31 32 <b>(</b> 33	622	50. Bartram AK, Lynch MD, Stearns JC, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Neufeld JD. Generation of
<sup>34</sup> 35	623	multimillion-sequence 16S rRNA gene libraries from complex microbial communities by
36 37 6	624	assembling paired-end Illumina reads. Appl Environ Micro. 2011;77:3846–52.
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44		
45 46		
47		
48 49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59		
60 61		
62		
63		
64 65		







