1	
2	
3	
4	DExmedetomidine for Sepsis in ICU
5	Randomized Evaluation Trial
	DESIRE Trial
6	DESINE IIIai
7	
8	Statistical Analysis Plan
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Japanese Version (Ver.1.0) 2016/3/1
19	
20	English Translated (Ver 1.0) 2016/9/13
21	Talasaki Masiisaa Aa Dabb NADI
22	Takeshi Morimoto, MD, PhD, MPI
23	Study Statisticia
24 25	Study Statisticia

26	Aim of the study
27	To determine whether dexmedetomidine improves clinical
28	outcome and exerts organ protective effects in septic patients.
29	
30	End Points
31	Co-primary outcome measures
32	
33	28-day mortality rate
34	The mortality rate of patients after 28 days.
35	28-day ventilator free days
36	Originally, the duration of mechanical ventilation in the
37	ICU, including non-invasive ventilation were defined.
38	However, duration of mechanical ventilation was highly
39	influenced by mortality. Therefore, we set 28-days
10	ventilator free days as primary endpoint
41	28-days ventilator free days = 28 or days alive – days
12	under mechanical ventilation
13	
14	Secondary outcome measures
15	
16	a) Length of stay in the ICU
17	b) Length of hospital stay
18	c) Agitation and delirium
19	Richmond agitation-sedation scale (RASS) and Confusion
50	Assessment Method for ICU patients (CAM-ICU)
51	d) Cognitive function
52	Mini mental state examination (MMSE)
53	e) Renal function
54	Blood urea nitrogen (BUN)
55	creatinine levels
56	estimated glomerular filtration rate
57	daily urinary output
58	requirement for renal replacement therapy
59	f) Inflammatory markers
50	C-reactive protein (CRP)
51	procalcitonin (PCT)
52	g) Organ failure control
53	The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score
54	h) Coagulopathy control

The Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation (DIC) score from the Japanese Association for Acute Medicine (JAAM)

i) Nutrition control

The daily energy intake by enteral nutrition

j) Sedation control

The doses of sedative drugs and analgesic drugs

7172 Adverse events

a) Occurrence of arrhythmia or myocardial ischemia

## **Study Design**

The DESIRE trial was a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial with blinded-endpoint assessment. It enrolls patients who were 20 years old or older, had sepsis, and needed mechanical ventilation for at least 24 hours. Patient enrollment started in January 2013 and was completed in January 2016. Patients were enrolled and followed at 8 ICUs throughout Japan. The institutional review board at each participating hospital approved this trial and written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

This study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov with identifier NCT01760967.

## **Sample Size Calculation**

1. In the subgroup analysis of sepsis patients in the MENDS trial by Pandharipande PP et al., dexmedetomidine resulted in an increased 28-day survival rate (84% in the dexmedetomidine group versus 59% in the control group). From this, we have estimated that the 28-day survival rate will be 80% in the dexmedetomidine group and 60% in the control group. We have estimated that, with a sample size of 172 patients, the study will have 80% power to detect a significant difference using the log-rank test. We have estimated that the rate of dropout or withdrawal will be approximately 15%, and thus we plan to enroll 200 patients.

## **Statistical Analyses**

104 105 106 107	Patients were followed until 28 days after initiation of mechanical ventilation. All time-to-event data were censored at 28 days. Clinical outcomes were analyzed according to the intention-to-treat principle.
108	
108	Clinical Characteristics
110	The display of the background
111	The display of the background
112	Factors: The bellow factors.
113	Aim: We can understand clinical characteristics of the
113	patients by classifying and analyzing the
115	background of each group.
116	Expression:
117	Category data: The number of patients and
118	percentage.
119	Continuation data: Mean, SD, median, interquartile
120	range (IQR), the maximum, and the minimum.
121	1 3 1 9 (1 ± 1 1), and manner, end are minimum.
122	Clinical Characteristics
123	Category data:
124	Sex
125	COPD
126	Soft Tissue Infection
127	Emergency surgery
128	RRT
129	Comorbidities
130	Immunocompromised
131	Chronic hemodialysis
132	Chronic respiratory disorder
133	Chronic heart failure
134	Liver insufficiency
135	Site of infection
136	Abdomen
137	Thorax
138	Urinary tract
139	Pancreatitis
140	Skin and soft tissue
141	CNS

142	Others
143	
144	Continuation data:
145	Age
146	Body weight
147	Lactate level
148	CRP
149	PCT
150	
151	

152	The main statistical analysis for efficacy
153	
154	Both comparisons should meet the significance to determine the
155	efficacy of treatment group.
156	
157	Comparison between groups of 28-day mortality
158	Measure: Death until 28 days after the initiation of
159	mechanical ventilation. Patients who were alive at 28 days
160	were censored at 28 days.
161	<b>Aim</b> : Comparison of the occurrence of the events between
162	groups
163	Test: Log-rank test, Cox proportional hazard model
164	<b>Significance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
165	considered statistically significant.
166	Confidence interval: 95%confidence interval (CI) of the
167	hazard ratio were calculated.
168	<b>Expression</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
169	Cumulative incidence, and hazard ratio.
170	Using the Cov proportional hazard model, proportional
<ul><li>171</li><li>172</li></ul>	Using the Cox proportional hazard model, proportional hazard assumptions were assessed on the plots of log (time)
173	vs log [-log(survival)] stratified by index variables. Patients
174	with missing values for any selected variable were excluded
175	from the analyses that used the variable.
176	nom the analyses that asea the variable.
177	Comparison between groups of 28-day ventilator free days
178	<b>Measure</b> : 28-days ventilator free days = 28 or days alive –
179	days under mechanical ventilation
180	<b>Aim</b> : Comparison of the length between groups
181	<b>Test</b> : Wilcoxon rank sum test
182	<b>Significance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
183	considered statistically significant.
184	Confidence interval: NĂ.
185	Expression: The median and interquartile range.
186	
187	
188	

189	The secondary statistical analysis for efficacy
190 191	28-day mortality
192	Measure: Death during 28 days
193	Aim: Comparison of the occurrence of the events between
194	groups
195	Test: Chi-square test or Fisher exact test
196	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
197	considered statistically significant.
198	Confidence interval: NA.
199	<b>Expression</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
200	the ratio of the occurrence of the events.
201	
202	Length of ICU stay
203	Measure: Days in ICU
204	Aim: Comparison of the length between groups
205	Test: Wilcoxon rank sum test
206	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
207	considered statistically significant.
208	Confidence interval: NA.
209	<b>Expression</b> : The median and interquartile range.
210	Longth of hospital stay
211	Length of hospital stay
<ul><li>212</li><li>213</li></ul>	Measure: Days in hospital  Aim: Comparison of the length between groups
213	Test: Wilcoxon rank sum test
214	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
216	considered statistically significant.
217	Confidence interval: NA.
218	<b>Expression</b> : The median and interquartile range.
219	
220	Agitation and delirium
221	Measure 1: Controlled sedation as an RASS score between
222	-3 and +1
223	Measure 2: Delirium and coma free status
224	Aim: Comparison of the rate of controlled sedation or
225	delirium and coma free over time between groups
226	Test: Chi-square test or Fisher exact test at each day
227	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
228	considered statistically significant.

229	Confidence interval: NA.
230	<b>Expression 1</b> : The rate of the controlled sedation patients.
231	<b>Expression 2</b> : The rate of delirium or coma free patients.
232	•
233	
234	Generalized linear model accounting for repeated
235	measurements was used to examine the effect of
236	dexmedetomidine on the sedation status or free from
237	delirium. GENMOD procedure with logit function was
238	planned and the status of patients was included in the
239	dependent variable and treatment allocation was in the
240	independent variable with repeated variable of patients.
241	·
242	Cognitive function
243	Measure: Mini mental state examination (MMSE)
244	Aim: Comparison of MMSE between groups
245	Test: Wilcoxon rank sum test
246	<b>Significance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
247	considered statistically significant.
248	Confidence interval: NA.
249	Expression: The median and interquartile range.
250	
251	Renal function
252	Measure 1: Blood urea nitrogen (BUN); creatinine levels
253	estimated glomerular filtration rate; daily urinary output
254	Measure 2: requirement for renal replacement therapy
255	Aim: Comparison of renal function between groups
256	Test 1: Wilcoxon rank sum test
257	Test 2: Chi-square test or Fisher exact test
258	<b>Significance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
259	considered statistically significant.
260	Confidence interval: NA.
261	<b>Expression 1</b> : The median and interquartile range.
262	<b>Expression 2</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
263	the ratio of the occurrence of the events.
264	
265	Inflammatory markers
266	<b>Measure</b> : C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT)
267	<b>Aim</b> : Comparison of Inflammatory markers between groups
268	<b>Test</b> : Wilcoxon rank sum test

269	_	cance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
270		red statistically significant.
271		ence interval: NA.
272	Expres	<b>sion</b> : The median and interquartile range.
273		
274	Organ failure o	
275		e: The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment
276	(SOFA)	
277		omparison of SOFA score between groups
278		Vilcoxon rank sum test
279	_	cance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
280		red statistically significant.
281		ence interval: NA.
282	Expres	<b>sion</b> : The median and interquartile range.
283		
284	Coagulopathy	control
285	Measur	e: The Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation (DIC)
286	score fr	om the Japanese Association for Acute Medicine
287	(JAAM)	
288	Aim: C	omparison of DIC score between groups
289	Test: V	Vilcoxon rank sum test
290	Signific	cance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
291	conside	red statistically significant.
292	Confide	ence interval: NA.
293	Expres	<b>sion</b> : The median and interquartile range.
294		
295	Nutrition contro	ol en
296	Measur	e: The daily energy intake by enteral nutrition
297	Aim: C	omparison of daily energy intake between groups
298	Test: V	Vilcoxon rank sum test
299	Signific	<b>cance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
300	conside	red statistically significant.
301	Confide	ence interval: NA.
302	Expres	<b>sion</b> : The median and interquartile range.
303	•	·
304	Sedation contr	ol
305	Measur	e 1: use of sedative drugs and analgesic drugs
306		e 2: doses of sedative drugs and analgesic drugs
307		omparison of renal function between groups
308		Chi-square test or Fisher exact test

309	Test 2: Wilcoxon rank sum test
310	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
311	considered statistically significant.
312	Confidence interval: NA.
313	<b>Expression 1</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
314	the ratio of the occurrence of the events.
315	<b>Expression 2</b> : The median and interquartile range.
316	
317	

318	Statistical Analysis for Safety
319	
320	Adverse events
321	Measure: Occurrence of arrhythmia and cardiac ischemia
322	during 28 days
323	<b>Aim</b> : Comparison of the occurrence of the events between
324	groups
325	<b>Test</b> : Chi-square test or Fisher exact test
326	<b>Significance</b> : Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
327	considered statistically significant.
328	Confidence interval: NA.
329	<b>Expression</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
330	the ratio of the occurrence of the events.
331	

332	Sub-group Analyses
333	Factors:
334	Age (>or = 65 year-old, or < 65 year-old)
335	APACHE II (> or = median, or < median)
336	Shock (cardiovascular scale of SOFA score > or = 3, or < 3)
337	Site of Infection (Abdomen, Thorax, or others)
338	
339	Events: mortality
340	Measure: The period to event occurring (censored)
341	The end of the study: The end of follow up
342	<b>Aim</b> : Comparison of the occurrence of the events between
343	groups
344	Test: Log-rank test
345	Significance: Two-sided p values of less than 0.05 were
346	considered statistically significant.
347	Confidence interval: 95%confidence interval (CI) of the
348	occurrence of the events and hazard ratio were calculated.
349	<b>Expression</b> : The number of the occurrence of the events,
350	the ratio of the occurrence of the events, and hazard ratio.
351	
352 353	
555	

## Exploratory Analyses Other exploratory analyses were not decided at the time of writing the SAP but allowed for exploratory purpose. The analyses methods should be justified and clearly described in the manuscript which reports the exploratory analyses.