# **Supplemental Figures and Legends**



Figure S1, Related to Figures 3 and 4. Illustration of significant and close-to-significant connections during D1- and D2-MSN stimulations for the MCX and SCX network models at each cutoff frequency. A,B, Significant and close-to-significant effective connections during D1-MSN stimulations for MCX and SCX network models, respectively. C,D, Significant and close-to-significant effective connections during D2-MSN stimulations for MCX and SCX network models, respectively. C,D, Significant and close-to-significant effective connections during D2-MSN stimulations for MCX and SCX network models, respectively. Substantial variability in the p-values of some connectivity estimates across cutoff frequencies was observed. In all panels, statistical significant, P < 0.05; Close-to-significant, P < 0.10. E,F, Weighted sum number of significant and close-to-significant connections during D1-MSN stimulations for MCX and SCX network models, respectively. The optimal cutoff frequencies, marked with a vertical dashed line, were 0.29 and 0.24 Hz, respectively. G,H, Weighted sum number of significant and close-to-significant connections during D2-MSN stimulations for MCX and SCX network models, respectively. The optimal cutoff frequencies, marked with a vertical dashed line, were 0.29 and 0.24 Hz, respectively.



Figure S2, Related to Figures 3 and 4. Comparison between deterministic and stochastic modeling and evaluation of autoregressive models under stochastic assumptions across cutoff frequencies. A-D, Comparison of model exceedance probabilities between deterministic and stochastic modeling. E-H, Illustrative comparison of model exceedance probabilities of

autoregressive processes under stochastic assumptions. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean exceedance probabilities across cutoff frequencies.

#### **Supplemental Tables and Legends**

Table S1, Related to Figure 3. Connectivity estimates, 95% confidence intervals across subjects, and statistical significance levels for the D1-MSN simulation network model. Significant connections reflect the direct pathway. Asterisks indicate significant connectivity estimate after multiple comparison correction (\* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.005, \*\*\* P < 0.001); "cts" indicates close-to-significant connectivity estimate after multiple comparison correction.

Table S2, Related to Figure 4. Connectivity estimates, 95% confidence intervals across subjects, and statistical significance levels for the D2-MSN simulation network model. Significant connections reflect the indirect pathway. Asterisks indicate significant connectivity estimate after multiple comparison correction (\* P < 0.05, \*\* P < 0.005, \*\*\* P < 0.001). "cts" indicates close-to-significant connectivity estimate after multiple comparison correction.

 Table S3, Related to Figure 5. Statistical comparisons of connectivity estimates between

 MCX and SCX network models. No significant differences were observed. "cts" indicates

 close-to-significant difference after multiple comparison correction.

Table S4, Related to Figure 6. Statistical comparisons of connectivity estimates between D1- and D2-MSN stimulation network models. Asterisks indicate significant connectivity estimate after multiple comparison correction (\* P < 0.05, \*\*\* P < 0.001). "cts" indicates close-to-significant difference after multiple comparison correction.

		MCX network case		SCX network case	
		Mean connectivity estimate; 95% CI		Mean connectivity estimate; 95% CI	
		p-value (uncorrected); p-value (correcte	d)	p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected	)
CPu →	CD	-1.8311; (-2.2770, -1.3852)	***	-2.0250; (-2.4503, -1.5996)	***
	CPu	$6.1654 \times 10^{-6}$ ; $3.6572 \times 10^{-5}$	4.4.4	$1.4687 \times 10^{-6}$ ; $1.1749 \times 10^{-5}$	
	CDa	0.4452; (0.1938, 0.6967)	*	0.4427; (0.2116, 0.6738)	*
	Gre	0.0052; 0.0105	-	0.0032; 0.0064	-
	GPi	0.9177; (0.4582, 1.3772)	*	0.8454; (0.4587, 1.2320)	**
		0.0024; 0.0072		0.0013; 0.0031	
	SN	0.9155; (0.6875, 1.1434)	***	0.9399; (0.6829, 1.1969)	***
	~~~	7.6191×10 <sup>-6</sup> ; 3.6572×10 <sup>-5</sup>		1.8248×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 8.7588×10 <sup>-5</sup>	
	CPu	-0.1600; (-0.3136, -0.0063)	cts	-0.0373; (-0.2004, 0.1259)	
		0.0661; 0.0881		0.6629; 0.6917	
	GPe	-0.4998; (-0.7680, -0.2316)	*	-0.6057; (-0.8513, -0.3601)	**
		0.0038; 0.0091		5.2449×10 <sup>-</sup> ; 0.0014	
GPe →	GPi	0.0377; (0.3084, 0.9669)	*	0.5441; (0.2527, 0.8356)	*
		0.0030, 0.0079		0.2228: ( 0.2062, 1.0627)	
	STN	0.1830, (-0.8987, 1.2099)		0.3338, (-0.3902, 1.0037) $0.3893 \cdot 0.4672$	
		0.4556: (0.1680, 0.7432)		0.3718: (0.0980, 0.6455)	
	SN	0.1000 0.11000, 0.7432)	*	0.0221 0.0354	*
	GPi	-1 0409: (-1 1571 -0 9247)		-0.9750: (-1.0904 -0.8596)	
		$2.1503 \times 10^{-9}$ : 5.1608×10 <sup>-8</sup>	***	4.0113×10 <sup>-9</sup> : 9.6271×10 <sup>-8</sup>	***
GPi →	THL	0.1555; (0.0073, 0.3036)		0.1711: (0.0656, 0.2767)	
		0.0643; 0.0881	cts	0.0088; 0.0151	*
	CD	0.0469; (-0.1462, 0.2401)		0.1388; (-0.1044, 0.3820)	
	GPe	0.6433; 0.7018		0.2870; 0.3827	
	CDi	0.2240; (-0.0406, 0.4886)		0.1891; (0.0438, 0.3344)	*
STN \	UFI	0.1253; 0.1583		0.0270; 0.0381	
SIN →	STN	-0.4161; (-0.6129, -0.2193)	*	-0.5330; (-0.7170, -0.3490)	***
	511	0.0016; 0.0056		1.4307×10 <sup>-4</sup> ; 4.9052×10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	SN	-0.0732; (-0.2576, 0.1112)		-0.0524; (-0.1891, 0.0843)	
		0.4528; 0.5434		0.4684; 0.5110	
	CPu	0.0603; (-0.0996, 0.2201)		0.0654; (-0.0931, 0.2239)	
		0.4754; 0.5434		0.4356; 0.4979	
$SN \rightarrow$	SN	-0./3/9; (-0.862/, -0.6132) 1.6407×10 <sup>-7</sup> : 1.0706×10 <sup>-6</sup>	***	-0./935; (-0.9694, -0.61//) 2.4006×10 <sup>-6</sup> , 1.4044×10 <sup>-5</sup>	***
		$\begin{array}{c} 1.0497 \times 10 \\ 0.4224 \\ \cdot (0.1805, 0.6574) \end{array}$		0.4704: (0.2250, 0.6157)	
	THL	0.0046: 0.0100	*	$5.4974 \times 10^{-5}$ 2 1989×10 <sup>-4</sup>	***
		_1 0242: (_1 3371 _0 7113)		-0.0830. (-1.3400 -0.6180)	
	THL	$4.9756 \times 10^{-5} \cdot 1.9902 \times 10^{-4}$	***	$2.6444 \times 10^{-4}$ 7 9332×10 <sup>-4</sup>	***
$THL \rightarrow$		0.3166: (0.0718, 0.5615)		0.4666: (0.2293, 0.7038)	
	CTX	0.0278: 0.0444	*	0.0027: 0.0058	*
CTX →		0 5521: (0 1054 0 9987)		0 4752: (0 1183 0 8320)	
	CPu	0.0338; 0.0508	cts	0.0243; 0.0364	*
	STN	0.0840; (-0.6121, 0.7801)		0.3577; (-0.4231, 1.1386)	
		0.8174; 0.8174		0.3884; 0.4672	
	THL	0.2790; (0.0740, 0.4841)	*	-0.0041; (-0.2459, 0.2378)	
		0.0219; 0.0376		0.9743; 0.9743	
	CTX	-1.4466; (-1.7381, -1.1551)	***	-1.5191; (-1.8306, -1.2077)	***
		6.2848×10 <sup>-8</sup> ; 7.7978×10 <sup>-6</sup>		1.1564×10 <sup>-o</sup> ; 1.1749×10 <sup>-o</sup>	

		MCX network case		SCX network case	
	Mean connectivity estimate; 95% CI			Mean connectivity estimate, 95% CI	
		p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected)	ed)	p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected	1)
	CPu	-0.8046; (-0.9642, -0.6449)	***	-1.0184; (-1.2866, -0.7503)	***
		3.9715×10 <sup>-6</sup> ; 3.1772×10 <sup>-5</sup>		3.9189×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 3.1351×10 <sup>-4</sup>	
	GPe	0.3089; (0.0794, 0.5383)	*	0.2288; (0.0471, 0.4106)	cts
CPu →	Gre	0.0270; 0.0496		0.0357; 0.0779	CLS
	GPi	0.0025; (-0.2688, 0.2739)		-0.0480; (-0.2823, 0.1863)	
	011	0.9858; 0.9858		0.6972; 0.7605	
	SN	0.1026; (-0.0740, 0.2791)		0.2217; (-0.0499, 0.4934)	
		0.2842; 0.3248		0.1441; 0.2162	
	CPu	-0.0667; (-0.3817, 0.2482)		0.2133; (-0.3528, 0.7793)	
		0.68/6; 0./1/5		0.4/91; 0.5/1/	
	GPe	-1.0/99; (-1.3///, -0./843) 5 2007×10 <sup>-5</sup> 2 1202×10 <sup>-4</sup>	***	-1.1032; (-1.5031, -0.7033)	**
		5.300/×10 ; 2.1203×10		4.2923×10 ; 0.0021	
$GPe \rightarrow$	GPi	0.3013, (- $0.0431$ , $0.0402$ ) 0.1206, $0.1702$		0.3018, (-0.0939, 0.0993)	
		1 1176: (0 7550, 1 4802)		0.0814: (0.6202, 1.2227)	
	STN	1.1170, (0.7550, 1.4805) $1.9270 \times 10^{-4} \cdot 5.7809 \times 10^{-4}$	***	$3.2561 \times 10^{-4} \cdot 0.0020$	**
		0 3551: (0 0394 0 6708)		0.2822: (-0.0241_0.5885)	
	SN	0.0549 0.0878	cts	0 1044 0 1671	
	GPi	-1 1923: (-1 3635 -1 0211)		-1 2649: (-1 4559 -1 0740)	
		$2.5518 \times 10^{-7}$ ; 6.1242×10 <sup>-6</sup>	***	$3.9263 \times 10^{-7}$ ; $9.4231 \times 10^{-6}$	***
GPi →	THL	0.4156; (0.1288, 0.7025)		0.4196: (0.1374, 0.7018)	
		0.0194; 0.0466	*	0.0172; 0.0413	*
	GPe	-0.0576; (-0.2922, 0.1776)		-0.0291; (-0.2946, 0.2363)	
		0.6439; 0.7024		0.8346; 0.8346	
	CD:	0.4254; (0.1257, 0.7251)	*	0.3710; (0.0116, 0.7303)	
OTN .	GP1	0.0213; 0.0466	*	0.0737; 0.1263	
$SIN \rightarrow$	STN	-0.7868; (-0.9784, -0.5952)	***	-0.8004; (-1.1243, -0.4766)	**
		2.1061×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 1.0109×10 <sup>-4</sup>		9.1604×10 <sup>-4</sup> ; 0.0037	
	SN	0.1889; (-0.0245, 0.4023)		0.2563; (0.0459, 0.4667)	cts
		0.1167; 0.1702		0.0407; 0.0815	013
	CPu	-0.1803; (-0.4899, 1293)		-0.1148; (-0.3202, 0.0906)	
	Of u	0.2832; 0.3248		0.3017; 0.3811	_
$SN \rightarrow$	SN	-1.2963; (-1.5479, -1.0447)	***	-2.0027; (-2.8539, -1.1516)	**
		3.2999×10 ; 3.1//2×10		0.0013; 0.0044	-
	THL	0.1981; (-0.0347, 0.4310)		0.0/33; (-0.4318, 0.5/83)	
		1.0121.(1.2126_0.7116)		0.7620, 0.8100	
	THL	-1.0121, $(-1.5120, -0.7110)0.0122×10-5 2.2085×10-4$	***	-0.9375, (-1.3914, -0.3232)	*
$\text{THL} \rightarrow$		0.4221: (0.1034, 0.7407)		0.2764: (0.1442, 0.6970)	
	CTX	0.0289: 0.0496	*	0 2299: 0 3065	
		0.6985: (0.2363, 1.1607)		0 7798: (0 2949 1 2647)	
CTX →	CPu	0.0159.0.0424	*	0 0117 0 0312	*
	STN	-0 6773 (-1 1879 -0 1667)		-0.6833: (-1.2871 -0.0796)	
		0.0287; 0.0496	*	0.0537; 0.0992	cts
	THL	-0.2594; (-0.5950, 0.0763)		0.1017; (-0.1822, 0.3857)	
		0.1642; 0.2074		0.5002; 0.5717	
	СТХ	-1.2685; (-1.5615, -0.9754)	***	-2.4844; (-3.1139, -1.8550)	***
		1.3802×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 8.2811×10 <sup>-5</sup>		2.8920×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 3.1351×10 <sup>-4</sup>	

		MCX vs. SCX network case (D1-MSN Stim.)	MCX vs. SCX network case (D2-MSN Stim.)	
		p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected)	p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected)	
CD	CPu	0.6685; 0.9899	0.1959; 0.9992	
	GPe	0.8807; 0.9899	0.5985; 0.9992	
Cru→	GPi	0.5870; 0.9899	0.7853; 0.9992	
	SN	0.7702; 0.9899	0.4802; 0.9992	
	CPu	0.5951; 0.9899	0.4080; 0.9992	
	GPe	0.9268; 0.9899	0.9278; 0.9992	
GPe →	GPi	0.9412; 0.9899	0.9992; 0.9992	
	STN	0.8991; 0.9899	0.5989; 0.9992	
	SN	0.9558; 0.9899	0.7490; 0.9992	
CD	GPi	0.6741; 0.9899	0.5856; 0.9992	
GrI→	THL	0.9722; 0.9899	0.9850; 0.9992	
	GPe	0.7739; 0.9899	0.8778; 0.9992	
STN .	GPi	0.4432; 0.9899	0.8223; 0.9992	
$SIN \rightarrow$	STN	0.6519; 0.9899	0.9441; 0.9992	
	SN	0.7721; 0.9899	0.6646; 0.9992	
	CPu	0.6202; 0.9899	0.7338; 0.9992	
$SN \rightarrow$	SN	0.8642; 0.9899	0.1362; 0.9992	
	THL	0.9899; 0.9899	0.6652; 0.9992	
$THL \rightarrow$	THL	0.7268; 0.9899	0.8411; 0.9992	
	CTX	0.4178; 0.9899	0.5950; 0.9992	
	CPu	0.9805; 0.9899	0.8147; 0.9992	
$CTX \rightarrow$	STN	0.5898; 0.9899	0.9883; 0.9992	
	THL	0.1915; 0.9899	0.1248; 0.9992	
	CTX	0.9421; 0.9899	0.0030; 0.0713	cts

		D1- vs. D2-MSN stimulations (MCX)		D1- vs. D2-MSN stimulations (SCX)	
		p-value (uncorrected); p-value (correc	ted)	p-value (uncorrected); p-value (corrected)	)
CPu →	CPu	8.2146×10 <sup>-4</sup> ; 0.0066	*	0.0013; 0.0118	*
	GPe	0.4493; 0.5990		0.1819; 0.2911	
	GPi	0.0046; 0.0276	*	0.0015; 0.0118	*
	SN	3.0674×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; 7.3618×10 <sup>-4</sup>	***	0.0013; 0.0118	*
	CPu	0.5884; 0.6905		0.3779; 0.4535	
	GPe	0.0099; 0.0460	*	0.0436; 0.1308	
$GPe \rightarrow$	GPi	0.1839; 0.3153		0.3371; 0.4513	
	STN	0.1554; 0.3124		0.1560; 0.2910	
	SN	0.6495; 0.6905		0.6730; 0.7023	
CD	GPi	0.1562; 0.3124		0.0156; 0.0623	cts
GrI→	THL	0.1127; 0.2704		0.0989; 0.2374	
	GPe	0.5052; 0.6382		0.3716; 0.4535	
STN	GPi	0.3338; 0.5007		0.3384; 0.4513	
51N →	STN	0.0166; 0.0568	cts	0.1576; 0.2910	
	SN	0.0820; 0.2460		0.0218; 0.0747	cts
	CPu	0.1706; 0.3149		0.1818; 0.2911	
$SN \rightarrow$	SN	$5.4954 \times 10^{-4}$ ; 0.0066	*	0.0075; 0.0450	*
	THL	0.1998; 0.3198		0.1248; 0.2723	
$\mathrm{THL} \rightarrow$	THL	0.9575; 0.9575		0.9271; 0.9271	
	CTX	0.6068; 0.6905		0.4298; 0.4912	
	CPu	0.6617; 0.6905		0.3236; 0.4513	
CTX →	STN	0.1111; 0.2704		0.0590; 0.1573	
	THL	0.0115; 0.0460	*	0.5819; 0.6348	
	CTX	0.4119; 0.5815		0.0102; 0.0487	*

### **Supplemental Data Files**

**Data File S1, Related to Figure 1C.** Average time series (across subjects) corresponding to eight regions within the basal ganglia-thalamocortical network during D1-MSN stimulations. Each time series includes 30 s of baseline measurements, followed by six periods of 20 s stimulation delivered every minute. Each frame corresponds to 0.75 s.

**Data File S2, Related to Figure 1D.** Average time series (across subjects) corresponding to eight regions within the basal ganglia-thalamocortical network during D2-MSN stimulations. Each time series includes 30 s of baseline measurements, followed by six periods of 20 s stimulation delivered every minute. Each frame corresponds to 0.75 s.