1	Supporting Information for
2	"Cation effects on haemoglobin aggregation: balance of
3	chemisorption against physisorption of ions"
4	published in <i>Interface Focus</i>
5	Drew F. Parsons <sup>*</sup>
6	School of Engineering and Information Technology,
7	Murdoch University, 90 South St, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia
8	Timothy T. Duignan <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>
9	Physical Science Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
10	P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352, USA
11	Andrea $Salis^{\ddagger}$
12	Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences
13	University of Cagliari-CSGI and CNBS
14	Cittadella Universitaria, S.S. 554 bivio Sestu, 09042 Monserrato (CA), Italy

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: D.Parsons@murdoch.edu.au † Timothy.Duignan@pnnl.gov

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> asalis@unica.it

## 15 I. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## <sup>16</sup> A. Aggregation Equilibria and the Second Virial Coefficient

<sup>17</sup> Turbidity experiments monitor the aggregation of protein clusters (aggregation of haemoglobin <sup>18</sup> tetramers [1]). Transmittance  $\mathcal{T} = T/T_0$  falls when aggregation is promoted. That is tur-<sup>19</sup> bidance (absorbance)  $S = -\ln \mathcal{T}$  rises, proportional to the concentration  $c_{agg}$  of aggregates. <sup>20</sup> If we assume aggregation is dominated by association of 2 haemoglobin tetramers then <sup>21</sup> the aggregation process is

$$2h \rightleftharpoons h-h$$
 (1)

<sup>22</sup> (h represents one haemoglobin tetramer) with aggregation equilibrium constant

$$K_{\text{agg}} = \frac{\gamma_{\text{agg}} c_{\text{agg}}}{\gamma_1^2 c_1^2} \tag{2}$$

<sup>23</sup> Here  $c_1$  is the concentration of single haemoglobin tetramer and  $c_{agg}$  is the concentration of <sup>24</sup> aggregates.  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_{agg}$  are the activity coefficients of the single tetramer and the aggregates, <sup>25</sup> representing additional nonideal interactions. For simplicity here we take  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_{agg} = 1$ , <sup>26</sup> with protein-protein interactions represented via the aggregation constant  $K_{agg}$ .

The second virial coefficient b characterises 2-body interactions (Eq.19 in the main manuscript),

$$b = 2\pi \int_0^\infty (L + 2R)^2 dL \left(1 - \exp\left[-G(L)/kT\right]\right)$$
(3)

 $_{29}$  G(L) is the interaction free energy between two tetramers. b is evaluated here with respect to  $_{30}$  the separation distance L between protein surfaces, rather than the distance between protein  $_{31}$  centres r = L + 2R, assuming hard sphere contact between tetramers. For a 2-member  $_{32}$  cluster, the aggregation constant is determined directly from second virial coefficient [2, 3],

$$K_{\text{agg}} = -b \tag{4}$$

<sup>33</sup> Additional corrections can be added to account for excluded volume [2, 3] or metastable <sup>34</sup> aggregation [4].

<sup>35</sup> The total protein (tetramer) concentration is

$$c_p = c_1 + 2c_{\text{agg}} \tag{5}$$

<sup>36</sup> Combining with the equilibrium condition Eq. (2) (and taking ideal activity coefficients), <sup>37</sup> we obtain an expression for the concentration of single tetramers in terms of  $c_p$  and b,

$$c_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 8bc_p}}{4b}$$
(6)

<sup>38</sup> We simplify this expression with the expansion  $\sqrt{1+x} \approx 1 + x/2 - x^2/8$ ,

$$c_1 \approx c_p \left( 1 + 2bc_p \right) \tag{7}$$

<sup>39</sup> Aggregation occurs when the interaction G(L) is attractive, in which case b < 0. That is, <sup>40</sup> we obtain a finite  $c_1 < c_p$ . It follows from Eq. (5) that the concentration of aggregates is

$$c_{\rm agg} \approx -bc_p^2$$
 (8)

<sup>41</sup> That is, the concentration of aggregates is directly proportional to the second virial co-<sup>42</sup> efficient. Of course, a more complex dependence on the second virial coefficient could <sup>43</sup> be obtained by retaining the nonideal activity coefficient  $\gamma_1$ , which also depends on b via <sup>44</sup>  $\gamma_1 = \exp(2bc_p)$  [5].

## 45 B. Turbidity and the Second Virial Coefficient

The turbidance (absorbance)  $S = -\ln \mathcal{T}$  is proportional to the concentration of absorb-47 ing species, assuming standard Beers-Lambert absorbance. We suppose that only single 48 haemoglobin tetramers and aggregates of tetramers absorb significantly, with extinction 49 coefficients  $k_1$  and  $k_{agg}$  respectively. If l is the length of the turbimetry cell, then

$$S = -\ln \mathcal{T} = l \left[ k_1 c_1 + k_{\text{agg}} c_{\text{agg}} \right] \tag{9}$$

<sup>50</sup> Taking concentrations from Eq. (7) and Eq. (8), we obtain

$$S = -\ln \mathcal{T} = lc_p \left[ k_1 - bc_p \left( k_{\text{agg}} - 2k_1 \right) \right]$$
(10)

<sup>51</sup> We expect absorbance to be stronger for the larger aggregates,  $k_{\text{agg}} > k_1$  (in fact if  $\mathcal{T}$  falls <sup>52</sup> between 0 and 100%, then  $k_{\text{agg}} > 2k_1$ ). We simplify analysis by assuming  $k_{\text{agg}}$  is much <sup>53</sup> greater than  $k_1$  such that  $k_1$  can be dropped, leaving

$$S = -\ln \mathcal{T} \approx -lbc_p^2 \tag{11}$$

<sup>54</sup> That is, turbidance  $(-\ln T)$  is proportional to the second virial coefficient.

<sup>55</sup> By taking  $b_{\rm Cs}$  as a reference (assuming no binding of Cs<sup>+</sup> to carboxylate sites), we can <sup>56</sup> determine the best-fitting binding constants for Li<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> from the ratio

$$\frac{\ln \mathcal{T}_M}{\ln \mathcal{T}_{\rm Cs}} = \frac{b_M}{b_{\rm Cs}} \tag{12}$$

<sup>57</sup> which is Eq. 20 in the main manuscript.

<sup>58</sup> [1] Medda L, Carucci C, Parsons DF, Ninham BW, Monduzzi M, Salis A. Specific Cation
 <sup>59</sup> Effects on Hemoglobin Aggregation below and at Physiological Salt Concentration. Lang <sup>60</sup> muir. 2013;29(49):15350-15358. Available from: http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/
 <sup>61</sup> la404249n.

<sup>62</sup> [2] Hirschfelder JO, McClure FT, Weeks IF. Second Virial Coefficients and the Forces Between
 <sup>63</sup> Complex Molecules. J Chem Phys. 1942;10(4):201–214. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/
 <sup>64</sup> 10.1063/1.1723708.

<sup>65</sup> [3] Swope WC, Andersen HC, Berens PH, Wilson KR. A computer simulation method for
<sup>66</sup> the calculation of equilibrium constants for the formation of physical clusters of molecules:
<sup>67</sup> Application to small water clusters. J Chem Phys. 1982;76(1):637-649. Available from:
<sup>68</sup> http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.442716.

<sup>69</sup> [4] Stogryn DE, Hirschfelder JO. Contribution of Bound, Metastable, and Free Molecules to the
<sup>70</sup> Second Virial Coefficient and Some Properties of Double Molecules. The Journal of Chemical
<sup>71</sup> Physics. 1959;31(6):1531-1545. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1730649.

72 [5] Duignan TT, Parsons DF, Ninham BW. Ion Interactions with the Air-Water Interface Using

a Continuum Solvent Model. J Phys Chem B. 2014;118(29):8700–8710. Available from: http:

<sup>//</sup>pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp502887e.