

Editorial Note: this manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at Nature Communications.

REVIEWERS' COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

This paper from Guehr and co-workers has been modified from their initial submission to Nature in an entirely appropriate manner. I am happy to recommend for publication as is.

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

The authors have addressed my comments and I have no further issues I would like to see addressed. I believe in addition that their response to the comments from Referee #1 have strengthened the paper. I recommend publication in Nature Communications.