Evidence for a Dominant Gene That Suppresses Hypercholesterolemia in a Family with Defective Low Density Lipoprotein Receptors

Helen H. Hobbs,* Eran Leitersdorf,* Carla C. Leffert,* Dennis R. Cryer,* Michael S. Brown,* and Joseph L. Goldstein* *Departments of Molecular Genetics and Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75235; and *Squibb Institute for Medical Research, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Abstract

This paper describes an unusual kindred with familial hypercholesterolemia in which one-third of the relatives with a mutant LDL receptor gene have normal plasma cholesterol concentrations. The proband, a 9-yr-old boy with a plasma cholesterol value > 500 mg/dl, is homozygous for a point mutation that changes Ser156 to Leu in the LDL receptor. This substitution in the fourth repeat of the ligand binding domain slows the transport of the protein to the cell surface. The defective receptor cannot bind LDL, which contains apo B-100, but it does bind β -migrating VLDL, which contains apo E in addition to apo B-100. Although the mother is heterozygous for this mutation, her LDL-cholesterol concentration is consistently in the 28th percentile for the population. Through direct examination of genomic DNA, we identified the mutant gene in heterozygous form in 17 of the mother's relatives, five of whom had normal LDL-cholesterol values. The pedigree was consistent with dominant transmission of a single gene that ameliorates or suppresses the hypercholesterolemic effect of the LDL receptor mutation. Through linkage analysis, we excluded the possibility that this suppressor gene was an allele at the LDL receptor locus. We also excluded the genes for the two ligands for the LDL receptor, apo B-100 and apo E. The existence of this putative suppressor gene may explain the occasional observation of normal LDL-cholesterol concentrations in heterozygotes for LDL receptor mutations.

Introduction

Mutations in the gene for the LDL receptor produce familial hypercholesterolemia (FH),¹ a disease that is transmitted in an autosomal codominant fashion (1). Individuals who inherit one mutant LDL receptor gene (FH heterozygotes) generally have two to threefold elevations in plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations and develop symptomatic coronary artery dis-

Received for publication 13 March 1989.

J. Clin. Invest. © The American Society for Clinical Investigation, Inc. 0021-9738/89/08/0656/09 \$2.00 Volume 84, August 1989, 656–664 ease in the fourth to sixth decades. Individuals with two mutant LDL receptor genes (FH homozygotes) have LDL-cholesterol values that are sixfold or more above normal, and they frequently have symptomatic atherosclerosis in childhood.

When the concentration of LDL-cholesterol in plasma is used as a marker, the penetrance of the FH gene is $\sim 90\%$ in FH heterozygotes (1, 2). The reason for the lack of hypercholesterolemia in 10% of genetically affected individuals has not been determined, in part because genetic markers to confirm the presence of LDL receptor mutations have been lacking. This situation has changed with the cloning of the LDL receptor gene.

The LDL receptor gene, located on the short arm of chromosome 19 (3), comprises 18 exons (4). One set of exons encodes seven cysteine-rich repeats of \sim 40 amino acids that constitute the ligand binding domain. The adjacent exons encode a region that resembles a sequence in the precursor for epidermal growth factor (EGF). At least 29 different mutant LDL receptor genes have been characterized at the DNA level in FH families (reviewed in reference 1 and unpublished observations). These mutations have varying effects upon the LDL receptor protein. Some mutations abolish transcription of the gene. Others encode defective receptors that are transported slowly to the cell surface, fail to bind LDL, or fail to internalize the lipoprotein.

The LDL receptor binds two protein components of lipoproteins, apo B-100 and apo E (5). A single molecule of apo B-100 is found on each LDL particle and its precursors, VLDL and intermediate density lipoprotein (IDL). IDL is also referred to as β -VLDL. Apo E, which binds to the receptor with higher affinity than apo B-100, is present in multiple copies on each β -VLDL particle. Certain mutations in the ligand binding domain of the LDL receptor eliminate LDL binding without affecting β -VLDL binding (6, 7).

In this paper we report a detailed analysis of a kindred with FH caused by a point mutation that results in a single amino acid substitution in the ligand binding domain of the LDL receptor. This kindred is referred to as the P. family. 6 out of 18 heterozygous individuals had normal concentrations of LDL-cholesterol despite the presence of one mutant LDL receptor gene. Pedigree analysis is consistent with the transmission of a dominant gene that suppresses the expression of hypercholesterolemia when it is inherited together with the mutant LDL receptor gene.

Methods

Materials. Human LDL (8), rabbit β -VLDL (9), human lipoproteindeficient serum (8), and mouse anti-LDL receptor MAb IgG-C7 (10) were prepared as described in the indicated reference. Restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase was obtained from Perkin Elmer Cetus (Norwalk, CT). [α -³²P]dCTP (3,000 Ci/mmol) and [³⁵S]-

Dr. Leitersdorf's present address is Department of Internal Medicine B, Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel 91120. Address reprint requests to Dr. Helen H. Hobbs, Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75235.

^{1.} Abbreviations used in this paper: β -VLDL, β -migrating very low density lipoprotein; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; IDL, intermediate density lipoprotein; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RFLPs, restriction fragment length polymorphisms; VNTR, variable number of tandem repeats.

methionine (~ 1,000 Ci/mmol) were obtained from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA). [γ^{-32} P]ATP (7,000 Ci/mmol) for end-labeling oligonucleotides was purchased from ICN Radiochemicals (Irvine, CA). Oligonucleotides were synthesized on a DNA synthesizer and genomic DNA was purified using a nucleic acid extractor; both machines were obtained from Applied Biosystems (models 380A and 340A, respectively; Foster City, CA). DNA was amplified using the DNA thermal cycler from Perkin Elmer Cetus.

LDL receptor assays. Diploid fibroblasts obtained from skin biopsy specimens were grown in monolayer culture at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. About 2.5×10^4 cells from stock cultures were seeded into 60-mm Petri dishes according to a standard protocol and cultured for 5 d (8). Maximal synthesis of LDL receptors was induced by incubation in human lipoprotein-deficient serum for 24–48 h before study as described elsewhere (8). Cell surface binding of ¹²⁵I-LDL, ¹²⁵I- β -VLDL, and ¹²⁵I-IgG-C7 by monolayers at 4°C was determined as described (6). Metabolism of ¹²⁵I-LDL by monolayers at 37°C was measured as described (8). Immunochemical analysis of [³⁵S]methionine-labeled LDL receptors was performed as described (11).

DNA sequencing of mutant LDL receptor allele. The 3' region of exon 4 of the LDL receptor gene was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using 1 μ g of genomic DNA from a normal individual and FH 848. Two oligonucleotides homologous to sequences in exon 4 and the exon 4/intron 4 junction were used for the amplification reaction (see legend to Fig. 3). The samples were denatured at 95°C for 1 min and then annealed and extended at 68°C for 5 min. After 35 cycles of amplification, the DNA was fractionated on a 6% polyacrylamide gel in buffer A (50 mM Tris-borate, 90 mM boric acid, 2 mM sodium EDTA at pH 8.3). A 220-bp fragment was excised from the gel, and the DNA was purified. Sequence analysis was performed according to the Maxam and Gilbert method (12).

Oligonucleotide hybridization of genomic DNA. Exon 4 of the LDL receptor gene was selectively amplified from 1 μ g of genomic DNA using oligonucleotides with sequences homologous to the first 25 nucleotides of exon 4 (coding strand) and to 25 nucleotides starting at the 6th base of intron 4 (noncoding strand) (4). After 35 rounds of amplification, one-tenth of the amplified DNA product (5 µl) was denatured in 400 mM NaOH/25 mM sodium EDTA and dotted onto duplicate nylon membranes. The membranes were rinsed with $20 \times$ SSPE (1 \times SSPE contains 0.9 M NaCl, 50 mM sodium phosphate, and 5 mM EDTA at pH 7.4) and then baked for 1 h at 80°C. Oligonucleotides homologous to the normal and mutant sequence were end labeled with 32 P as described (13) and then purified by precipitating with 0.5% cetylpyridinium bromide (14). The oligonucleotides were washed twice with 80% ethanol and 0.1 M sodium acetate (pH 4.5) before dissolving in 100 ml of 10 mM Tris-chloride at pH 7.5, 1 mM sodium EDTA, and 0.1% (vol/vol) SDS. A total of 1×10^6 cpm/ml of each oligonucleotide was hybridized individually to duplicate filters in $5\times$ SSPE, 0.05% (wt/vol) each of BSA, Ficoll 400, and polyvinyl pyrolidone 360 and, 0.5% (vol/vol) SDS for 16 h at 42°C. The filters were washed sequentially in 2× SSPE and 0.5% (vol/vol) SDS and 1× SSPE and 0.25% of (vol/vol) SDS for 15 min at 24°C. They were then washed in 0.2× SSPE and 0.1% SDS for 15 min at 42°C and in 0.1× SSPE and 0.1% SDS for 25 min at 42°C before being exposed to XAR-5 film for 30 min at 24°C.

Genomic Southern blot analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood leukocytes, digested twice with a fivefold excess of restriction enzyme per microgram of DNA, sized-fractionated on a 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane (Biotrans), and hybridized with single-stranded $[\alpha^{-32}P]dCTP$ -labeled probes derived from the LDL receptor cDNA (5 × 10⁶ cpm/ml) (15) as described (16). The washed filters (17) were subjected to fluorography at -20°C using Kodak XAR-5 film with an intensifying screen (Quanta III; Dupont, Wilmington, DE) for 24–48 h.

Haplotype analysis of LDL receptor gene. DNA samples were hybridized to the appropriate ³²P-labeled human LDL receptor probe after digestion with each of 10 restriction enzymes that were previously shown to reveal restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs)

(18). The 10 restriction enzymes used were as follows: Bsm I, Sph I, Stu I, Ava II, Spe I, Apa LI (two sites), Ava II, Pst I, and Nco I. LDL receptor haplotypes on each pedigree member were deduced by analysis of the joint segregation of RFLPs in first-degree relatives, assuming no recombination within the locus. Each LDL receptor haplotype encountered in this family has been previously observed (18) except haplotypes 32 and 37. Haplotype 32 has the following pattern of restriction sites (restriction site absent, -; restriction site present, +): Bsm I(-), Sph I(+), Stu I(+), Ava II(-), Spe I(+), Apa LI-5'(+), Pvu II(-), Nco I(-), Pst I(-), and Apa LI-3'(+). Haplotype 37 has the following pattern of restriction sites: Bsm I(-), Sph I(+), Stu I(+), Ava II(-), Spe I(-), Apa LI-5'(-), Pvu II(+), Nco I(+), Pst I(-), and Apa LI-3'(+).

Determination of apo B genotypes. The hypervariable minisatellite located 181 bp 3' of the poly(A) addition site of the human apo B gene (19) was selectively amplified by PCR from 1 μ g of genomic DNA using ³²P-end-labeled (13) oligonucleotide HV-1 (5'-TATGGAGG-GAAATATTTTGCAAAAA-3') and unlabeled oligonucleotide HV-2 (5'-CAAATACAATTCCTGAGATCAATAA-3') as described above. After 35 cycles of amplification, 5 μ l of the reaction mixture (total volume of 50 μ l) was subjected to electrophoresis on a 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel in buffer A at 200 V for 24 h at room temperature. Autoradiography was performed at room temperature for 1 h using XAR-5 film.

Haplotype analysis of apo E-CI-CII gene complex. Genomic DNA (1 µg) was subjected to PCR amplification using ³²P-end-labeled oligonucleotides HP-1 (5'-AGGAACAGGGATTGCTCACTCGGGG-3') and unlabeled oligonucleotide HP-2 (5'-TCTTCCTGACTCTGTGG-GGTCCTCA-3'). These oligonucleotides flank a previously described RFLP site, Hpa I, located 5' of the apo C1 gene (20). After 35 cycles of amplification the entire reaction product was size fractionated on a 6% nondenaturing gel in buffer A at 200 V for 1.5 h at room temperature. The gel was subjected to autoradiography and a 186-bp fragment was excised and purified. A total of ~ 80 ng (80,000 dpm) was subjected to restriction enzyme digestion with Hpa I in the buffer suggested by the manufacturer. The digested products were electrophoresed on a 6% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel in buffer A at 200 V for 2 h at room temperature. Apo E isoforms were determined by immunoblot analysis of 5 μ l of plasma using monoclonal antibodies specific for apo E2, E3, and E4 (21). The isoform studies were kindly performed by Professor Dr. Gerd Utermann (Institute for Medical Biology and Genetics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria). Genotypes were constructed for selected members of the pedigree using the results of the Hpa I RFLP analysis and the apo E isoform data. The apo E-CI-CII genotypes were determined by analysis of the segregation of the parental alleles to their offspring within the pedigree and were arbitrarily numbered 1-6.

Blood and urine chemistries. Blood was collected for lipoprotein quantification in EDTA-containing tubes and kept on ice until the plasma was isolated 4-10 h later. Within 48 h, individual lipoprotein fractions were isolated from plasma by ultracentrifugation and heparin-manganese precipitation according to the procedures of the Lipid Research Clinic (22). The content of cholesterol and triglyceride in the various samples was measured by enzymatic assay kits purchased from Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN) and Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) respectively. Serum Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, CO₂, creatinine, uric acid, fasting glucose, SGOT, SGPT, LDH, alkaline phosphatase, bilirubin, total protein, albumin, free thyroxine index, and thyrotropinstimulating hormone were measured on each subject (AM Laboratories, Inc., Dallas, TX) and were normal unless indicated in Table II. Urine was examined for the presence of protein, glucose, or blood using Chemstrip 5L (Biodynamics/Boehringer-Mannheim Diagnostics) and was normal unless indicated in Table II.

Results

The P. family is a Puerto Rican kindred whose members currently live in the United States. The proband of the P. family is

Table I. Metabolism of ¹²⁵ I-LDL by Fibroblasts from	FH
Homozygote 848 and His Mother	

	¹²⁵ I-LDL									
Subject	Surface-bound	Internalized	Degraded							
		ng/mg protein								
Normal	192	1,310	3,760							
FH 848	6	9	20							
Mother	76	302	2,340							

On day 7 of cell growth after incubation of cells for 48 h in lipoprotein-deficient serum, each monolayer from the indicated subject received 2 ml of medium containing 5% lipoprotein-deficient serum and 10 μ g protein/ml of ¹²⁵I-LDL (140 cpm/ng protein) in the absence and presence of 500 μ g protein/ml of unlabeled LDL. After incubation for 5 h at 37°C, the total amounts of surface-bound, internalized, and degraded ¹²⁵I-LDL were determined. The data shown represent high affinity values, which were calculated by subtracting the values obtained in the presence of excess unlabeled LDL (nonspecific values) from those obtained in the absence of unlabeled LDL (total values). The nonspecific values composed < 10% of the total values. Each value represents the mean of triplicate incubations.

a 9-yr-old boy (FH 848) with the classic clinical features of homozygous FH. He presented at age 4 with a total plasma cholesterol concentration of 800 and 890 mg/dl on two occasions before initiation of drug therapy. Cutaneous xanthomata were first noted at age 4. He has not experienced angina pectoris and has a normal resting electrocardiogram. He is currently treated with combined therapy that includes lovastatin, probucol, niacin, and cholestyramine. His LDL-cholesterol concentration on therapy is ~ 480 mg/dl, and his HDL-cholesterol is 33 mg/dl. His plasma triglycerides vary between 131 and 224 mg/dl.

Table I compares the metabolism of ¹²⁵I-LDL at 37°C in fibroblasts from FH homozygote 848, his mother, with that of one normal individual studied simultaneously. The FH 848 cells bound $\sim 3\%$ of the normal amount of LDL at the surface. After 5 h, the cells internalized and degraded < 1% of the normal amount of ¹²⁵I-LDL. These findings are consistent with the presence of two mutant alleles at the LDL receptor locus (1). In fibroblasts from the mother of FH 848, the amount of ¹²⁵I-LDL binding, internalization, and degradation were 40, 23, and 62% of normal, respectively. These findings are consistent with the presence of one normal allele and one defective allele at the LDL receptor locus (1).

To determine whether the FH 848 cells synthesize defective LDL receptors, the cells were incubated with [³⁵S]methionine for 2 h, after which they were washed and incubated with unlabeled methionine for 0, 1.2, or 2 h. The cells were harvested and solubilized with detergent, and the LDL receptors were immunoprecipitated and subjected to SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. The normal LDL receptor is synthesized as a precursor with an apparent M_r of 120,000, which is processed in the Golgi complex to a mature form with an apparent M_r of 160,000 (11). This processed form is rapidly transported to the cell surface. In normal cells at the zero time point, approximately equal amounts of the precursor and mature forms of the LDL receptor were present (Fig. 1, *left*). After



Figure 1. Electrophoresis of ³⁵S-labeled LDL receptors from FH homozygote 848 and his mother. On day 6 of cell growth after 24 h incubation in lipoprotein-deficient serum, fibroblast monolayers from the indicated subject were pulse labeled for 2 h with 110 μ Ci/ml [³⁵S]methionine and then chased with unlabeled methionine for the indicated time. The cell extracts were processed by immunoprecipitation with IgG-C7, an anti-LDL receptor MAb, followed by SDS gel electrophoresis as described in Methods. The dried gel was subjected to autoradiography for 18 h at -70° C using XAR-5 film and an intensifying screen. The relative molecular mass of the precursor (M_r 120,000) and mature (M_r 160,000) LDL receptor proteins are indicated. The gels were calibrated with standards of known molecular weight as previously described (11).

the 2-h chase, all of the remaining precursor was processed to the mature form. Cells from FH 848 synthesized a precursor of apparently normal molecular weight that was processed to the mature form at a slow rate. After a 2-h chase, < 20% of the receptor was in the mature form (Fig. 1, *middle*). Cells from the mother of FH 848 appeared to have two types of LDL receptors. The LDL receptors produced by her normal allele were processed at a normal rate (Fig. 1, *right*). The receptors produced by the mutant allele remained in the precursor form even after the 2-h chase.

The receptors that reach the cell surface in the FH 848 cells were capable of binding an MAb that recognizes the first repeat in the ligand binding domain (23) (Fig. 2 *A*). The total amount of binding was ~ 40% of normal at 4°C. This mutant receptor was unable to bind ¹²⁵I-LDL (Fig. 2 *B*), but it did bind



Figure 2. Surface binding of (A) ¹²⁵I-IgG-C7, (B) ¹²⁵I-LDL, and (C) ¹²⁵I- β -VLDL at 4°C to monolayers of fibroblasts from a normal subject (•) and FH 848 (•). On day 7 of cell growth after 48 h incubation in lipoprotein-deficient serum, fibroblasts from the indicated subject received 1.5 ml of ice-cold medium containing 5% lipoprotein-deficient serum and the indicated concentration of one of the following ligands in the presence or absence of either (A) 300 μ g/ml or (B and C) 500 μ g protein/ml of the corresponding unlabeled ligand: ¹²⁵I-IgG-C7 (476 cpm/ng protein), ¹²⁵I-LDL (276 cpm/ng), or ¹²⁵I- β -VLDL (141 cpm/ng). After incubation for 3 h at 4°C, the total radioactivity bound to the cells was determined. The data represent high-affinity binding, which was calculated as described in the legend to Table I. Each value represents the average of duplicate incubations.

Normal						FH 8	48
ТА			Amino			TA	
+ +			Acid			+ +	-
CCGG			No.			CCG	G
	TGG	Trp	159	Trp	TGG	Server a	-
_ = -	GAG	Glu	158	Glu	GAG		
	GAT	Asp	157	Asp	GAT		100 100 2004
	TCG	Ser	156	Leu	TTG		
	GGC	Gly	155	Gly	GGC		-
	GAT	Asp	154	Asp	GAT	ana	
	GAA	Glu	153	Glu	GAA		-
	/						

Figure 3. DNA sequence of LDL mutation in FH 848. Two oligonucleotides, SP 51 (5'-CGCCCATACCG-CAGTTTTCC-3') from the exon 4/intron 4 junction (noncoding strand) and endlabeled SP 15A (5'-CCCC-AGCTGTGGGGCCTGCGA-CAACG) from exon 4 (coding strand) were used to amplify the 3' region of exon 4(15) from the genomic DNA of a normal individual and FH 848. The amplified product was size fractionated, and the purified 220-bp band was sequenced as described in Methods. The sequence of FH 848 and the normal fragment were compared and revealed a C-to-T transition in the codon of amino acid residue 156 resulting in a substitution of leucine for serine.

¹²⁵I- β -VLDL (Fig. 2 *C*). The binding of ¹²⁵I- β -VLDL and ¹²⁵I-IgG-C7 were reduced in parallel in the FH 848 cells, suggesting that all of the receptors that reached the cell surface bound β -VLDL. Together, the results of Table I and Figs. 1 and 2 suggest that the mutation in the FH 848 cells slows the folding of the protein so that its transport to the cell surface is delayed. The mutant protein that reaches the cell surface is unable to bind LDL but can bind β -VLDL. Similar results have been observed previously with mutations that affect the cysteinerich repeats in the ligand binding domain (7, 24), or in the EGF precursor homology region (7).

To search for the mutation in FH 848, we used the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify and sequence each of the exons in the ligand binding domain and the EGF precursor region. Only one mutation was found (Fig. 3). In exon 4, in the region encoding the fourth repeat in the ligand binding domain (4), a cytosine at nucleotide position 530 was changed to a thymidine, changing the codon for amino acid 156 from serine to leucine (Fig. 3). This amino acid is part of the highly conserved SerAspGlu sequence that is found in each repeat of the ligand binding domain (4, 7). FH 848 appeared to have two copies of this mutant gene. No normal sequence was present at this position and restriction digests of genomic DNA ruled out the possibility that this region was deleted from one of his chromosomes (data not shown).

Functional and biosynthetic studies of the LDL receptor in fibroblasts from the mother of FH 848 confirmed that she was an FH heterozygote (Table I and Fig. 1). However, the mother's plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations were repeatedly in the normal range. Her LDL-cholesterol value was $\sim 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ on two determinations; these values are at the 28th percentile for her age and sex. The father of FH 848, also an obligate FH heterozygote, died at age 32 of a sudden death, caused by acute myocardial infarction as documented by autopsy. Over a 7-yr period before his death (1974–1981), the father had nine total plasma cholesterol determinations, the average of which was 305 mg/dl.

Fig. 4 shows the pedigree of the mother's family, and the data are summarized in Table II. The propositus (FH 848) is subject III-23, and the mother is II-14.



Figure 4. Pedigree of P. family. The propositus, III-23, is homozygous for haplotype 32 at the LDL receptor locus. All pedigree members who are heterozygous for haplotype 32 are indicated by half-closed symbols. The haplotypes of the two deceased individuals, I-1 and II-13, were deduced by analysis of their children. Clinical information on each individual is presented in Table II. Circles, females; squares, males; slashed lines, individuals who are deceased; asterisks denote individuals who are FH heterozygotes with a normal plasma LDL-cholesterol level.

			Plasma cholesterol				LDL	F						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Pedigree position	Age	Sex	Height	Weight	Total	VLDL	LDL	HDL	Plasma triglycerides	haplotype	isoform	Clinical data	Drugs
		yr		ст	kg (% IBW)			mg/dl		mg/dl				
	I-1*	72	М								1/32‡	4,3‡	CHD; emphysema	
	I-2	79	F	155	62 (131%)	177, 210	79, 94	72, 86 (<5) ^{\$}	26, 30	449, 532	2/11	4, 4	Arcus; diabetes mellitus	Insulin, methyldopa, pentoxisylline, digoxin
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.4	50	F	154	74 (158%)	401	169	336 (>95)	38	27	30/32	3, 3	Arcus	Clofibrate, diltiazem
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-1	50	M	165	68 (111%)	256	24	206 (>95)	26	126	11/32	4, 3	Xanthelasmas; arcus	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11_2	45	F	173	86 (133%)	192, 174	13.18	132, 123 (51)	47, 33	103, 94	12/16	3, 3		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-4 [#]	46	F	152	77 (170%)	167, 205	14, 30	120, 142 (54)	33, 33	109, 140	2/32	4, 3	Xanthelasmas; arcus	Ibuprofen
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-6	44	F	154	71 (149%)	255, 274	16, 58	204, 195 (>95)	35, 21	111, <i>2</i> 97	11/32	4, 3		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-7	43	M	170	82 (123%)	286, 242	35, 44	218, 174 (>95)	33, 24	190, 223	11/32	4, 3		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11-8	33	F	159	90 (176%)	184, 203	14, 25	115, 145 (77)	55, 33	109, 221	1/12	4, 3		Oral contraceptive
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11-9	39	M	171	82 (118%)	185, 183	71, 93	85, 66 (<5)	29, 24	377, 612	1/2	4, 3	4+ Glycosuria	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-10	40	F	160	67 (128%)	204, 197	5, 7	116, 120 (44)	83, 70	61, 58	1/37	3, 3		Cimetadine
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-11	37	M	144	83 (131%)	281, 250	22, 14	227, 200 (>95)	32, 36	142, 107	11/32	4, 4		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-12	32	F	156	66 (138%)	179	15	111 (53)	53	115	1/9	1		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-13*	32	М								29/32‡	4, 3 [‡]	Sudden death	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-14 ^{II}	35	F	158	75 (147%)	142, 162	10, 27	100, 96 (28)	32, <i>3</i> 9	57, <i>165</i>	11/32	4, 3		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-15 [#]	34	м	168	76 (118%)	168, <i>172</i>	10, <i>28</i>	127, <i>115</i> (46)	31, <i>32</i>	74, 316	2/32	4, 3		Theophylline, albuterol, ipratropium, prednisone
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-16	30	F	157	65 (129%)	221. 184	27, 40	147, 103 (71)	47, <i>41</i>	213, <i>221</i>	1	3, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	II-18	32	м	172	79 (114%)	186, 180	57, 22	85, 121 (22)	44, 37	275, 172	1/11	4, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-1	25	м	180	96 (122%)	173, 178	38, 39	107, 110 (41)	28, 29	342, 302	11/12	4, 3	Arcus	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111-3	19	F	151	71 (106%)	278, 223	3, 8	226, 181 (>95)	49, 34	51, 57	16/32	3, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111-4	14	F	175	61 (93%)	198	16	153 (>95)	29	82	12/32	3, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111-5	12	M	162	55 (93%)	203, 200	12, 18	148, 154 (>95)	43, 28	82, 109	12/32	4, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-6	26	М	175	123 (170%)	143, 156	25, 27	80, 96 (19)	38, 33	151, 213	2/11	4, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-7 [#]	25	F	165	79 (138%)	126	5	96 (33)	25	42	11/32	4, 3		Oral contraceptive
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111-9	25	F	164	97 (175%)	320, 306	19, <i>35</i>	248, 220 (>95)	53, <i>51</i>	149, <i>197</i>	32/60	4, 3		Oral contraceptive
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-12	20	F	169	67 (112%)	345, 286	12, 15	280, 208 (>95)	53, 6 <i>3</i>	100, 112	32/60	4, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-13	24	М	175	107 (148%)	165	25	94 (39)	46	166	1	3, 2		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-14	17	М	168	65 (101%)	130	11	82 (29)	37	104	1/11	4, 3		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-15	14	Μ	170	77 (113%)	234, 222	9, 16	178, <i>173</i> (>95)	47, 33	62, <i>24</i> 6	1/32	4, 4		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-16	11	F	150	50 (106%)	148	12	87 (37)	49	100	11/12			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-18	19	Μ	188	95 (117%)	146	13	92 (48)	41	88	2/37	1		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	III-19	11	Μ	159	68 (122%)	163, 134	10, 8	90, 81 (35)	63, 45	71,68	1/37	3, 3		
III-21* 16 M 174 67 (91%) 172, 186 5, 13 119, 121 (88) 48, 52 57, 106 11/32 3, 3 III-22* 10 M 144 52 (141%) 178, 188 40, 40 100, 113 (72) 38, 36 274, 321 29/32 4, 4 III-23 9 M 135 33 (109%) 517, 564 12, 44 472, 489 (>95) 33, 31 131, 224 32/32 3, 3 Cutaneous Lovastatin, probucol, niacin, cholestyramine III-24 10 F 142 36 (102%) 182 12 117 (82) 53 85 2/11 1 IV-2 2 F 15 141 66 1 1 IV-3 1 M 245 71 1 1 1	III-20	8	F			245				230	1/32	1		
III-22* 10 M 144 52 (141%) 178, 188 40, 40 100, 113 (72) 38, 36 274, 321 29/32 4, 4 III-23 9 M 135 33 (109%) 517, 564 12, 44 472, 489 (>95) 33, 31 131, 224 32/32 3, 3 Cutaneous xanthoma Lovastatin, probucol, niacin, cholestyramine III-24 10 F 142 36 (102%) 182 12 117 (82) 53 85 2/11 1 IV-2 2 F 15 141 66 1 1 IV-3 1 M 245 71 1 1	III-21*	16	Μ	174	67 (91%)	172, <i>18</i> 6	5, <i>13</i>	119, <i>121</i> (88)	48, 52	57, 106	11/32	3, 3		
III-23 9 M 135 33 (109%) 517, 564 12, 44 472, 489 (>95) 33, 31 131, 224 32/32 3, 3 Cutaneous Lovastatin, probucol, niacin, cholestyramine III-24 10 F 142 36 (102%) 182 12 117 (82) 53 85 2/11 1<	III-22*	10	Μ	144	52 (141%)	178, <i>188</i>	40, <i>40</i>	100, <i>113</i> (72)	38, <i>36</i>	274, 321	29/32	4, 4	_	
III-24 10 F 142 36 (102%) 182 12 117 (82) 53 85 2/11 1 IV-2 2 F 15 141 66 1 1 IV-3 1 M 245 71 1 1	III-23	9	М	135	33 (109%)	517, 564	12, 4 4	472, 489 (>95)	33, 31	131, 224	32/32	3, 3	Cutaneous xanthoma	Lovastatin, probucol, niacin, cholestyramine
IV-2 2 F 15 141 66 1 IV-3 1 M 245 71 1	III-24	10	F	142	36 (102%)	182	12	117 (82)	53	85	2/11	٦		
IV-3 1 M 245 71 1	IV-2	2	F		15	141				66	1	1		
	IV-3	1	М			245				71	٩	٦		

Table II. Summary of Clinical Findings, Blood Lipoprotein Concentrations, and LDL Receptor Haplotypes in P. Family

All blood samples were obtained after a 12-16-h fast except those shown in italics. No individuals were on a low-fat/low-cholesterol diet at the time of sampling. CHD, coronary heart disease; IBW, ideal body weight. * Deceased. * Deduced from family data. * Percentile for single LDL-cholesterol value or average of two values. Values based on age and sex-matched data (22). "FH heterozygotes with LDL-lowering gene. * ND; either no DNA available or individual heterozygous at more than one RFLP site and no relatives available to determine haplotypes.

In an initial attempt to determine which of the family members inherited the defective LDL receptor gene, we performed an RFLP haplotype analysis on chromosome 19 in the region of the LDL receptor gene using 10 polymorphic restriction sites as described in Methods. The proband, FH 848, was homozygous for a haplotype not previously observed in the American Caucasian population (18). This haplotype, designated 32 (see Methods), was linked to the amino acid substitution in the coding region of the LDL receptor gene. FH 848 received one copy of this mutant chromosome from his father and another copy from his mother. Although consanguinity was denied, it seems likely that the mother and father had a common ancestor because they both originated from neighboring villages in Puerto Rico.

Among the mother's relatives, 12 were hypercholesterolemic (*closed triangles* above the 95th percentile in Fig. 5). All of these individuals had one copy of a chromosome bearing an LDL receptor with haplotype 32. However, six other relatives



Figure 5. Plasma LDLcholesterol concentrations in members of P. family plotted as a function of age. The normal range for LDLcholesterol values at each age is indicated by diagonal stripes. The 5th, 50th, and 95th percentile values were determined by averaging

data for male and female controls at each age (22). Only individuals on the maternal side of the proband's family are shown. \blacktriangle , FH heterozygotes (those individuals who have a single haplotype 32-bearing LDL receptor gene); \triangle , first-degree relatives who do not have haplotype 32; \Box , spouses. Each symbol represents a single value or the average of two LDL-cholesterol determinations (see Table II). The LDL-cholesterol values for individuals III-20 (age 8), IV-2 (age 2), and IV-3 (age 1) were estimated as 70% of the total plasma cholesterol level (Table II).

(including the mother) who inherited haplotype 32 had plasma LDL-cholesterol levels in the normal range (*solid triangles* within shaded area of Fig. 5).

The individuals who inherited haplotype 32, and presumably the mutant LDL receptor gene, are indicated by half-filled symbols in the pedigree of Fig. 4. The subset of these FH heterozygotes with normal LDL-cholesterol levels is indicated by an asterisk. Two of these normocholesterolemic individuals were the siblings of the proband, designated III-21 and III-22. These two boys (age 16 and 10, respectively) each inherited one copy of the LDL receptor-bearing chromosome that contained haplotype 32. They each had total cholesterol concentrations < 190 mg/dl (Table II).

To confirm that the individuals with haplotype 32 possessed the nucleotide substitution in the coding region of the LDL receptor gene, we performed PCR on exon 4 in genomic DNA, spotted the amplified products on nitrocellulose filters, and hybridized the filters with ³²P-labeled oligonucleotide probes that were specific either for the normal or mutant sequence (Fig. 6). DNA from a relative without haplotype 32 (II-2) hybridized only to the normal probe. The DNA from FH homozygote 848 (III-23) hybridized only to the mutant probe. The DNA from all individuals with haplotype 32 hybridized to both the normal and the mutant probes indicating that these

Probe	Normal	FH 848	-					Re	lati	ves				1	-
Normal			•						•		•		•	•	
Mutant				•		•			•						
	0		0		*	0				*	*		*	*	0
	П-2	田-23	I-2	1-1	П-4	9-П	7-II	6-I	П-11	П-14	П-15	П-18	田-21	田-22	I-4

Figure 6. Oligonucleotide hybridization of PCR-amplified DNA from members of P. family. Exon 4 of the LDL receptor gene was amplified from 1 μ g of genomic DNA from se-

lected members of the P. family using oligonucleotides SP 61N (5'-CCCCCAAGACGTGCTCCCAGGACGA-3') and SP 51A (5'-ACGCCCCGCCCCCACCCTGCCCCGC-3'), as described in Methods. One-tenth of the amplified product (5 μ l) was denatured prior to dot-blotting onto duplicate nylon membranes. The filters were subsequently hybridized with ³²P-end-labeled oligo 848B (5'-AAGATGGCTCGGATGAGTG-3'), an oligonucleotide homologous to the normal sequence, or ³²P-end-labeled oligo 848C(5'-AA-GATGGCTTGGATGAGTG3'), an oligonucleotide homologous to the mutant sequence of FH 848. individuals all inherited one copy of the mutant LDL receptor gene. Among the individuals demonstrated to have the mutant LDL receptor gene in Fig. 6, six had normal LDL-cholesterol levels (designated by asterisks). These included the proband's mother (II-14) and his two brothers (III-21 and III-22). It also included one of the mother's brothers (II-15), sisters (II-4), and sister's children (III-7).

The simplest explanation for the distribution of LDL-cholesterol values in the P. family postulates the transmission of a dominant gene that suppresses the LDL-elevating effect of the LDL receptor mutation. Proceeding on the basis of this hypothesis, we sought to determine whether any of the genes whose products are known to affect the interaction of LDL with its receptor cosegregated with this suppressor phenotype. We therefore sought for genetic linkage between the suppressor gene and the gene for the LDL receptor itself or the genes for its two ligands, apo B-100 and apo E.

Haplotype analysis excluded the possibility that the suppression of the LDL receptor defect in FH heterozygotes was caused by the normal allele at the LDL receptor locus. For example, the two siblings of the propositus, III-21 and III-22, both had suppressed LDL-cholesterol values, yet they inherited different normal LDL receptor alleles (haplotype 11 and haplotype 29, respectively) (Fig. 7). The mother's brother and sister (II-4 and II-15) who also had suppressed LDL-cholesterol values inherited a third haplotype (haplotype 2). The haplotype 11 gene, which was associated with low LDL-cholesterols in patient III-21, was associated with high LDL cholesterols in patients II-11 and II-6 (Fig. 7). These data exclude the possibility that a "superactive" normal LDL receptor allele is responsible for suppressing the effect of the mutant LDL receptor gene.



Figure 7. Summary of genetic findings in P. family. The individuals in the pedigree are numbered according to Fig. 4. Each LDL-cholesterol value represents a single determination or the average of two values (see Table II); the percentiles for LDL-cholesterol were determined from sex- and age-matched control data (22). LDL receptor gene haplotypes were determined as described in Methods. Apo B genotypes were determined as described in Fig. 8. The apo E isoforms were determined by immunoblotting with antibodies specific for apo E2, E3, and E4 (21). Genotypes for the apo E-CI-CII gene complex were determined as described in Methods. [§]Deceased individual whose haplotype, genotype, or isoform was deduced from family data.



Figure 8. Segregation analysis of the apo B gene in the P. family. A hypervariable region (VNTR) located 181 bp 3' of the poly(A) addition site of the apo B gene was amplified by PCR as described in Methods and shown schematically in A. Nucleotide position 1 denotes the basepair following the first poly(A) addition site (AATAA). ³²P-end-labeled oligonucleotide HV-1 (corresponding to nucleotide position 155-179) and unlabeled oligonucleotide HV-2 were used to amplify the indicated hypervariable region from 1 μ g of genomic DNA as shown in A. An aliquot of the amplified product was sizefractionated on a denaturing gel and subjected to autoradiography (B and C). The symbols and designation for each individual in the pedigrees are the same as those in Fig. 4. In B, the size standards for apo B alleles included pooled samples from individuals II-6 and II-7. Four different bands ranging in size from 700 to 810 bp were arbitrarily numbered as apo B alleles 2-5. The size of the amplified DNA fragments were determined by comparison with phiX-Hae III-digested DNA. In C, the apo B genotypes of the proband (III-23), his siblings (III-21 and III-22), mother (II-14), and paternal grandmother (I-4) are shown. Three additional apo B alleles from the paternal side of the family (numbered 1, 6, and 7) are denoted, and their size in basepairs was determined as in B.

To trace the apo B gene in the P. family, we used PCR to explore a region at the 3' end of the gene that contains a variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) (Fig. 8 A) (19). In the immediate family of the propositus, seven different chromosomes bearing the apo B gene were identified on the basis of VNTR of different length (Fig. 8). The linkage data excluded cosegregation of the apo B gene with the LDL-lowering gene. For example, the mother of the propositus (II-14) has two alleles at the apo B locus, designated 4 and 5 (Fig. 8 C). One of her sons (III-21) inherited allele 5 and the other (III-22) inherited allele 4. These two boys also inherited different alleles from the father (alleles 1 and 6, respectively) (Fig. 8 C). Although they did not share an apo B gene, both of them had low LDL-cholesterol values. Among the mother's siblings, several comparisons excluded linkage of the apo B gene to the low LDL-cholesterols. For example, individual II-4 had a low LDL-cholesterol, yet she did not share any apo B genes with her sister (II-14) who had a similarly low cholesterol (Fig. 8 B). Similarly, individuals II-4 and II-11 had the same alleles at the apo B locus, yet one had a high LDL-cholesterol and the other a low LDL-cholesterol.

The gene for apo E, a known ligand for the LDL receptor, was polymorphic in the mother's family, but none of the apo E isoforms (21) cosegregated with the low LDL-cholesterol (Fig. 7). Thus, the mother (II-14) was heterozygous for isoforms E4 and E3. Her two heterozygous sons with low LDLcholesterol values were each homozygous, one for E3 and the other E4. Three of the mother's siblings with E4/E3 heterozygosity had high LDL-cholesterols (subjects II-1, II-6, and II-7) (Fig. 7). Thus, the low LDL-cholesterol values in the propositus' mother (II-14) cannot be attributable to her E4/E3 heterozygosity.

It is possible that the LDL suppressor effect is due to the inheritance of a mutation at the apo E locus that is independent of the polymorphisms responsible for the common apo E isoforms. To exclude this possibility, we constructed haplotypes in the region of chromosome 19 containing the closely spaced apo E, apo CI, and apo CII loci (20), using an RFLP 5' of the apo CI gene and the results of the apo E isoform determinations. These data excluded cosegregation of LDL levels with any gene in this region. For example, the propositus' mother was heterozygous for genotype 1 and genotype 4 (Fig. 7). One of her heterozygous sons inherited her genotype 1-bearing chromosome (III-21) and the other inherited her genotype 4-bearing chromosome (III-22), yet both of these sons had low LDL-cholesterol values (Fig. 7).

Discussion

This paper describes a kindred, the P. family, that includes 18 FH heterozygotes who have a bimodal distribution of plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations. 12 of these heterozygotes have LDL-cholesterol concentrations above the 95th percentile, as would be expected for FH. 6 of the 18 FH heterozygotes have plasma LDL-cholesterols within the normal range (Fig. 5). The pedigree is consistent with the transmission of a single dominant gene that ameliorates or suppresses the cholesterol-elevating effect of the LDL receptor mutation (Fig. 4). Thus, in generation II, subjects II-4, II-14, and II-15 are postulated to possess the suppressor gene. II-4 and II-14 passed it on to their offspring. The other FH heterozygotes in generation II did not show evidence for the suppressor gene, and none of their offspring in generations III or IV showed suppression of hyper-cholesterolemia.

Through linkage analysis, we have excluded the three most obvious candidates for suppressors of hypercholesterolemia caused by defective LDL receptors, i.e., the normal LDL receptor and its two ligands, apo B-100 and apo E. If a suppressor gene is present, it must produce another protein that influences plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations. To suggest other candidate genes, it is necessary to consider the mechanism by which the LDL receptor influences plasma LDL concentrations.

The LDL receptor mediates the removal from blood of lipoproteins that carry endogenous cholesterol (1). The receptor binds lipoproteins that contain apo B-100 or apo E and mediates the uptake of these lipoproteins by receptor-mediated endocytosis, primarily in the liver, and their subsequent destruction in lysosomes. Endogenous cholesterol transport begins when the liver secretes triglyceride-rich VLDL which contains both apo E and apo B-100. The apo E on VLDL is relatively inactive in LDL receptor binding, apparently because it is masked by other proteins, the apo Cs (25, 26). After the triglycerides of VLDL have been removed by lipoprotein lipase in capillaries of adipose tissue and muscle, the VLDL particle shrinks, becomes relatively enriched in cholesterol, and is designated as IDL or β -VLDL. During this conversion the apo Cs leave the particle, the apo E is activated, and IDL acquires the ability to bind to LDL receptors with extremely high affinity via apo E. As a result of this binding, most IDL particles are cleared from blood by binding to hepatic LDL receptors (27). Some IDL particles escape hepatic uptake and

undergo further conversion to LDL. This conversion, which is facilitated by hepatic lipase (28), is accompanied by the loss of apo E (29).

A defect in LDL receptors elevates plasma LDL through a combination of overproduction and inefficient catabolism (27). Overproduction results from a reduction in the hepatic clearance of IDL particles, thereby causing an increased conversion to LDL. Inefficient catabolism of LDL is a direct result of the reduction in LDL receptors. Given this scheme, the putative gene for LDL suppression must either lower the rate of VLDL secretion or enhance the rate of clearance of IDL or LDL.

It is probably significant that the mutant LDL receptor in the P. family retains its ability to bind β -VLDL, which is a form of IDL. This binding is not sufficient in itself to prevent the increase in plasma LDL concentrations because most of the FH heterozygotes in this family have elevated LDL values. Moreover, FH 848, the homozygous propositus, has markedly elevated LDL values despite the ability of his receptors to bind IDL. However, this binding does create the possibility that some individuals may be able to escape hypercholesterolemia.

A reasonable hypothesis is that individuals with the suppressor gene can use the IDL-binding capacity of the mutant LDL receptor to enhance IDL clearance, whereas the hypercholesterolemic individuals lack this ability. One possibility is that the individuals with the suppressor gene have a delay in the conversion of IDL to LDL. This would allow the IDL to remain in the circulation longer, with its apo E attached. It would then have a greater opportunity to be removed from the circulation by the normal and defective LDL receptors before it is converted to LDL. Such an event might occur if the individuals with the suppressor gene had a relatively low activity of hepatic lipase. Another possibility is that these subjects have an abnormal form of apo C that is unable to inhibit the binding activity of apo E on IDL. This abnormality cannot involve apo CI or apo CII because of the linkage analysis (Fig. 7). However, we cannot exclude an alteration of apo CIII. To date, we have been unable to obtain an informative segregation analysis using ten polymorphisms at the apo AI-CIII-AIV locus (30) in the relevant members of the P. family.

A final possibility relates to the regulation of LDL receptor production. The suppressor gene might lead to increased expression of LDL receptors in the liver. Such an effect could result from a direct stimulation of LDL receptor gene transcription, or indirectly as a result of an alteration in the metabolism of bile acids or cholesterol in a fashion that would lead to a reduction in hepatic cholesterol concentrations, which in turn would induce LDL receptors (1).

We cannot exclude the possibility that VLDL production and/or secretion is reduced by the suppressor gene. The factors that control the production and secretion of VLDL are poorly understood and specific products regulating these processes have not been identified.

An important question is whether the putative LDL suppressor gene lowers LDL-cholesterol values in the absence of an LDL receptor mutation. The maternal grandmother (I-2) and one of the mother's siblings (II-9) had LDL-cholesterol concentrations that were below the 5th percentile. This raises the possibility that the suppressor gene reduces LDL-cholesterol below normal in individuals with normal LDL receptors. The number of subjects in this pedigree is not sufficient to allow this hypothesis to be tested conclusively. It is possible, however, that the gene observed here as a suppressor of hypercholesterolemia is one of the mutations that produce dominantly inherited hypobetaliproteinemia in individuals with normal LDL receptors. Certain mutations in the apo B gene are postulated to have this cholesterol-lowering effect (31), but because linkage with the apo B gene was excluded, such a mutation cannot account for the findings in the current pedigree.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ray Wheatley in the laboratory of Dr. Scott M. Grundy for performing lipoprotein analysis. Shellie Craig, Kathy Schueler, Evan Tobin, and Tommy Hyatt provided excellent technical assistance in the DNA studies.

This report was supported by research grants from the National Institutes of Health (HL-20948), the Perot Family Foundation, and the Moss Heart Foundation. H. H. Hobbs is supported by the Syntex Scholar Program. E. Leitersdorf was the recipient of a fellowship from the Fogarty International Center of the National Institutes of Health Research (1F-05-TW03742).

References

1. Goldstein, J. L., and M. S. Brown. 1989. Familial hypercholesterolemia. *In* The Metabolic Basis of Inherited Disease. C. R. Scriver, A. L. Beaudet, W. S. Sly, and D. Valle, editors. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. 1215–1250.

2. Harlan, W. R., Jr., J. B. Graham, and E. H. Estes. 1966. Familial hypercholesterolemia: A genetic and metabolic study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 45:77-110.

3. Lindgren, V., K. L. Luskey, D. W. Russell, and U. Francke. 1985. Human genes involved in cholesterol metabolism: chromosomal mapping of the loci for the low density lipoprotein receptor and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase with cDNA probes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 82:8567–8571.

4. Südhof, T. C., J. L. Goldstein, M. S. Brown, and D. W. Russell. 1985. The LDL receptor gene: a mosaic of exons shared with different proteins. *Science (Wash. DC)*. 228:815–822.

5. Mahley, R. W., and T. L. Innerarity. 1983. Lipoprotein receptors and cholesterol homeostasis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 737:197-222.

6. Hobbs, H. H., M. S. Brown, J. L. Goldstein, and D. W. Russell. 1986. Deletion of exon encoding cysteine-rich repeat of LDL receptor alters its binding specificity in a subject with familial hypercholesterolemia. J. Biol. Chem. 261:13114-13120.

7. Esser, V., L. E. Limbird, M. S. Brown, J. L. Goldstein, and D. W. Russell. 1988. Mutational analysis of the ligand binding domain of the low density lipoprotein receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:13282-13290.

8. Goldstein, J. L., S. K. Basu, and M. S. Brown. 1983. Receptormediated endocytosis of LDL in cultured cells. *Methods Enzymol.* 98:241-260.

9. Kovanen, P. T., M. S. Brown, S. K. Basu, D. W. Bilheimer, and J. L. Goldstein. 1981. Saturation and suppression of hepatic lipoprotein receptors: A mechanism for the hypercholesterolemia of cholesterol-fed rabbits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 78:1396–1400.

10. Beisiegel, U., W. J. Schneider, J. L. Goldstein, R. G. W. Anderson, and M. S. Brown. 1981. Monoclonal antibodies to the low density lipoprotein receptor as probes for study of receptor-mediated endocytosis and the genetics of familial hypercholesterolemia. J. Biol. Chem. 256:11923-11931.

11. Tolleshaug, H., K. K. Hobgood, M. S. Brown, and J. L. Goldstein. 1983. The LDL receptor locus in familial hypercholesterolemia: multiple mutations disrupting the transport and processing of a membrane receptor. *Cell*. 32:941–951.

12. Maxam, A. M., and W. Gilbert. 1980. Sequencing end-labeled DNA with base-specific chemical cleavages. *Methods Enzymol.* 65:499-560.

13. Maniatis, T., E. F. Fritsch, and J. Sambrook. 1982. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY. 122.

14. Geck, P., and I. Nasz. 1983. Concentrated, digestible DNA after hydroxylapatite chromatography with cetylpyridinium bromide precipitation. *Anal. Biochem.* 135:264–268.

15. Yamamoto, T., C. G. Davis, M. S. Brown, W. J. Schneider, M. L. Casey, J. L. Goldstein, and D. W. Russell. 1984. The human LDL receptor: a cysteine-rich protein with multiple Alu sequences in its mRNA. *Cell.* 39:27-38.

16. Church, G. M., and W. Gilbert. 1984. Genomic sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 81:1991-1995.

17. Lehrman, M. A., W. J. Schneider, T. C. Sudhof, M. S. Brown, J. L. Goldstein, and D. W. Russell. 1985. Mutation in LDL receptor: Alu-Alu recombination deletes exons encoding transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains. *Science (Wash. DC).* 227:140–146.

18. Leitersdorf, E., A. Chakravarti, and H. H. Hobbs. 1989. Polymorphic DNA haplotypes at the LDL receptor locus: application for the study of hypercholesterolemia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 44:409–421.

19. Huang, L.-S., and J. L. Breslow. 1987. A unique AT-rich hypervariable minisatellite 3' to the apoB gene defines a high information restriction fragment length polymorphism. J. Biol. Chem. 262:8952–8955.

20. Smit, M., E. v. d. Kooij-Meijs, L. P. Woudt, L. M. Havekes, and R. R. Frants. 1988. Exact localization of the familial dysbetalipoproteinemia associated HPAI restriction site in the promoter region of the apoC1 gene. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 152:1282–1288.

21. Menzel, H.-J., and G. Utermann. 1986. Apolipoprotein E phenotyping from serum by Western blotting. *Electrophoresis*. 7:492–495.

22. Lipid Research Clinic Program. 1982. Lipid and lipoprotein analysis. Manual of laboratory operations. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare publ. NIH/75-628 Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.

23. van Driel, I. R., J. L. Goldstein, T. C. Sudhof, and M. S. Brown. 1987. First cysteine-rich repeat in ligand-binding domain of low density lipoprotein receptor binds Ca^{2+} and monoclonal antibodies, but not lipoproteins. J. Biol. Chem. 262:17443–17449.

24. Yamamoto, T., R. W. Bishop, M. S. Brown, J. L. Goldstein, and D. W. Russell. 1986. Deletion in cysteine-rich region of LDL receptor impedes transport to cell surface in WHHL rabbit. *Science (Wash. DC).* 232:1230-1237.

25. Gianturco, S. H., F. B. Brown, A. M. Gotto, Jr., and W. A. Bradley. 1982. Receptor-mediated uptake of hypertriglyceridemic very low density lipoproteins by normal human fibroblasts. *J. Lipid Res.* 23:984–993.

26. Windler, E., and R. J. Havel. 1985. Inhibitory effects of C apolipoproteins from rats and humans on the uptake of triglyceriderich lipoproteins and their remnants by the perfused rat liver. J. Lipid Res. 26:556-565.

27. Goldstein, J. L., T. Kita, and M. S. Brown. 1983. Defective lipoprotein receptors and atherosclerosis: lessons from an animal counterpart of familial hypercholesterolemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 309:288-295.

28. Demant, T., L. A. Carlson, L. Holmquist, F. Karpe, P. Nilsson-Ehle, C. J. Ackard, and J. Shepherd. 1988. Lipoprotein metabolism in hepatic lipase deficiency: studies on the turnover of apolipoprotein B and on the effect of hepatic lipase on high density lipoprotein. J. Lipid Res. 29:1603-1611.

29. Gotto, A. M., Jr., H. J. Pownall, and R. J. Havel. 1986. Introduction to the plasma lipoproteins. *Methods Enzymol.* 128:3-41.

30. Antonarakis, S. E., P. Oettgen, A. Chakravarti, S. L. Holloran, R. R. Hudson, L. Feisee, and S. K. Karathanasis. 1988. DNA polymorphism haplotypes of the human apolipoprotein APOA1-APOC3-APOA4 gene cluster. *Hum. Genet.* 80:265–273.

31. Herbert, P. N., G. Assmann, A. M. Gotto, Jr., and D. S. Fredrickson. 1983. Familial lipoprotein deficiency: abetalipoproteinemia, hypobetalipoproteinemia, and Tangier disease. *In* The Metabolic Basis of Inherited Disease. J. B. Stanbury, J. B. Wyngaarden, D. S. Fredrickson, J. L. Goldstein, and M. S. Brown, editors. 5th ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York. 589-621.