Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms

1. Kuorinka^{*}, B. Jonsson[†], A. Kilbom^{**}, H. Vinterberg^{††}, F. Biering-Sørensen^{\oint}, G. Andersson^{$\oint \oint$} and K. Jørgensen^{π}

*Institute of Occupational Health, Department of Physiology, Helsinki, Finland;

[†]National Board of Occupational Safety and Health, Work Physiology Unit, Umeå, Sweden;

**National Board of Occupational Safety and Health, Work Physiology Unit, Research Department, Solna, Sweden;

^{††}Department of Rheumatology, County Hospital, Hillerød, Denmark;

⁹Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Denmark;

^{\$\$}Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush-Presbyterian – St. Luke's Medical Center, Chicago, USA;

^{π}August Krogh Institute, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Standardised questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms in an ergonomic or occupational health context are presented. The questions are forced choice variants and may be either self-administered or used in interviews. They concentrate on symptoms most often encountered in an occupational setting. The reliability of the questionnaires has been shown to be acceptable. Specific characteristics of work strain are reflected in the frequency of responses to the questionnaires.

Keywords: Questionnaires, musculoskeletal disorders, occupational health

Background

Musculoskeletal disorders and symptoms in a working population are common, occurring predominantly in the low back (see review by Troup and Edwards, 1985), neck and upper limbs (e g, Armstrong et al, 1982; Waris, 1979; Oxenburgh et al, 1985). Mechanical factors contribute to the development of these problems and in general influence symptoms (Kilbom et al, 1986; Maeda et al, 1979; Pope et al, 1984). To help define the problem and its relationship to work factors, increasing interest has been directed in many countries to the development of methods to estimate and record musculoskeletal symptoms. Questionnaires have proved to be the most obvious means of collecting the necessary data.

Standardisation is needed in the analysis and recording of the musculoskeletal symptoms. Otherwise it is difficult to compare the results from different studies. This consideration was the main motive for a Nordic group to start developing standardised questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Even a modest degree of standardisation was regarded as useful. We found that the major part of most questionnaires used in previous studies could have been easily comparable, but that the individual questions often differed in trivial details from study to study and thus impeded the comparison of the results. It was evident that the knowledge about the musculoskeletal symptoms was not sufficient to allow an advanced degree of standardisation. Consequently, we faced a trade-off between the banality of the questionnaire and the depth of the approach. The questionnaires presented here are a compromise between the extremes. We are well aware, however, that use of identical questionnaires is not the only prerequisite for comparison of data from different studies.

The questionnaires follow the tradition of some earlier medical questionnaires – e g, for cardiovascular (Rose and Blackburn, 1968) or pulmonary surveys (British Medical Research Council's questionnaire for chronic bronchitis (Anon, 1960a, 1960b)). The nature of the musculoskeletal symptoms dictates a different structure, however.

Supported by the Nordic Council of Ministers, a project was undertaken to develop and test standardised questionnaires on general, low back and neck/shoulder complaints. The text has been translated into four Nordic languages, using a multiple to-and-from technique from the source languages which were Swedish and Danish. Translation into English has been guided by native speakers of English, but might require further revision. If comparability with the Nordic languages is desired, a further check-and-cross translation is recommended.

Structure of the questionnaires

The questionnaires consist of structured, forced, binary or multiple choice variants and can be used as selfadministered questionnaires or in interviews. There are two types of questionnaires: a general questionnaire, and specific ones focusing on the low back and neck/shoulders. The purpose of the general questionnaire is simple surveying, while the specific ones permit a somewhat more profound analysis.

The two main purposes of the questionnaires are to serve as instruments (1) in the screening of musculoskeletal disorders in an ergonomics context, and (2) for occupational health care service. The questionnaires may provide means to measure the outcome of epidemiological studies on musculoskeletal disorders. The questionnaires are not meant to provide a basis for clinical diagnosis. Screening of the musculoskeletal disorders may serve as a diagnostic tool for analysing the work environment, work station and tool design. The incompatibility of the user and the task or the tool have been shown to relate to the musculoskeletal symptoms (van Wely, 1970; Corlett and Bishop, 1978). The localisation of symptoms may reveal the cause of loading. The occupational health service may use the questionnaire for multiple purposes - e g, for diagnosis of the work strain, for follow-up of the effects of improvements of the work environment, and so on.

General questionnaire

The general questionnaire was designed to answer the following question: "Do musculoskeletal troubles occur in a given population, and if so, in what parts of the body are they localised?" With this consideration in mind, a questionnaire was constructed in which the human body (viewed from the back) is divided into nine anatomical regions. These regions were selected on the basis of two criteria: regions where symptoms tend to accumulate, and regions which are distinguishable from each other both by the respondent and a health surveyor. The intentional choice of the back aspect of the body leaves gaps when disorders are located in the frontal part of the shoulder or on the flexor side of the upper limbs. This choice has been made because numerous possible causes of pain in the front part of the body (abdominal and thoracical pains, etc) might intermingle with the musculoskeletal pain in the upper thorax. Preliminary observations seem to point out that this choice does not significantly modify the response rates. The verbal questions deal with each anatomical area in turn, and inquire whether the respondent has, or has had, troubles in the respective area during the preceding 12 months, whether this pain is disabling and whether it is ongoing. Fig. 1 shows the anatomical areas and the layout of the questionnaire.

Special questionnaires for low back, neck and shoulder symptoms

The two specific questionnaires (Figs. 2 and 3) concentrate on anatomical areas in which the musculoskeletal symptoms are most common. These questionnaires probe more deeply into the analysis of the respective symptoms and contain questions on the duration of the symptoms over past time -ie, entire life, last 12 months,

Questionnaire about trouble with the locomotive organs





and previous 7 days. The main broadening in these questionnaires is that they analyse more thoroughly the severity of the symptoms in terms of their effect on activities at work and during leisure time, and in terms of total duration of symptoms and sick-leave during the preceding 12 months.

Limitations of the guestionnaires

The general limitations of questionnaire techniques also apply to these standardised questionnaires. The experience of the person who fills out the questionnaire may affect the results. Recent and more serious musculoskeletal disorders are prone to be remembered better than older and less serious ones. The environment and filling out situation at the time of the questioning may also affect the results (Brigham, 1975; Sinclair, 1975). From an epidemiological viewpoint, it is evident that this type of questionnaire is most applicable for cross-sectional studies with all the concomitant limitations.

Experience from the use of the questionnaires

The standardised questionnaires have been in extensive use in Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden. The questionnaires, mainly the general questionnaire, have been used in more than 100 different projects, as well as in routine work in occupational health care services. More than 50 000 persons have responded to one or more of the questionnaires.

Reliability and validity of the results

The reliability and validity of the questionnaires has been investigated. Subjects have filled and refilled questionnaires

How to answer the questionnaire:					Trouble with the locomotive organs										
Please answer by putting a cross in the appropriate box — one cross for each question. You may be in doubt as to how to answer, but please do your best anyway. Please answer every question, even if you have never								To be answered only by those who have had trouble							
	had trouble in any part of your body.	Hav trou	e yo ble	uata (ache	ny time during the last 12 months had a, pain, discomfort) in:	Ha du mo tec no or ca	ve yo ring onths from rmal t away f use of	u at a the l been o doir work (i rom ho the tr	ast 12 preven- ig your at home ome) be- ouble?	Ha at ins	ve you any tim t 7 day	had 1 ne dur na?	trouble ing the		
	NECK		Ne	ck	¥		No	•	Vac		: No	2	Vac		
			NO		. 165	- · ·			103			2	163	- Gradiande - S	
		1	SH No	1001d	ers Yes, in the right shoulder Yes, in the left shoulder Yes, in both shoulders	11 1	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes	t l	
	LOW BACK		E	bows											
	WRISTS/HANDS	1	No	3	Yes, in the right elbow Yes, in the left elbow Yes, in both elbows	1	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes	1	
			w	- rists/	hands										
	нірялтнідня	1	No	2 3 4	Yes, in the right wrist/hand Yes, in the left wrist/hand Yes, in both wrists/hands	1	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes		
	KNEES		U	pper	back	İ,					N		 	l 	
		1		. 2	res		NO		res	+	NO	2 :	185	5 k	
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$	1	Lo No	w ba	ick (small of the back) Yes	,	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes		
	ANKLES/FEET	1	O No	ne or 2	both hips/thighs Yes	,	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes		
	Stor At	1.	O No	ne or 2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes		
	In this picture you can see the approximate position of the parts of the body referred to in the questionnaire. Limits are not sharply defined, and certain parts overlap. You should decide for yourself in which part you have or have had your trouble (if any).	1	O No	 ne or) 2	both ankles/feet Yes	1	No	2	Yes	1	No	2	Yes		

and the subjects' responses to the questionnaires have been compared with their clinical history.

Reliability tests with the test-retest method of preliminary versions of the general questionnaire (one study on 29 safety engineers, one on 17 medical secretaries and one on 22 railway maintenance workers) showed that the number of non-identical answers varied from 0 to 23%. Validity tests against clinical history (one study on 19 medical secretaries and one on 20 railway maintenance workers) showed that the number of non-identical answers varied between 0 and 20%.



Fig. 2 Low back trouble questionnaire

The reliability of the neck-shoulder questionnaire was tested on 27 women in clerical work, who answered the questionnaire twice with a 3-week interval. The percentage of disagreeing responses varied from 0 to 15%, except for questions 4 and 13 (Fig. 3) where it was 30 and 22%, respectively. The validity was tested on 82 women in electronics manufacturing. The questionnaire responses were compared with those obtained when a physiotherapist filled out the questionnaire after a thorough interview about medical history. The percentage of disagreement between the subjects' own responses and the physiotherapist's estimates varied from 0 to 13%.

The reliability of a preliminary version of the low back questionnaire was tested on 25 nursing staff members who answered the questionnaire twice with a 15-day interval The percentage of disagreeing answers was on average 4.4, varying from 0 to 4%, except for one question where it was 25%. As a consequence, this question was reformulated in the final version.

The method of administration of the questionnaire has an effect on the response rates (Andersson *et al*, 1987).

The usage of the questionnaire

A critical question that arises is whether the questionnaires can provide useful information which can be used in decisionmaking in occupational health practice. Various studies have shown that response distributions are different for different occupational groups (Jonsson and Ydreborg, 1985) and that the differences are related to the estimated workload. In some studies the questionnaires have revealed a high prevalence of symptoms and disorders in certain anatomical regions which clearly correlate to the local physical demands (e g, Brulin *et al*, 1985).

The questionnaire has been structured for computer analysis. Routine analysis of various statistical epidemiological programmes can be applied. The dichotomy of the response alternatives may require special consideration (see, for example, Fleiss, 1973).

In the opinion of the project group the questionnaires provide useful and reliable information on musculoskeletal symptoms. This information either gives rise to further indepth investigation or gives hints for decision-making on preventive measures.

Acknowledgement

The study was supported by the Nordic Council of Ministers, Oslo.

References

Anon

1960 (a) British Medical Journal, 2, 1665. Medical Research Council's Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis: Standardised questionnaires on respiratory symptoms.

Anon

1960 (b) British Medical Journal, Medical Research Council's Committee on the Aetiology of Chronic Bronchitis: Instructions for the use of the questionnaire on respiratory symptoms.

Questionnaire about neck and shoulder trouble

The date	of inquity	year month day	
Sex		1 Female 2 Malo	
What yea	r were you born?		1 A.
How man doing you	iy years and months have yo ir present type of work?	ou been years + months	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
On avera a week d	ge, how many hours o you work?	hours a week	1 .
How muc	h do yo⊾ weigh?	. kg	
How tall a	are you?	. cm	in a series of the
Are you r	ight-handed or left-handed?	t right-handed 2 left-handed	1
w			
NISTER			
30 M			
OZ1 3			
20.00			
SOL SOL			

Fig. 3 Neck and shoulder trouble questionnaire

Andersson, K., Karlehagen, S., and Jonsson, B.

- 1987 Applied Ergonomics, 18, 3, 229–232. The importance of variations in questionnaire administration.
- Armstrong, T.J., Foulke, J.A., Joseph, B.S., and Goldstein, S.A.
- 1982 American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal, 43, 103-116. Investigation of cumulative trauma disorders in a poultry processing plant.

Brigham, F.R.

. _ _ _

- 1975 Applied Ergonomics, 6, 90-96. Some quantitative considerations in questionnaire design and analysis.
- Brulin, C., Jonsson, B., and Karlehagen, S.
- 1985 Musculoskeletal trouble among Swedish railway workers (in Swedish). Arbete och Hälsa, (in press) Arbetarskyddsstyrelsen, Stockholm.
- Corlett, E.N., and Bishop, R.P.
- 1978 Applied Ergonomics, 9, 23-32. The ergonomics of spot welders.

Fleiss, J.L.

1973 Statistical methods for rates and proportions. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Jonsson, B., and Ydreborg, B.

1985 Identification of ergonomic problems by means of questionnaires for musculoskeletal troubles. Proceedings of the 9th Congress of the International Ergonomics Association, Bournemouth, (Ed: I.D. Brown *et al*), 424–426.

Kilbom, A., Persson, J., and Jonsson, B.

1986 International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 1, 37-47. Disorders of the cervicobrachial region among female workers in electronics industry.



Maeda, K., Hirayama, H., Chang, C-P., and Takamatsu, M.

1979 Japanese Journal of Industrial Health, 21, 398-407. Studies on the progress of occupational cervicobrachial disorder by analysing the subjective symptoms of workmen in assembly lines of a cigarette factory.

Oxenburgh, M.S., Rowe, S.A., and Douglas, D.B.

1985 Journal of Occupational Health and Safety, 2, 106–112. Repetition strain injury in keyboard operators – Australia and New Zealand.

Pope, M.H., Frymoyer, J., and Andersson, G.B.J.

1984 Occupational low back pain, Prager Press, Philadelphia.

Rose, G.A., and Blackburn, H.

1968 Cardiovascular survey methods, WHO, Geneva, 188.

Sinclair, M.A.

1975 Applied Ergonomics, 6, 73-80. Questionnaire design.

Troup, J.D.G., and Edwards, F.C.

1985 Manual Handling. A review paper. Health and Safety Executive.

Van Wely, P.

1970 Applied Ergonomics, 1, 258-261. Design and disease.

Waris, P.

1979 Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health,
5, Supplement 3, 3–14. Occupational cervicobrachial syndromes: A review.