GigaScience

From chromatogram to analyte to metabolite. How to pick horses for courses from the massive web-resources for mass spectral plant metabolomics --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GIGA-D-17-00039R1		
Full Title:	From chromatogram to analyte to metabolite. How to pick horses for courses from the massive web-resources for mass spectral plant metabolomics		
Article Type:	Review		
Funding Information:	Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (246605/2012-0) Mr. Leonardo Perez de Souza		
	Max-Planck-Gesellschaft	Not applicable	
Abstract:	The grand challenge currently facing metabolomics is the expansion of the coverage of the metabolome from a minor percentage of the metabolic complement of the cell towards the level of coverage afforded by other post-genomic technologies such as transcriptomics and proteomics. In plants this problem is exacerbated by the sheer diversity of chemicals that constitute the metabolome with the number of metabolites in the plant kingdom generally being considered to be in excess of 200 000. In this review we focus on web-resources that can be exploited in order to improve analyte and ultimately metabolite identification and quantification. There is a wide range of available software that not only aids in this but also in the related area of peak alignment, however, for the uninitiated choosing which program to use is a daunting task. For this reason we provide an overview of the pros and cons of the software as well as comments regarding the level of programing skills required to effectively exploit their basic functions. In addition the torrent of available genome and transcriptome sequences that followed the advent of next-generation sequencing has opened up further valuable resources for metabolite identification. All things considered, we posit that only via a continued communal sharing of information such as that deposited in the databases described within the article are we likely to be able to make significant headway towards improving our coverage of the plant metabolome.		
Corresponding Author:	Alisdair Robert Fernie GERMANY		
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:			
Corresponding Author's Institution:			
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:			
First Author:	Leonardo Perez de Souza		
First Author Secondary Information:			
Order of Authors:	Leonardo Perez de Souza		
	Thomas Naake		
	Takayuki Tohge		
	Alisdair Robert Fernie		
Order of Authors Secondary Information:			
Response to Reviewers:	Reviewer reports:Reviewer #1: This review has been well-written already, but I have some comments as listed below which should be considered by authors.1. The paper is too long. Should all of the local- or web applications that you introduced		
	be mynnighted in this paper? As you mentio	neu in the future perspective, many of the	

tools are already 'out of dates', never updated for a long time, and never used for metabolomics research anymore. But I really feel a 'value' in this paper especially for an 'education' purpose too. Therefore, I highly would like authors to add 'the date of last update' for each tool (or as much as possible) cited in this manuscript. As you know, the evaluation of GO analysis tools is now performed like that: http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v13/n9/full/nmeth.3963.html?WT.ec id=NMETH-201609&spMailingID=52180959&spUserID=MzcwMzk3NDY5OTES1&spJobID=98558 4826&spReportId=OTg1NTg0ODI2S0 Reply: As suggested by both reviewers, the problem of outdated tools available online is a major one. To highlight this in the paper we included a sentence in the background pointing out the importance of evaluating the current state of each resource and referred to the "last updated" dates included in supplementary table 1. Regarding the extension of the manuscript, we briefly described even outdated tools so that the reader can have an idea of the previous developments leading to the current state-of-the-art in each respective step of the metabolomics pipeline. I know your review is not for the evaluation. But you have to add the information of 'recommended'-, 'activity-', 'special interest' or 'outstanding interest' as a lot of reviews do. See like COCB reviews: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/13675931/36/supp/C. Reply: Included in supplementary table. 2. Please transfer ms2lda and ms2analyzer to 'annotation' section. **Reply: Transfered** 3. I think MS-DIAL is not only for DIA-MS, but also all other techniques such as GC/MS and DDA. Reply: Yes, indeed it is. We added a sentence to highlight this point. 4. Please transfer mathdamp and spectconnect to data processing section. **Reply: Transfered** 5. In metabolite annotation section, cite CASMI, and see MS-FINDER and CSI-IOKR are also interesting tools which have been recently developed. Reply: Added to annotation section, thanks for the suggestion! 6. UNPD database should be cited as natural product database. Reply: Added to database section. 7. You said 'Metline currently contains 961,829 molecules'. Ok my question is: how many records do contain MS/MS information? Reply: Included in text: "METLIN currently contains 961,829 molecules from which 200,000 have in silico MS/MS data. Additionally over 14,000 metabolites were analyzed and mass spectra at multiple collision energies in positive and negative ionization mode obtained". I am looking forward to seeing your improved manuscript. Thanks, Reviewer #2: This is a very comprehensive and complete review of available tools and databases available to perform plant metabolomic analysis. My only concern is that it may daunting for the reader to grasp the breadth and depth of all the possibilities available for her/him in the current format. The figure helps to get a broad view of the different steps required to perform this type of analysis. I suggest to include a table with available tools for the different steps in the data analysis pipeline and indicating the type of tool (GUI, command line) language (R, Java etc). Reply: A table with the relevant description of all tools mentioned in the text was provided in supplementary data. Other than that I only have some minors comments/corrections.

Reviewer #2:

	 1 38: add full stop or semicolon after Arabidopsis Thaliana. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 78: change to: plant metabolic responses will be best exploited in the future Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 23 10 236: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow properly. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 308: iterates instead of iterating Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 440: full stop after metabolites Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 433: describe SDF files. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 435: describe SDF files. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 435: describe SDF files. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 757: Also include http://fiehnlab.ucdavis.edu/projects/fiehnlib Reviewer #2: 1 731: PlantCyc only has 22 species. 1 743: Brachypodium instead of Bracypodium. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 743: Brachypodium instead of Bracypodium. Reply: Done Reviewer #2: 1 743: Brachypodium instead of Bracypodium. Reply: Cone Reviewer #2: 1 743: Brachypodium instead of bracypodium. Reply: Cone Reviewer #2: 1 1 1 Plant commenting here on persistence of web services and algorithms over 1 1 1 two common that tools are made and then no longer maintained and supported. As an example, the muscleproject org website, published in 2015, is not available. R packages in this regard do provide a better way to curate software through bioconductor and CRAN. (Nice review about this here: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1301385
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?	Yes
Please select an option from the menu: as follow-up to "Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?"	Functional Metagenomics
Experimental design and statistics	No

Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our <u>Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist</u> . Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends. Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	
If not, please give reasons for any omissions below.	Review article
as follow-up to "Experimental design and statistics	
Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist. Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends.	
Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	
Resources	No
A description of all resources used, including antibodies, cell lines, animals and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> <u>Identifiers</u> (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible.	
Have you included the information requested as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist?	
If not, please give reasons for any omissions below.	Review article
as follow-up to " Resources	

and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> <u>Identifiers</u> (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible.	
Have you included the information requested as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ? "	
Availability of data and materials	No
All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in <u>publicly available repositories</u> (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.	
Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	
If not, please give reasons for any omissions below.	Review article
as follow-up to " Availability of data and materials	
All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in <u>publicly available repositories</u> (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.	
Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7 1	Review:
8	
92	
10 ₃	From chromatogram to analyte to metabolite. How to pick horses
11	for courses from the massive web recourses for mass spectral plant
12 4	for courses from the massive web-resources for mass spectral plant
13 5	metabolomics
14	
15 ₆	Leonardo Perez de Souza ^{1*} , Thomas Naake ¹ , Takayuki Tohge ^{1,} and Alisdair R. Fernie ^{1*}
15 17 -	
10	¹ Max-Planck-Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Muhlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-
18 8 10	Golm, Germany
19 20 0	* Correspondence: I Perez@mpimp_golm_mpg_de: fernie@mpimp_golm_mpg_de
20 9 21	correspondence. Le erez@mpimp-goim.mpg.ue, remie@mpimp-goim.mpg.ue
21 22 10	Abstract
22	
24^{11}	The grand challenge currently facing metabolomics is the expansion of the coverage of the
25^{12}	metabolome from a minor percentage of the metabolic complement of the cell towards the
26 ¹³	level of coverage afforded by other post-genomic technologies such as transcriptomics and
27 14	proteomics. In plants this problem is exacerbated by the sheer diversity of chemicals that
28 15	constitute the metabolome with the number of metabolites in the plant kingdom generally
29 ₁₆	being considered to be in excess of 200 000. In this review we focus on web-resources that
30 17	can be exploited in order to improve analyte and ultimately metabolite identification and
31 18	quantification. There is a wide range of available software that not only aids in this but also
32 10	in the related area of neak alignment, however, for the uninitiated choosing which program
33 19	in the related area of peak anglinhent, nowever, for the drinntrated choosing which program
34 20	to use is a daunting task. For this reason we provide an overview of the pros and cons of the
35 21	software as well as comments regarding the level of programing skills required to effectively
36 22	exploit their basic functions. In addition the torrent of available genome and transcriptome
3723	sequences that followed the advent of next-generation sequencing has opened up further
38 24	valuable resources for metabolite identification. All things considered, we posit that only via
39 25	a continued communal sharing of information such as that deposited in the databases
⁴⁰ 26	described within the article are we likely to be able to make significant headway towards
$4^{\pm}_{4^{-}}27$	improving our coverage of the plant metabolome.
42	
44 44	Keywords: Arabidopsis, bioinformatics, crop species, GC-MS, LC-MS, peak identification,
45 45	peak annotation.
46 30	
47	
48 31	
49	
50 ³²	
51 33	
52	
53	
54	
55	
50 57	
5/ 50	
50	
60	
61	
62	
63	
-	

Field Code Changed

Background

8

9 ₃₅ Metabolomics emerged in the late 1990s with the term coined in a review of Steven Oliver 10 36 [1]. However, the 2000 paper by Fiehn and co-workers wherein gas chromatography (GC) ¹¹ 37 coupled to mass spectrometry (MS) defined the chemical composition of a morphological 12^{37} 13^{38} and metabolic mutant of the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana [2]; in doing so they were 14 ³⁹ able to describe changes in the level of 326 analytes. This work thus greatly extended on the 15 40 early metabolite profiling study of Sauter et al. [3], which presented the technology as a means of putative classification of mode-of-action of pesticides. Thus the advent of 16 41 17 42 metabolomics in plants arguably preceded that in microbes and mammals although the 18 43 approach was rapidly adopted in these communities also [2, 4-6]. During the next two 19 44 decades metabolomics had one considerable advantage over profiling technologies such as 20 ₄₅ transcriptomics and proteomics in that it is not directly reliant on the genome sequence and 22⁴⁶ during this time the species scope of metabolomics rapidly expanded such that it was no 23⁴⁷ longer merely a tool for identifying biomarkers of cellular circumstance but additionally one of the cornerstones of systems biology and an approach which could provide mechanistic 24 ⁴⁸ insight into metabolic regulation [7-11]. This advantage has subsequently disappeared 25 49 26 50 following the widespread adoption of next-generation sequencing and the lack of linear 27 51 relationship between the genome and the metabolome now represents part of the problem 28 52 in identification of unknown analytes [12]. This is nicely exemplified by the fact that 29 ₅₃ computation of the size of the metabolome on genome information as attempted by Nobeli 30 54 and co-workers in 2003 for the E. coli metabolome and [13] rendered values far smaller 31 32⁵⁵ than the number of metabolites actually measured to date [14]. Whilst the size of the 33 ⁵⁶ metabolome for prokaryotes has been estimated at a couple of thousand, that of the plant 34 57 kingdom dwarves these numbers with estimates ranging between 200 000 and 1 million 35 58 metabolites [15]. Within the last two decades metabolomics has been employed to address 36 59 a wide range of important questions in plant biology including pathway structure [15], the 37 ₆₀ influence of metabolism on growth [8, 16], plant ecology [17], various aspects of plant 38 ₆₁ genetics including evolution and the domestication syndrome [18-20] as well as detailed 40⁶² characterizations of the metabolic response to biotic and abiotic stressors [21, 22],

41 63 In this review, we discuss two topics. The first is the availability of tools to aid in 42 ₆₄ chromatogram evaluation. Since we last reviewed this in 2009 [23], the number of resources 43 65 has exploded as has their diversity in type. In 2009 a number of pathway, analytical 44 45⁶⁶ standards, analytical samples and literature databases were available. In the intervening 46 ⁶⁷ period additional sites providing information on experimental and in silico mass fragmentation, isotopic labeling, pathway predicted metabolites, integration of 47 68 metabolomics with other platforms and mass spectrometry imaging have become available. 48 69 49 70 For each resource we will briefly outline functionality and provide illustrative examples of 50 71 their utility. The second is to review the current status of the broad variety of plant 51 72 metabolomics databases. In this respect we list sources of archived data and their 52₇₃ respective volumes of data. We also briefly discuss recent meta-analysis which illustrate 53

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS1]: Reviewer #2: 138: add full stop or semicolon after Arabidopsis Thaliana Field Code Changed Formatted: English (United States) Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed **Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed** Field Code Changed **Field Code Changed Field Code Changed**

that despite current hurdles regarding comparability of data there is great potential for cross-study comparisons on metabolite responses in determining common responses between either genetic or environmental perturbations of metabolism. Finally, we will provide an outlook as to how the grand challenge of comprehensitivity will best be met and how the power of archived plant metabolic responses will be best exploited in the future.

14 ⁷⁹ It is not the scope of this review to discuss the theoretical details of every procedure or to 15 80 document the subtle differences between the many similar tools referred to here. We 1681 rather aim to provide a general idea of the importance and challenges of each step in the 1782 metabolomics workflow and to summarize the major functions of each tool while referring 18 83 to the more comprehensive literature supporting them. We attempt to classify all the 19 84 resources in a simple and logical manner in order to facilitate understanding of the main 20 ₈₅ functionalities of each one. It is, however, important to mention that while few of the tools 86 presented here provide a complete workflow, most of them are able to perform multiple 23⁸⁷ complementary functions somewhat blurring any initiative to accord their functions specific 24 ⁸⁸ classifications. Other important information that we include here is how these tools can be accessed. This is usually performed either via command-line-or graphical-user-interface 25 89 26 90 (GUI), the former provides flexibility and facilitating integration, automation and 27 91 development, while the latter was developed to be intuitive and friendly for unexperienced 28 92 users. Finally, it is important to highlight that the active developments in the field result in 93 frequently outdated and discontinued resources. While many groups keep releasing new 94 upgraded versions of their tools, it is often the case that the projects are just discontinued 95 and the tools are kept available online. We tried to represent this by including the most 96 recent references as well as the last update dates for each of the resources in 34 97 supplementary table 1. All these features considered allow the researcher to access the 35 98 information required to choose the "winning horse" under the conditions or "course" in 36 99 which they are racing. Finally it is also important to highlight that these tools are constantly 37100 being updated, integrated and discontinued, and while we ensured that all the links 38101 provided here were functioning at the time of writing, it is impossible to ensure that to be

Sample preparation and data acquisition

the case in the future.

The metabolomics workflow (Figure 1) starts with sample preparation including extraction and often coupled to pre-treatment and chemical derivatization, followed by data acquisition which will depend on the chromatographic system, ionization source and analyzer. Optimization of sample preparation and data acquisition can considerably improve the analysis and is particularly interesting for plant metabolomics where matrix complexity is very high; nevertheless this step is often skipped over in favor of standardization and simplicity which allow for greater sample throughput. Methods for chromatography mass spectrometry based optimization are well developed and usually rely on statistical designs collectively known as Design of Experiments (DoE) [24].

Commented [LPdS2]: Reviewer #2: I 78: change to: plant metabolic responses will be best exploited in the future

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

8 75

9 10 76

11 77

62 63

While some studies have detailed its application in plant metabolite extraction [25] and liquid chromatography (LC) analysis [26], very few software tools were developed so far focusing on this kind of approach for metabolomics data. That said a couple of interesting software are MUSCLE [27], a tool for the automated optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis that was shown to significantly shorten analysis times and increase analytical sensitivities of targeted metabolite analysis, and FragPred [28], which uses experimental fragmentation from a database to select common fragmentation products that minimize uncertainty about metabolite identities in large-scale MRM experiments, have been published and appear to be highly promising.

2 0123 Data processing

7₁₁₃ 8₉114

10¹¹⁵

11116

12117

1 3118

14119

15₁₂₀ 16₁₂₁ 17

18<mark>122</mark> 19

21

27

Raw mass spectrometry chromatograms are three dimensional data consisting of a distribution of m/z intensities over the time. Processing this data requires filtering, detecting and integrating relevant features, aligning signals across different samples, extracting compound mass spectra and normalizing the data, all with the final goal of simplifying and hence facilitating data interpretation.

28129 Feature detection and peak alignment are the initial steps for extracting information from 29130 raw data and corresponds to the process in which relevant signals are identified and 30131 quantified across samples, having peak alignment as one of the big challenges to overcome, 31₁₃₂ 32₁₃₃ 33 34¹³⁴ particularly for LC-MS where retention time is more prone to fluctuations in relation to GC-MS. The many different approaches available to perform these steps of data processing were recently reviewed by [29, 30], and some of the most popular algorithms for feature detection and peak alignment were compared in different works [31, 32]. Most software 3 5¹³⁵ 36136 somehow integrate both steps in the same pipeline to generate a report of signal intensities 3 7137 over samples from raw data, and many of them also include some resource for data analysis 38138 and peak annotation that will be discussed later in more detail. In the following section we 39139 will detail the available tools for this step, adopting a similar approach in all subsequent 40₁₄₀ sections also (the details of the programs are all given in additional file 1). MetAlign [33] is a $\begin{array}{r}
 41 \\
 42 \\
 43^{142} \\
 43^{142}
\end{array}$ versatile tool that performs well with both LC-MS and GC-MS and allows direct conversion from and to vendor formats while most other tools need an extra software for this step. It 44143 additionally provides a series of functionalities through other tools that are developed by the same group and integrate directly in the output of MetAlign. XCMS appears to be the 45144 46145 most cited software for LC-MS data processing, it was developed for R and implements 47146 different algorithms for feature detection and alignment suitable for different kinds of data, 48147 while it can be argued that the software requires familiarity with programming and lacks 49148 resources for simple data inspection, its platform is, nevertheless, powerful and easily 50₁₄₉ 51 52¹⁵⁰ integrated with other tools and its extensive community of users provide a great resource for troubleshooting. Moreover, a great number of other tools are built upon the functions of 5 3¹⁵¹ XCMS [34]. Amongst these, TracMass 2 [35], a MATLAB software which provides a GUI in a

-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
Ν	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
Υ	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
Υ	Field Code Changed

-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
1	Field Code Changed
Y	Field Code Changed

Field	Code	Changed
Field	Code	Changed

X	Field Code Changed
λ	Field Code Changed
1	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed

5 б 7 modular suite, was developed to provide immediate graphical feedback of every step of the 8 9¹⁵³ processing pipeline, its benchmark paper compared the complexity of different algorithms 10¹⁵⁴ highlighting the importance of low complexity when dealing with large data files and 11155 demonstrating it to be more efficient than MZmine 2 (see below for discussion of this 1 2156 software) and comparable to XCMS, two of the most popular current data processing tools. 1 3157 The particularities of TracMass algorithm makes it more suitable for detecting mass traces in 14158 15159 16160 17 18 the low mass region that can be missed by other approaches, iMet-Q [36], a C# software with a GUI whose algorithm includes automatic detection of charge state and isotope ratio of detected peaks and was developed to minimize the amount of necessary input parameters significantly facilitates the pipeline for new users. GridMass [37] is a 2D feature 19¹⁶² detection algorithm implemented in MZmine 2 that is faster than other algorithms and 20163 potentially improves detection of low-intensity masses. MSFACTs [38], was one of the first tools developed for peak alignment, it uses peak tables or raw data in the ASCII format as 21164 2 2165 input being limited only to the chromatographic domain, this approach can, however, now 23166 be considered outdated when compared with many other resources currently available. 24167 MET-IDEA [39] is a more recent and flexible tool, developed by the same group as MSFACTs, 25₁₆₈ 26₁₆₉ 27 28¹⁷⁰ 29¹⁷¹ for feature detection and alignment with a friendly interface developed in .NET platform. Its features include visualization of integrated peaks and manual integration and display of mass spectra, which can be very helpful for quick data inspection. EasyLCMS [40] is a web application tool with focus on calibration and calculation of targeted metabolite 3 0172 concentration in terms of µmol using algorithms developed for MZmine 2. IDEOM [41] is a 31173 metabolomics pipeline using functions from XCMS and MZmatch from an Excel GUI. Ht also 32174 includes automated annotation based on an internal database of exact mass and retention 33175 time that can be update by users according to the machine. Massifquant [42] is a feature 34₁₇₆ detection algorithm integrated into XCMS based on a Kalman filter for the detection of ³⁵177 36 37¹⁷⁸ isotope trace, this approach was shown to be particularly useful for low-intensity peaks. MET-COFEA [43] is a C++ software accessed via a GUI that implements a novel mass trace . 38¹⁷⁹ based extracted-ion chromatogram extraction that copes better with drifts in the mass trace. It additionally uses compound-associated peak clusters instead of individual features 3 9180 40181 for alignment (this clustering process is an important step to extract metabolite information 4 1 1 8 2 and simplify data as it will be discussed below). MET-Xalign [44] is an extension for MET-42183 COFEA that can potentially align compounds of samples from different experiments, a hard 43184 task for metabolomics datasets that is not approached by most other tools. apLCMS [45], is 44_{185} 45_{186} 46_{186} an R package for high mass accuracy LC-MS, which tries to be user friendly by providing a file-based operation and a wrapper function for a single command line batch process of LC-47¹⁸⁷ MS data, however, still requires quite some computational knowledge to operate. 48188 xMSanalyzer [46] is an R package for improving feature detection that integrates with 4 9 1 8 9 existing packages such as apLCMS and XCMS, it systematically re-extracts features with 5 0190 multiple parameter settings and merges data to optimize sensitivity and reliability. Yamss 51191 [47] is a recently developed R package focused in providing high-quality differential analysis 52₁₉₂ implementing a method based on bivariate approximate kernel density estimation for peak 53

-{	Field Code Changed	
\neg	Field Code Changed	
_	Field Code Changed	
-	Field Code Changed	
_	Field Code Changed	
-	Field Code Changed	
_	Field Code Changed	
	Field Code Changed	
U	Tield Code changed	
(
	Field Code Changed	
l	Field Code Changed	
1	Field Code Changed	
1	Field Code Changed	
-(Field Code Changed	
-(Field Code Changed	
-(Field Code Changed	
\neg	Field Code Changed	
_	Field Code Changed	
-	Field Code Changed	
\neg	Field Code Changed	
_	Field Code Changed	
-		

Field Code Changed	

Field Code Changed

7₁₉₃ identification. In addition to the tools mentioned above there are a few tools for data 8 9¹⁹³ processing that exclusively perform peak detection or alignment such as peak-grouping-10¹⁹⁵ alignment [48], an approach where information from grouping peaks within samples 11196 improve alignment across samples, and PTW [49] a fast alignment algorithm based on a variation of parametric time warping working on detected features rather than on complete 1 2197 1 3198 profile data. In addition, cosmig 14199 (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/cosmiq.html) is a peak detection 15₂₀₀ 16₂₀₁ 17₂₀₂ 18 19²⁰³ 20²⁰⁴ algorithm to improve detection of low abundant signals that can be easily integrated with XCMS. These algorithms represent an important effort in improving the existing approaches but they are much less accessible since they need to be integrated with other tools that usually perform similar functions and in some instances this requires quite advanced

21 22²⁰⁵ 23²⁰⁶ It is important to note the significant differences between GC-MS and LC-MS which are intrinsic to the features of each system, and can be summarized as a much higher efficiency and stability in GC over LC separation followed by a very stable fragmentation in traditional 24²⁰⁷ 2 5 2 0 8 GC ion sources in contrast with the typical atmospheric pressure ionization employed with LC. This significantly influences the processes of peak alignment and spectra annotation, and 2 6209 27210 while most of the tools developed with a focus towards LC-MS can also be used for 28211 processing GC-MS data, there are many developed with a particular focus on processing GC-29₂₁₂ 30 31 32²¹⁴ MS data, making use of different strategies for peak alignment and integrating metabolite annotation by matching spectra to libraries. AMDIS [50], developed with the support of U.S. Department of Defense, is one of the most popular GC-MS processing tools, it automatically 3 3²¹⁵ extracts component mass spectra from GC-MS data and uses it for search in mass spectral 3 42 16 libraries, a disadvantage of this software is that the output requires extensive treatment to 3 52 17 be used for further analysis. However Metab [51], an R package based on functions of XCMS 36218 was developed to automate the pipeline for analysis of GC-MS data processed by AMDIS 37219 dealing with the issue of its output data. MetaQuant [52] is a tool that uses retention index ³⁸220 ³⁹1221 40 41²²² to define metabolites but it depends on other deconvolution software like AMDIS to extract mass spectra. Both MetaboliteDetector [53] and TagFinder [54] provide an efficient pipeline performing deconvolution, peak detection, compound identification, alignment based on 42²²³ Kovats retention index using alkane mix and quantification, and provide an interactive user 4 3224 interface facilitating use by unexperienced users. They do however require several manually 4 4225 input and data check steps that are time consuming and negate truly high throughput. 45226 TargetSearch [55] uses similar approaches to process data, identify and quantify targeted 46227 metabolites based on retention time index and spectra matching of multiple correlated 47₂₂₈ 48₂₂₉ 49 50 51²³¹ masses but it is highly automated and efficient thus allowing the processing of large sample sets. PyMS [56] is an alternative to the previously mentioned interactive software, providing similar functions but being particularly suitable for scripting of customized processing pipelines and for data processing in batch mode working in Python. MET-COFEI 52²³² (http://bioinfo.noble.org/manuscript-support/met-cofei/) uses reconstructed compound

Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
_					
Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
Fiel	d Code Ch	anged			
		-			

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

computational skills.

б 7233 spectra instead of individual peaks to align signals across samples, which is expected to 9²³⁴ improve peak information for downstream analyses, it also match spectrum against an userspecific library. TNO-DECO [57] uses a segmentation approach to allow the performance of 10235 11236 simultaneous deconvolution of multiple chromatographic MS files in a semi-automated 1 2237 fashion in MATLAB, thereby eliminating peak alignment. By contrast, MetaMS [58] is a 1 3238 pipeline for high-throughput GC-MS processing based on XCMS for peak detection and 14239 15240 15240 16241 17 242 18 19²⁴³ alignment and CAMERA for compound spectra extraction. Compound spectra which is further annotated based on match with a database, this This tool may be convenient for users that already implement XCMS analysis of other data, but this kind of processing is not optimal for GC-MS when compared with other processing types. Maui-VIA [59] implements a graphical interface that facilitates visual inspection of identifications and alignments 20244 providing faster interaction with the data. eRah [60] is an R tool that integrates a novel spectral deconvolution method using multivariate techniques based on blind source 21245 2 22 46 separation, alignment of spectra across samples without the need of internal standards for 23247 calculating retention indexes, quantification, and automated identification of metabolites by 24248 spectral library matching, in a fully automated pipeline, even though internal standards are 25₂₄₉ 26₂₅₀ 27 28²⁵¹ not necessary they are still recommended to increase reliability in metabolite identification. The software ADAP-GC 3.0 [61] uses a deconvolution algorithm based on hierarchical clustering of fragment ions, the updated version is incorporated into the MZmine 2 platform 29²⁵² and addressed issues from the first version such as fragment ions that are produced by 3 0253 more than one co-eluting components, and improved sensitivity and robustness. Finally, 3 <u>1</u>254 MetPP [62] is a processing tool that includes normalization and statistical analysis but is 3 2255 directed towards data emanating from GC×GC-TOF MS system. 33 3 4 2 5 6 Extracting compound mass spectra is another important step of data processing that 3 5257 reduces data complexity by many orders of magnitude by identifying m/z signals that belong 3 6258 to the same compound and provide essential information for further metabolite annotation 37259 through the reconstructing of mass spectra. While this process is usually integrated in GC-38260 MS tools for feature detection, alignment and annotation, as mentioned above, there are 39 40 41²⁶² many approaches to deal with LC-MS data such as the ones employed by CAMERA [63] a package developed in R to extract compound spectra, annotate isotopes and adducts, and 42^{263} propose compound mass as an extension to XCMS, it is easy to use in combination with this 4 3264 software and provides a significant reduction on data complexity. AStream [64] is another R 4 4265 package very similar to CAMERA but using a simpler algorithm for grouping the peaks. 45266 ALLocator [65], is a web based workflow that applies centwave from XCMS for feature 46267 detection followed by spectra deconvolution either by CAMERA or by the ALLocatorSD 47₂₆₈ algorithm which is optimized for dealing with the particularities of ¹³C labeled data by 48 269 49 270 50 grouping mirrored isotopes (lighter isotopologues from feeding experiment). MSClust [66],

has the same general features as the others but it was developed in the C++ language and it

MATLAB and implemented in R, accepting directly the output of XCMS. The authors suggest

is optimized to work with the output files of MetAlign. RAMClustR [67] was developed in

51²⁷¹

52²⁷²

	, enangea
Field Code	Changed
Tield Code	Changed
Commente	ed [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2:
Commente I 231 to 236:	ed [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow
Commenter I 231 to 236: properly.	e d [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow
Commente l 231 to 236: properly.	ed [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow
Commente l 231 to 236: properly. Field Code	ed [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow : Changed
Commente I 231 to 236: properly. Field Code	ed [LPdS3]: Reviewer #2: Break down this sentence in two. Too long to follow Changed

Field	Code	Changed	1
	Field	Field Code	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	ł
--------------------	---

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

the use of a workflow consisting of data acquisition under both low and high collision energy as a way to improve the quality of the spectra generated by feature clustering and provide a data format that can be submitted directly to the MassBank Database and NIST MSSearch program. By contrast, RAMSY [68] uses average peak ratios and their standard deviations rather than correlation to allow the recovery of compound spectra, the performance of this approach is typically better than the results from correlation methods, furthermore, the script for MATLAB is available or it can be run from a web interface with a .csv table as input.

17281 The last step of data processing, data normalization, is essential for further data analysis in 18282 order to remove bias introduced by sample preparation from meaningful biological 19283 variation. Most methodologies rely either on the use of internal standards statistical means 20284 21285 22285 23286 for normalization. Most data normalization procedures are usually integrated in data analysis tools, but there are few examples of more specialized tools such as MetTailor [69] that uses a dynamic block summarization method for correcting misalignments reducing missing data and apply an RT-based local normalization procedure, or Normalyzer [70] that 24²⁸⁷ 2 5288 uses twelve different well known normalization methods and compares the results based on 2 6289 different parameters. IntCor [71] that corrects for peak intensity drift effects based on 27290 variance analysis, MetNormalizer [72] which allows normalization and integration of 28291 multiple batches in large scale experiments using support vector regression, and EigenMS 29₂₉₂ 30 31 32²⁹⁴ 33²⁹⁵ [73] which detect bias trends in the data and eliminates them using single value decomposition are also highly useful. All of these software are implemented in R, however, with the exception of Normalyzer which can be also used in a web interface they all require considerable familiarity with this programing language. A couple of other tools that help to 3 4 2 9 6 extract specific information previous to data analysis include the program SpectConnect [74], 3 5297 that identifies conserved metabolites in GC-MS datasets, and MathDAMP [75], a 3 6 2 9 8 Mathematica package for Differential Analysis of Metabolite Profiles highlighting differences 37299 within raw LC-MS and GC-MS datasets. 38

39300 A common feature of mass spectrometry data is the presence of multiple peaks for 40301 individual fragments resulting from the distribution of natural isotopes which are 41302 particularly interesting and explored in stable isotope labeling experiments. There are a few 42_{303} tools for correcting and extracting label enrichment from processed data such as Corrector 43 304 44 [76], IsoCor [77] and ICT [78]. These tools are very similar -all being based on the same 45³⁰⁵ matrix calculation. Corrector was developed to work on the output of TagFinder but data 46³⁰⁶ processed with most other tools can be easily arranged in a similar table format. IsoCor provides a GUI with a few different options including corrections for the label input whereas 4 7307 48308 ICT includes features to process data from tandem MS. Nevertheless most data processing 49309 pipelines available are not particularly efficient for dealing with this kind of experiment, to 50₃₁₀ fill this gap there are some specialized tools like mzMatch-ISO [79], integrated in the 51₃₁₁ 52₃₁₂ 53 mzMatch pipeline. This software -is capable of targeted and untargeted processing of labeled datasets and the output includes a set of plots summarizing the pattern of labelling

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed		
Field Code Changed		
Field Code Changed		

Field Code Changed

F	ormatted: English (United States)			
Fi	ield Code Changed			
F	Formatted: English (United States)			
C sp Re	ommented [LPdS4]: . Please transfer mathdamp and bectconnect to data processing section. eply: Done			
Formatted: Not Highlight				
Formatted: Not Highlight				
Fi	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed			
Fi				
Formatted: Not Highlight				
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed				
		Fi	Field Code Changed	
		Fi	ield Code Changed	
		_		
Fi	ield Code Changed			
Fi	ield Code Changed			

64 65

8 9 274

10²⁷⁵

11276

12277 13278

14279

15280

б 7₃₁₃ observed per peak allowing users to quickly explore data. MetExtract [80] which relies on a 8 9³¹⁴ mixture of cultures from the same organism under natural and labeled media to select 10315 signals that show a clear pattern of isotopic enrichment. However, the approach requires 11316 the labeled fraction to be fully labeled and the tracer to be highly pure to get the proper 1 2317 isotopic distributions. X13CMS [81] and geoRge [82], both run on the R platform using GC-1 3318 MS output, the former algorithm iterating iterates over MS signals in each mass spectra 14319 using the mass difference due to the label, while the latter uses statistical testing to 15₃₂₀ 16₃₂₁ 17₃₂₂ 18 19³²³ distinguish Spectral peaks originated from labeled metabolites resulting in significant less false positives. The MIA program [83] detects isotopic enrichment in GC-MS datasets in a non-targeted manner, providing an easy GUI to visualize mass isotopomer distributions (MID) of the detected fragments as barplots including confidence intervals and quality 20324 measures, tools for differential analysis of relative mass isotopomer abundance across samples and network assembly based on pairwise similarity of MID that can reveal related 21325 22326 metabolites.

24³²⁷ Another important feature of many mass spectrometry systems is their capability of 25328 performing tandem mass spectrometry. While this can significantly improve data in many 26329 ways, it adds another level of complexity for data processing. A very common use of tandem 27330 MS is to increase selectivity and accuracy in targeted analysis and MRMAnalyzer [84], 28331 MMSAT [85] and MRMPROBS [86] are useful tools developed for processing data from 29₃₃₂ 30 31 32³³⁴ multiple reaction monitoring experiments. MMSAT [85] is a web tool that takes mzXML files as the input, it is able to automatically quantify MRM peaks but lacks metabolite identification capability. By contrast, MRMPROBS [86] detects and identifies metabolites 3 3³³⁵ automatically, providing a user-friendly GUI for data analysis. The algorithm has one 3 4336 limitation that it needs at least two transitions per metabolite in order to discriminate the 3 5337 target metabolite form isomeric metabolites and the background noise. Similarly, 3 63 38 MRMAnalyzer [84] is an R tool allowing processing, alignment, metabolite identification, 37339 quality control check and statistical analysis of large datasets that transforms data in 38₃₄₀ "pseudo" accurate m/z, in order to use the centwave algorithm from XCMS for peak 39 341 40 41 342 detection. Untargeted metabolomics analysis can also take advantage of tandem MS, particularly for compound annotation, and there are few resources for dealing with the 42³⁴³ complexity of such experiments such as decoMS2 [87], an R package for deconvoluting MS2 spectra eliminating contaminating fragments without the need of sacrificing sensitivity in 43344 4 4345 favor of sensibility by narrowing the window of isolation for collision-induced dissociation 45346 (CID) during data acquisition. This approach requires MS2 data to be acquired under low 46347 and high collision energies to solve the mathematical equations potentially reducing 47₃₄₈ sensitivity of the method. Similarly MS2Analyzer, is a java software for identifying neutral 48₃₄₉ osses, precursor ions, product ions and m/z differences from MS2 spectra based on a list of 49 350 predefined transitions. These features are essential for structure elucidation using mass 50 51^{351} spectrometry and the software provides a fast and high-throughput platform for extracting 52352 this data. MS2LDA is based on latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), an algorithm originally used

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed		
Co 30	ommented [LPdS5]: Reviewer #2: 08: iterates instead of iterating	
Fie	eld Code Changed	
Fie	eld Code Changed	
Fie	eld Code Changed	
HIE	eld Code Changed	

1	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
+	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
Υ	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
Υ	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

-	Field Code Changed
1	Field Code Changed

Formatted: Highlight	
Formatted: Highlight	
Formatted: Highlight	

7353 for text mining that was adapted to generate a list with blocks of co-occurring fragments 354 and losses providing results similar to MS2Analyzer but without the need of user specified 1 355 precursor/product transitions. MS-DIAL [88] and MetDIA [89] both deal with Data-11356 independent acquisition (DIA) data, an interesting approach for untargeted metabolomics that acquire MS2 spectra for all precursor ions simultaneously with the complication that it 1 2357 13358 uses larger isolation windows, hence increasing the probability of contamination in the MS2, 14359 and it loses the relation between precursor and fragment ions. MS-DIAL addresses these 15360 problems by a mathematical deconvolution based on GC-MS processing tools in a fully 16361 untargeted manner, whilst achieving the metabolite identification through a spectrum-17 1362 18 19³⁶³ centric library matching. MS-DIAL is applicable to both data-independent and datadependent MS/MS fragmentation methods in LC-MS and GC-MS. By contrast, MetDIA [89] 20³⁶⁴ uses algorithms from XCMS for peak detection and alignment combined with a targeted approach based on matching metabolites in a library to the detected peaks, thus achieving 21365 2 2366 higher sensitivity and specificity on metabolite identification and wider metabolite 23367 coverage.

2 5368 A trade-off for most of the more flexible and powerful resources presented here is that they 26369 have multiple parameters that need to be optimized, and recently a number of tools try to 27370 assist in evaluating and automatizing this process. In this context IPO [90], was developed to 28371 perform automatic optimization of XCMS parameters based on design of experiment, 29₃₇₂ 30 31 32³⁷⁴ 33³⁷⁵ Credentialing Features [91] optimize detection based on regular and 13C-enriched, MetaboQC [92] is a quality control approach that evaluates alignment and suggests optimal parameters for feature detection based on discrepancies between replicate samples, and SIMAT [93] allows the selection of the optimal set of fragments and retention time windows 3 4376 for target analytes in GC-SIM-MS based analysis.

Data analysis

24

35 36³⁷⁷ 37₃₇₈ 38 39³⁷⁹ Metabolomics datasets are usually characterized by high dimensionality, heteroscedasticity (i.e. the variance in errors is not constant across the dataset) and differences of orders of 40³⁸⁰ magnitude across metabolite concentrations and fold changes, making it challenging to 41381 extract and visualize useful information from processed data. There are numerous 4 2382 approaches for data scaling, reduction, visualization and statistical analysis particularly 43383 useful for analyzing metabolomics data, many of them very well established such as analysis 44384 of variance (ANOVA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCS), principal component analysis (PCA) 45₃₈₅ and partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) to mention just a few. There are 46₃₈₆ 47 48 48 many general statistical software capable of performing most of these functions, but also a variety of software tools exist combining procedures relevant to metabolomics in a single 49³⁸⁸ pipeline and thus facilitating the workflow such as DeviumWeb (https://github.com/dgrapov/DeviumWeb), BioStatFlow (http://biostatflow.org/), 50³⁸⁹

MetaboLyzer [94], metaP-Server [95], Fusion (https://fusion.cebitec.uni-

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Commented [LPdS6]: I think MS-DIAL is not only for DIA-MS, but also all other techniques such as GC/MS and DDA Field Code Changed

_	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
_	Field Code Changed
_	Field Code Changed
_	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

63 64 65

5 1390

bielefeld.de/Fusion/login), Pathomx [96], MSPrep [97], MixOmics (http://mixomics.org/) and COVAIN [98].

Other interesting and somehow more specialized tools include RepExplore [99] which exploits information from technical replicate variance to improve statistics of differential expression and abundance of omics datasets, KMMDA [100] and Metabomxtr [101] which deal with the troublesome issue of missing metabolite values, the former through a kernelbased score test and the later through mixed-model analysis. Similarly, PeakANOVA [102] identifies peaks that are likely to be associated with one compound and uses them to improve accuracy of quantification, a particularly useful approach for experiments with limited sample size. SPICA [103], is a tool that aims at extracting relevant information from noisy data sets by analyzing ion-pairs instead of individual ions. MetabR [104], normalizes data using linear mixed models and tests for treatment effects with ANOVA. By contrast MPA-RF [105], combines random forests with model population analysis for selecting informative metabolites. Qcscreen [106], helps to verify data consistency, measurement precision and stability of large scale biological experiments. The program SpectConnect identifies conserved metabolites in GC-MS datasets. Finally, MathDAMP, a Mathematica package for Differential Analysis of Metabolite Profiles highlights differences within raw LCMS and GCMS dataset.

9 Metabolite annotation

Metabolite annotation is often considered the most challenging step and as such represents a major bottleneck for metabolomics studies. Even though the gold standard for structural characterization remains NMR characterization of the pure compound [107, 108], MS based metabolomics offers many advantages including lower cost, higher sensitive and throughput, and it can be easily hyphenated with chromatography while still providing considerable structural information. As a consequence great efforts have been made to improve mass spectrometry based metabolite annotation, and a battery of interesting tools were developed with this goal in mind. The great interest from metabolomics and mass spectrometry communities even culminated with the creation of the "Critical Assessment of Small Molecule Identification" (CASMI) contest. The idea of the contest is to challenge multiple approaches and rank their performance over a series of categories [109, 110]. Structural information is normally extracted from mass of molecular ion in high-resolution MS (HRMS) which can provide the molecular formula and fragmentation pattern. It is important to note that most strategies for metabolite annotation rely heavily on information retrieved from databases of molecular formulas, spectra and pathways which will be discussed in more detail below.

The most common tools are based on matching spectra or exact masses from unknown compounds against spectral data deposited in some database. One example using this approach is MetaboSearch [111], which accepts either a list of m/z or the output of CAMERA as input and searches against four major metabolite databases, Human Metabolome

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Formatted: Highlight	
Formatted: Highlight	
Formatted: Highlight	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

	Commented [LPdS7]: In metabolite annotation section, cite CASMI, and see MS-FINDER and CSI-IOKR are also interesting tools which have been recently developed. Reply: Done
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

6 7₄₃₀ DataBase (HMDB), Madison Metabolomics Consortium Database (MMCD), Metlin, and 8 9⁴³¹ LipidMaps. Similarly, PUTMEDID-LCMS [112] developed in the Taverna Workflow 10⁴³² Management System, also integrates a step of compound mass spectra extraction to define 11433 a molecular formula from high resolution m/z that is then matched against a predefined lis 12434 of molecular formulas to annotate compounds. MetAssign [113] is integrated in mzMatch 13435 and it considers the uncertainty related with metabolite annotation using a Bayesian 14436 clustering approach to assign peak groups, this approach has the advantage of providing a 15437 quantitative values for uncertainty/confidence in the outputs that can be used in further 16₄₃₈ 17₄₃₉ 18 analysis. The program SIRIUS [114] is a Java-based software that combines high accuracy mass with isotopic pattern analysis to distinguish even molecular formulas in higher mass 19⁴⁴⁰ regions. Furthermore it also analyses the fragmentation pattern of a compound using 20441 fragmentation trees that can be directly uploaded to CSI:FingerID (described below) via a web service. MFSearcher [115] is a tool that efficiently searches high accuracy masses 21442 2 2 4 4 3 against a database of pre-calculated molecular formulas with fixed kinds and numbers of 23444 atoms that are further queried against different databases, HR3 [116] is a similar tool for 24445 molecular formula calculation and query in external databases. It uses different sets of rule 25₄₄₆ for heuristic filtering of candidate formulas instead of a pre-calculated database which 26 447 27 28 448 29 449 makes it slightly slower than MFSearcher, but HR3 includes compounds with atoms that are not present in MFSeacher's list as well as considering matches to the isotopic pattern within its annotations. MS-FINDER [117] is a C# program with a GUI providing a constraint-based 3 0450 filtering method for selecting structure candidates. The workflow begins with molecular 31451 formulas from precursor ions being determined from accurate mass, isotope ratio, and 3 2 4 5 2 product ion information. Next, structures of predicted formulas are retrieved from 33453 databases, MS/MS fragmentations are predicted and the structures are ranked considering 3 **4**454 bond dissociation energies, mass accuracies, fragment linkages, and, most importantly, nine 3 455 hydrogen dissociation rules. MS-FINDER provides an interesting theoretical background 3 456 from which to interpret MS/MS spectra and its comparison to database matches. 3 38457 Additionally it was shown to be able to predict with 91.8% accuracy over 80% of the 3 9458 manually annotated metabolites in test samples [117]. MS2Analyzer [118] is a java software 4 0459 for identifying neutral losses, precursor ions, product ions and m/z differences from MS2 41460 spectra based on a list of predefined transitions. These features are essential for structure 42461 elucidation using mass spectrometry and the software provides a fast and high-throughput 43462 platform for extracting this data. MS2LDA [119] is based on latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), 4 463 an algorithm originally used for text mining that was adapted to generate a list with blocks 49 464 of co-occurring fragments and losses providing results similar to MS2Analyzer but without 4 , 465 the need of user specified precursor/product transitions. 4

48₄₆₆

49 467 50

51⁴⁶⁸

Another level of biologically relevant information is added by many tools that incorporate pathway information to assist annotation and interpretation of results such as Metabolome searcher [120], a web-based application to directly search genome-constructed metabolic databases which includes MetaCyc with data on plant metabolism. MassTRIX [121] is a web

	Field Code Changed
e	
st	
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
es	

Commented [LPdS8]: In metabolite annotation section, cite CASMI, and see MS-FINDER and CSI-IOKR are also interesting tools which have been recently developed. Reply: Done

Formatted: English (United States)

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United States)

1	Commented [LPdS9]: Please transfer ms2lda and ms2analyzer to 'annotation' section. Reply: Done
Y	Field Code Changed
ľ	Formatted: Not Highlight
ľ,	Formatted: Not Highlight
Ì	Formatted: Not Highlight
1	Formatted: Not Highlight
Y	Formatted: Not Highlight

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

б 7470 interface that takes a mass peak list from HRMS as input and matches them against KEGG 8 471 9 compounds database returning a pathway map with the matches, organisms can be 10⁴⁷² selected and the output represents organism-specific and extra-organism items 11473 differentially colored to assist interpretation. MetabNet [122] is an R package to perform 1 2474 targeted metabolome wide association study of specific metabolites, this approach 13475 uses the correlation of all mass signals with the targeted metabolite across samples to build 14476 networks that can be visualized in pdf or exported to Cytoscape. This can be a very useful 15477 approach to identify related compounds and associate them to metabolic pathways. 16₄₇₈ 17₄₇₉ 18₄₈₀ Similarly, ProbMetab [123] is an R package for probabilistic annotation of compounds based on the method developed by Rogers et al. (2009) [124] that incorporates information on 19⁴⁸⁰ possible biochemical reactions between the candidate structures to assign higher 20481 probabilities to compounds that form substrate/product pairs within the same sample. MI-Pack [125], implemented in python, calculates differences in mass between all molecular 21482 2 2483 formulas annotated from HRMS and compares them to known substrate/product pairs from 23484 KEGG, but matches are considered based on the error between experimental and 24485 theoretical masses compared to a threshold defined by a calculated mass error surface. 25₄₈₆ PlantMAT [126] is a particularly interesting tool specifically for the investigation of plant 26 487 27 28 488 28 specialized metabolism, which uses an approach based on common metabolic building blocks to predict combinatorial possibilities of phytochemical structures used for annotation 29⁴⁸⁹ and as such is a highly effective way to search the chemical space surrounding a (set of) 3 0490 metabolite(s) 31

32⁴⁹¹ Another more recent and promising approach made possible by the huge amount of data available uses algorithms, mostly based on machine learning, to predict molecular 3 3492 3 4493 properties of unknown compounds from its tandem mass spectra. All the tools listed below 3 5494 provide similar web interfaces for putative metabolite identification differing mainly on the 3 6 4 9 5 algorithms used to perform the identification and the overall performance. MetFrag [127] 37496 retrieves candidate structures either from databases based on exact mass or from user 38₄₉₇ specified structure-data files (SDF), a data format based on MDL Molfile with focus on caring 39 498 structural information-files., Candidate structures are fragmenteds them using a bond 40 41⁴⁹⁸ dissociation approach and <u>fragments are compares ompared the fragments</u> with the input 42^{500} spectra scoring matches based on a series of rules. The candidates can also be filtered to facilitate the analysis based on relevant factors such as metabolite origin, composition, LC 4 3501 4 4 5 0 2 retention time and metadata from the databases. Besides the Java web-interface a 45503 command line version and an R package are provided which are more suitable for batch 46504 processing and integration with other tools. In a very similar approach MolFind [128], 47505 retrieves candidates from databases based on exact mass, filters them by comparing 48506 49507 50 51⁵⁰⁸ experimentally measured retention index, ECOM50 (the energy in eV required to fragment 50% of a selected precursor ion) and drift time (for ion mobility MS) with predicted ones, and analysis CID of the best candidates using MetFrag. CFM-ID [129] is based on competitive 5 2⁵⁰⁹ fragmentation modeling, a probabilistic generative model that uses machine learning to

Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS10]: Reviewer #2: 1440: full stop after metabolites Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed

Commented [LPdS11]: Reviewer #2: I 463: describe SDF files

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

63 64 65

6 7510 learn its parameters from data. It can be used to predict spectra of known chemical 8 9⁵¹¹ structures, to annotate peaks in the spectra of a known compound or to predict candidate structures for an unknown compound by ranking candidates in terms of how closely the 10512 11513 predicted spectra match the input. MAGMa [130], extends prediction based on substructure 12514 assignment by creating hierarchical trees of predicted substructures capable of explaining 13515 MSⁿ data, where each level takes into account the restrictions imposed by the assignment of 14516 precursor and subsequent fragmentation. FingerId [131] developed a model based on a 15₅₁₇ large dataset of tandem MS from MassBank and uses a support vector machine to predict 16₅₁₈ 17 18 19 18 19 520 the molecular fingerprint of the unknown spectra and compare this with the fingerprint of compounds in a large molecular database. CSI:FingerID [132] is a more recent tool based on fingerID that includes computation of fragmentation tree achieving one of the best search 20⁵²¹ performances. Besides the web interface it can be also gueried directly through Sirius but it 21522 currently does not support batch mode. CSI: IOKR was the last CASMI winner approach for 22523 the category "Best Automatic Structural Identification-In Silico Fragmentation Only" [110]. 23524 It is based on the integration of CSI: FingerID with an Input Output Kernel Regression (IOKR) 24₅₂₅ machine learning approach to predict the candidate scores [133]. CSI:IOKR outperforms 25<mark>526</mark> other approaches in metabolite identification rate while considerably shortening running 26 527 27 time, nevertheless, it is still not available as an implemented workflow. Finally MetFusion 28⁵²⁸ [134] is a Java web tool that combines spectra database matching against MassBank with 29⁵²⁹ the prediction based annotation provided by MetFrag.

30 31 Data interpretation

Interpretation of omics data is usually complicated by the amount and complexity of data.
There are many tools to assist metabolomics data interpretation, particularly for its
visualization by mapping metabolites into pathways and providing biological context, and
for the integration with data from different platforms (e.g. transcriptomics, proteomics see
Tohge et al. (2015) [15] for details). As for metabolite annotation, these tools usually rely
upon knowledge stored in metabolite and pathway databases, and many of them include
some kind of statistical analysis such as pathway enrichment and correlation analysis.

41538 Visualization tools provide a simple mean of representing and mapping metabolic changes in tools like PATHOS [135], PathWhiz [136] and iPath [137]. They can often provide some 4 2539 43540 kind of pathway structure analysis such as PathVisio [138], FunRich [139], BiNChE [140] and 44541 MPEA [141] that uses pathway enrichment analysis and PAPi [142] that calculates pathway 45542 activity scores to represent the potential metabolic pathway activities, and performs 46₅₄₃ 47₅₄₄ 48 statistical analysis to investigate differences in activity between conditions. Tools like InCroMAP [143], IIS [144], KaPPA-View4 [145], MapMan [146], ProMeTra [147], which is 49⁵⁴⁵ integrated with MeltDB 2.0, Paintomics [148], VANTED [149], MBROLE [150] and IMPaLA [151] go one step further and integrate metabolomics processed data with other omics 50⁵⁴⁶ 51547 platforms, particularly transcriptomics, providing analysis and visualization of large 5 2548 integrated datasets to assist data interpretation.

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	(
Commented [LPdS12]: In metabolite annotation see	ction, ci
Formatted	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	(

- 62 63 64
- 65

7₅₄₉ Few tools try to actually use mass spectra features to build the networks, which can also 8 9⁵⁵⁰ improve annotation of unknown compounds. MetaNetter [152] uses raw high-resolution 10551 data and a list of potential biochemical transformations to infer metabolic networks. 11552 MetaMapR [153] builds chemical and spectral similarity networks based on annotated and 12553 unknown compounds. ChemTreeMap [154] uses annotated structures and a computational 13554 approach to produce hierarchical trees based on compound similarity to assist visualization 14555 of chemical overlap between molecular datasets and the extraction of structure-activity 15556 relationships. MetFamily [155], groups metabolites in families based on an integrated 16557 17 18 18 analysis of MS1 abundances and MS/MS facilitating further data interpretation. MetCirc [156] {https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/MetCirc.html} is an R tool 19⁵⁵⁹ particularly useful for comparative analysis from cross-species and cross-tissue experiments through computation of similarity between individual MS/MS spectra and visualization of 20560 similarity based on interactive graphical tools, and TrackSM [157] is a Java tool that uses 21561 2 2562 molecular structure similarities to assign newly identified biochemical compounds to known 23563 metabolic pathways.

2 5564 Databases

24

51 52⁵⁸⁶ 53⁵⁸⁷

26 27⁵⁶⁵ It must be clear from previous sections that mass spectrometry based metabolomics, particularly metabolite annotation and data interpretation, relies heavily upon data from 28566 29567 characterized mass spectra, molecular properties of analytes and metabolic pathways. 3 0568 While all the different techniques offer a lot of flexibility, metabolomics struggles with 31569 standardization and a great volume of metadata when compared with other omics 32₅₇₀ techniques and still lags behind most of them in terms of public repositories of published 3 3571 3 4572 3 5573 3 6573 3 7574 3 8575 3 9575 4 0576 data. Nonetheless there are a wealth of databases with useful information for mass spectrometry based plant metabolomics and we try to summarize some of the most relevant and the structure and functionalities of resources available.

Chemspider [158], PubChem [159], ChEBI [160], ChEMBL [161], ChemBank [162], HMDB [163], MMCD [164] and MMsINC [165] are all large databases of small molecules with information such as chemical structure, molecular formula and molecular/exact mass, many 41577 of these databases complement each other and data exchange between them is very 42578 common, nevertheless it is important to be aware of the sources of data in each one of 43579 them and to which extent these data is curated, Chemspider for instance has more than 58 44580 million structures automatically retrieved from over 450 different sources, with only a 45₅₈₁ fraction of this being manually curated by registered users while the majority of data only 46582 47 48 49⁵⁸⁴ went throughtthrough some sort of automatic curation and elimination of redundant entries. Overall such huge databases are particularly useful for looking for physico-chemical properties of identified metabolites and checking for possible candidates based solely on 5 0585 their mass.

There are a few plant specific databases with curated information on chemical composition and distribution across different plant species as well, namely KNApSAcK [166] with

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
$\left(\right)$	Field Code Changed
$\left(\right)$	Field Code Changed

5 6 7₅₈₈ information of more than 50,000 metabolites, and chemical composition of over 22,000) [589 species, the Universal Natural Products Database (UNPD) [167], with 229358 metabolite 10⁵⁹⁰ structures Flavonoid viewer [168] with 6,902 molecular structures of flavonoids from 1,687 11591 plant species, Dr. Duke's Phytochemical and Ethnobotanical Databases (https://phytochem.nal.usda.gov/phytochem/search) with information on 29,585 chemicals 1 2592 13593 of 3,686 medicinal plants, BioPhytMol [169] a resource on anti-mycobacterial 14594 phytomolecules and plant extracts holding 2,582 entries including 188 plant families, 15595 comprised of 692 genera and 808 species, and 633 active compounds and plant extracts 16₅₉₆ 17₅₉₇ 18 19⁵⁹⁸ identified against 25 target mycobacteria, and EssOilDB [170] with 123,041 essential oil records from 92 plant families. These are very interesting resources for screening chemical composition of specific species and analyzing chemical distribution species wide, and all of 20599 the data in these databases is manually curated. From all this resources KNApSAcK is 21600 particularly useful not only for the larger amount of data but also for providing an easy 2 2601 platform to access and extract information quickly. 23 24⁶⁰² Databases providing mass spectra of pure compounds under controlled conditions 2 5603 developed to allow search for common spectra features for the identification of unknown 26604 compounds are an essential resource for MS based identification of metabolites. As 27605 previously mentioned the great stability and reproducibility of GC-MS generates reliable 28606 fragmentation patterns and relative retention indexes that are very efficient for metabolite 29607 annotation by spectra matching. NIST is a very popular commercial library for GC-MS 30 31⁶⁰⁸ annotation, that also provide free access to some data throughtthrough NIST Chem

32⁶⁰⁹ WebBook (http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/), containing mass spectra of 33,000 compounds. SDBS (http://sdbs.db.aist.go.jp/sdbs/cgi-bin/cre_index.cgi) with 25,000 mass 3 3610 34611 spectra is the database from the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and 3 5612 Technology (AIST) from Japan. Both of them are limited in the fact that they do not offer an 36613 interface for spectra matching and the user have limited access to data, so those are only 37614 useful for checking the spectra of targeted compounds. Some more interesting freely-38615 accessible plant specific GC-MS libraries include the Golm metabolome database [171] with 39 40 41 617 a total of 26,590 spectra and 4,663 analytes at the time this article was written and the VocBinBase [172] includes 1,537 unique mass spectra at the time this article was written. 42⁶¹⁸ 43⁶¹⁹ Both of these databases can be downloaded and integrated to processing tools for metabolite annotation based on spectra matching. Also worth mentioning is fiehnLib 44620 (http://fiehnlab.ucdavis.edu/projects/fiehnlib), however, access of the spectral data is highly limited 45621 for this resource.

One of the greatest efforts in the field of metabolomics has been directed to the development of databases of mass spectra obtained from LC-MS analysis. The higher flexibility of this technique compared to GC-MS in terms of the chemical space that it can analyze comes with the drawback of a high sensitivity to multiple factors that can influence mass spectra quality and reproducibility. LC-MS databases are usually characterized by the greatest volume of metadata that accompanies the analytical data, and a more complex

Commented [LPdS13]: 6. UNPD database should be cited as natural product database. Reply: Done Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Commented [LPdS14]: Reviewer #2:

I 575: Also include http://fiehnlab.ucdavis.edu/projects/fiehnlib Reply: We previously did not include fiehnLib because we could not get access to the spectral data in the library. We have added a comment to that effect here

Field Code Changed

 $4\frac{1}{6}$ $4\frac{1}{7}$ $4\frac{1}{8}$ $4\frac{1}{9}$ $5\frac{1}{6}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{5}$ $5\frac{1}{6}$ $6\frac{1}{6}$ $7\frac{1}{6}$ $7\frac{1}{6}$

65

5 6 7628 structure for search based in spectra features when compared to GC-MS databases. Some 8 9⁶²⁹ large general LC-MS databases include MassBank [173], a public repository of mass spectra 10⁶³⁰ with 41,092 spectra of 15,828 compounds obtained by 26 different systems (at the time of 11631 writing). This database is very accessible allowing search by submitted spectra or simply by typing in spectral features, mass or targeted compound name, it furthermore allows users 1 2632 13633 to directly extract spectra during data processing through many tools like RAMClustR, 14634 RMassBank and Mass++. METLIN [174] currently containins 961,829 molecules from which 1\$635 200,000 have in silico MS/MS data ... and Additionally over 14,000 metabolites were analyzed 1¢₆₃₆ and mass spectra at multiple collision energies in positive and negative ionization mode - (637 18 obtained. METLIN also integrates isoMETLIN [175] that allows the search of isotopologues 19⁶³⁸ for all METLIN metabolites based on m/z and isotopes of interest, and includes experimental 20⁶³⁹ data on hundreds of isotopic labeled metabolites that can be used to obtain information of precursor atoms in the fragments, both databases can be accessed after free registration 21640 22641 and searching by mass is fast and easy with the advantage that it allows the user to select 23642 possible adducts and spectra conditions and search directly the mass observed in the 24643 spectra. T3DB [176], is a database for toxin data, many of which are plant secondary 25644 metabolites, with MS, MS-MS and GC-MS spectra of 3,600 common toxic substances (at the 26 645 27 28⁶⁴⁶ time of writing). mzCloud is a new database with a more complex organizing structure that can improve and facilitate data interpretation, currently with 6,255 compounds analyzed in 29⁶⁴⁷ different conditions totalizing 1,913,621 spectra arranged in 9,896 tree structures. It allows the user to easily navigate through different spectra of a single compound through its tree 3 0648 3 <u>1</u>649 structure and also includes visualization of predicted molecular formula of the fragments in 3 2650 the spectra (https://www.mzcloud.org/). Finally the recently developed MoNA 33651 (http://mona.fiehnlab.ucdavis.edu/) is intended to be a centralized, collaborative database 34652 of metabolite mass spectra and metadata, currently containing over 200,000 mass spectral 35₆₅₃ 36 37⁶⁵⁴ records from experimental and in-silico libraries from different sources. The search is limited to name, compound class, molecular formula or exact mass of the metabolite, it can be 38655 filtered by type of spectra, and the results are presented as a single list of individual 39656 interactive spectra next to the metadata making it easy to navigate through different 40657 spectra. The great diversity of phytochemicals observed in plants represent an important 41658 portion of all these numbers, and a few plant specific databases are available such as 42659 Spektraris [177], a LC-MS of about 500 plant natural products that integrates accurate mass 43660 - time tag to incorporate retention time relative to an internal standard in a similar fashion 44_{661} 45_{662} 46_{662} as it is usually done for GC-MS based annotation, therefore, in order to use this feature it is necessary to analyze samples with addition of the same internal standard used when 47⁶⁶³ developing the database entries. It is important to highlight that this kind of approach is 48664 much less effective for LC-MS where relative retention time is prone to larger variation. MS-49665 MS Fragment Viewer (http://webs2.kazusa.or.jp/msmsfragmentviewer/) is a very small and 50666 not very frequently updated database containing FT-MS, IT- and FT-MS/MS spectral data on 51667 116 flavonoids. ReSpect [178] is a collection of MSn spectra data from 9,017 phytochemicals 52₆₆₈ from literature and standards with searching functionalities very similar to MassBank, and 53 54

 Field Code Changed

 Commented [LPdS15]: You said 'Metline currently contains 961,829 molecules'. Ok my question is: how many records do contain MS/MS information?

 Reply: Included in text: "METLIN currently contains 961,829 molecules from which 200,000 have in silico MS/MS data.

 Additionally over 14,000 metabolites were analyzed and mass spectra at multiple collision energies in positive and negative ionization mode obtained".

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

63 64 65

WEIZMASS [179], a metabolite spectral library of high-resolution MS data from 3,540 plant metabolites that uses a probabilistic approach to match library and experimental data with the MatchWeiz software. WEIZMASS is available for implementation in R as a pipeline for metabolite identification which can be easily integrated with data processing. While this is a much less accessible tool for general use compared with other web based databases the results obtained are far more considerable and the effort required in its use is, therefore, more than compensated by the gains which it affords.

A very common issue encountered in data from mass spectrometry is the presence of a
 variety of contaminants from sample preparation and analysis that can be challenging for
 data interpretation. MaConDa [180], provides a very useful database of common
 contaminants and adducts in mass spectrometry, containing over 200 contaminant records
 with origin of the contaminant, its mass and the adducts formed. MaConDa can be
 downloaded in different formats or accessed via the web browser.

Compound spectra databases are essential for identification of metabolites by mass spectrometry, but a significant effort has also been directed towards the development of repositories of experimental data on specific samples to facilitate dereplication studies and 27685 data analysis. These databases are often restricted to specific species, as it is the case for AtMetExpress [181], a LC-MS database of Arabidopsis with data on 20 different ecotypes 28686 29687 and 36 developmental stages which allows users to download raw and processed data as 3 0688 well as query using mass chromatogram features in the web platform and visualize 31689 annotation and distribution of selected features. MeKO [182], is a GC-MS database of 50 32690 Arabidopsis KO mutants. All raw data can be downloaded as netCDF files and results from ³³₆₉₁ 34 35 36⁶⁹³ data analysis can be visualized in a very informative summary in the web browser that shows plant phenotypes, differentially accumulated metabolites indicated in a pathway map and log fold changes for most significantly changed metabolites. MoTo DB [183] is a LC-MS 37694 database of Solanum lycopersicum with information of annotated metabolites where the 3 8695 user can search for specific masses or a range of masses. The database is based on accurate 39696 mass and the user therefore does not have access to raw data and chromatograms. NaDH 40697 [184], a platform for integration and visualization of different omics datasets of Nicotiana 41698 attenuata including LC-MS data on 14 different tissues, allows search for spectra based on 42699 name and m/z and provides some interesting tools for data interpretation easily accessible 43 700 44 45⁷⁰¹ directly from the metabolite entry including metabolite-metabolite and metabolite-gene coexpression analysis and visualization of metabolite expression across different tissues in a 46702 bar chart or eFP browser interface. The Optimas-DW software [185], is a data collection for maize data of 15 different experiments, the interface for metabolites allows easy browsing 4 7703 48704 through all the metabolites and visualization of values for individual experiments in a table 49705 format but no access to raw data, and the SoyMetDB [186], a metabolomics database for 50₇₀₆ soybean, with GC-MS and LC-MS data of four different tissues under two different 51₇₀₇ conditions, which has a simple interface that provide search by metabolite name or browsing through the whole dataset, metabolite entries provide m/z, retention time as well

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
1	Field Orde Oberred
	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

б 7₇₀₉ 8₇₁₀ 9 as an apparent defunct link to a pathway viewer. Similar databases with relative broader spectra include the plant specific KOMIC Maket [187] currently warehousing LC-MS da 10711 74 samples from 17 species, in which the user can search for peaks and browse through 11712 samples and the interface shows retention times, m/z and annotation details classifying annotation based on a grading system. MS2T [188] is an MSMS library created using a 12713 13714 function for automatic Tandem MS acquisition from over 150 samples from 10 differen 14715 plant species, the web platforms allows search by retention time, m/z and spectra simi 15716 16717 17 17 18 19⁷¹⁹ PMR [189], is a database for plants and eukaryotic microorganisms which includes the earlier database of medicinal plants MPMR [190] and currently comprises of GC-MS and MS data on 24 species from different sources and experiments including different tissu and developmental stages. It has an easy and clear interface with summary of all the 20720 experiments once an individual species is selected including metadata and annotated 21721 metabolites. It additionally allows the download of all the results in csv format in the fo 2 2722 of peak tables and it has some basic tool for comparative analysis where volcano plots 23723 be generated comparing different experiments. By contrast, the more general database 24724 Bio-MassBank (http://bio.massbank.jp/), a repository of LC-MS and GC-MS data from 25₇₂₅ 26₇₂₆ 27 28⁷²⁷ biological samples, in contrast with the original MassBank in this database most of the is tagged as "Unknowns" or are just putative metabolites, searching functions are similar the original database but it includes a samples section where it is possible to access all 29⁷²⁸ experiments available. MassBase (http://webs2.kazusa.or.jp/massbase/) is a large 3 0729 repository providing raw and processed mass chromatograms on 46,398 samples of ov 3<u>1</u>730 species, including several plants, analyzed by LC-MS, GC-MS and CE-MS. Metabolomics 3 2731 Workbench [191] is a repository of a variety of metabolomics experiments containing of 33732 60,000 entries, including raw and processed MS data, a section with detailed protocols 34₇₃₃ 35₇₃₄ 36 37⁷³⁵ 38⁷³⁶ the experiments, and web tools for analysis and interpretation that can be used with a uploaded data. Similarly, Metabolights [192], is a cross species repository containing da from 190 mass spectrometry based metabolomics studies that is currently recommend repository of experimental data by many journals, all experimental data can be downlo 39737 from an ftp server and data submission is powered by the use of ISA software that assist 40738 the reporting and management of metadata. MetabolomeXchange [193], is a data 41739 aggregation system that allows users to efficiently explore experimental metabolomics 42740 from different databases including MetaboLights and Metabolomics Workbench provid 43₇₄₁ an RSS feeding service to allow users to get updates over the datasets available. Similar 44₇₄₂ 45 46 47⁷⁴⁴ GNPS [194], a plant natural product knowledge base for community-wide organization and sharing of raw, processed or identified tandem mass spectrometry data currently comprising of 221,083 MS/MS spectra from 18,163 unique compounds. The platform allows 4 8745 users to upload data and provides a series of tools for analysis and interpretation based on 49746 the data from the database.

As previously mentioned, many resources that are particularly useful for data interpretation organize the data in pathways based on literature data, and often also provide tools for data

ta on	Field Code Changed
h	Field Code Changed
g the	
	Field Code Changed
t	Field Code Changed
larity	
iarity.	Eigld Code Changed
	Field Code Changed
a LC-	Field Code Changed
es	Field Code Changed
orm	
can	
es	
	Field Code Changed
data	
arto	
the	
	Field Code Changed
/er 40	
over	Field Code Changed
for	
nv	
ata	Field Code Changed
ed as	Field Code Changed
aded	
sts in	
	Field Code Changed
data	Field Code Changed
ling	
rly,	
and	Field Code Changed

_			
	• • •	~	
α	code	Changed	

Fiel

5 6 7₇₄₉ 8₇₅₀ 9 visualization and interpretation. Many of these databases contain either generic pathways or combine different organisms, some examples are KEGG [195], which includes 504 10751 pathway maps with 17,891 compounds and 10,419 reactions for 4,607 different organisms, 11752 representing data in an interactive interface that links the entries to a great amount of external resources being one of the most popular sources of information on metabolic 1 2753 13754 pathways One of the greatest issues of KEGG leading many user to misinterpreting their 14755 data is that it displays all genes in generic pathway maps of which some are characterized 15756 16757 17 758 18 19⁷⁵⁹ only by similarity, resulting in pathways that are not present in the analysed organism being represented. By contrast, WikiPathways [196], is a wiki-style website with 2,471 community curated pathways of 28 different organisms. Its interactive interface is similar to KEGG providing link with external resources for metabolites and enzymes. Similarly, kpath [197], is 20760 a database that integrates information related to metabolic pathways with 74,180 pathways 13,153 reactions and 37,029 metabolites providing tools for pathway visualization, editing 21761 2 2762 and relationship search. BioCyc [198], is a collection of 9,387 Pathway/Genome Databases, 23763 and MetaCyc [198] is the largest curated database of experimentally elucidated metabolic 24764 pathways containing 2,491 pathways from 2,816 different organisms. KBase [199], 25₇₆₅ 26₇₆₆ 27 28⁷⁶⁷ meanwhile, is a data platform with data on plants and microbes that allow users to upload their own data and integrates data and tools for systems biology including 1,470 metabolic pathways with 33,773 reactions and 27,838 compounds, genome data on 60 different plant 29⁷⁶⁸ species and tools for assembly, annotation, metabolic modeling, comparative analysis, 3 0769 phylogenetic analysis and expression analysis. There are also a significant amount of plant 3<u>1</u>770 specific data organized in databases like KaPPA-View4 [145], containing 153 pathways with 3 2771 1,427 compounds and 1,434 reaction from 10 species, allowing users to upload their own 33772 data and is able to represent gene-to-gene and metabolite-to-metabolite relationships as 34₇₇₃ 35₇₇₄ 36 37⁷⁷⁵ 38⁷⁷⁶ curves on a metabolic pathway maps to help in data interpretation. PlantCyc (http://www.plantcyc.org/) provides access to manually curated or reviewed information about metabolic pathways in over 800 pathways of 350 plant species, usefully the platform provides "evidence codes" to clearly indicate the type of support associated with each database item. MetaCrop [200], is a pathway database containing information about seven 39777 40778 major crop plants and two model plants that allows integration of experimental data into 41779 metabolic pathways, as well as the automatic export of information for the creation of 42780 detailed metabolic models. Similarly, MetNetDB [201], contains integrative information on 43₇₈₁ metabolic and regulatory networks of Arabidopsis and Soybean with metabolism, signalling, 44₇₈₂ 45 46 47⁷⁸⁴ and transcriptional pathways being fully integrated into a single network and manually curated subcellular localization is represented in the pathway maps. The network information can be exported to other applications for network analysis such as exploRase, 4 8785 and Cytoscape/FCM. Like MetNetDB, Gramene [202] is an integrated data resource for 4 9786 comparative functional genomics in crops and model plants that host pathway databases for 50787 rice, maize, BrachypodiumBracypodium, and sorghum as well as providing mirrors for 5 1788 MetaCyc and PlantCyc data. It is worth mentioning a few resources that are focused on the 52₇₈₉ reactions within the pathways offering detailed curated metabolic reactions, namely 53

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Commented [LPdS16]: Reviewer #2: 1731: PlantCyc only has 22 species. Reply: The plant metabolic network (PMN) includes singlespecies/taxon databases on 20 individual species, but at the center of PMN is PlantCyc with over 800 pathways of 350 plant species (http://www.plantcyc.org/about/plantcyc-species). Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Commented [LPdS17]: Reviewer #2: 1743: Brachypodium instead of Bracypodium. Formatted: Font: 12 pt, English (United States)

7₇₉₀ BioMeta [203], whose contents are based on the KEGG Ligand database with a large number ح 9⁷⁹¹ of chemical structures corrected with respect to constitution and reactions' stereochemistry being correctly balanced. BKM-react [204] is a non-redundant biochemical reaction database containing 18,172 unique biochemical reactions retrieved from BRENDA, KEGG, and MetaCyc databases that were matched and integrated by aligning substrates and products. Similar to this MetRxn [205], also integrates information from BRENDA, KEGG and MetaCyc, combining also Reactome.org and 44 metabolic models in a standardized description of metabolites and reactions where all metabolites have matched synonyms, resolved protonation states, and are linked to unique structures, and all reactions are balanced. Together with the development of many prediction tools previously mentioned we watched in the last years the development of some interesting In Silico databases that are extremely useful for de novo metabolite identification such as MINE [206], a database developed by the integration of an algorithm called Biochemical Network Integrated Computational Explorer (BNICE) and expert-curated reaction rules to predict chemical structures product of enzyme promiscuity, MetCCS [207] a database and algorithm for prediction of Collision Cross-Section values for metabolites in ion mobility mass spectrometry, a technique increasingly used to assist metabolite elucidation based on the drift speed of the ion that is

of Natural Products.Other programs of interest

The complexity of metabolomics data experiments, particularly in terms of sample number and metadata pushed the development of many tools for experiment and metadata management, and while many of these functions are integrated in some of the databases previously discussed there are a few specialized tools such as QTREDS [209] and MASTR-MS [210], that are LIMS based software for assisting in organizing experimental design, metadata management and sample data acquisition , MetaDB [211] a web application for Metabolomics metadata management with interface to MetaMS data processing tool, and Metabolonote [212], a metadata database/management system.

proportional to its cross section, and the plant specific ISDB [208] an in silico database of

natural products generated using CFM-ID [129] with input from the commercial Dictionary

The enormous amount of data available for metabolomics raises many questions regarding how to easily access and unify all this data, taking into account the vast chemical space explored in these experiments. Many tools have been developed with the purpose of facilitating access to chemical data spread in the literature, from the development of lidentifiers to reduce duplication of information such as the SPLASH [213] hash designed for the MoNA database, to tools like Metmask [214], for managing different identifiers, Chemical Translation Service (CTS) [215], for translation of chemical identifiers, PhenoMeter [216] for querying databases based on metabolic phenotype and Metab2MeSH [217] for a 53

Field Code Changed	

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Commented [LPdS18]: 1766: maybe cite here services that allow conversion between different types of metabolite chemical information like the chemical translation service: http://cts.fiehnlab.ucdavis.edu/ Reply: It was cited with the acronym CTS.
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

more efficient literature search that automatically annotate compounds with the concepts defined in MeSH providing a fast link between compound and the literature.

Different vendors usually export their data in proprietary formats which complicates data transfer across different platforms. Most proprietary software are able to convert files to .cdf format, but some tools from which the most popular is msConverter from Proteowizard (http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net/) can handle conversion from/to different formats including mzXML. mzTab is another format proposed by the Proteomics Standards Initiative targeting researchers outside of proteomics, it is supposed to contain the minimal information required to evaluate the results of a proteomics experiment making it more accessible to non-experts, jmzTab [218] is a java application that provides reading and writing capabilities and conversion of files to mzTab. The PeakML [219] file format is an initiative developed by the creators of mzMatch to enable the exchange of data between analysis software by representing peak and meta-information from each step in an analysis pipeline, as a proof of concept the R-package 'mzmatch.R' was developed to extend XCMS functionalities for storing and reading data in PeakML format.

All equipment for mass spectrometry comes with their own software for data visualization and some basic analysis but those are usually not designed to deal with the complexities of metabolomics datasets. There are some interesting open source alternatives such as BatMass [220] and Mass++ [221] for data visualization, and for generating images from raw data like SpeckTackle [222] that provides several pre-defined chart types easy to integrate into web-facing resources and RMassBank [223] capable of automatically generating MassBank records from raw MS and MS/MS data.

Mass spectrometry imaging is a relative young technique that has being growing fast in importance providing high resolution special distribution of small molecules in molecular histology [224]. Few tools have been developed so far, namely EXIMS [225] for data processing and analysis, and OpenMSI [226], a web-based visualization, analysis and management tool.

Lipidomics data requires a very specialized pipeline and therefore many tools were developed exclusively for this kind of analysis however we will only briefly summarize these here. ALEX [227], MRM-DIFF [228], LICRE [229], LipidXplorer [230], LIMSA [231], VaLID [232], LOBSTAHS [233], Lipid-Pro [234], LDA [235] and LipidQA [236] are all tools for processing, annotating and analyzing lipidomics data. Lipids databases include LIPID MAPS 46860 [237], LIPIDBANK [238], LipidBlast [239], and in silico generated lipids database LipidHome 47861 [240], SwissLipids [241] and ARALIP (http://aralip.plantbiology.msu.edu/pathways/pathways).

Future perspectives

57

58

59 60

61

62 63

64

65

Field Code Changed		<u></u>
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Ifield Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed <td< th=""><th>Field Code Changed</th><th>(</th></td<>	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	<u> </u>
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	<u> </u>
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed <td< th=""><th>Field Code Changed</th><th></th></td<>	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	<u></u>
Field Code Changed Field Code Chan	Field Code Changed	
ried Code Changed Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	<u> </u>
Field Code Changed Field Code Cha	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	riela Code Changea	[]
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed <td< th=""><th>Field Code Changed</th><th></th></td<>	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed .	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	()
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on	Field Code Changed	(
Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on [Field Code Changed	····
Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on [Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on	Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on	Field Code Changed	
Commented [LPdS19]: 1818: worth commenting here on [Field Code Changed	
	Commented [LPdS19]: 818: worth commenting here on	
	g recom	<u> </u>

Field Code Changed

5 6 7864 Many of the resources presented here were fruit of the efforts in setting the theoretical 8 9⁸⁶⁵ background for each step in the data processing and analysis workflow. However, more 10⁸⁶⁶ recent efforts are moving towards the development of integrated tools, which are often 11867 developed by the integration of already well established tools into a single pipeline in an attempt to accelerate the process and in a few cases providing an easier interface. XCMS 12868 13869 online, for example, is a web platform providing most of the function from XCMS with 14870 additional capabilities for interactive exploratory data visualization and analysis in a much 15871 easier interface than the original software [242], HayStack [243], is a web platform that uses 16₈₇₂ 17 18 XCMS to process data and automatically generates total ion chromatograms (TIC) and base peak chromatograms as well as offering an easy way of plotting extracted ion 19⁸⁷⁴ chromatograms (EIC) and some basic statistical tools such as PCA scores plot, volcano plots, 20875 and dendrograms for group comparisons, SMART [244] is an R package that combines different tools such as XCMS and CAMERA with a series of common statistical approaches to 21876 2,2877 provide an integrated pipeline for data processing, visualization, and analysis. MZmine 2 23878 [245] is another very popular tool with over 1000 citations, it was originally developed for 24879 LC-MS data processing but it became one of the most popular platforms for development of 25880 integrated tools in Java providing a user-friendly, flexible and extendable software 26 881 27 28⁸⁸² constantly updated and with a set of modules covering most steps of LC-MS processing and data analysis workflow including several option of visualization tools. MetSign [246] is a 29⁸⁸³ MATLAB package providing tools for spectra deconvolution, metabolite putative assignment 3 0884 by matching m/z and peak isotopic distribution against its own database, peak list 3 <u>1</u>885 alignment, a series of normalization algorithms, statistical significance tests, unsupervised 3 2886 clustering, and time course analysis, all in a modular and interactive design presented with a 33887 wizard to facilitate the analysis workflow. MultiAlign [247] is a software developed in the 34888 .NET platform using C++ and C# originally for proteomics but that can also be used for 35₈₈₉ 36 37 metabolomics comparative analysis, its functionalities include feature detection, alignment, several plotting options, normalization, and basic statistical comparisons, Metabolome , 38⁸⁹¹ Express [248] works as a web server to process, interpret and share GC/MS metabolomics 3 9892 datasets, whilst MAIT [249] is an R package aiming at providing an end-to-end 4 0893 programmable metabolomics pipeline with emphasis in metabolite annotation and 41894 statistics, it uses XCMS for peak detection, an approach based on CAMERA combined with 42895 an user defined table of biotransformations followed by database search for metabolite 43896 annotation and a series of statistical tests to identify statistically significant features 44 897 45 898 46 containing the highest amount of class-related information. By contrast, MAVEN [250] is a software for data processing, analysis and visualization with some interesting features for 47⁸⁹⁹ pathway-based visualization of isotope-labeling data that can be helpful for the 48900 interpretation of this kind of experiment. MeltDB [251] is a java web based platform that 4 9901 integrates different algorithms for data processing, compound identification by spectra 5 0902 matching statistical analysis, data visualization and integration with transcriptomics and 5 1903 proteomics datasets via the ProMeTra software. It provides a tool for saving peaks of 52904 reference compounds directly in the MeltDB database, and allows storage and sharing of 53

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

ield	Code	Changed		

F

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed
1	Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed
-(Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

63 64 65

6 7₉₀₅ projects within the web server. MetaboAnalyst [252], is another java web platform with data 8 906 9 processing and a comprehensive set of data analysis tools, it includes most common 10⁹⁰⁷ approaches for statistical analysis as well as modules for functional enrichment analysis, 11908 metabolic pathway analysis, time series and two-factor data analysis, biomarker analysis, sample size and power analysis, integrated pathway analysis, and image and report 1 2909 1 3910 generation. The program mzMatch [219] is a popular Java toolkit for processing, filtering, 14911 and annotation, with particular focus on integration of processed data across different 15912 platforms and providing a customizable modular pipeline to facilitate the development and 16₉₁₃ 17 914 18 19⁹¹⁵ integration of different tools. It includes many other tools previously described here like mzmatchISO and metAssign and it is based entirely in the PeakML file format. The MarVis-Suite [253] is a software for the interactive ranking, filtering, combination, clustering, 20916 visualization, and functional analysis of transcriptomics and metabolomics data sets, the clustering algorithm is based on one-dimensional self-organizing maps (1D-SOMs), and the 21917 2 2918 software additionaly provides functions for metabolite annotation and pathway 23919 reconstruction. MetMSLine [254] is an R package that works with processed data providing 24920 a series of statistical analysis steps focusing on biomarker discovery combined with 25₉₂₁ metabolite annotation based on exact mass matching against a target list of metabolites 26₉₂₂ 27 28⁹²³ and MassCascade [255] is a Java library that takes advantage of the KINIME workflow environment facilitating integration with other tools and making the tool user friendly, the 29⁹²⁴ core library contains a collection of data processing algorithms, a visualization framework 3 0925 and metabolite annotation functions, while the plug-in for KNIME allows easy integration 3 <u>1</u>926 with other statistical workflows. MASSyPup [256] does not actually integrate different 3 2927 procedures but it does provides an easy platform for accessing many different tools in the 33928 form of a Linux distribution that can be run directly from different media without 34₉₂₉ installation. 35 3 6930 It is clear from this review the infinity of choices for performing a variety of functions and 37₉₃₁ the fast pace by which they change and get outdated; hence it is an arduous task to keep 38₉₃₂ updated of all of them. Some research groups, engaged in the development of 39 39 40 41 934 metabolomics tools, have their own repositories like KOMICS [257], MetaOpen (http://metaopen.sourceforge.net/) and PRIMe [258], while OMICtools [259], NAR online

42⁹³⁵ 43⁹³⁶ Molecular Biology Database Collection and the Bioinformatics Links Directory provide unified repositories but still covering only a small portion of all the resources available. Tools 44937 developed for R have the advantage of counting with some well-established platforms such 45938 as Biocunductor [260] or CRAN. Nevertheless, Wwith the rapid development of new tools it 46939 is of great interest for the metabolomics community to develop classification systems and 47940 repositories to catalog and provide a platform for submission, curation and feedback 48 941 49 facilitating users' access to the most appropriate and updated resources for each aim. 49 942 50 51⁹⁴³ Another clear observation that can be made from the proceeding sections is that the number of tools for analysis by far exceeds that of the number of data repositories whilst 52⁹⁴⁴ metabolomics is clearly difficult to fully standardize this is still a great shame. There are a

1	Field Code Changed		

Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

-	Field Code Changed
-	Field Code Changed
\neg	Field Code Changed
Ν	Field Code Changed

Field	Code	Char	naed

Field Code Changed

number of clear reporting standards that should aid in this respect [261], furthermore, both the existing databases and carefully compared meta-analysis [22, 262], demonstrate that such approaches are indeed highly powerful in the enhancement of biological understanding. As such we feel that it is an urgent priority to focus efforts on the improvement of this feature of computational metabolomics since it will aid not only in the expansion of our coverage of the metabolite complement of the plant cell but also in the equally important task of interpreting the biological function of the individual metabolites themselves.

Competing interests

8 9⁹⁴⁶

10⁹⁴⁷

11948

1 2949 1 3950

14951

15952

16 17953

18 19⁹⁵⁴

20 21⁹⁵⁵ 22₉₅₆

23 24957

25958

26959

27 28960

29

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Max Planck Society, the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development CNPq-Brazil (LPS) and the IMPRS-PMPG program (TN) for the financial support.

References

- 3 (961 Oliver SG, Winson MK, Kell DB and Baganz F. Systematic functional analysis of the yeast 1. 31⁹⁶² genome. Trends Biotechnol. 1998;16 9:373-8. doi:10.1016/s0167-7799(98)01214-1. 2. Fiehn O, Kopka J, Dormann P, Altmann T, Trethewey RN and Willmitzer L. Metabolite
- 32⁹⁶³ 32⁹⁶⁴ 33⁹⁶⁵ profiling for plant functional genomics. Nat Biotechnol. 2000;18 11:1157-61. doi:10.1038/81137.
- 34₉₆₆ Sauter H, Lauer M and Fritsch H. METABOLIC PROFILING OF PLANTS - A NEW DIAGNOSTIC-3. 35₉₆₇ TECHNIQUE. Abstr Pap Am Chem Soc. 1988;195:129-AGRO.
- 3 6968 4. Dorr JR, Yu Y, Milanovic M, Beuster G, Zasada C, Dabritz JHM, et al. Synthetic lethal 3 7969 metabolic targeting of cellular senescence in cancer therapy. Nature. 2013;501 7467:421-+. 3 8970 doi:10.1038/nature12437.
- 39971 5. Kell DB. Metabolomics and systems biology: making sense of the soup. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2004;7 3:296-307. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2004.04.012.
- 40⁹⁷² 41⁹⁷³ 41₉₇₄ 42₉₇₅ 6. Nicholson JK and Wilson ID. Understanding 'global' systems biology: Metabonomics and the continuum of metabolism. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery. 2003;2 8:668-76. doi:10.1038/nrd1157.
- 43976 Fernie AR and Schauer N. Metabolomics-assisted breeding: a viable option for crop 7. 44977 improvement? Trends in Genetics. 2009;25 1:39-48. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2008.10.010.
- 45978 8. Meyer RC, Steinfath M, Lisec J, Becher M, Witucka-Wall H, Torjek O, et al. The metabolic 46979 signature related to high plant growth rate in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the 47980 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2007;104 11:4759-64. 48⁹⁸¹ doi:10.1073/pnas.0609709104.
- 4 9982 4 9983 5 0984 9. Roessner U, Willmitzer L and Fernie AR. Metabolic profiling and biochemical phenotyping of plant systems. Plant Cell Reports. 2002;21 3:189-96. doi:10.1007/s00299-002-0510-8. 10. Schauer N and Fernie AR. Plant metabolomics: towards biological function and mechanism. 5 1985 Trends in Plant Science. 2006;11 10:508-16. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2006.08.007.

-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed
-{	Field Code Changed

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
0 7			
'986 8987	11.	Weckwerth W. Metabolomics in systems biology. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2003;54:669-89. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.135014.	
9988	12.	Fernie AR and Stitt M. On the Discordance of Metabolomics with Proteomics and	
1 ()989		Transcriptomics: Coping with Increasing Complexity in Logic, Chemistry, and Network	
1 <u>1</u>	13	Interactions. Plant Physiology. 2012;158 3:1139-45. doi:10.1104/pp.112.193235.	
1 2992	15.	metabolome. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2003:334 4:697-719.	
1 3 ⁹⁹¹		doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2003.10.008.	
¹⁴ 994	14.	van der Werf MJ, Overkamp KM, Muilwijk B, Coulier L and Hankemeier T. Microbial	
^{⊥ 5} 995		metabolomics: Toward a platform with full metabolome coverage. Analytical Biochemistry.	
⊥0996 1.7		2007;370 1:17-25. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2007.07.022.	
⊥/997 1.0000	15.	Tohge T, Scossa F and Fernie AR. Integrative Approaches to Enhance Understanding of Plant	
1 0000		Nietabolic Pathway Structure and Regulation. Plant Physiology. 2015;169 3:1499-511.	
	16.	Sulpice R. Pyl F-T. Ishihara H. Trenkamp S. Steinfath M. Witucka-Wall H. et al. Starch as a	
2,000 2,1001	10.	major integrator in the regulation of plant growth. Proceedings of the National Academy of	
$\frac{21}{2}$ 1002		Sciences. 2009;106 25:10348-53. doi:10.1073/pnas.0903478106.	
2 1003	17.	Davey MP, Burrell MM, Woodward FI and Quick WP. Population-specific metabolic	
$\frac{2}{2}$ 1004		phenotypes of Arabidopsis lyrata ssp. petraea. New Phytologist. 2008;177 2:380-8.	Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)
² 17005 25000	10	doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02282.x. Deleggie D. Dev D. Leidà C. Distori C. Nigro F. Francesco M. et al. Evolutionery Matchelorgies	
2±006	18.	Beleggia K, Kau D, Laido G, Platani C, Nigro F, Fragasso M, et al. Evolutionary Metabolomics Reveals Domestication Associated Changes in Tetraploid Wheat Kernels, Molecular Biology	
217008		and Evolution, 2016:33 7:1740-53, doi:10.1093/molbev/msw050.	
2,140009	19.	Kliebenstein D. Advancing Genetic Theory and Application by Metabolic Quantitative Trait	
2 b 010		Loci Analysis. The Plant Cell. 2009;21 6:1637-46. doi:10.1105/tpc.109.067611.	
3011	20.	Luo J. Metabolite-based genome-wide association studies in plants. Current Opinion in Plant	
31012	• •	Biology. 2015;24:31-8. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.01.006</u> .	Field Code Changed
32_{014}	21.	Brotman Y, Landau U, Phini S, Lisec J, Balazadeh S, Mueller-Roeber B, et al. The LysM	
32015		induced by overexpression of fungal chitinases in Arabidonsis plants. Molecular plant	
3 1 015		2012;5 5:1113-24.	
316017	22		
0.0017	22.	Obata I and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic	
315018	22.	Stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-	
3 1 018 3 1 019	22.	Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5.	
3 1 018 3 1 019 3 1 020	22.	Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012- 1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A	
3 5 018 3 † 019 3 1 020 3 1 021 3 1 022	22.	Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012- 1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dv.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphytochem.2009.02.004	Field Code Changed
3 to 18 3 to 18 3 to 19 3 to 20 3 to 21 3 to 22 4 to 23	22. 23. 24.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004.</u> Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of 	Field Code Changed
3 to 18 3 to 19 3 to 20 3 to 21 3 to 22 4 to 22 4 to 23 4 to 24	22. 23. 24.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. 	Field Code Changed
$3 \ddagger 018$ $3 \ddagger 019$ $3 \ddagger 020$ $3 \ddagger 021$ $3 \ddagger 022$ $4 \ddagger 022$ $4 \ddagger 023$ $4 \ddagger 024$ $4 \ddagger 025$	22. 23. 24. 25.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi;<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an 	Field Code Changed
3 ± 018 3 ± 019 3 ± 020 3 ± 021 3 ± 022 4 ± 023 4 ± 024 4 ± 025 4 ± 026	22. 23. 24. 25.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004.</u> Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020.</u> Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 3 1022 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 4027	22. 23. 24. 25.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. 	Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1022 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 1027 4 1028 4 1029	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jab.2004.04.037</u>. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2027 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 1030	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 1022 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 5028 4 5029 4 1031	 23. 24. 25. 26. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1022 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 5028 4 5028 4 5029 4 1031 4 1032	22. 23. 24. 25. 26.	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027</u>. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
$\begin{array}{c} 3 \ 1018 \\ 3 \ 1019 \\ 3 \ 1020 \\ 3 \ 1021 \\ 3 \ 1022 \\ 4 \ 1023 \\ 4 \ 1023 \\ 4 \ 1024 \\ 4 \ 2025 \\ 4 \ 2025 \\ 4 \ 2026 \\ 4 \ 2027 \\ 4 \ 2028 \\ 4 \ 2029 \\ 4 \ 2029 \\ 4 \ 2030 \\ 4 \ 2031 \\ 4 \ 2033 \\ 5 \ 0033 \\ 0033 \\ 5 \ 0033 \\ 5 \ 0033 \\ 5 \ 0033 \\ 5 \ 0033 \\ 5 \ 0033$	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jipba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
$3 \ 1022$ $4 \ 1022$ $4 \ 1022$ $4 \ 1023$ $4 \ 1024$ $4 \ 1024$ $4 \ 1024$ $4 \ 1025$ $4 \ 1025$ $4 \ 1026$ $4 \ 1027$ $4 \ 1028$ $4 \ 1027$ $4 \ 1028$ $4 \ 1031$ $4 \ 1032$ $4 \ 1031$ $4 \ 1032$ $4 \ 1032$ $4 \ 1033$ $5 \ 1034$	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jbba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 1033 5 2034 5 2034	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2029 4 1030 1031 4 1032 4 2033 5 2034 5 2 5 2 5 3	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 1030 4 1032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jpba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 5028 4 5029 4 1031 4 1032 4 1032 4 1033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 2030 4 2031 4 2031 4 2032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 2030 4 1031 4 2032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The Use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2025 5 2030 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jbba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1018 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2027 5 2030 5 2034 5 2034 5 2034 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8 5 9	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jbba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1013 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2027 4 2027 4 2027 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 1030 4 1032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8 5 9 6 0	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to abiotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004</u>. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020</u>. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.ab.2004.04.037</u>. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jipba.2011.04.027</u>. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1013 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 1022 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 1030 4 1031 4 1032 4 1033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8 5 9 6 0 6 1	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The Use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ichromb.2012.01.020. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037. Nistor J, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of teriary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jba.2011.04.027. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1013 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 2029 4 2029 4 2030 4 2031 4 2032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8 5 9 6 0 6 1 6 2	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata 1 and Fernie AR. The Use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ichromb.2012.01.020. Gullberg J, Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.04.037. Nistor J, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jba.2011.04.027. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed
3 1013 3 1019 3 1020 3 1021 4 1023 4 1023 4 1024 4 2025 4 2025 4 2026 4 2027 4 2028 4 2027 4 2028 4 2029 4 2030 4 2031 4 2032 4 2033 5 1035 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 6 5 7 5 8 5 9 6 0 6 1 6 2 6 3	 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 	 Obata I and Fernie AR. The use of metabolomics to dissect plant responses to ablotic stresses. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2012;69 19:3225-43. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-1091-5. Tohge T and Fernie AR. Web-based resources for mass-spectrometry-based metabolomics: A user's guide. Phytochemistry. 2009;70 4:450-6. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.phytochem.2009.02.004. Hibbert DB. Experimental design in chromatography: A tutorial review. Journal of Chromatography B. 2012;910:2-13. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jchromb.2012.01.020. Gullberg J. Jonsson P, Nordström A, Sjöström M and Moritz T. Design of experiments: an efficient strategy to identify factors influencing extraction and derivatization of Arabidopsis thaliana samples in metabolomic studies with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Analytical Biochemistry. 2004;331 2:283-95. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.jab.2004.04.037. Nistor I, Cao M, Debrus B, Lebrun P, Lecomte F, Rozet E, et al. Application of a new optimization strategy for the separation of tertiary alkaloids extracted from Strychnos usambarensis leaves. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2011;56 1:30-7. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2011.04.027. Bradbury J, Genta-Jouve G, Allwood JW, Dunn WB, Goodacre R, Knowles JD, et al. MUSCLE: automated multi-objective evolutionary optimization of targeted LC-MS/MS analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 6:975-7. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu740. 	Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

1			
2			
4			
5			
6			
7036 9037	28.	Nikolskiy I, Siuzdak G and Patti GJ. Discriminating precursors of common fragments for large- scale metabolite profiling by triple quadrupole mass spectrometry. Bioinformatics. 2015;31	
1038 10039	29.	12.2017-25. Katajamaa M and Orešič M. Data processing for mass spectrometry-based metabolomics.	
1 <u>1</u> 040 1 <u>1</u> 041		doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.04.021</u> .	Field Code Changed
$1\frac{1042}{13}$	30.	Sugimoto M, Kawakami M, Robert M, Soga T and Tomita M. Bioinformatics tools for mass	
14043		spectroscopy-based metabolomic data processing and analysis. Current bioinformatics.	
15045	31.	Lange E, Tautenhahn R, Neumann S and Gröpl C. Critical assessment of alignment	
16046		procedures for LC-MS proteomics and metabolomics measurements. BMC Bioinformatics.	
⊥ <u>1/0</u> 47 1.9048	27	2008;9:375 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-375. Tautenhaha P. Böttcher C and Neumann S. Highly consitive feature detection for high	
1 9 049	52.	resolution LC/MS. BMC Bioinformatics. 2008;9 1:504. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-504.	
2 1 050	33.	Lommen A. MetAlign: interface-driven, versatile metabolomics tool for hyphenated full-scan	
2^{1051}_{1052}	24	mass spectrometry data preprocessing. Analytical Chemistry. 2009;81 8:3079-86.	
$2\frac{1052}{1053}$	54.	spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and	
² 1054		identification. Analytical Chemistry. 2006;78 doi:10.1021/ac051437y.	
[∠] 11055 25050	35.	Tengstrand E, Lindberg J and Åberg KM. TracMass 22 A Modular Suite of Tools for Processing	
2 £056 2 £057		42.	
217058	36.	Chang H-Y, Chen C-T, Lih TM, Lynn K-S, Juo C-G, Hsu W-L, et al. iMet-Q: A User-Friendly Tool	
2\$059		for Label-Free Metabolomics Quantitation Using Dynamic Peak-Width Determination. PLoS	Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)
2 9 060	37.	Treviño V. Yañez-Garza IL. Rodriguez-López CE. Urrea-López R. Garza-Rodriguez ML. Barrera-	
30 31062		Saldaña HA, et al. GridMass: a fast two-dimensional feature detection method for LC/MS.	
³ 1063 32004	20	Journal of Mass Spectrometry. 2015;50 1:165-74.	
3 ₁₀₆₅	38.	conversion tools (MSFACTs). Bioinformatics. 2003;19 17:2283-93.	
3 £ 066	39.	Broeckling CD, Reddy IR, Duran AL, Zhao X and Sumner LW. MET-IDEA: data extraction tool	
35067	40	for mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2006;78 13:4334-41.	
ვლითა ვ±ს069	40.	asynchronous web application for the automated guantification of LC-MS data. BMC	
31070		research notes. 2012;5 1:428.	
3 ¹⁰⁷¹ 3 ¹⁰⁷²	41.	Creek DJ, Jankevics A, Burgess KE, Breitling R and Barrett MP. IDEOM: an Excel interface for	
4072 1073	42.	Conley CJ, Smith R, Torgrip RJ, Taylor RM, Tautenhahn R and Prince JT. Massifquant: open-	
4 ₁₀₇₄		source Kalman filter-based XC-MS isotope trace feature detection. Bioinformatics. 2014;30	
4 <u>4</u> 075 4 2 076	12	18:2636-43.	
44077	43.	chromatography/mass spectrometry data processing platform for metabolite compound	
4 1 5078		feature extraction and annotation. Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 13:6245-53.	
46079	44.	Zhang W, Lei Z, Huhman D, Sumner LW and Zhao PX. MET-XAlign: A metabolite cross- alignment tool for LC/MS-based comparative metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry, 2015:87	
47080		18:9114-9.	
⁴ 1082	45.	Yu T, Park Y, Johnson JM and Jones DP. apLCMS—adaptive processing of high-resolution	
-1083 5 Qn84	46	LC/MS data. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:15:1930-6.	
51085	10.	pipeline for improved feature detection and downstream analysis of large-scale, non-	
512086		targeted metabolomics data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013;14 1:15.	
53			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59 60			
61			
62			
63			
64			
65			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7087	47.	Myint L. Kleensang A. Zhao L. Hartung T and Hansen KD. Joint bounding of peaks across	
9088		samples improves differential analysis in mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Analytical	
2089		Chemistry. 2017; doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04719.	
1 0 090	48.	Wandy J, Daly R, Breitling R and Rogers S. Incorporating peak grouping information for	
1 1 091		alignment of multiple liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry datasets. Bioinformatics.	
1 <u>‡</u> 092		2015;31 12:1999-2006.	
1 1 093	49.	Wehrens R, Bloemberg TG and Eilers PH. Fast parametric time warping of peak lists.	
1 4 ⁻¹⁰⁹⁴		Bioinformatics. 2015;31 18:3063-5.	
1095	50.	Stein SE. An integrated method for spectrum extraction and compound identification from	
1096		gas chromatography/mass spectrometry data. Journal of the American Society for Mass	
-1097	- 4	Spectrometry. 1999;10 8:7/0-81. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(99)0004/-1</u> .	Field Code Changed
1 0098	51.	Aggio R, Villas SG and Ruggiero K. Metab: an R package for high-throughput analysis of	
1 10 100	52	Punk P. Kucklick M. Jonas P. Münch P. Schohart M. Jahn D. et al. MetaQuanti a tool for the	Formatted: German (Germany)
_¥µ100 ⊃1⊳101	52.	automatic quantification of GC/MS-based metabolome data. Bioinformatics, 2006;22	
∠⊕ ¹⁰¹		23·2962-5	
21 ⁻⁰²	53.	Hiller K. Hangebrauk J. Jäger C. Spura J. Schreiber K and Schomburg D. MetaboliteDetector:	
27^{-00} 1104	001	comprehensive analysis tool for targeted and nontargeted GC/MS based metabolome	
2_{1105}^{2}		analysis. Analytical Chemistry. 2009;81 9:3429-39.	
24106	54.	Luedemann A, Strassburg K, Erban A and Kopka J. TagFinder for the quantitative analysis of	
2 <u>5</u> 107		gas chromatography—mass spectrometry (GC-MS)-based metabolite profiling experiments.	
2 6108		Bioinformatics. 2008;24 5:732-7.	Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)
217109	55.	Cuadros-Inostroza Á, Caldana C, Redestig H, Kusano M, Lisec J, Peña-Cortés H, et al.	
2\$2110		TargetSearch-a Bioconductor package for the efficient preprocessing of GC-MS metabolite	
2 ⁹¹¹¹		profiling data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10 1:428.	
30112	56.	O'Callaghan S, De Souza DP, Isaac A, Wang Q, Hodkinson L, Olshansky M, et al. PyMS: a	
31		Python toolkit for processing of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) data.	
32.		Application and comparative study of selected tools. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012;13 1:115.	
32110	57.	Jellema KH, Krishnan S, Hendriks MM, Mullwijk B and Vogels JT. Deconvolution using signal	
34117	EQ	Segmentation. Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems. 2010;104 1:132-9.	
3 F 11 0	56.	untargeted metabolomics Journal of Chromatography R 2014/966-100-16	
21c110	59	Kuich PHL Hoffmann N and Kemna S. Maui-VIA: a user-friendly software for visual	
2^{1}	55.	identification, alignment, correction, and quantification of gas chromatography-mass	
57 51a121		spectrometry data. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology. 2014:2.	
20 21122	60.	Domingo-Almenara X, Brezmes J, Vinaixa M, Samino S, Ramirez N, Ramon-Krauel M, et al.	
³ 1123		eRah: A Computational Tool Integrating Spectral Deconvolution and Alignment with	
⁴ 0 1124		Quantification and Identification of Metabolites in GC/MS-Based Metabolomics. Analytical	
⁴ 1 125		Chemistry. 2016;88 19:9821-9.	
4¥126	61.	Ni Y, Su M, Qiu Y, Jia W and Du X. ADAP-GC 3.0: Improved Peak Detection and Deconvolution	
4 13127		of Co-eluting Metabolites from GC/TOF-MS Data for Metabolomics Studies. Analytical	
44128	6.2	Chemistry. 2016;88 17:8802-11.	
45129	62.	Wei X, Shi X, Koo I, Kim S, Schmidt RH, Arteel GE, et al. MetPP: a computational platform for	
46130		comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography time-of-hight mass spectrometry-	
47131		doi:10.1093/hioinformatics/htt275	
48132	63	Kuhl C. Tautenbahn R. Böttcher C. Larson TR and Neumann S. CAMERA: An Integrated	
49134	03.	Strategy for Compound Spectra Extraction and Annotation of Liquid Chromatography/Mass	
5 9135		Spectrometry Data Sets, Analytical Chemistry, 2012:84 1:283-9, doi:10.1021/ac202450g.	
5 1 136	64.	Alonso A, Julià A, Beltran A, Vinaixa M, Díaz M, Ibañez L, et al. AStream: an R package for	
522137		annotating LC/MS metabolomic data. Bioinformatics. 2011;27 9:1339-40.	
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
50			
20			
59			
00			
б⊥			

1		
2		
3 1		
4 5		
6		
- 7120	65	Kossler N. Walter F. Bersieke M. Albaum SP. Kalinowski I. Geosmann A. et al. Allecator: An
\$139	05.	interactive web platform for the analysis of metabolomic I C-FSI-MS datasets, enabling semi-
1 135		automated, user-revised compound annotation and mass isotopomer ratio analysis. PLoS
10141		One. 2014;9 11:e113909.
1 1 142	66.	Tikunov Y, Laptenok S, Hall R, Bovy A and De Vos R. MSClust: a tool for unsupervised mass
12143		spectra extraction of chromatography-mass spectrometry ion-wise aligned data.
$1_{11/5}^{144}$	67	Metabolomics. 2012;8 4:714-8. Broeckling CD. Afsar F. Neumann S. Ben-Hur A and Prenni I. RAMClust: a novel feature
14^{145} 1146	07.	clustering method enables spectral-matching-based annotation for metabolomics data.
15147		Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 14:6812-7.
[⊥] ∲148	68.	Gu H, Gowda GN, Neto FC, Opp MR and Raftery D. RAMSY: ratio analysis of mass
⊥1⁄149		spectrometry to improve compound identification. Analytical Chemistry. 2013;85 22:10771-
1.00150 1.00151	69	9. Chen G. Cui I. Teo GS. Ong CN. Tan CS and Choi H. MetTailor: dynamic block summary and
21£152	05.	intensity normalization for robust analysis of mass spectrometry data in metabolomics.
21 21 153		Bioinformatics. 2015:btv434.
$2^{\bar{1}154}_{2^2}$	70.	Chawade A, Alexandersson E and Levander F. Normalyzer: a tool for rapid evaluation of
$^{-1155}_{23150}$		normalization methods for omics data sets. Journal of Proteome Research. 2014;13 6:3114-
24 ₁₅₇	71	20. Fernández-Albert F. Llorach R. Garcia-Aloy M. Zivatdinov A. Andres-Lacueva C and Perera A
2 5 158	, 1.	Intensity drift removal in LC/MS metabolomics by common variance compensation.
26159		Bioinformatics. 2014;30 20:2899-905.
217160	72.	Shen X, Gong X, Cai Y, Guo Y, Tu J, Li H, et al. Normalization and integration of large-scale
28161	70	metabolomics data using support vector regression. Metabolomics. 2016;12 5:1-12.
29162	73.	Normalization with FigenMS, PLoS One, 2015;912;e116221
1164		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0116221.
³ 1165	74.	Styczynski MP, Moxley JF, Tong LV, Walther JL, Jensen KL and Stephanopoulos GN.
³ f166		Systematic identification of conserved metabolites in GC/MS data for metabolomics and
³ £167 3£4169	75	biomarker discovery. Analytical Chemistry. 2007;79 3:966-73.
J≢168 315169	75.	differential analysis of metabolite profiles. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7:1:530
315170	76.	Huege J, Goetze J, Dethloff F, Junker B and Kopka J. Quantification of stable isotope label in
31/171		metabolites via mass spectrometry. Plant Chemical Genomics: Methods and Protocols.
38172		2014:213-23.
$39^{11/3}_{1174}$	//.	Millard P, Letisse F, Sokol S and Portais J-C. IsoCor: correcting MS data in isotope labeling
40^{174}_{1175}	78.	Jungreuthmaver C. Neubauer S. Mairinger T. Zanghellini J and Hann S. ICT: isotope correction
4 1 176		toolbox. Bioinformatics. 2016;32 1:154-6.
42177	79.	Chokkathukalam A, Jankevics A, Creek DJ, Achcar F, Barrett MP and Breitling R. mzMatch-
4 3178		ISO: an R tool for the annotation and relative quantification of isotope-labelled mass
4_44_1/9 ⊿1+180	80	spectrometry data. Bioinformatics. 2013;29 2:281-3. Bueschl C Kluger B Berthiller F Lirk G Winkler S Krska B et al. MetExtract: a new software
4 <u>5</u> 100 ⊿12181	00.	tool for the automated comprehensive extraction of metabolite-derived LC/MS signals in
4 ¹ / ₄ 1/182		metabolomics research. Bioinformatics. 2012;28 5:736-8.
1183 48	81.	Huang X, Chen Y-J, Cho K, Nikolskiy I, Crawford PA and Patti GJ. X13CMS: global tracking of
-1184 49105	07	isotopic labels in untargeted metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 3:1632-9.
5 9186	02.	computational tool to detect the presence of stable isotope labeling in LC/MS-based
5 1 187		untargeted metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2015;88 1:621-8.
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
5/ 50		
50 50		
59 60		
61		
62		

1		
2		
3		
4 5		
5		
7.00	00	
1188 8180	83.	Weindi D, Wegner A and Hiller K. MIA: non-targeted mass isotopolome analysis.
9190	84	Cai Y Weng K Guo Y Peng Land Zhu Z-L An integrated targeted metabolomic platform for
1 0 191	01.	high-throughput metabolite profiling and automated data processing. Metabolomics.
1 1 192		2015;11 6:1575-86.
12193	85.	Wong JW, Abuhusain HJ, McDonald KL and Don AS. MMSAT: automated quantification of
13194		metabolites in selected reaction monitoring experiments. Analytical Chemistry. 2011;84
14^{1195}	06	1:470-4.
15195	86.	Isugawa H, Arita M, Kanazawa M, Ugiwara A, Bamba T and Fukusaki E. MKMPROBS: A data
16198		based widely targeted metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2013:85 10:5191-9.
17199	87.	Nikolskiy I, Mahieu NG, Chen Y-J, Tautenhahn R and Patti GJ. An untargeted metabolomic
18200		workflow to improve structural characterization of metabolites. Analytical Chemistry.
<u>1</u> 9201		2013;85 16:7713-9.
2102	88.	Tsugawa H, Cajka T, Kind T, Ma Y, Higgins B, Ikeda K, et al. MS-DIAL: data-independent
$2\frac{1203}{1204}$		MS/MS deconvolution for comprehensive metabolome analysis. Nature methods. 2015;12
$2\frac{1}{1205}$	89	1. H. Cai Y. Guo Y. Chen F and Zhu Z-I. MetDIA: Targeted Metabolite Extraction of
² ¹ 206	05.	Multiplexed MS/MS Spectra Generated by Data-Independent Acquisition. Analytical
24207		Chemistry. 2016;88 17:8757-64.
2 5 208	90.	Libiseller G, Dvorzak M, Kleb U, Gander E, Eisenberg T, Madeo F, et al. IPO: a tool for
2 6209		automated optimization of XCMS parameters. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015;16 1:118.
21/210	91.	Mahieu NG, Huang X, Chen Y-J and Patti GJ. Credentialing features: a platform to benchmark
28/11	92	and optimize unitargeted metabolomic methods. Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 19:9583-9. Brodsky I. Moussaieff A. Shahaf N. Aharoni A and Rogachey I. Evaluation of Peak Picking
29/12	92.	Quality in I C– MS Metabolomics Data, Analytical Chemistry, 2010;82 22:9177-87.
30 ⁻¹⁰ 1214	93.	Ranjbar MRN, Di Poto C, Wang Y and Ressom HW. Simat: Gc-sim-ms data analysis tool. BMC
³ 1215		Bioinformatics. 2015;16 1:259.
$\frac{3}{1216}$	94.	Mak TD, Laiakis EC, Goudarzi M and Fornace Jr AJ. Metabolyzer: A novel statistical workflow
³ 1 217		for analyzing postprocessed Ic-ms metabolomics data. Analytical Chemistry. 2013;86 1:506-
3 11218 2 15210	05	13. Kastonmüller G. Bömisch Maral W. Wägele P. Altmaier F. and Subre K. metaB. conversion web
31229	95.	based metabolomics data analysis tool. BioMed Research International, 2010:2011.
ς 1 /221	96.	Fitzpatrick MA, McGrath CM and Young SP. Pathomx: an interactive workflow-based tool for
3 2222		the analysis of metabolomic data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2014;15 1:396.
39223	97.	Hughes G, Cruickshank-Quinn C, Reisdorph R, Lutz S, Petrache I, Reisdorph N, et al.
40		MSPrep—Summarization, normalization and diagnostics for processing of mass
41225	00	spectrometry–based metabolomic data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30 1:133-4.
42227	50.	and correlation network analysis and inverse estimation of the differential Jacobian from
4 3228		metabolomics covariance data. Metabolomics. 2012;8 1:81-93.
444229	99.	Glaab E and Schneider R. RepExplore: Addressing technical replicate variance in proteomics
4 1 5230		and metabolomics data analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015:btv127.
46231	100.	Zhan X, Patterson AD and Ghosh D. Kernel approaches for differential expression analysis of
$4^{1/232}_{1/222}$	101	mass spectrometry-based metabolomics data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015;16 1:77.
48234	101.	Metabomxtr: an R package for mixture-model analysis of non-targeted metabolomics data.
4 ⁹ 1235		Bioinformatics. 2014;30 22:3287-8.
5 9 236	102.	Suvitaival T, Rogers S and Kaski S. Stronger findings from mass spectral data through multi-
5 1 237		peak modeling. BMC Bioinformatics. 2014;15 1:208.
52		
53		
54		
55		
50		
5/ E0		
20 50		
59 60		
61		
<u> </u>		

Formatted: German (Germany)

1			
2			
3			
4 5			
5			
0			
1238	103.	Mak TD, Laiakis EC, Goudarzi M and Fornace Jr AJ. Selective paired ion contrast analysis: a	
1239 1010		novel algorithm for analyzing postprocessed LC-IVIS metabolomics data possessing high	
1 m 240	104	Ernest B. Gooding IR. Campagna SR. Saxton AM and Voy RH. MetahR: an R script for linear	
1 1242	104.	model analysis of quantitative metabolomic data. BMC research notes. 2012:5 1:596.	
1 5 243	105.	Huang J-H, Yan J, Wu Q-H, Ferro MD, Yi L-Z, Lu H-M, et al. Selective of informative	
$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ 244		metabolites using random forests based on model population analysis. Talanta.	Formatted: German (Germany)
1245		2013;117:549-55.	
-1246	106.	Simader AM, Kluger B, Neumann NKN, Bueschl C, Lemmens M, Lirk G, et al. QCScreen: a	
-1247 16540		software tool for data quality control in LC-HRIVIS based metabolomics. BIVIC Bioinformatics.	
17248	107	2013,10 1.341. Fernie AB The future of metabolic phytochemistry: Larger numbers of metabolites higher	
18250	107.	resolution, greater understanding, Phytochemistry, 2007;68 22–24;2861-80.	
19251		doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2007.07.010.	Field Code Changed
21252	108.	Tohge T, Wendenburg R, Ishihara H, Nakabayashi R, Watanabe M, Sulpice R, et al.	
2 ¹ 253		Characterization of a recently evolved flavonol-phenylacyltransferase gene provides	
2254		signatures of natural light selection in Brassicaceae. Nature communications. 2016;7.	
1255 2355	109.	Schymanski E and Neumann S. CASMI: And the Winner is. Metabolites. 2013;3 2:412.	Formatted: German (Germany)
1250 24257	110.	of Small Molecule Identification 2016: automated methods, Journal of Cheminformatics	
25258		2017:9 1:22. doi:10.1186/s13321-017-0207-1.	
26259	111.	Zhou B, Wang J and Ressom HW. MetaboSearch: tool for mass-based metabolite	
217260		identification using multiple databases. PLoS One. 2012;7 6:e40096.	
2\$261	112.	Brown M, Wedge DC, Goodacre R, Kell DB, Baker PN, Kenny LC, et al. Automated workflows	
21/262		for accurate mass-based putative metabolite identification in LC/MS-derived metabolomic	
30263	112	datasets. Bioinformatics. 2011;27 8:1108-12.	
3 1265	115.	annotation of metabolites from IC-MS data using a Bayesian clustering approach	
32266		Bioinformatics. 2014;30 19:2764-71.	
32267	114.	Böcker S, Letzel MC, Lipták Z and Pervukhin A. SIRIUS: decomposing isotope patterns for	
3 £ 268		metabolite identification. Bioinformatics. 2009;25 2:218-24.	
3 5 2 6 9	115.	Sakurai N, Ara T, Kanaya S, Nakamura Y, lijima Y, Enomoto M, et al. An application of a	
316270		relational database system for high-throughput prediction of elemental compositions from	
31/2/1	116	accurate mass values. Biomormatics. 2013;29 2:290-1.	
38^{-72}	110.	elemental composition analysis. Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 11:5463-9.	
³ 1274	117.	Tsugawa H, Kind T, Nakabayashi R, Yukihira D, Tanaka W, Cajka T, et al. Hydrogen	
40 1275		Rearrangement Rules: Computational MS/MS Fragmentation and Structure Elucidation	
⁴ 1 276		Using MS-FINDER Software. Analytical Chemistry. 2016;88 16:7946-58.	
44277	110	doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b00770.	
111278	118.	wa Y, King T, Yang D, Leon C and Flenn O. MSZAnaryzer: A software for small molecule substructure apportations from accurate tandem mass spectra. Analytical Chemistry, 2011;86	
⊿ 1 ±280		21:10724-31.	
4 1 281	119.	van der Hooft JJJ, Wandy J, Barrett MP, Burgess KEV and Rogers S. Topic modeling for	
41282		untargeted substructure exploration in metabolomics. Proceedings of the National Academy	
-1283 4 8		of Sciences. 2016;113 48:13738-43. doi:10.1073/pnas.1608041113.	
-1284 49-05	120.	Dhanasekaran AR, Pearson JL, Ganesan B and Weimer BC. Metabolome searcher: a high	
-1205 50086		mass spectrometry and using genome restriction, BMC Bioinformatics, 2015:16.1:62	
5 1 287	121.	Suhre K and Schmitt-Kopplin P. MassTRIX: mass translator into pathways. Nucleic acids	
5 12288		research. 2008;36 suppl 2:W481-W4.	
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
61			
62			
63			

1		
2		
3		
4 5		
5		
7		
1289 8000	122.	Uppal K, Soltow QA, Promislow DE, Wachtman LM, Quyyumi AA and Jones DP. MetabNet: an
1290 19291		in bioengineering and biotechnology 2015;3:87
10292	123.	Silva RR, Jourdan F, Salvanha DM, Letisse F, Jamin EL, Guidetti-Gonzalez S, et al. ProbMetab:
1 1 293		an R package for Bayesian probabilistic annotation of LC-MS-based metabolomics.
12294		Bioinformatics. 2014;30 9:1336-7.
$1\frac{1295}{3}$	124.	Rogers S, Scheltema RA, Girolami M and Breitling R. Probabilistic assignment of formulas to
14296		mass peaks in metabolomics experiments. Bioinformatics. 2009;25 4:512-8.
$1\frac{129}{1298}$	125.	Weber RJ and Viant MR. MI-Pack: Increased confidence of metabolite identification in mass
1 6299		spectra by integrating accurate masses and metabolic pathways. Chemometrics and
17300		Intelligent Laboratory Systems. 2010;104 1:75-82.
18301	126.	Qiu F, Fine DD, Wherritt DJ, Lei Z and Sumner LW. PlantMAT: A Metabolomics Tool for
19302		Predicting the Specialized Metabolic Potential of a System and for Large-Scale Metabolite
24505 21304	127.	Ruttkies C. Schymanski FI. Wolf S. Hollender Land Neumann S. MetFrag relaunched:
21 ³⁰¹	/-	incorporating strategies beyond in silico fragmentation. Journal of cheminformatics. 2016;8
[∠] 1306		1:3.
$\frac{2}{2}$ 1307	128.	Menikarachchi LC, Cawley S, Hill DW, Hall LM, Hall L, Lai S, et al. MolFind: a software package
² 1308 25000		enabling HPLC/MS-based identification of unknown chemical structures. Analytical
2.6310	129	Chemistry. 2012;84 21:9388-94. Allen F. Pon A. Wilson M. Greiner R and Wishart D. CEM-ID: a web server for annotation
217311	125.	spectrum prediction and metabolite identification from tandem mass spectra. Nucleic acids
2\$312		research. 2014;42 W1:W94-W9.
2 3 ³¹³	130.	Ridder L, van der Hooft JJ and Verhoeven S. Automatic compound annotation from mass
30314	4.24	spectrometry data using MAGMa. Mass Spectrometry. 2014;3 Special_Issue_2:S0033-S.
3^{1315}_{1216}	131.	Heinonen M, Shen H, Zamboni N and Rousu J. Metabolite identification and molecular fingerprint prediction through machine learning. Bioinformatics, 2012;28:18:2222.41
3^{1310}_{1317}	132.	Dührkop K. Shen H. Meusel M. Rousu J and Böcker S. Searching molecular structure
32318		databases with tandem mass spectra using CSI: FingerID. Proceedings of the National
3 £ 319		Academy of Sciences. 2015;112 41:12580-5.
3 5320	133.	Brouard C, Shen H, Dührkop K, d'Alché-Buc F, Böcker S and Rousu J. Fast metabolite
316321		Identification with Input Output Kernel Regression. Bioinformatics. 2016;32 12:128-136.
34 ³²² 21323	134.	Gerlich M and Neumann S. MetFusion: integration of compound identification strategies.
2 1324		Journal of Mass Spectrometry. 2013;48 3:291-8.
³ 1325	135.	Leader DP, Burgess K, Creek D and Barrett MP. Pathos: A web facility that uses metabolic
1326		maps to display experimental changes in metabolites identified by mass spectrometry. Rapid
42328	136	Communications in Mass Spectrometry. 2011;25 22:3422-6.
4 <u>3</u> 329	150.	Nucleic acids research. 2015:gkv399.
44330	137.	Yamada T, Letunic I, Okuda S, Kanehisa M and Bork P. iPath2. 0: interactive pathway
4 5 331		explorer. Nucleic acids research. 2011;39 suppl 2:W412-W5.
46332	138.	Kutmon M, van Iersel MP, Bohler A, Kelder T, Nunes N, Pico AR, et al. PathVisio 3: an
47333	139	extendable pathway analysis toolbox. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015;11 2:e1004085. Pathan M. Keerthikumar S. Ang CS. Gangoda L. Quek CY. Williamson NA, et al. EunRich: An
481335	155.	open access standalone functional enrichment and interaction network analysis tool.
49336		Proteomics. 2015;15 15:2597-601.
5 ₉₃₃₇	140.	Moreno P, Beisken S, Harsha B, Muthukrishnan V, Tudose I, Dekker A, et al. BiNChE: a web
5 1 338		tool and library for chemical enrichment analysis based on the ChEBI ontology. BMC
512339 E 2		BIOINTORMATICS. 2015;16 1:56.
53 E /		
54 55		
56		
57		
58		
59		

- 61 62 63 64

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
1340	141.	Kankainen M, Gopalacharyulu P, Holm L and Orešič M. MPEA—metabolite pathway
19341 19342	142	enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics. 2011;27 13:1878-9. Aggin RB, Ruggiern K and Villas-Bôas SG. Pathway Activity Profiling (PAPi): from the
1 0 343	172.	metabolite profile to the metabolic pathway activity. Bioinformatics. 2010;26 23:2969-76.
1 1 344	143.	Eichner J, Rosenbaum L, Wrzodek C, Häring H-U, Zell A and Lehmann R. Integrated
12345		enrichment analysis and pathway-centered visualization of metabolomics, proteomics,
1_{346}^{1346}		transcriptomics, and genomics data by using the InCroMAP software. Journal of
14^{347} 1348	144.	Carazzolle MF, de Carvalho LM, Slepicka HH, Vidal RO, Pereira GAG, Kobarg J, et al. IIS–
15349		Integrated Interactome System: a web-based platform for the annotation, analysis and
⊥ ∲ 350		visualization of protein-metabolite-gene-drug interactions by integrating a variety of data
⊥1/351 1∙9252	145	sources and tools. PLoS One. 2014;9 6:e100385.
⊥£0352 1.19353	145.	sakural N, Ara T, Ogata T, Sano R, Offici T, Sugiyaria K, et al. KarrA-view4. a metabolic nathway database for representation and analysis of correlation networks of gene co-
2,4,354		expression and metabolite co-accumulation and omics data. Nucleic acids research. 2011;39
2 ¹³⁵⁵		suppl 1:D677-D84.
2^{1356}_{2357}	146.	Usadel B, Poree F, Nagel A, Lohse M, CZEDIK-EYSENBERG A and Stitt M. A guide to using
2^{1357}_{358}		MapMan to visualize and compare Umics data in plants: a case study in the crop species,
2_{1359}^{1350}	147.	Neuweger H, Persicke M, Albaum SP, Bekel T, Dondrup M, Hüser AT, et al. Visualizing post
2 ₂ 360		genomics data-sets on customized pathway maps by ProMeTra-aeration-dependent gene
26361		expression and metabolism of Corynebacterium glutamicum as an example. BMC systems
21/362	1/10	biology, 2009;3 1:82. García Alcalde E. García Lónez E. Donazo Land Coneca A. Paintomics: a web based tool for
∠8303 ວ 1 364	140.	the joint visualization of transcriptomics and metabolomics data. Bioinformatics. 2011:27
2 J 3 1365		1:137-9.
3 ¹³⁶⁶	149.	Rohn H, Junker A, Hartmann A, Grafahrend-Belau E, Treutler H, Klapperstück M, et al.
32367		VANTED v2: a framework for systems biology applications. BMC systems biology. 2012;6
32369	150.	Liss. López-Ibáñez J. Pazos F and Chagoven M. MBROLE 2.0—functional enrichment of chemical
3 £ 370		compounds. Nucleic acids research. 2016;44 W1:W201-W4.
3 5 371	151.	Kamburov A, Cavill R, Ebbels TM, Herwig R and Keun HC. Integrated pathway-level analysis
316372	150	of transcriptomics and metabolomics data with IMPaLA. Bioinformatics. 2011;27 20:2917-8.
34373 51374	152.	high-resolution metabolomic networks. Bioinformatics, 2008;24 1:143-5.
38 ²⁷ 21375	153.	Grapov D, Wanichthanarak K and Fiehn O. MetaMapR: pathway independent metabolomic
1376 4 0		network analysis incorporating unknowns. Bioinformatics. 2015:btv194.
1377 41270	154.	Lu J and Carlson HA. ChemTreeMap: an interactive map of biochemical similarity in
-1378 42379		noiecular dalasels. Bioinformatics. 2016;32 23:3584-92. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw523
4 3380	155.	Treutler H, Tsugawa H, Porzel A, Gorzolka K, Tissier A, Neumann S, et al. Discovering
4 4 381		Regulated Metabolite Families in Untargeted Metabolomics Studies. Analytical Chemistry.
4 1 5382	156	2016;88 16:8082-90.
46303	150.	MS/MS metabolomics data. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 2017.
47501	157.	Hamdalla MA, Rajasekaran S, Grant DF and Măndoiu II. Metabolic Pathway Predictions for
⁴ 1386		Metabolomics: A Molecular Structure Matching Approach. Journal of chemical information
ີ ±1/387 5 Ωາລາ	150	and modeling. 2015;55 3:709-18.
51389	158.	Pence He and Williams A. Chemspider: an online chemical information resource. ACS Publications, 2010
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58 50		
59 60		
61		

Formatted: German (Germany)

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
1390	159.	Kim S, Thiessen PA, Bolton EE, Chen J, Fu G, Gindulyte A, et al. PubChem substance and	
19391 10000	100	compound databases. Nucleic acids research. 2015;gkv951.	
1£392 1£0202	160.	Hastings J, Owen G, Dekker A, Ennis M, Kale N, Muthukrishnan V, et al. Chebi III 2016: Improved services and an expanding collection of metabolites. Nucleic acids research	
⊥ 1 ,20/ 1 1 ,20/		2015 rdb/1021	
⊥ <u>1</u> 294 1 1 2 95	161	2013.grv1031. Gaulton A Bellic I I Bento AP Chambers I Davies M Hersey A et al ChEMBL: a large-scale	
1396	101.	bioactivity database for drug discovery. Nucleic acids research. 2012:40 D1:D1100-D7	
1397	162.	Seiler KP. George GA. Happ MP. Bodycombe NE. Carrinski HA. Norton S. et al. ChemBank: a	
14 1398		small-molecule screening and cheminformatics resource database. Nucleic acids research.	
¹ 5399		2008;36 suppl 1:D351-D9.	
1,6400	163.	Wishart DS, Jewison T, Guo AC, Wilson M, Knox C, Liu Y, et al. HMDB 3.0-the human	
17401		metabolome database in 2013. Nucleic acids research. 2012:gks1065.	
18402	164.	Cui Q, Lewis IA, Hegeman AD, Anderson ME, Li J, Schulte CF, et al. Metabolite identification	
1 9 403		via the Madison Metabolomics Consortium Database. Nat Biotech. 2008;26 2:162-4.	
210404		doi: <u>http://www.nature.com/nbt/journal/v26/n2/suppinfo/nbt0208-162_S1.html</u> .	Field Code Changed
2 ¹⁴⁰⁵	165.	Masciocchi J, Frau G, Fanton M, Sturlese M, Floris M, Pireddu L, et al. MMsINC: a large-scale	
2^{1406}_{2407}	100	chemoinformatics database. Nucleic acids research. 2009;37 suppl 1:D284-D90.	
23_{100}^{1407}	166.	Afendi FM, Okada T, Yamazaki M, Hirai-Morita A, Nakamura Y, Nakamura K, et al. KNApSAck	
2400		research Plant and Cell Physiology 2012:53 2:e1-e	
25410	167	Guil Gui Y. Chen I. Yuan G. Lu H-7 and Yu X. Lise of Natural Products as Chemical Library for	
26411	107.	Drug Discovery and Network Pharmacology. PLoS One. 2013;8 4:e62839.	
217412		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062839.	
2,12,413	168.	Arita M and Suwa K. Search extension transforms Wiki into a relational system: a case for	
2.10414		flavonoid metabolite database. BioData mining. 2008;1 1:7.	
2 1/415	169.	Sharma A, Dutta P, Sharma M, Rajput NK, Dodiya B, Georrge JJ, et al. BioPhytMol: a drug	
3 ¹ 416		discovery community resource on anti-mycobacterial phytomolecules and plant extracts.	
2 1417		Journal of cheminformatics. 2014;6 1:46.	
⁵ f418	170.	Kumari S, Pundhir S, Priya P, Jeena G, Punetha A, Chawla K, et al. EssOilDB: a database of	
> 1 419		essential oils reflecting terpene composition and variability in the plant kingdom. Database.	
ン1#420 スティング	474	2014;2014:bau120.	
3 D421	1/1.	Hummel J, Selbig J, Walther D and Kopka J. The Golm Metabolome Database: a database for	
3164-22 5164-22	172	Scrivis Dased metabolice promiling. Metabolomics, Springer, 2007. p. 75-95.	
31/+23 ~ 1.474	172.	spectral database BMC Bioinformatics 2011-12 1-321	
38	173.	Horai H. Arita M. Kanava S. Nihei Y. Ikeda T. Suwa K. et al. MassBank: a public repository for	
1426		sharing mass spectral data for life sciences. Journal of Mass Spectrometry. 2010;45 7:703-14.	
401427	174.	Smith CA, O'Maille G, Want EJ, Qin C, Trauger SA, Brandon TR, et al. METLIN: a metabolite	
4 1 428		mass spectral database. Therapeutic drug monitoring. 2005;27 6:747-51.	
42429	175.	Cho K, Mahieu N, Ivanisevic J, Uritboonthai W, Chen Y-J, Siuzdak G, et al. isoMETLIN: a	
413430		database for isotope-based metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2014;86 19:9358-61.	
44431	176.	Wishart D, Arndt D, Pon A, Sajed T, Guo AC, Djoumbou Y, et al. T3DB: the toxic exposome	
45432	4 7 7	database. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43 D1:D928-D34.	
46433	1//.	Cuthbertson DJ, Johnson SK, Piljac-Zegarac J, Kappel J, Schater S, Wust M, et al. Accurate	
$4^{++54}_{1/35}$		Phytochemistry, 2013;01:187-07	
48436	178	Sawada Y. Nakabayashi R. Yamada Y. Suzuki M. Sato M. Sakata A. et al. RIKEN tandem mass	
4 437	170.	spectral database (ReSpect) for phytochemicals: a plant-specific MS/MS-based data resource	
5 Q438		and database. Phytochemistry. 2012:82:38-45.	
5 1 439	179.	Shahaf N, Rogachev I, Heinig U, Meir S, Malitsky S, Battat M, et al. The WEIZMASS spectral	
5 1 2440		library for high-confidence metabolite identification. Nature communications. 2016;7.	
53			
54			
55			
56			
 57			
58 58			
59			
55			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7441	180.	Weber RJM, Li E, Bruty J, He S and Viant MR. MaConDa: a publicly accessible mass	
\$ 442		spectrometry contaminants database. Bioinformatics. 2012;28 21:2856-7.	
2 443		doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts527.	
1 0 444	181.	Matsuda F, Hirai MY, Sasaki E, Akiyama K, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Provart NJ, et al.	
1 1 445		AtMetExpress development: a phytochemical atlas of Arabidopsis development. Plant	
12446		Physiology. 2010;152 2:566-78.	
$1\frac{1447}{3}$	182.	Fukushima A, Kusano M, Mejia RF, Iwasa M, Kobayashi M, Hayashi N, et al. Metabolomic	
1448 1448		characterization of knockout mutants in Arabidopsis: development of a metabolite profiling	
1449	100	database for knockout mutants in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology. 2014;165 3:948-61.	
1450 16151	183.	Moco S, Bino RJ, Vorsi O, Vernoeven HA, de Grooi J, van Beek TA, et al. A liquid	
17/152		Physiology 2006:141.4:1205-18	
18453	184	Brockmöller T Ling 7 Li D. Gaquerel F. Baldwin IT and Xu S. Nicotiana attenuata Data Hub	
1 9454	101.	(Na DH): an integrative platform for exploring genomic, transcriptomic and metabolomic	
21455		data in wild tobacco. BMC genomics. 2017;18 1:79.	
21456	185.	Colmsee C, Mascher M, Czauderna T, Hartmann A, Schlüter U, Zellerhoff N, et al. OPTIMAS-	
2 1 1457		DW: a comprehensive transcriptomics, metabolomics, ionomics, proteomics and phenomics	
<u>ຼ</u> ี่ วุ ้ 1458		data resource for maize. BMC plant biology. 2012;12 1:245.	
² 1459	186.	Joshi T, Yao Q, Levi DF, Brechenmacher L, Valliyodan B, Stacey G, et al. SoyMetDB: the	
[∠] 1460		soybean metabolome database. In: Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), 2010 IEEE	
∠1 <u>9</u> 461		International Conference on 2010, pp.203-8. IEEE.	
∠\$ 0 462	187.	lijima Y, Nakamura Y, Ogata Y, Tanaka Ki, Sakurai N, Suda K, et al. Metabolite annotations	
21/463	400	based on the integration of mass spectral information. The Plant Journal. 2008;54 5:949-62.	
28464	188.	Matsuda F, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Nilda K, Kuromori T, Sninozaki K and Salto K. MS/MS	
29405		Plant Journal 2000:57 2:555.77	
30+00	189	Hur M. Camphell AA. Almeida-de-Macedo M. Li L. Ransom N. Jose A. et al. A. global approach	
31468	105.	to analysis and interpretation of metabolic data for plant natural product discovery. Natural	
3 ₂₄₆₉		product reports. 2013:30 4:565-83.	
3≩ ₄₇₀	190.	Wurtele ES, Chappell J, Jones AD, Celiz MD, Ransom N, Hur M, et al. Medicinal plants: a	
3 £ 471		public resource for metabolomics and hypothesis development. Metabolites. 2012;2 4:1031-	
3 56472		59.	
316473	191.	Sud M, Fahy E, Cotter D, Azam K, Vadivelu I, Burant C, et al. Metabolomics Workbench: An	
317474		international repository for metabolomics data and metadata, metabolite standards,	
38475	403	protocols, tutorials and training, and analysis tools. Nucleic acids research. 2015:gkv1042.	
39476	192.	Haug K, Salek RM, Conesa P, Hastings J, de Matos P, Rijnbeek M, et al. Metabolights—an	
40 <u>47</u>		data. Nucleic acids recearch, 2012; dks1004	
41479	193	Cook CE Bergman MT Finn RD Cochrane G Birney F and Anweiler R The European	
42480	155.	Bioinformatics Institute in 2016: data growth and integration. Nucleic acids research.	
4 3481		2016:44 D1:D20-D6.	
44482	194.	Wang M, Carver JJ, Phelan VV, Sanchez LM, Garg N, Peng Y, et al. Sharing and community	
4 1 5483		curation of mass spectrometry data with Global Natural Products Social Molecular	
4 2484		Networking. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34 8:828-37.	
4 ¹ /485	195.	Kanehisa M and Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic acids	
1486 4 8		research. 2000;28 1:27-30.	
1487 4 9	196.	Kelder T, Pico AR, Hanspers K, Van Iersel MP, Evelo C and Conklin BR. Mining biological	
-1488 50.00		pathways using WikiPathways web services. PLoS One. 2009;4 7:e6447.	
51489 51400	197.	Navas-Delgado I, Garcia-Godoy MJ, Lopez-Camacho E, Rybinski M, Reyes-Palomares A,	
J⊒490 ⊑10/101		weuma wiA, et al. kpath. integration of metabolic pathway linked data. Database. 2015-2015-hav053	
52 52		2013,2013,008033.	
22			
54 FF			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

-

Formatted: German (Germany)

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
1492	198.	Caspi R, Foerster H, Fulcher CA, Kaipa P, Krummenacker M, Latendresse M, et al. The	
£ 493		MetaCyc Database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of	
1 494		Pathway/Genome Databases. Nucleic acids research. 2008;36 suppl 1:D623-D31.	
10495	199.	Arkin AP, Stevens RL, Cottingham RW, Maslov S, Henry CS, Dehal P, et al. The DOE Systems	
1 1 496	200	Biology Knowledgebase (KBase). bioRxiv. 2016:096354.	
12497	200.	Schreiber F, Coimsee C, Czauderna T, Gratanrend-Belau E, Hartmann A, Junker A, et al.	
13490		acids research 2011:gkr1004	
14 ⁻⁵⁵ 1500	201.	Sucaet Y. Wang Y. Li Land Wurtele FS. MetNet Online: a novel integrated resource for plant	
1501	-01	systems biology. BMC Bioinformatics. 2012;13 1:267.	
16 ₅₀₂	202.	Tello-Ruiz MK, Stein J, Wei S, Preece J, Olson A, Naithani S, et al. Gramene 2016:	
17503		comparative plant genomics and pathway resources. Nucleic acids research. 2015:gkv1179.	
12504	203.	Ott MA and Vriend G. Correcting ligands, metabolites, and pathways. BMC Bioinformatics.	
1 9 505		2006;7 1:517.	
2\$506	204.	Lang M, Stelzer M and Schomburg D. BKM-react, an integrated biochemical reaction	
2 ¹⁵⁰⁷	205	database. BMC biochemistry. 2011;12 1:42.	
22508	205.	Numar A, Sumers PF and Waranas CD. Weitxn: a Knowledgebase of metabolites and	
2_{1510}^{1509}	206	leffryes IG Colastani RI Elbadawi-Sidhu M Kind T Niebaus TD Broadbelt II et al MINEs:	
$2\frac{1}{1510}$	200.	open access databases of computationally predicted enzyme promiscuity products for	
2 ₅₁₂		untargeted metabolomics. Journal of cheminformatics. 2015;7 1:44.	
2 6 513	207.	Zhou Z, Shen X, Tu J and Zhu Z-J. Large-Scale Prediction of Collision Cross-Section Values for	
217514		Metabolites in Ion Mobility-Mass Spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry. 2016;88 22:11084-91.	
2\$515	208.	Allard P-M, Péresse T, Bisson J, Gindro K, Marcourt L, Pham VC, et al. Integration of	
2 9 516		molecular networking and in-silico MS/MS fragmentation for natural products dereplication.	
30^{1517}	• • • •	Analytical Chemistry. 2016;88 6:3317-23.	
3^{1518}_{110}	209.	Palla P, Frau G, Vargiu L and Rodriguez-Tome P. QTREDS: a Ruby on Rails-based platform for	
32520	210	Unites laboratories. Divid Bioliniorinatics. 2014,15 1.515. Hunter & Davalan S. De Souza D. Power B. Lorrimar R. Szabo T. et al. MASTR-MS: a web-	
32521	210.	based collaborative laboratory information management system (LIMS) for metabolomics.	
34522		Metabolomics. 2017;13 2:14.	
315523	211.	Franceschi P, Mylonas R, Shahaf N, Scholz M, Arapitsas P, Masuero D, et al. MetaDB a data	
315524		processing workflow in untargeted MS-based metabolomics experiments. Frontiers in	
3\$525		bioengineering and biotechnology. 2014;2:72.	
38526	212.	Ara T, Enomoto M, Arita M, Ikeda C, Kera K, Yamada M, et al. Metabolonote: a wiki-based	
39^{1527}_{7520}		database for managing hierarchical metadata of metabolome analyses. Frontiers in	
$4 \rho_{r_{20}}^{1528}$	7 10	Dioengineering and Diotechnology. 2015;3:38.	
41530	215.	hashed identifier for mass spectra. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34 11:1099-101	
42531	214.	Redestig H. Kusano M. Fukushima A. Matsuda F. Saito K and Arita M. Consolidating	
436532		metabolite identifiers to enable contextual and multi-platform metabolomics data analysis.	
444533		BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11 1:214.	
4 1 534	215.	Wohlgemuth G, Haldiya PK, Willighagen E, Kind T and Fiehn O. The Chemical Translation	
48535		Service—a web-based tool to improve standardization of metabolomic reports.	
4^{1536}_{7537}	24.6	Bioinformatics. 2010;26 20:2647-8.	
48_{-20}^{1537}	216.	Carroll AJ, Zhang P, Whitehead L, Kaines S, Tcherkez G and Badger MR. PhenoMeter: a	
49539		nhenotypes for high-confidence detection of functional links. Frontiers in hioengineering and	
59540		hiotechnology, 2015:3.	
5 1 541	217.	Sartor MA, Ade A, Wright Z, Omenn GS, Athey B and Karnovsky A. Metab2MeSH: annotating	
52542		compounds with medical subject headings. Bioinformatics. 2012;28 10:1408-10.	
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

Formatted: German (Germany)

2			
2			
5			
4			
5			
6			
7512	210	Yu OW, Griss I, Wang P, Jones AP, Hermiakoh H and Vizcaíno IA, imzTah: A Java interface to	
8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	210.	the myTab data standard. Proteomics, 2014;14 11;1229, 22	
9544 9545	210	LIE IIIZIAD Udda Staliudiu. Ploteoliiits. 2014,14 11.1526-52. Scholtoma BA, Jankovicc A, Jancon PC, Swartz MA and Braitling P. DoakMI (mzMatch: a filo	
110⊑4C	219.	format Jour Library Blibrary and tool chain for mass spectrometry data analysis. Analytical	
⊥L040 1.1547		Chemistry, 2011-02 7:2700 02	
⊥ <u>1</u> 547	220	Chemistry, 2011;83 7:2786-93.	
12548	220.	Avtonomov DM, Raskind A and Nesvizhskii Al. BatMass: a Java Software Platform for LC–MS	
13^{1549}		Data Visualization in Proteomics and Metabolomics. Journal of Proteome Research. 2016;15	
14^{1550}_{-14}		8:2500-9.	
1551	221.	Tanaka S, Fujita Y, Parry HE, Yoshizawa AC, Morimoto K, Murase M, et al. Mass++: A	
16		visualization and analysis tool for mass spectrometry. Journal of Proteome Research.	
±1553		2014;13 8:3846-53.	
⊥ <i>1</i> /554	222.	Beisken S, Conesa P, Haug K, Salek RM and Steinbeck C. SpeckTackle: JavaScript charts for	
126555		spectroscopy. Journal of cheminformatics. 2015;7 1:17.	
1 9 556	223.	Stravs MA, Schymanski EL, Singer HP and Hollender J. Automatic recalibration and	
216557		processing of tandem mass spectra using formula annotation. Journal of Mass Spectrometry.	
2 ¹⁵⁵⁸		2013;48 1:89-99.	
2^{1559}_{2}	224.	Dong Y, Li B and Aharoni A. More than Pictures: When MS Imaging Meets Histology. Trends	
<u>_</u> 1560		in plant science. 2016;21 8:686-98.	
ຼິ 1 561	225.	Wijetunge CD, Saeed I, Boughton BA, Spraggins JM, Caprioli RM, Bacic A, et al. EXIMS: an	
² 指562		improved data analysis pipeline based on a new peak picking method for EXploring Imaging	
2_{2563}		Mass Spectrometry data. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 19:3198-206.	
2 6 564	226.	Rübel O, Greiner A, Cholia S, Louie K, Bethel EW, Northen TR, et al. OpenMSI: a high-	
217565		performance web-based platform for mass spectrometry imaging. Analytical Chemistry.	
2\$\$566		2013;85 21:10354-61.	
2,4567	227.	Husen P, Tarasov K, Katafiasz M, Sokol E, Vogt J, Baumgart J, et al. Analysis of lipid	
2 1 568		experiments (ALEX): a software framework for analysis of high-resolution shotgun lipidomics	
J1569		data. PLoS One. 2013;8 11:e79736.	
³ 1570	228.	Tsugawa H, Ohta E, Izumi Y, Ogiwara A, Yukihira D, Bamba T, et al. MRM-DIFF: data	
³ f571		processing strategy for differential analysis in large scale MRM-based lipidomics studies.	
3 ₂₅₇₂		Frontiers in genetics. 2014;5.	
3 £ 573	229.	Wong G, Chan J, Kingwell BA, Leckie C and Meikle PJ. LICRE: unsupervised feature correlation	
3 \$574		reduction for lipidomics. Bioinformatics. 2014:btu381.	Formatted: German (Germany)
316575	230.	Herzog R, Schuhmann K, Schwudke D, Sampaio JL, Bornstein SR, Schroeder M, et al.	
ລູ <u>1</u> 5576		LipidXplorer: a software for consensual cross-platform lipidomics. PLoS One. 2012;7	
ວ <i>1</i> ,577		1:e29851.	
د د 1578	231.	Haimi P, Uphoff A, Hermansson M and Somerharju P. Software tools for analysis of mass	
39 .1579		spectrometric lipidome data. Analytical Chemistry. 2006;78 24:8324-31.	
$40 \\ 1580$	232.	Blanchard AP, McDowell GS, Valenzuela N, Xu H, Gelbard S, Bertrand M, et al. Visualization	
4 1 581		and Phospholipid Identification (VaLID): online integrated search engine capable of	
42582		identifying and visualizing glycerophospholipids with given mass. Bioinformatics. 2013;29	
436583		2:284-5.	
444584	233.	Collins JR, Edwards BR, Fredricks HF and Van Mooy BA. LOBSTAHS: an adduct-based	
4 1 585		lipidomics strategy for discovery and identification of oxidative stress biomarkers. Analytical	
⊿ 1 586		Chemistry. 2016;88 14:7154-62.	
⁴ 0 ⊿1587	234.	Ahmed Z, Mayr M, Zeeshan S, Dandekar T, Mueller MJ and Fekete A. Lipid-Pro: a	
4/1588		computational lipid identification solution for untargeted lipidomics on data-independent	
$\frac{48}{1589}$		acquisition tandem mass spectrometry platforms. Bioinformatics. 2015;31 7:1150-3.	
4 ₂₅₉₀	235.	Hartler J, Trötzmüller M, Chitraju C, Spener F, Köfeler HC and Thallinger GG. Lipid Data	
5 9 591		Analyzer: unattended identification and quantitation of lipids in LC-MS data. Bioinformatics.	
5 1 592		2011;27 4:572-7.	
52			
53			
50			
55			
56			
57			
58			
FO			
59			
59 60			
59 60 61			
59 60 61 62			

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
0 7			
1593	236.	Song H, Hsu F-F, Ladenson J and Turk J. Algorithm for processing raw mass spectrometric	
12594 9F0F		data to identify and quantitate complex lipid molecular species in mixtures by data-	
110506		dependent scanning and tragment ion database searching. Journal of the American Society	
1 1 597	237	Sud M Eahy F Cotter D Brown A Dennis FA Glass CK et al Imsd linid mans structure	
1 12598	257.	database. Nucleic acids research. 2007:35 suppl 1:D527-D32.	
1 1 599	238.	Watanabe K, Yasugi E and Oshima M. How to search the glycolipid data in "LIPIDBANK for	
$^{1}_{1}$ $^{5}_{1600}$		Web", the newly developed lipid database in Japan. Trends in Glycoscience and	
$\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{4}{1}$ 601		Glycotechnology. 2000;12 65:175-84.	
$^{\perp}1602$	239.	Kind T, Liu K-H, Lee DY, DeFelice B, Meissen JK and Fiehn O. LipidBlast in silico tandem mass	
±19603		spectrometry database for lipid identification. Nature methods. 2013;10 8:755-8.	
⊥1604 1.0co5	240.	Foster JM, Moreno P, Fabregat A, Hermjakob H, Steinbeck C, Apweiler R, et al. LipidHome: a	
⊥26005 1.10⊂00		database of theoretical lipids optimized for high throughput mass spectrometry lipidomics.	
⊥ <u>¥</u> 000 0.1607	2/1	PLUS UNE. 2015,0 S.E01951. Aimo I. Liechti R. Nousnikel N. Nikneiad A. Gleizes A. Götz I. et al. The SwissLinids	
2⊕007 ∋1608	241.	knowledgebase for lipid biology. Bioinformatics. 2015:btv285.	
21 ⁶⁰⁹	242.	Tautenhahn R, Patti GJ, Rinehart D and Siuzdak G. XCMS Online: a web-based platform to	
2 <u>1</u> 610		process untargeted metabolomic data. Analytical Chemistry. 2012;84 11:5035-9.	
² 1611	243.	Grace SC, Embry S and Luo H. Haystack, a web-based tool for metabolomics research. BMC	
² 1612		Bioinformatics. 2014;15 11:S12.	
∠\$613	244.	Liang Y-J, Lin Y-T, Chen C-W, Lin C-W, Chao K-M, Pan W-H, et al. SMART: Statistical	
21614	245	Metabolomics Analysis [®] An R Tool. Analytical Chemistry. 2016;88 12:6334-41.	
21/615	245.	Pluskal I, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A and Oresic M. MZmine 2: modular tramework for	
28010		processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 2010:11 1:395	
29017	246.	Wei X. Sun W. Shi X. Koo I. Wang B. Zhang L et al. MetSign: a computational platform for	
1619	210.	high-resolution mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. Analytical Chemistry. 2011:83	
³ 1620		20:7668-75.	
³ ² f621	247.	LaMarche BL, Crowell KL, Jaitly N, Petyuk VA, Shah AR, Polpitiya AD, et al. MultiAlign: a	
³ £622		multiple LC-MS analysis tool for targeted omics analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013;14 1:49.	
34623	248.	Carroll AJ, Badger MR and Millar AH. The MetabolomeExpress Project: enabling web-based	
31624		processing, analysis and transparent dissemination of GC/MS metabolomics datasets. BMC	
36025	240	Bioinformatics. 2010;11 1:376. Earpándaz Albert F. Llerach P. András Lacueva Cland Perera A. An P. packago to applyco.	
24020	249.	IC/MS metabolomic data: MAIT (Metabolite Automatic Identification Toolkit)	
3827		Bioinformatics. 2014:30 13:1937-9.	
1629	250.	Melamud E, Vastag L and Rabinowitz JD. Metabolomic analysis and visualization engine for	
⁴ 1630		LC- MS data. Analytical Chemistry. 2010;82 23:9818-26.	Formatted: German (Germany)
⁴ 1631	251.	Neuweger H, Albaum SP, Dondrup M, Persicke M, Watt T, Niehaus K, et al. MeltDB: a	
42632		software platform for the analysis and integration of metabolomics experiment data.	
41633	252	Bioinformatics. 2008;24 23:2726-32.	
4 <u>44</u> 034 ₄1+625	252.	XIa J, Sineinikov IV, Han B and Wisnart DS. MetaboAnalyst 3.0—making metabolomics more	
4 <u>5</u> 055 ⊿1636	253	Kaever A Landesfeind M Feussner K Moshlech A Heilmann L Morgenstern B et al MarVis-	
46 ³⁰ ⊿1 <u>6</u> 37	2001	Pathway: integrative and exploratory pathway analysis of non-targeted metabolomics data.	
41638		Metabolomics. 2015;11 3:764-77.	
⁴ 1639	254.	Edmands WM, Barupal DK and Scalbert A. MetMSLine: an automated and fully integrated	
⁴ 1640		pipeline for rapid processing of high-resolution LC-MS metabolomic datasets. Bioinformatics.	
5¥641		2014:btu705.	
51			
52 52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
5/			
58			
59			
6U			
ю⊥			

2		
3		
1		
5		
5		
7642	255.	Beisken S. Earll M. Portwood D. Seymour M and Steinbeck C. MassCascade: Visual
643	2001	Programming for LC-MS Data Processing in Metabolomics. Molecular informatics. 2014:33
644		4:307-10.
645	256.	Winkler R. MASSyPup—an 'Out of the Box'solution for the analysis of mass spectrometry
646		data. Journal of Mass Spectrometry. 2014;49 1:37-42.
647	257.	Sakurai N, Ara T, Enomoto M, Motegi T, Morishita Y, Kurabayashi A, et al. Tools and
		databases of the KOMICS web portal for preprocessing, mining, and dissemination of
649		metabolomics data. BioMed Research International. 2014;2014.
<u>+</u> 650	258.	Sakurai T, Yamada Y, Sawada Y, Matsuda F, Akiyama K, Shinozaki K, et al. PRIMe update:
651		innovative content for plant metabolomics and integration of gene expression and
652		metabolite accumulation. Plant and Cell Physiology. 2013;54 2:e5-e.
653	259.	Henry VJ, Bandrowski AE, Pepin A-S, Gonzalez BJ and Desfeux A. OMICtools: an informative
654		directory for multi-omic data analysis. Database. 2014;2014:bau069.
655	260.	Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, et al. Bioconductor:
656		open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biology.
657		2004;5 10:R80. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80.
,658	261.	Sumner LW, Amberg A, Barrett D, Beale MH, Beger R, Daykin CA, et al. Proposed minimum
659		reporting standards for chemical analysis. Metabolomics. 2007;3 3:211-21.
660		doi:10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2.
101	262.	Gago J, Daloso Dow, Figueroa CM, Flexas J, Fernie AR and Nikoloski Z. Relationships of Leaf
10Z		Net Photosynthesis, Stomatal Conductance, and Mesophyll Conductance to Primary Matabolism: A Multichaciae Mata Applysic Approach, Plant Physiology, 2016;171,1725, 70
103 61		ivietabolism: A multispecies ivieta-Analysis Approach. Plant Physiology. 2016;1/1 1:265-79.
04		αυι.τυ.ττ04/μμ.το.υτουο.
65		
666	Figure	1 Typical mass spectrometry based metabolomics workflow.
	۸dditi	anal file 1 vie Summary of resources for mass spectrometry based metabolomics
007	Additi	Shall the 1.XIS Summary of resources for mass spectrometry based metabolomics.





Figure

Supplementary Material

Click here to access/download **Supplementary Material** Databases_Revised.xls