#### Supplemental Figures for

# Systematic discovery of genetic modulation by Jumonji histone demethylases in *Drosophila*

Nevine A. Shalaby<sup>1,4</sup>, Raheel Sayed<sup>1</sup>, Qiao Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Shane Scoggin<sup>1</sup>, Susan Eliazer<sup>1</sup>, Adrian Rothenfluh<sup>2,3,5,+</sup> and Michael Buszczak<sup>1,+</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA
<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, and <sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Program, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, 75390, USA
<sup>4</sup>Institute for Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany
<sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Molecular Medicine Program, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112, USA

<sup>+</sup>M.B. and A.R. are co-senior authors.





С















В



KDM3 primers

JMJD4 primers (control)



## Supplemental Figure 1. Design and verification of $JmjC^{KO}$ alleles.

(A) Schematic of each knock-in cassette with approximate lengths of the homology arms, restriction digest strategy and Southern blot results for nine JmjC alleles generated using ends-out homologous recombination. A restriction digest was designed to differentiate between the genomic locus with the ORF and presence of the knock-in cassette. The name of the gene is indicated in each panel. Blue arrows point to wildtype chromosomes and red arrows point to knockout chromosomes. ORF (open reading frame), RA (right arm), LA (left arm), ctrl (control, y w), donor (flies containing the P[acman]) cassette in the landing site), het (heterozygous knockout), hom (homozygous knockout). Note: HSPBAP1 was formerly denoted as CG12879. However, since the project started, recent updates to the annotation show that the region can be subdivided into three genes; CG43319, Acp98AB and CG43320. The knock-in cassette was designed to remove all three genes. CG43320 is the only ORF that contains the JmjC domain. (B) RT-PCR analysis of the KDM3<sup>KO</sup> mutant generated using ends-out homologous recombination. RNA was isolated from *KDM3<sup>KO</sup>* flies and an RT reaction was performed followed by PCR using the indicated primers. (+) indicates the presence of reverse transcriptase enzyme in the RT reaction, while (-) indicates the absence of enzyme which was used to control for DNA contamination. JMJD4 primers were used to control for the presence of template in all reactions. (C) Crispr/Cas9 strategy designed to remove KDM3 ORF and replace it with dsRed under control of the eyeless promoter. The primer pair used to verify the successful replacement of the gene (HA1-F and HA2-R) results in a 4.9kb fragment in wildtype and 3.5kb fragment in the KO line. An agarose gel shows the expected fragment sizes in WT (wild type), heterozygous (*KDM3<sup>KO</sup>/+*) and homozygous (*KDM3<sup>KO</sup>/KDM3<sup>KO</sup>*).



Supplemental Figure 2. Subcellular localization of *Drosophila* JmjC proteins in the ovary. The germline driver, *nanos-Gal4* (nos), was crossed to *UAS-HA::JmjC* lines. Ovaries were dissected and stained with anti-HA (green) and counterstained with DAPI for DNA (blue). All panels are images of the germarium of the *Drosophila* ovary. In two cases (\*), the genomic tags were used since they exhibited high expression in the germaria: Jarid2::HA and NO66::HA. In a single case (KDM4B) *nanos-Gal4* was crossed to untagged KDM4B (*UAS-KDM4B*) and ovaries were dissected and stained with anti-KDM4B (green) and DAPI (blue).

overgrowth eye phenotype: 🔲 enhanced 🛛 🔲 suppressed

Genotype	No. of flies	No. enhanced	No. suppressed		
GMR>Yki <sup>S168A</sup> /+	22	3	0		
КDM2 <sup>ко</sup>	25	0	0		
КDM3 <sup>ко</sup>	22	0	0		
KDM4A <sup>KO</sup>	35	0	0		
КDM4В <sup>ко</sup>	30	0	0		
UTX <sup>∆</sup>	12	12	0 *		
lid <sup>10424</sup>	16	3	0		
Jarid2 <sup>KO</sup>	17	0	0		
<b>NO66<sup>ко</sup></b>	29	0	15 *		
JMJD5 <sup>KO</sup>	22	0	16 *		
JMJD7 <sup>KO</sup>	21	0	0		
HSPBAP1 <sup>KO</sup>	31	5	0		
PSR <sup>FM1</sup>	26	0	0		
JMJD4 <sup>KO</sup>	28	0	0		
All genotypes have one copy of the mutant and one copy of <i>GMR&gt;Yki<sup>S168A</sup></i>					

### Supplemental Figure 3. Quantification of phenotypes in Figure 6A-C.

Number of enhancers and suppressors for each cross, containing one copy of  $GMR > Yki^{S168A}$  and one copy of the mutant.  $UTX^{\Delta}/+$  significantly enhanced the eye overgrowth phenotype, while  $NO66^{KO}/+$  and  $JMJD5^{KO}/+$  suppressed it (P<0.05 – Unpaired T.Test).

	1
_	•

Genotype	mild	severe			
C765>Smo <sup>5A</sup> /+	43	7			
КDM2 <sup>ко</sup>	28	4			
КDM3 <sup>ко</sup>	35	0			
KDM4A <sup>KO</sup>	17	25 *			
КDM4В <sup>ко</sup>	21	20 *			
UTX <sup>∆</sup>	34	4			
lid <sup>10424</sup>	38	3			
Jarid2 <sup>KO</sup>	12	6			
NO66 <sup>KO</sup>	16	2			
JMJD5 <sup>KO</sup>	31	5			
JMJD7 <sup>ко</sup>	14	5			
HSPBAP1 <sup>KO</sup>	28	4			
PSR <sup>FM1</sup>	52	22			
JMJD4 <sup>KO</sup>	42	6			
All genotypes have one copy of the mutant and one copy of C765>Smo <sup>54</sup>					



#### Supplemental Figure 4. Quantification of phenotypes in Figure 6D-H.

(A) Number of enhancers and suppressors for each cross, containing one copy of C765>Smo<sup>5A</sup> and one copy of the mutant. KDM3<sup>KO</sup>/+ yielded no progeny with severe vein disruption, PSR<sup>FM1</sup>/+ showed a tendency towards enhancement, while KDM4A<sup>KO</sup>/+ and KDM4B<sup>KO</sup>/+ yielded a significantly higher percentage of flies exhibiting severe vein disruption (P<0.05 – Fisher exact test, with Bonferroni correction). (B) Percentage of severe wing vein disruption (blue) in each cross, containing one copy of C765>Smo<sup>5A</sup> and one copy of the mutant.