File name: Supplementary Information Description: Supplementary Figures and Supplementary Tables



**Supplementary Figure 1 | Vegetation prediction using full attenuation and simplified partial attenuation flow models. a,** Changes in *D* obtained from both models are identical and show the effects of attenuation on *D* assuming a vegetative flow resistance that corresponds to saltmarsh and mangrove, represented by a range of Manning's *n* values from 0.2 to 0.6 (shaded areas) with a mean of 0.4 (solid line). **b**, Changes in hydroperiod computed at an offset of 14 cm along the tidal flat for both approaches indicate a significant impact of attenuation on the hydroperiod when full attenuation is considered, which is not captured by the simplified partial attenuation model. **c**, Vegetation distribution simulated using the two modelling approaches shows that the partial attenuation approach produces a distribution very close to the results using the bathtub model.



Supplementary Figure 2 | Long term wetland evolution for constant rate of sea-level rise and constant rate of soil surface elevation change using the "bathtub" approach without considering the effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide



Supplementary Figure 2 (cont.)



Supplementary Figure 3 | Long term wetland evolution for constant rate of sea-level rise and constant rate of soil surface elevation change using the hydrodynamic approach considering the full effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide.



Supplementary Figure 4 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change with low sediment load using the "bathtub" approach without considering the effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide



Supplementary Figure 4 (cont.)



Supplementary Figure 5 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change with low sediment load using the hydrodynamic approach considering the full effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide.



Supplementary Figure 6 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change with high sediment load using the "bathtub" approach without considering the effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide



Supplementary Figure 6 (cont.)



Supplementary Figure 7 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change with high sediment load using the hydrodynamic approach considering the full effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide.



Supplementary Figure 8 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change and concentration with low sediment load using the "bathtub" approach without considering the effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide



Supplementary Figure 8 (cont.)



Supplementary Figure 9 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change and concentration with low sediment load using the hydrodynamic approach considering the full effects of flow attenuation. H = hydropeiod, D = depth below mean high tide.



Supplementary Figure 10 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change and concentration with high sediment load using the "bathtub" approach without considering the effects of flow attenuation. H = hydroperiod, D = depth below mean high tide



Supplementary Figure 10 (cont.)



Supplementary Figure 11 | Long term wetland evolution for variable rate of sea-level rise and variable rate of soil surface elevation change and concentration with high sediment load using the hydrodynamic approach considering the full effects of flow attenuation. H = hydropeiod, D = depth below mean high tide.



Supplementary Figure 12 | Variation of suspended sediment in the wetland. a, Values of suspended sediment concentration decay exponentially with distance to the inlet at Fish Fry Cheek, both in saltmarsh and mangrove sites. b, The same sites show a linear increase of concentration with depth below mean high tide *D*. Lines of best fit have been included in the figures.

Supplementary	y Table 1	Data used	during	calibratio	n and	validation	of the	hydrodynamic	model.
O a mila a	Deee	ام میں ا		al Data	<b>—</b> :.	a al Data			

		U	
Series	Record period	Initial Date	Final Date
Calibration	888 hours	12/09/2004	20/10/2004
Validation	97 hours	31/12/2005	04/01/2006

## Supplementary Table 2 | Manning roughness intervals tested.

	ing reaginees into rais tootoal	
Type of element / soil	Roughness coefficient interval	Final calibrated values
coverage		
Unvegetated	0.030 - 0.100	0.035
Mangrove (pneumatophores	0.100 - 0.700	0.500
and stems)		
Saltmarsh	0.100 - 0.500	0.150

## Supplementary Table 3 | Performance indicators of the hydrodynamic model for calibration and validation.

Performance	Calibration	Validation
indicators		
r	0.6	0.8
RSR	0.1	0.7
PBIAS (%)	6.1	3.4
NSE	0.9	0.5