Supporting Information

An ¹¹¹In-Labeled PLA-PEG Nanoparticle for Imaging PSMA-Expressing Tissues

Sangeeta R. Banerjee,^{1*} Catherine A. Foss,^{1*} Allen Horhota,² Mrudula Pullambhatla,¹ Kevin

McDonnell,² *Stephen Zale*,² *Martin G. Pomper*¹

¹ Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, MD 21287; ²BIND Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA 02139

		Page number
	Contents	
1.	Synthetic Scheme for DOTA-PEG-Alkyne and In-DOTA-PEGAlkyne and LC-MS characterization	2
2.	Stability studies of In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne by LC-MS	3
3.	Calculation radiolabeling yield and specific activity of ¹¹¹ In-labeled nanoparticles	4
4.	HPLC chromatograms for ¹¹¹ In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne	5
5.	Supporting figures for tissue imaging	6-9
6.	Flow cytometry data	10
7.	In vivo NIRF imaging of TNP-IRDye and UNP-IRDye	10-11

1. Reaction scheme to synthesize DOTA-PEG-Alkyne and In-DOTA-PEG-Alkyne



LC-MS spectra for DOTA-PEG-alkyne (blue) and In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne (red)

2. Stability studies of stable **In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne** (MW: 729.21) by LC-MS) in different experimental condition used for formulation of nanoparticles (click chemistry) formulation







Color	Cu (1mM)	Ascorbic Acid	30% N ₃ NP's
Red	-	-	-
Black	+	+	-
Blue	+	+	+

3. Calculation radiolabeling yield and specific activity of ¹¹¹In-labeled nanoparticles

Four separate spin-columns were used to purify the nanoparticles to remove lowmolecularweight impurities and free ¹¹¹In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne from the nanoparticle formulation before performing the biological experiments. A table was prepared to show the calculation of radiolabeling yield and specific activity.

[r		
Untargeted		Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4		
Particles		(filtration 1)	(filtration	(filtration	(filtration		
(UNP)			2)	3)	4)		
Before	8.55 mCi						
purification	$(18.4*10^{-11})$						
Vial + pipette	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	560 µCi	82.6 µCi	43.7 uCi	114.2 uCi		
tips (physical		boo µer	0210 µC1		μοι		
waste)							
Activity		3 29 mCi	404 uCi	253 uCi	206 µCi		
retained in		5.27 mer	τοτ μει	255 µC1	200 μCl		
the column							
		4 73 mCi	4.25 mCi	3.96 mCi	3 65 mCi		
retained in		4.75 mei	4.25 mer	5.70 mer	(Final)		
Filtrate					(I mai)		
Padiochemic	(3 65/8 55)*10						
al Yield	0						
	= 42.2%						
No. of mol.	7.85*10 ⁻¹¹						
Of In-111	mole						
(final)							
No. of mol.	80 ul from 83 mg/ml stock (10% N3)						
particle taken	=6.64 mg						
(lot# 102-	Or (0.0066/21000)						
192-C) 2.5%	$=3.16*10^{-7}$ mole polymer						
GL2	4500 polymers/NP						
	$3.16 \times 10^{-7} / 4500 =$						
	7.02×10^{-11} moles of NP						
Ratio of In-	7.85x10 ⁻¹¹ /						
111/particle	$7.02 \times 10^{-11} = 1.1$						
nanoparticle	20 MBq/ms	g 52	1924				
specific	(0.55 mCi/mg)		MBq/nmol				
activity			-				

4. HPLC peak for purified ¹¹¹In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne (radio/ γ) channel



5. HPLC peak for stable (cold) **In-DOTA-PEG-alkyne** (λ =220 nm)



6. HPLC peak for unlabeled DOTA-PEG-alkyne ($\lambda = 220 \text{ nm}$). Peak at 12.8 min did not show any mass at ESI-MS. ($\lambda = 220 \text{ nm}$, top) and ($\lambda = 254 \text{ nm}$, bottom).



7. Supporting Figures for Tissue imaging



Figure S1. *Ex vivo* NIRF imaging of two mouse pairs each receiving either TNP or UNP as indicated. Mice were sacrificed and imaged 72 h after nanoparticle administration. Tumor types are as indicated. Heterogeneity of tumor uptake is apparent by lack of tumor uptake in either line in UNP mouse 2. All images are scaled to the same acquisition parameters.



Figure S2. *In vitro* epifluorescence micrograph of cultured PSMA negative PC-3 flu cells containing TNPs. This magnification shows the intracellular distribution of TNPs (red) with PSMA (green, absent), tubulin (white) and nuclei (blue). White arrows indicate some co-localization of TNPs with tubulin (white at the centrosome), possibly due to passive endocytosis. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$.



Figure S3. *In vitro* epifluorescence micrograph of cultured PSMA+ PC-3 PIP cells containing UNPs. This magnification shows the intracellular distribution of TNPs (red) with PSMA (green), tubulin (white) and nuclei (blue). White arrows indicate some co-localization of TNPs with tubulin (white at the centrosome), possibly due to passive endocytosis. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$.

Figure S4.



Figure S4. *In vitro* epifluorescence micrograph of cultured PSMA negative PC3 flu cells containing UNPs. This magnification shows the intracellular distribution of UNPs (red) with PSMA (green, absent), tubulin (white) and nuclei (blue). White arrows indicate some colocalization of TNPs with tubulin (white at the centrosome), possibly due to passive endocytosis. Scale bar = $10 \mu m$.



Figure S5. Flow cytometry analysis of PSMA surface expression in PSMA(+) PC-3 PIP & PSMA(-) PC-3flu cell lines. The dot plot (left panel) and the histogram (right panel) show that PC-3 PIP has a uniformly high level of PSMA expression where as PC-3flu is negative.



Supplementary Figure 6. *In vivo* NIRF imaging of TNP-IRDye and UNP-IRDye distribution in tumor bearing mice at 72 h post-injection. Four athymic nude mice bearing PC-3 PIP (red arrows) and PC-3 flu (yellow arrows) xenografts were injected as indicated with either fluorescent TNP or UNP. Images at 72 h show TNP accumulation around both PIP and flu xenografts as well as around knee joints. UNP accumulation had largely cleared from both tumors except from a \sim 4 mm diameter PIP tumor within the UNP 1 mouse. Color bar indicates relative fluorescence units. PC-3 PIP tumor (red arrow), PC-3 flu tumor (yellow arrow)