# Whole genome sequencing of Chinese clearhead icefish, *Protosalanx hyalocranius*

Kai Liu[1]†, Dongpo Xu[1]†, Jia Li[2]†, Chao Bian[2]†, Jinrong Duan[1]†, Yanfeng Zhou[1]†, Minying Zhang[1], Xinxin You[2], Yang You[1], Jieming Chen[2], Hui Yu[2], Gangchun Xu[1], Di-an Fang[1], Jun Qiang[1], Shulun Jiang[1], Jie He[1], Junmin Xu[2,4,5], Qiong Shi[2,4,5,6*], Zhiyong Zhang[3*], Pao Xu[1,5*]

[1]Freshwater Fisheries Research Center, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Wuxi 214081, China

[2]Shenzhen Key Lab of Marine Genomics, Guangdong Provincial Key Lab of Molecular Breeding in Marine Economic Animals, BGI, Shenzhen 518083, China

[3]Institute of Oceanology & Marine Fisheries, Jiangsu 226007, China

[4]BGI Zhenjiang Institute of Hydrobiology, Zhenjiang 212000, China

[5]BGI Research Center for Aquatic Genomics, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Shenzhen 518083, China

[6]Laboratory of Aquatic Genomics, College of Ecology and Evolution, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

† Equal contributors

[*] Correspondence: xup@ffrc.cn (PX); shiqiong@genomics.cn (QS); 13906292412@139.com (ZZ)

Email addresses: liuk@ffrc.cn (KL); xudp@ffrc.cn(DX); lijia1@genomics.cn (JL); bianchao@genomics.cn (CB); duanjr@ffrc.cn(JD); zhouyf@ffrc.cn(YZ);

zhangmy@ffrc.cn(MZ); youxinxin@genomics.cn (XY); youy@ffrc.cn (YY); chenjieming@genomics.cn (JC); yuhui@genomics.cn (HY); xugc@ffrc.cn(GX); fangda@ffrc.cn(DF); qiangj@ffrc.cn(JQ); 420219380@qq.com(SJ); hej@ffrc.cn(JH); xujunmin@genomics.cn (JX); shiqiong@genomics.cn (QS); 13906292412@139.com (ZZ); xup@ffrc.cn (PX)

## Abstract

**Background**: Chinese clearhead icefish, *Protosalanx hyalocranius*, is a representative icefish species with economic importance and special appearance. Due to its great economic values in China, the fish was introduced into Lake Dianchi and several other lakes from the Lake Taihu half a century ago. Similar to the *Sinocyclocheilus* cavefish, the clearhead icefish has certain cavefish-like traits, such as transparent body and nearly scaleless skin. Here, we provide the whole genome sequence of this surface-dwelling fish and generated a draft genome assembly, aiming at exploring molecular mechanisms for the biological interests.

**Findings**: A total of 252.1 gigabases (Gb) of raw reads were sequenced. Subsequently, a novel draft genome assembly was generated, with the scaffold N50 reaching 1.163 Mb. The genome completeness was estimated to be 98.39% by using the CEGMA evaluation. Finally, we annotated 19,884 protein-coding genes and observed that repeat sequences account for 24.43% of the genome assembly.

**Conclusion**: We report the first draft genome of the Chinese clearhead icefish. The genome assembly will provide a solid foundation for further molecular breeding and germplasm resource protection in Chinese clearhead icefish, as well as other icefishes. It is also a valuable genetic resource for revealing the molecular mechanisms for the cavefish-like characters.

**Keywords:** Clearhead icefish; *Protosalanx hyalocranius*; Whole genome sequencing; Genome assembly; Gene prediction; Repetitive sequences

# Data description

## *Background*

Icefishes (Osmeriformes, Salangidae) are widely distributed in freshwater, coastal and estuarine habitats in East Asian countries [1-3]. Chinese clearhead icefish (*Protosalanx hyalocranius*), a diadromous fish, mainly inhabits in coastal areas and adjacent freshwaters [4-6]. As an economically important fish in China, the clearhead icefish was widely introduced into some lakes from the original Lake Taihu half a century ago, and it has developed a resident life history in these water areas [2, 7, 8]. Because of its transparent body and nearly scaleless skin, similar to the *Sinocyclocheilus* cavefishes [9], we are very interested in this surface-dwelling fish and are performing comparative genomics studies to explore the mechanisms for these biological phenotypes. However, with the rapid development of the Chinese economy in recent decades, population size of the clearhead icefish has been seriously declining because of overfishing, construction of water conservancy facilities and water pollution in the ecological systems [10]. To maintain its sustainable development in China, here we performed whole genome sequencing of Chinese clearhead icefish to support its biological and economic importance.

## *Sample and Sequencing*

In this study, we applied Illumina whole genome sequencing (WGS) strategy to sequence the genome of Chinese clearhead icefish (NCBI Taxonomy ID: 418454; Fishbase ID: 12236). Genomic DNA was isolated from the muscle tissue of an individual collected from the Lake Taihu of Jiangsu Province in China. We constructed seven paired-end libraries with three short-insert libraries (250, 500 and 800 bp) and four long-insert libraries (2, 5, 10 and 20 kb) using the standard protocol provided by Illumina (San Diego, USA). Subsequent paired-end sequencing was

performed by the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform for each library. Finally, we obtained 252.1 Gb of raw reads for further analysis.

## *Genome size estimation and genome assembly*

The SOAPfilter v2.2 software [11] with optimized parameters (-y -p -g 1 -o clean -M 2 -f 0) was utilized to remove low-quality raw reads (including reads with 10 or more Ns and low-quality bases) and PCR-replicates as well as adaptor sequences. In total, we obtained 169.0 Gb of clean reads. Subsequently, we estimated the genome size based on the 17-mer depth frequency distribution method [12]. We applied the following formula to calculatie the genome size: G=k_num/k_depth=b_num/b_depth (k_num is the total number of K-mers from the sequencing data, k_depth is the expected coverage depth for k-mers, b_num is the total number of bases, b_depth is the expected coverage depth of bases; As one read with length L generates L-K+1 k-mers, k_num/b_num=(L-K+1)/L). In our current study, the K_num was 10,500,000,000 and the K_depth was 20. Hence, we estimated that the genome size of Chinese clearhead icefish is 525 Mb.

The filtered reads were assembled using SOAPdenovo2 v2.04.4 software [13] with optimized parameters (pregraph -K 79 -d 1; contig -M 1; scaff -F -b 1.5 -p 16) to generate contigs and original scaffolds. The gaps were filled using GapCloser v1.12 software [14] with default parameters and –p set to 25. Finally, we generated a draft genome assembly of 536 Mb, with the scaffold N50 reaching 1.163 Mb (Table 1).

The completeness of our assembly was evaluated by using CEGMA [15] and BUSCO [16]. The CEGMA program (Core Eukaryotic Genes Mapping Approach; version 2.4) assessment with 248 conserved Core Eukaryotic Genes (CEGs) was performed for evaluation of the gene space completeness. Our results revealed that the assembled genome had a CEGMA completeness score at 90.32% and 98.39%, which was calculated from the complete gene set and the partial gene set, respectively.

Meanwhile, we used the representative metazoa gene set [17], which contains 843

single-copy genes that are widely present in metazoan, as a reference. The assessment demonstrated that the BUSCO values is 89%, containing [D: 10%], F: 7.7%, M: 2.9%, n: 843 (C: complete [D: duplicated], F: fragmented, M: missed, n: genes). These data from CEGMA and BUSCO indicate that the assembled genome covered majority of the gene space.

## *Repeat annotation*

Firstly, a *de novo* repeat library was constructed by the RepeatModeller v1.05 [18] and LTR_FINDER.x86_64-1.0.6 [10] with default parameters. Then, the assembled genome sequences were aligned against the RepBase v21.01 [19] and the *de novo* repeat libraries to recognize the known and novel transposable elements ( TEs ) using the RepeatMasker v4.06 [20]. Meantime, the Tandem Repeat Finder v4.07 [21] with parameters “Match=2, Mismatch=7, Delta=7, PM=80, PI=10, Minscore=50, and MaxPeriod=2000” was utilized for annotation of tandem repeats. Furthermore, the RepeatProteinMask software v4.0.6 [20] was used to predict TE relevant proteins in our genome assembly. Finally, we observed that the repeat sequences account for 24.43% of the assembled genome (Table 1), and the de novo annotation method predicted the most abundant repeat sequence among the four methods (Table 2).

## *Genome Annotation*

In brief, we utilized two different methods to predict total gene set of the clearhead icefish.

**1) *de novo* annotation.** The AUGUSTUS v2.5 [22] and GENSCAN v1.0 [23] were executed to *ab initio* predict genes within the assembled genome, with the repetitive sequences masked as “N” in order to discard pseudo gene prediction. Those low-quality genes with short length (<150 bp), premature termination or frame-shifting were removed. Finally, we identified 23,132 and 21,379 pro-coding genes by using the AUGUSTUS and GENSCAN software (Table 3).

**2) Homology annotation.** We aligned the protein sequences from six published genomes, including *Danio rerio* [24], *Oryzias latipes* [25], *Takifugu rubripes* [26], *Tetraodon nigroviridis* [27], *Esox lucius* [28] and *Gasterosteus aculeatus* [29], against our assembly to predict homology-based genes. The potential homology-based genes were searched by TblastN [30] with an e-value of $10^{-5}$. The TblastN results were then processed by SOLAR (Sorting Out Local Alignment Result [31]) to obtain the best hit of each alignment. Subsequently, GeneWise v2.2.0 [32] was performed to detect the possible gene structure for the best hit of each alignment. The low-quality genes were also removed as described in the above-mentioned *de novo* annotation.

**3) Integration of annotation results.** We employed the GLEAN [33] to generate a non-redundant and comprehensive gene set. Finally, the best hit of each protein was obtained through all protein sequences from the GLEAN results aligned to the databases of the SwissProt and TrEMBL [34] (Uniprot release 2011.06) by BlastP with an e-value of $10^{-5}$. Overall, we generated a final gene set with 19,884 genes for the Chinese clearhead icefish (Table 3).

CEGMA was performed again to evaluate the coverage rate between KOG (EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups) genes predicted by CEGMA and the predicted total gene set. It demonstrates that the predicted gene set mapped 96.4% of the KOGs. Simultaneously, the BUSCO was implemented again to assess completeness of the predicted gene set. The BUSCO values were calculated as follows: C: 79% [D: 16%], F: 9.8%, M: 10%, n: 843 (C: complete [D: duplicated], F: fragmented, M: missed, n: genes). The assessment values from both CEGMA and BUSCO proved high accuracy of the annotation.

**4) Function annotation.** The predicted protein sequences of the clearhead icefish were aligned against several public databases (Pfam [35], PRINTS [36], ProDom [37] and SMART [38]) for detection of functional motifs and domains. Finally, we found that 96.2% of the predicted total gene set had been annotated with at least one

functional assignment from other public databases (Swiss-Prot [39], Interpro [40], TrEMBL [41] and KEGG [42]).

## *Genome evolution*

We performed phylogenomic analyses with orthologues from representative species for each clade. We used the Ensembl BioMart (www.ensembl.org/biomart; Ensembl version 76) to extract orthologues for zebrafish [24], fugu [26], stickleback [29], medaka [25] and spotted gar [43]. This generated orthologue dataset from six species was filtered out to retain only one-to-one orthologues. Meanwhile, a new Asian arowana gene set stem from our recent work [44]. In order to extrapolate the Biomart orthologues to the arowana and clearhead icefish gene sets, we used zebrafish as the reference. We ran InParanoid [45] for the three species pairs (zebrafish-arowana and zebrafish-clearhead icefish) at default settings (i.e., minimum 50% alignment span, minimum 25% alignment coverage, minimum BLASTP score of 40 bits, minimum inparalog confidence level of 0.05). By comparing the three InParanoid outputs, we narrowed down the list of one-to-one orthologues, presented in all the seven species, to 454 genes. Subsequently, multiple alignments were performed on proteins of each selected family by MUSCLE (version 3.8.31) [46] and protein alignments were converted to their corresponding CDS alignments using an in-house perl script. All the translated CDS sequences were linked into one "supergene" for each species. Non-degenerated sites extracted from the supergenes were then joined into new sequence of each species to construct a phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) using MrBayes [47] (Version 3.2, GTR+gamma model). Our phylogenetic data demonstrate the phylogenetic position of the clearhead icefish (Figure 1).

## *Synteny blocks and genome duplication*

Genomic homology between the clearhead icefish and Nile tilapia [48] was examined using i-ADHoRe 3.0 [49] using the following settings: alignment method gg2, gap

size 30, tandem gap 30, cluster gap 35, q value 0.85, prob cutoff 0.01, anchor points 5 and multiple hypothesis correction FDR. The output was processed by the pipeline and included in a relational database to which visualization programs can connect and on which additional statistical analysis can be performed. For synteny detection, the cloud mode was enabled (cluster_type = cloud) and appropriate settings were selected as follows: cloud_gap_size 20, cloud_cluster_gap 20, cloud_filter_method binomial, prob cutoff 0.01, anchor points 5, multiple hypothesis correction FDR and level_2_only true. Finally, we identified 771 synteny blocks containing 7,057 genes between the clearhead icefish and Nile tilapia.

Subsequently, Protein sequences of homologous gene pairs in the identified syntenic regions were aligned using MUSCLE [46], and the protein alignments were then converted to the CDS alignments. Finally, four-fold degenerative third-codon transversion (4DTV) values were calculated on these CDS alignments and corrected using the HKY model in the PAML package [50]. These data indicate that the clearhead icefish also experienced the teleost-specific whole genome duplication (WGD) (Figure 2).

## Conclusion

We generated a draft genome assembly of the Chinese clearhead icefish. The novel genome data were deposited in publicly accessible repositories to promote further biological research, molecular breeding and resource protection of this representative and valuable icefish.

## Availability of supporting data

Supporting data are available in the GigaDB database, and the raw genome sequences are deposited in the SRA under the bioproject number PRJNA328051.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Funding

This study was supported by a grant from Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No.BK2012093), fish investigation in Taihu Lake (No.TH2016WT007), National Infrastructure of Fishery Germplasm Resources (No.2016DKA30470), Basic Research Funds from Freshwater Fisheries Research Center (No. 2013JBFM07), Special Project on the Integration of Industry, Education and Research of Guangdong Province (No. 2013B090800017), Shenzhen Special Program for Future Industrial Development (N 192 o. JSGG20141020113728803), and Zhenjiang Leading Talent Program for Innovation and Entrepreneurship.

## Author's Contributions

KL, PX, QS, DX, JX, CB and ZZ conceived the project. MZ, XY, HY, JC, GX, DF, JQ, SJ and JH collected the samples and extracted the genomic DNA. JL, CB and HY performed the genome assembly and data analysis. JL, CB, QS, KL, XP, KL, YY and ZZ wrote the paper.

## References

1. Wang ZS, Cui Zhang FU: **Biodiversity of Chinese Icefishes (Salangidae) and their conserving strategies**. *Chinese Biodiversity* 2002, **10**(4):416-424.
2. Zhang J, Li M, Xu M, Takita T, Wei F: **Molecular phylogeny of icefish Salangidae based on complete mtDNA cytochrome b sequences, with comments on estuarine fish evolution**. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 2007, **91**(2):325-340.
3. Wang Z, Lu C, Hu H, Xu C, Lei G: **Dynamics of Icefish (Salangidae) Stocks in Nanyi Lake, Eastern China: Degradation and Overfishing**. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology* 2004, **19**(2):271-278.
4. Xia DQ, Cao Y, Ting ting WU, Yang H: **Study on lineages of Protosalanx chinensis, Neosalanx taihuensis and N.oligodontis in Taihu Lake with RAPD technique**. *Journal of Fisheryences of China* 2000, **7**(01):12-15.

5. Xia DQ, Cao Y, Ting Ting WU, Yang H: **Genetic Structures of Population of Protosalanx Chinensis, Neosalanx Taihuensis and Neosalanx Oligodontis in Lake Taihu**. *Journal of Fisheries of China* 1999(03):254-260.
6. Armani A, Castigliego L, Tinacci L, Gianfaldoni D, Guidi A: **Molecular characterization of icefish, (S alangidae family), using direct sequencing of mitochondrial cytochrome b gene**. *Food Control* 2011, **22**(6):888-895.
7. Wang Z, Lu C, Hu H, Zhou Y, Xu C, Lei G: **Freshwater icefishes (Salangidae) in the Yangtze River basin of China: Spatial distribution patterns and environmental determinants**. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 2005, **73**(3):253-262.
8. Ye S, Yang J, Liu H, Oshima Y: **Use of elemental fingerprint analysis to identify localities of collection for the large icefish protosalanx chinensis in Taihu Lake, China**. *Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University* 2011, **56**(1):41-45.
9. Yang J, Chen X, Jie B, Fang D, Ying Q, Jiang W, Hui Y, Chao B, Jiang L, He S: **The Sinocyclocheilus cavefish genome provides insights into cave adaptation**. *Bmc Biology* 2016, **14**(1):1-13.
10. Xu J, Xie P, Zhang M, Zhou Q, Zhang L, Wen Z, Cao T: **Icefish (salangidae) as an indicator of anthropogenic pollution in freshwater systems using nitrogen isotope analysis**. *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology* 2007, **79**(3):323-326.
11. Kar HK, Narayan R, Gautam RK, Jain RK, Doda V, Sengupta D, Bhargava NC: **Mucocutaneous disorders in Hiv positive patients**. *Indian journal of dermatology, venereology and leprology* 1996, **62**(5):283-285.
12. Liu B, Shi Y, Yuan J, Hu X, Zhang H, Li N, Li Z, Chen Y, Mu D, Fan W: **Estimation of genomic characteristics by analyzing k-mer frequency in de novo genome projects**. *Quantitative Biology* 2013, **35**(s 1–3):62-67.
13. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, He G, Chen Y, Pan Q, Liu Y *et al*: **SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler**. *Gigascience* 2012, **1**:18.
14. Li R, Yu C, Li Y, Lam TW, Yiu SM, Kristiansen K, Wang J: **SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment**. *Bioinformatics* 2009, **25**(15):1966-1967.
15. Parra G, Bradnam K, Korf I: **CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes**. *Bioinformatics* 2007, **23**(9):1061-1067.
16. Sim AFO, Waterhouse MR, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva VE, Zdobnov ME: **BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs**. *Bioinformatics* 2015, **31**(19):3210-3212.
17. Kriventseva EV, Tegenfeldt F, Petty TJ, Waterhouse RM, Simão FA, Pozdnyakov IA, Ioannidis P, Zdobnov EM: **OrthoDB v8: update of the hierarchical catalog of orthologs and the underlying free software**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2015, **43**(Database issue):D250-D256.

18. Maziade M, Bouchard S, Gingras N, Charron L, Cardinal A, Roy MA, Gauthier B, Tremblay G, Cote S, Fournier C *et al*: **Long-term stability of diagnosis and symptom dimensions in a systematic sample of patients with onset of schizophrenia in childhood and early adolescence. II: Postnegative distinction and childhood predictors of adult outcome**. *The British journal of psychiatry : the journal of mental science* 1996, **169**(3):371-378.
19. Jurka, J., Kapitonov, V. V., Pavlicek, A. ,et al: **Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements**. *Cytogenetic & Genome Research* 2005, **110**(1-4):462-467.
20. Chen N.: Using RepeatMasker to Identify Repetitive Elements in Genomic Sequences[J]. 2004, Chapter 4(Unit 4):4.10.1-4.10.14.
21. Benson G, . **Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 1999, **27**(2):573-580.
22. Mario S, Oliver K, Irfan G, Alec H, Stephan W, Burkhard M: **AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2006, **34**:435-439.
23. Burge C., Karlin S., **Prediction of complete gene structures in human genomic DNA**. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 1997, **268**(1):78-94.
24. Collins JE, White S, Searle SMJ, Stemple DL: **Incorporating RNA-seq data into the zebrafish Ensembl genebuild**. *Genome Research* 2012, **22**(10):2067-2078.
25. Kasahara M, Naruse K, Sasaki S, Nakatani Y, Qu W, Ahsan B, Yamada T, Nagayasu Y, Doi K, Kasai Y: **The medaka draft genome and insights into vertebrate genome evolution**. *Nature* 2007, **447**(7145):714-719.
26. Kesteven GL: **Whole-Genome Shotgun Assembly and Analysis of the Genome of Fugu rubripes**. *Science (New York, NY)* 2002, **297**(5585):1301-1310.
27. Jaillon O, Aury JM, Brunet F, Petit JL, Stangethomann N, Mauceli E, Bouneau L, Fischer C, Ozoufcostaz C, Bernot A: **Genome duplication in the teleost fish Tetraodon nigroviridis reveals the early vertebrate proto-karyotype**. *Nature* 2004, **431**(7011):946-957.
28. Rondeau EB, Minkley DR, Leong JS, Messmer AM, Jantzen JR, von Schalburg KR, Lemon C, Bird NH, Koop BF: **The genome and linkage map of the northern pike (Esox lucius): conserved synteny revealed between the salmonid sister group and the Neoteleostei**. *PLoS ONE* 2014, **9**(7):e102089.
29. Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF, Russell P, Mauceli E, Johnson J, Swofford R, Pirun M, Zody MC, White S: **The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks**. *Nature* 2012, **484**(7392):55-61.
30. Pevsner J: **Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST)**: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2005.

31. Yu XJ, Zheng HK, Wang J, Wang W, Su B: **Detecting lineage-specific adaptive evolution of brain-expressed genes in human using rhesus macaque as outgroup**. *Genomics* 2006, **88**(6):745-751.
32. Birney E, Clamp M, Durbin R: **GeneWise and Genomewise**. *Genome Research* 2004, **14**(5):988-995.
33. Elsik CG, Mackey AJ, Reese JT, Milshina NV, Roos DS, Weinstock GM: **Creating a honey bee consensus gene set**. *Genome Biology* 2007, **8**(1):90-105.
34. Bairoch A, Apweiler R: **The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000, **28**(1):45-48.
35. Finn RD: **Pfam: the protein families database**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2014, **42**(Database issue):D222-230.
36. Attwood TK: **The PRINTS database: A resource for identification of protein families**. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 2002, **3**(3):252-263.
37. Bru C, Courcelle E, Beausse Y, Dalmar S, Kahn D: **The ProDom database of protein domain families: more emphasis on 3D**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2005, **33**(Database issue):212-215.
38. Letunic I, Copley RR, Schmidt S, Ciccarelli FD, Doerks T, Schultz J, Ponting CP, Bork P: **SMART 4.0: towards genomic data integration**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2004, **32**(Databaseissue):D142-D144.
39. Boeckmann B., Bairoch A., Apweiler R., Blatter M. C., Estreicher A.. *et al*: **The Swiss-Prot knowledgebase and its supplement TREMBL in 2003**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2003, **31**(1):365-370.
40. Hunter S, Apweiler R, Attwood TK, Bairoch A, Bateman A, Binns D, Bork P, Das U, Daugherty L, Duquenne L: **InterPro: the integrative protein signature database**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2009, **37**(suppl 1):D211-D215.
41. Hingamp P, Broek AEVD, Stoesser G, Baker W: **The EMBL nucleotide sequence database**. *Molecular Biotechnology* 1999, **12**(3):255-267.
42. Kanehisa M, Goto S: **KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2000, **27**(1):29-34(26).
43. Braasch I, Gehrke AR, Smith JJ, Kawasaki K, Manousaki T, Pasquier J, Amores A, Desvignes T, Batzel P, Catchen J *et al*: **The spotted gar genome illuminates vertebrate evolution and facilitates human-teleost comparisons**. *Nature genetics* 2016, **48**(4):427-437.
44. Bian C, Hu Y, Ravi V, Kuznetsova IS, Shen X, Mu X, Sun Y, You X, Li J, Li X *et al*: **The Asian arowana (Scleropages formosus) genome provides new insights into the evolution of an early lineage of teleosts**. *Scientific reports* 2016, **6**:24501.
45. Ostlund G, Schmitt T, Forslund K, Kostler T, Messina DN, Roopra S, Frings O, Sonnhammer EL: **InParanoid 7: new algorithms and tools for eukaryotic orthology analysis**. *Nucleic acids research* 2010, **38**(Database issue):D196-203.

46. Edgar RC: **MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput**. *Nucleic acids research* 2004, **32**(5):1792-1797.
47. Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP: **MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space**. *Systematic biology* 2012, **61**(3):539-542.
48. Brawand D, Wagner CE, Li YI, Malinsky M, Keller I, Fan S, Simakov O, Ng AY, Lim ZW, Bezault E *et al*: **The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish**. *Nature* 2014, **513**(7518):375-381.
49. Proost S, Fostier J, De Witte D, Dhoedt B, Demeester P, Van de Peer Y, Vandepoele K: **i-ADHoRe 3.0--fast and sensitive detection of genomic homology in extremely large data sets**. *Nucleic acids research* 2012, **40**(2):e11.
50. Yang Z: **PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood**. *Computer applications in the biosciences : CABIOS* 1997, **13**(5):555-556.

## Tables

**Table 1**. The statistics of genome assembly and annotation for *P. hyalocranius*.

| Genome assembly | |
|---|---|
| Contig N50 size (kb) | 17.2 |
| Scaffold N50 size (Mb) | 1.163 |
| Estimated genome size (Mb) | 525 |
| Assembled genome size (Mb) | 536 |
| Genome coverage (X) | 315 |
| The longest scaffold (bp) | 5,398,389 |
| Gap length (Mb) | 122 |
| Genome annotation | |
| Protein-coding gene number | 19,884 |
| Annotated functional gene number | 19,125 (96.2%) |
| Unannotated functional gene number | 759 (3.8%) |
| Repeat content | 24.43% |

**Table 2**. Detailed classification of repeat sequences in the assembled genome.

| Type | Repeat Size(bp) | % of Genome |
|---|---|---|
| ProteinMask | 9925152 | 1.85 |
| RepeatMasker | 5948136 | 1.11 |
| Tandem Repeat Finder | 66595756 | 12.41 |
| De novo | 93726009 | 17.47 |
| Total | 131090229 | 24.43 |

**Table 3**. Gene annotation statistics of the genome of *P. hyalocranius*.

| Method | | Number | Average Transcript Length (bp) | Average CDS Length (bp) | Average Exons Per Gene | Average Exons Length (bp) | Average Intron Length (bp) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *De novo* | AUGUSTUS | 23,132 | 4,897.24 | 1,264.61 | 5.78 | 218.81 | 760.04 |
| | GeneScan | 21,379 | 17,213.49 | 1,973.56 | 10.22 | 193.05 | 1,652.41 |
| Homolog | *Danio rerio* | 25,390 | 7,156.92 | 1,312.32 | 6.17 | 212.62 | 1,129.99 |
| | *Oryzias latipes* | 25,319 | 6,411.36 | 1,194.58 | 5.89 | 202.73 | 1,066.29 |
| | *Takifugu rubripes* | 16,563 | 7,990.91 | 1,759.17 | 11.59 | 151.75 | 588.32 |
| | *Tetraodon nigroviridis* | 19,128 | 8,335.40 | 1,351.98 | 7.44 | 181.78 | 1,084.78 |
| | *Esox lucius* | 24,861 | 8,019.18 | 1,375.58 | 6.92 | 198.85 | 1,122.70 |
| | *Gasterosteus aculeatus* | 25,354 | 6,819.62 | 1,183.46 | 6.18 | 191.44 | 1,087.68 |
| Final gene set | | 19,884 | 12,889.35 | 1,821.79 | 9.13 | 199.49 | 1,360.92 |




411

412 **Figure 1**. Phylogeny of seven representative ray-finned fishes. The spotted gar was used

413 as the outgroup species.

414




415

416 **Figure 2**. Distribution of 4DTV distances between the clearhead icefish and tilapia. The

417 horizontal axis stands for the 4DTV distance corrected using the HKY model. The

418 vertical axis represents the percentage of colinear gene pairs.