

Appendix 2: Consensus meeting outcomes

Item	Outcome of discussion
Q2b: Definition: Report how your definition links to those provided by other comparable studies	Q2b: 3 groups agreed to keep the question in and 1 group decided that it could be absorbed into 2a. It was agreed that this item be included but rewording discussed further.
Q2c: Concepts and theory development: Report the way in which PPI is being conceptualised	All 4 groups agreed this was similar to 2d and therefore should be absorbed into 2d.
Q2d: Concepts and theory development: Report any conceptual or theoretical models, or influences, used in the study	Q2d: All 4 groups agreed this should be included.
Methods: economic assessment Q6a: If applicable, report the method used for an economic assessment of PPI	Q6a: All 4 groups agreed this item be included.
Results: Q7e (ii): If applicable, report any testing of conceptual or theoretical models	Q7e (ii): 3 groups agreed to keep in and 1 group decided that was an overlap with 7 (i) and the word testing was questioned.
Discussion: Q8c: Definition: Comment on the definition of PPI used in the study and whether you would suggest any changes	Q8c: 3 groups agreed to keep in and 1 group decided there was an overlap with Q8d.