## DOI: 10.1002/adma.200803184

## Mapping the Interactions among Biomaterials, Adsorbed Proteins, and Human Embryonic Stem Cells

By Ying Mei, Sharon Gerecht, Michael Taylor, Andrew J. Urquhart, Said R. Bogatyrev, Seung-Woo Cho, Martyn C. Davies, Morgan R. Alexander, Robert S. Langer, and Daniel G. Anderson\*

Dr. D. G. Anderson David H. Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research Massachusetts Institute of Technology 45 Carleton Street, Building E25-342 Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA) E-mail: dgander@mit.edu Dr. Y. Mei, S. R. Bogatyrev, Dr. S.-W. Cho, Prof. R. S. Langer Department of Chemical Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02139 (USA) Prof. S. Gerecht Department of Chemical and Bimolecular Engineering Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, MD 21218 (USA) Dr. M. Taylor, Dr. A. J. Urguhart, Prof. M. C. Davies, Prof. M. R. Alexander Laboratory of Biophysics and Surface Analysis School of Pharmacy The University of Nottingham Nottingham, NG7 2RD (UK)

11 21 31 41 51 61	7.1 9.1 10.1 11.1 12.1	13] 14.1 15.1 16.1 17.1 18.1	19,1 21,1 22,1 23,1 24,1
12 22 32 42 52 62	72 82 92 102 112 122	13,2 14,2 15,2 16,2 17,2 18,2	19,2 20,2 21,2 22,2 23,2 24,2
1.3 2.3 3.3 4.3 5.3 6.3	73 83 93 103 113 123	133 143 153 163 173 183	19.3 20.3 21.3 22.3 23.3 24.3
14 24 34 44 54 64	74 84 94 104 114 124	134 144 154 164 174 184	19,4 20,4 21,4 22,4 23,4 24,4
15 25 35 45 55 65	7.5 95 10.5 11.5 12.5	135 145 155 165 175 185	19.5 21.5 22.5 23.5 24.5
16 26 36 46 56 66	7.6 8.6 9.6 10.6 11.6 12.6	13.6 14.6 15.6 16.6 17.6 18.6	19,6 20,6 21,6 22,6 23,6 24,6
1.7 2.7 3.7 4.7 5.7 6.7	7,7 8,7 9,7 10,7 11,7 12,7	13,7 14,7 15,7 16,7 17,7 18,7	19,7 20,7 21,7 22,7 23,7 24,7
18 28 38 48 58 68	78 88 98 108 118 128	138 14.8 15.8 16.8 17.8 18.8	19.8 20.8 21.8 22.8 23.8 24.8
19 29 39 49 59 69	73 () 39 109 119 129	13.9 14.9 15.9 16.9 17.9 18.9	19.9 20.9 21.9 22.9 23.9 24.9
110 210 310 410 510 610	7.10 8.10 9.10 0.10 1.10 2.10	810 410 510 610 770 810	19.10 20.10 21.10 22.10 23.10 24.10
171 211 311 411 511 611	711 811 911 011 111 211	311 4.11 5.11 6.11 7.11 8.11	19.11 20.11 21.11 22.11 23.11 24.11
1.12 2.12 3.12 4.12 5.12 6.12	7.12 8.12 9.12 10.13 11.13 12.12	312 412 512 612 713 812	19.12 20.12 21.12 22.13 23.12 24.12
1.13 2.13 3.13 4.13 5.13 6.13	7.13 8.13 9.13 10.19 11.18 12.13	313 413 513 613 713 813	1915 20,13 21,13 22,13 23,13 24,13
114 214 314 414 514 614	714 814 914 1014 1014 1214	314 414 514 614 714 814	1914 20,14 21,14 22,14 23,14 24,14
1.15 2.15 3.15 4.15 5.15 6.15	715 815 815 015 118 215	315 415 515 615 775 815	19.19 20.19 21.19 22.19 23.19 24.19
1.16 2.16 3.16 4.16 6.16	716 816 916 016 116 216	816 418 518 618 778 818	13.16 20.16 21.16 22.16 23.16 24.16
117 217 317 417 517 617	7.17 8.17 9.17 0.17 1.17 2.13	817 417 517 617 777 817	19.17 20.17 21.17 22.17 23.17 24.17
118 218 318 418 518 618	718 818 918 016 118 1218	318 418 518 618 718 818	9.18 20.18 21.18 22.18 23.18 24.18
119 219 319 419 519 619	719 819 919 019 119 219	319 419 519 619 719 819	19.19 20.19 21.19 22.19 23.19 24.19
1.20 2.20 3.20 4.20 5.20 6.20	7.20 8.20 9.20 10.20 11.20 12.20	320 4.20 5.20 6.20 7.20 18.20	19.20 20.20 21.20 22.20 23.20 24.20
1.21 2.21 3.21 4.21 5.21 6.21	7.21 8.21 9.21 0.21 1.21 1.22	1321 [42] [52] [62] [72] [82]	9.21 20.21 21.21 22.21 23.21 24.21
122 222 322 422 522 622	7.22 8.22 9.22 0.23 1.23 2.23	322 422 522 622 722 822	19.22 20.22 21.22 22.22 23.23 24.22
123 223 323 423 523 623	7.23 8.23 9.23 0.23 1.23 2.23	323 423 523 623 723 823	923 2023 2123 2223 2323 24.23
1.24 2.24 3.24 4.24 5.24 6.24	7.24 8.24 9.24 0.24 1.24 2.24	324 424 524 624 724 624	924 2024 2124 2224 2324 2424

Supplementary Figure 1: The low resolution scan allows for the identification of the polymer spots on the glass slide.



Supplementary Figure 2: The high resolution scan allows us to quantify the cellular response on each polymer spot.



Figure 3. The fluorescence intensity of all the homopolymer spots (18 replicates) listed in the Figure 2b before (blue) and after (red) fluorescently labeled Fn adsorption. Paired t-test confirmed the significant difference (P<0.001) between before and after Fn adsorption in all the cases including hompolymer 3 and 16. The fact that there are 18 replicates for each homopolymer significantly

To assess the relationships between cell numbers and adsorbed Fn in a statistically relevant manner, the data in the Figure 2c has been fitted in a sigmoid curve (Equation 1) by using a commercially available software (Origin), and the goodness of the fit ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) was listed in the Table 1.

The relatively high  $R^2$  values (0.8~0.9) found in most of the major monomers indicated a high degree of correlation. For "high adhesion" major monomers such as monomer 4, 8, 13, 14 and 15 only the saturated cell attachment has been observed, and the curves have been manually drawn to guide the reader's eyes.

$$Y = A_2 + (A_1 - A_2)/(1 + exp((x - x_0)/dx))$$
 (1)

Here Y is the cell number on the polymer spot,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are background and saturated cell numbers on the polymer spots, respectively.  $x_0$  is the Fn density where the transition takes place, and dx is the slope of the sigmoid function.

Table 1. The goodness of fit  $(\ensuremath{R^2})$  calculated from curve fitting the data in Figure 2C

Major	1	2	3	9	11	12	16
Monomer	(0.87)	(0.89)	(0.79)	(0.99)	(0.94)	(0.80)	(0.88)
$(\mathbf{R}^2)$							

[a]: The first number is the ID of the major monomer while the number in the bracket is the R2 value calculated from curve fitting the data in Figure 2C