

**Supplementary online only Table 1. Case-control studies that have evaluated anti-Ro/La titers in affected and non-affected pregnancies with CHB**

Author, year (reference)	Study group: mothers of babies with ACHB (n)	Control groups (n)	Antibody (technique)	Positive titers (n, %) or autoantibody levels (mean)	P values (comparison between groups)
Meilof et al, 1993 <sup>37</sup>	14	- Mothers of babies with postnatal CHB (10) - Ro+ SLE mothers of unaffected babies (12)	Ro/SS-A (ELISA)	ND	<b>Ro/SS-A &lt; 0,05</b> (fetal vs postnatal CHB) Ro/SS-A > 0,05 (fetal CHB vs no CHB)
Dörner et al, 1995 <sup>23</sup>	16	- Ro+ SLE mothers of unaffected babies (8)	IgG Ro52, Ro60, La levels (recombinant Immunoblot)	ND	<b>Ro52&lt; 0,015</b> , Ro60> 0,05 and La< 0,015 (CHB vs no CHB)
Silverman et al, 1995 <sup>46</sup>	21	- Mothers of babies with neonatal rash (20) - Ro+ SAD mothers of unaffected babies (16)	Ro52, La levels (recombinant ELISA)	<i>Ro52 levels (mean):</i> 0,71 vs 0,82 vs 0,29 <i>La levels (mean):</i> 0,51 vs 0,83 vs 0,01	Ro52: > 0,05 (CHB vs rash), <b>0,0006</b> (CHB vs no CHB) La: <b>0,009</b> (CHB vs rash), <b>0,005</b> (CHB vs no CHB)
Salomonsson et al, 2002 <sup>43</sup>	9	- Ro+ SAD mothers of unaffected babies (26)	IgG Ro52, Ro60, La levels (recombinant ELISA/Western)	ND	<b>Ro52&lt;0,02</b> , <b>Ro60&lt;0,02</b> and La< 0,05 (CHB vs no CHB)
Julkunen et al, 2004 <sup>35</sup>	67	- SAD mothers of unaffected babies (136)*	Ro52, Ro60, La levels (recombinant TR-FIA and ELISA)	ND	> 0,05 (CHB vs SS without CHB)** < 0,001 (CHB vs non-SS without CHB)**
Jaeggi et al, 2010 <sup>33</sup>	40	- Ro+ SAD mothers of unaffected babies (146)	Ro52 ≥ 50 U/mL (recombinant ELISA)	40 (100%) vs 64 (44%)	<b>Ro52&lt; 0,0001</b> (CHB vs no CHB)
Anami et al, 2012 <sup>14</sup>	17	- Ro+ SAD mothers of unaffected babies (172)	Ro/SS-A ≥ 1:32 (DID, ND)	15 (88%) vs 93 (54%)	<b>Ro/SS-A= 0,01</b> (CHB vs no CHB)
Reed et al, 2012 <sup>52</sup>	50	- SAD mothers with CHB and subsequent unaffected babies (32) - SAD mothers of unaffected babies (33)	Ro52 (full-length ELISA) Ro60 (native) La (purified ELISA)	<i>Ro52 levels (mean):</i> 87 vs 93 vs 75 <i>Ro60 levels (mean):</i> 14,336 vs 16,896 vs 2,624 <i>La levels (mean):</i> 3,904 vs 1,944 vs 752	Ro52 > 0,05 (all comparisons) <b>Ro60 &lt;0,05</b> (CHB/previous CHB vs no CHB) La> 0,05 (all comparisons)
Tunks et al, 2013 <sup>48</sup>	6	- Ro+ SAD mothers of unaffected babies (27)	Ro/SS-A, La levels (Athena Multi-Lyte ANA-II Plus Test, ND)	<i>Ro levels (mean):</i> No CHB: 496; type I: 443; type II: 520; type III: 520 <i>La levels (mean):</i> No CHB 109, type I 335, type II 128, type III 267	Ro/SS-A = 0,94 (CHB vs no CHB) <b>La = 0,04</b> (CHB vs no CHB)

ND: Not detailed; TR-FIA: Time-resolved fluoroimmunoassay; DID: Double immune-diffusion; SAD: Systemic autoimmune disease. \*Ro+ in only 58% of cases, \*\*titers of the three antibodies

**Supplementary online only Table 2. Age of pacemaker implantation detailed in 192 reported cases<sup>17,21,22,24,25,29,40,68,73,74,78,85,88,102,104,107,108</sup>**

Author (reference)	Total number of paced cases (n)	< 10 days (n)	10 days – 1 month (n)	1-12 months (n)	1-5 years (n)	> 5 years (n)
Groves et al, 1996 <sup>29</sup>	11	2	2	4	1	2
McLeod et al, 1998 <sup>107</sup>	1	-	-	1	-	-
Eronen et al, 2000 <sup>103</sup>	6	6	-	-	-	-
Askanase et al, 2002 <sup>108</sup>	5	-	-	-	3	2
Costedoat et al, 2003 <sup>21</sup>	1	-	-	-	1	-
Costedoat et al, 2004 <sup>22</sup>	1	1	-	-	-	-
Eronen et al, 2004 <sup>68</sup>	2	1	1	-	-	-
Stevens et al, 2005 <sup>109</sup>	1	-	-	1	-	-
Gardiner et al, 2007 <sup>25</sup>	3	1	-	-	2	-
Friedman et al, 2008 <sup>78</sup>	1	1	-	-	-	-
Brucato et al, 2009 <sup>17</sup>	26	10	6	7	3	-
Chockalingam et al, 2011 <sup>73</sup>	1	-	-	-	-	1
Fesslova et al, 2009 <sup>24</sup>	22	6	6	7	3	-
Hayashi et al, 2009 <sup>102</sup>	4	1	1	2	-	-
Friedman et al, 2010 <sup>88</sup>	2	2	-	-	-	-
Pisoni et al, 2010 <sup>40</sup>	1	1	-	-	-	-
Trucco et al, 2011 <sup>74</sup>	12	12	-	-	-	-
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>44 (44%)</b>	<b>16 (16%)</b>	<b>22 (22%)</b>	<b>13 (13%)</b>	<b>5 (5%)</b>
Saleeb et al, 1999 <sup>104</sup>	25	15	6	4	-	-
Buyon et al, 1998 <sup>85</sup>	67	35	15	17		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>94 (49%)</b>	<b>59 (31%)</b>	<b>39 (20%)</b>		

**Supplementary online only Table 3. Outcome of babies according to the specific autoantibody maternal profile detailed in 2 prospective studies<sup>16,28</sup>**

Autoantibody maternal profile	Tested mothers (n)	Mothers with positive antibodies n (%)	Babies with CHB from mothers with positive antibodies (%)	CHB types n (CHB type)
Ro+	141	140 (99.3%)	4/140 (2.86)	3 (III). 1 (II)
La+	141	51 (36.17%)	2/51 (3.92)	1 (III). 1 (II)
Ro52+	134	61 (45.52%)	3/61 (4.92)	2 (III). 1 (II)
Ro60+	134	121 (90.3%)	4/121 (3.31)	3 (III). 1 (II)
<b>Combination of antibodies</b>				
Ro and La	141	51 (36.17%)	2/51 (3.92)	1 (III). 1 (II)
Only Ro	141	89 (63.12%)	2/89 (2.25)	2 (III)
Only La	141	1 (0.71%)	0/1 (0)	0