G protein stoichiometry dictates biased agonism through distinct receptor-G protein partitioning

Lauriane Onfroy¹, Ségolène Galandrin¹, Stéphanie M. Pontier², Marie-Hélène Seguelas¹, Du N'Guyen¹, Jean-Michel Sénard^{1,3}, Céline Galés¹.

¹ Institut des Maladies Métaboliques et Cardiovasculaires, Institut National de la Santé et de la

Recherche Médicale, U1048, Université de Toulouse, F-31432 Toulouse, France.

² Independent Researcher Ottawa, ON, Canada.

³ Service de Pharmacologie Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse, Faculté de Médecine, Université de Toulouse, F-31000 Toulouse, France.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Onfroy et al.









a



b







b



Onfroy et al.

Supplementary Figure 7



🖲 Gα low 🛛 🗧 Gα high

Onfroy et al.







SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1

Ligands		N	E	EPI		
	EC50	1.63e-005		1.44e-006		
(-) R (-) G	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	-4.78 ± 1.14		-5.84 ± 0.10		
	Emax ± s.e.m.	5.25 ± 7.81		11.18 ± 0.704		
Receptor (R)		β1-AR	β2-AR	β1-AR	β2-AR	
(+) R (-) G	EC50	6.71e-009	5.09e-007	2.08e-007	8.53e-008	
	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	-8.17 ± 0.13	-6.29 ± 0.08	-6.68 ± 0.10	-7.07 ± 0.11	
	Emax ± s.e.m.	6.031 ± 0.24	13.70 ± 0.54	13.39 ± 0.56	22.25 ± 1.02	
(+) R (+) Gαs low	EC50	2.98e-008	1.45e-006	5.11e-007	2.57e-007	
	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	-7.52 ± 0.23	-5.84 ± 0.07	-6.29 ± 0.11	-6.59 ± 0.13	
	Emax ± s.e.m.	9.81 ± 0.76	13.15 ± 0.56	21.58 ± 1.20	23.18 ± 1.34	
(+) R (+) Gαs high	EC50	1.85e-008	1.12e-006	7.85e-007	2.02e-007	
	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	-7.73 ± 0.18	-5.95 ± 0.07	-6.10 ± 0.11	-6.69 ± 0.09	
	Emax ± s.e.m.	9.63 ± 0.56	12.53 ± 0.5	23.17 ± 1.31	22.79 ± 0.90	

Supplementary Table 2

β**1-AR**

Gas	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	3.61e-008	-7.44 ± 0.86	-0.009 ± 0.001	3.02e-008	-7.52 ± 0.38	-0.009 ± 0.001	ns	ns
NE	1.13e-008	-7.94 ± 0.61	-0.011 ± 0.001	1.25e-008	-7.90 ± 0.28	-0.008 ± 0.001	ns	0.03*
EPI	3.10e-006	-5.50 ± 0.53	-0.014 ± 0.005	4.65e-008	-7.33 ± 0.26	-0.012 ± 0.001	0.01**	ns
Gαi1	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	1.01e-007	-6.99 ± 0.67	-0.044 ± 0.007	na	na	na	ns	ns
NE	6.09e-008	-7.21 ± 0.57	-0.024 ± 0.005	5.27e-008	-7.27 ± 0.33	-0.039 ± 0.004	ns	ns
EPI	5.84e-008	-7.23 ± 0.77	-0.030 ± 0.008	na	na	na	ns	ns
GαoA	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	6.17e-008	-7.21 ± 0.15	-0.058 ± 0.004	1.26e-007	-6.9 ± 0.13	-0.060 ± 0.003	ns	ns
NE	2.93e-007	-6.53 ± 0.14	-0.074 ± 0.005	2.49e-007	-6.60 ± 0.11	-0.081 ± 0.004	ns	ns
EPI	2.55e-006	-5.59 ± 0.17	-0.079 ± 0.01	1.28e-006	-5.89 ± 0.13	-0.072 ± 0.006	ns	ns

Supplementary Table 3

			β	2-AR				
Gαs	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	2.12e-008	-7.67 ± 0.48	-0.017 ± 0.002	7.64e-010	-9.11 ± 0.3295	-0.023 ± 0.001	0.04*	ns
NE	8.03e-007	-6.09 ± 0.51	-0.018 ± 0.004	8.29e-008	-7.08 ± 0.3462	-0.022 ± 0.002	ns	ns
EPI	1.67e-009	-8.77 ± 0.41	-0.017 ± 0.002	8.96e-009	-8.05 ± 0.2458	-0.019 ± 0.001	ns	ns
Gai1	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	1.61e-008	-7.79 ± 0.55	-0.057 ± 0.006	4.95e-008	-7.30 ± 0.27	-0.044 ± 0.005	ns	ns
NE	1.92e-007	-6.71 ± 0.27	-0.073 ± 0.008	6.49e-008	-7.19 ± 0.37	-0.064 ± 0.008	ns	ns
EPI	1.33e-007	-6.87 ± 0.42	-0.097 ± 0.01	5.83e-008	-7.23 ± 0.29	-0.063 ± 0.006	ns	0.04*
GαoA	Low			High			Low vs. High	
	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	pEC50 ± s.e.m.	Emax ± s.e.m.	EC50	Emax
ISO	3.48e-007	-6.46 ± 0.29	-0.041 ± 0.004	1.22e-007	-6.91 ± 0.25	-0.026 ± 0.002	ns	0.01*
NE	2.78e-007	-6.55 ± 0.29	-0.044 ± 0.005	5.34e-008	-7.27 ± 0.34	-0.028 ± 0.003	ns	0.03*
EPI	2.03e-007	-6.69 ± 0.21	-0.044 ± 0.003	5.07e-008	-7.29 ± 0.32	-0.030 ± 0.003	ns	0.04*

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE/TABLE LEGENDS

Supplementary Figure 1. β 2-AR agonist maximal efficacy on endogenous β -receptormediated G protein activation. a-c) BRET in HEK293T cells expressing low (back bars) and high (orange bars) expression levels G α s-Rluc8 (a), G α i1-Rluc8 (b) or G α oA-Rluc8 (c) in presence of GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunits. Cells were stimulated or not for 1 min with 10 μ M of the indicated agonists. Results are expressed as the difference in BRET signals measured in presence and absence of ligand. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. of at least four independent experiments. The statistical significance between stimulated and unstimulated cells was assessed using paired Student's t-test (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001). *Inset*: Relative expression levels of G α -Rluc8 proteins reflected by the Rluc8 luminescence measured in each experimental transfections.

Supplementary Figure 2. Expression of the Gas-Rluc8 over-expressed protein. Gas expression was analyzed by western-blot from HEK293T cells expressing untagged-Gas or different levels of Gas-Rluc8 along with G β 3 and GFP10-G γ 2 in the presence or not of the β 1-AR or β 2-AR or the empty pcDNA3.1 vector (control) as indicated. GAPDH was analyzed as housekeeping protein. The blot is representative of two independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 3. Ligand efficacies parallels Gai1 protein activation and conformational changes within β -AR/Gai1 complexes. BRET measuring a) G protein activation in HEK293T cells expressing low level of Gai1-Rluc8 in the presence of GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunit in the presence of β 1-AR (gray bars) or β 2-AR (black bars). or, b) conformational changes of β -AR/Gai1 complexes in HEK293T cells co-expressing β 1-AR-GFP10 (gray bars) or β 2-AR-GFP10 (black bars) receptors in the presence of low level of Gai1-Rluc8 along with untagged G γ 2 and G β 3 subunits. Cells were stimulated or not for 1 min with 10 μ M of the indicated agonists. Results are expressed as the difference in BRET signals measured in presence and absence of ligand. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. of at least four independent experiments. The statistical significance between stimulated and unstimulated cells was assessed using paired Student's t-test (* *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.01, *** *P*<0.001). **c**, **d**) Graphical illustration of the correlation between Gai1 protein activation and β 2-AR/Gai1 conformational changes in cells expressing (**c**) or not (**d**) the β 1-AR or β 2-AR receptors.

Supplementary Figure 4. Concentration-response curves of cAMP production mediated by β -AR agonists. a, b) Quantification of cAMP levels performed in HEK293T cells coexpressing or not β 1-AR (a) or β 2-AR (b) receptors in the presence or not of low (black circles) or high (orange circles) expression levels of G α s-Rluc8 along with GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 subunits as in Fig. 3 and stimulated or not with various NE (left panels) or EPI (right panels) concentrations. The statistical significance between dose-response curves was assessed using two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni posttest (*P<0.05, **P<0.01). *Inset*: Relative expression levels of G α -Rluc8 proteins reflected by the Rluc8 luminescence measured in each experimental transfection.

Supplementary Figure 5. Quantification of HA- β -AR cell surface expression by ELISA. a, b) ELISA experiments were performed on non-permeabilized HEK293T cells coexpressing HA- β 1-AR (a) or HA- β 2-AR (b) receptors and low (black) or high (orange) expression levels of G α s-Rluc8, G α i1-Rluc8 or G α OA-Rluc8 in presence of GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunit. Cell surface expression of β -ARs was quantified by ELISA using an anti-HA antibody. *Insets*: Each experimental transfection was calibrated and controlled for G α -Rluc8 relative expression levels by measuring the total emission level of Rluc8 luminescence. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. of three independent experiments. The statistical significance of the difference in cell surface receptor density between low and high G α -Rluc8 expression level was assessed using unpaired Student's t-test.

Supplementary Figure 6. Relative expression level of G α subunits in dose-response experiments. **a-b**) Relative expression levels of G α -Rluc8 subunits reflected by the Rluc8 luminescence measured at basal state from **a**, **b**) HEK293T cells co-expressing HA- β 1-AR (**a**) or HA- β 2-AR (**b**) in the presence of low (black bars) or high (orange bars) G α s-Rluc8, G α i1-Rluc8 or G α oA-Rluc8 along with GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunit as shown in Fig. 3

Supplementary Figure 7. Influence of the G α subunit expression level on the concentration-response curves of β -AR blockers-mediated G protein activation. a-c) BRET in HEK293T cells co-expressing HA- β 1-AR (upper panels) or HA- β 2-AR (lower panels) receptors and low (black) or high (orange) expression levels of G α s-Rluc8 (**a**), G α 11-Rluc8 (**b**) or G α OA-Rluc8 (**c**) in presence of fixed GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunits.

Cells were stimulated or not for 1 min with increasing concentrations of the indicated bantagonists (Bisoprolol, BISO; Metoprolol, METO; Timolol, TIMO). Results are expressed as the difference in BRET signals measured in presence and absence of ligand. Data represent the mean \pm s.e.m. of at least four independent experiments. The statistical significance between unstimulated cells and cells stimulated with the different ligand concentrations in low (*) and high (\$) G α -Rluc8 conditions was assessed using one-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett's multiple comparison test (* P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001). The statistical significance between low and high dose-response curves was assessed using two-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-test (#P<0.05, ##P<0.01).

Supplementary Figure 8. Relative expression level of Gai1 subunit in raft sucrose gradients. Relative expression levels of Ga-Rluc8 subunits reflected by the Rluc8 luminescence measured in each sucrose fractions from HEK293T cells co-expressing HA- β 1-AR in the presence of two different high concentration Gai1-Rluc8 along with GFP10-G γ 2 and G β 3 untagged subunit.

Supplementary Figure 9. Membrane compartmentalization of the GABAB-R2 receptor. Sucrose gradient fractionation on HEK293T cells expressing the GABAB-R2-Rluc receptor. Results are expressed as the percentage of the total luminescence measured in each gradient. Grey box highlights raft nano-domains enriched fractions. Sucrose density of each fractions was quantified using a refractometer.

Supplementary Table 1. Potencies (EC50) and maximal efficacies (Emax) of Norepinephrine (NE) and Epinephrine (EPI) on cAMP production in HEK293T cells. Data were obtained from experiments shown in Supplementary Fig. 4 and represent the mean of EC50, pEC50 \pm s.e.m. and Emax \pm s.e.m..

Supplementary Table 2. Potencies (EC50) and maximal efficacies (Emax) of β -AR agonists on β 1-AR-mediated G α s β 3 γ 2, G α i1 β 3 γ 2 and G α oA β 3 γ 2 activation in HEK293T cells. Data were obtained from experiments shown in Fig. 3 and represent the mean of EC50, pEC50 ± s.e.m. and Emax ± s.e.m. The statistical significance between low and high EC50 and Emax was assessed using unpaired Student's t-test (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ns: not significant, na: not available).

Supplementary Table 3. Potencies (EC50) and maximal efficacies (Emax) of β -AR ligands on β 2-AR-mediated G α s β 3 γ 2, G α i1 β 3 γ 2 and G α oA β 3 γ 2activation in HEK293T cells. Results were obtained from experiments shown in Fig. 3 and represent the mean of EC50, pEC50 ± s.e.m. and Emax ± s.e.m. The statistical significance between low and high EC50 and Emax was assessed using unpaired Student's t-test (*P<0.05, ns: not significant).