GigaScience

The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet

Manuscript Number:	GIGA-D-16-00088R2	
Full Title:	The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet	
Article Type:	Research	
Funding Information:		
Abstract:	Background: The blunt snout bream, Megalobrama amblycephala, is the economically most important cyprinid fish species. As an herbivore, it can be grown by eco-friendly and resource-conserving aquaculture. However, the large number of intermuscular bones in the trunk musculature is adverse to fish meat processing and consumption. Results: As a first towards optimizing this aquatic livestock, we present a 1.116-Gb draft genome of M. amblycephala, with 779.54 Mb anchored on 24 linkage groups. Integrating spatiotemporal transcriptome analyses we show that intermuscular bone is formed in the more basal teleosts by intramembranous ossification, and may be involved in muscle contractibility and coordinating cellular events. Comparative analysis revealed that olfactory receptor genes, especially of the beta type, underwent an extensive expansion in herbivorous cyprinids, whereas the gene for the umami receptor T1R1 was specifically lost in M. amblycephala. The composition of gut microflora, which contributes to the herbivorous adaptation of M. amblycephala, was found to be similar to that of other herbivores. Conclusions: As a valuable resource for improvement of M. amblycephala livestock, the draft genome sequence offers new insights into the development of intermuscular bone and herbivorous adaptation.	
Corresponding Author:	Weimin Wang	
	CHINA	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:		
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Han Liu	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Han Liu	
	Chunhai Chen	
	Zexia Gao	
	Jiumeng Min	
	Yongming Gu	
	Jianbo Jian	
	Xiewu Jiang	
	Huimin Cai	
	Ingo Ebersberger	
	Meng Xu	
	Xinhui Zhang	

	Wei Luo
	Boxiang Chen
	Junhui Chen
	Hong Liu
	Jiang Li
	Ruifang Lai
	Mingzhou Bai
	Jin Wei
	Shaokui Yi
	Huanling Wang
	Xiaojuan Cao
	Xiaoyun Zhou
	Yuhua Zhao
	Kaijian Wei
	Ruibin Yang
	Bingnan Liu
	Shancen Zhao
	Xiaodong Fang
	Manfred Schartl
	Xueqiao Qian
	Weimin Wang
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Response to Reviewers:	 16 March 2017 Dr. Hans Zauner Journal: GigaScience Dear Dr. Zauner, Manuscript No.: GIGA-D-16-00088R1 Title: "The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet" Author(s): Han Liu, Chunhai Chen, Zexia Gao, Jiumeng Min, Yongming Gu, Jianbo Jian, Xiewu Jiang, Huimin Cai, Ingo Ebersberger, Meng Xu, Xinhui Zhang, Jianwei Chen, Wei Luo, Boxiang Chen, Junhui Chen, Hong Liu, Jiang Li, Ruifang Lai, Mingzhou Bai, Jin Wei, Shaokui Yi, Huanling Wang, Xiaojuan Cao, Xiaoyun Zhou, Yuhua Zhao, Kaijian Wei, Ruibin Yang, Bingnan Liu, Shancen Zhao, Xiaodong Fang, Manfred Schartl, Xueqiao Qian, Weimin Wang We have carefully read the referee's comments which you forwarded to us with your email of 20 January 2017. We would like to express our sincere thanks to the reviewer for the constructive comments. We have now addressed all the suggestions, and the manuscript has been edited accordingly. The major amendments are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript. Responses to the reviewer's comments are detailed below in this letter. Because of the amendments, the page and the line numbers referred to by the referee have now changed in the edited version of the manuscript. Please, note that all raw reads of genome sequencing and RAD-seq have been deposited at NCBI. Other data including assemblies, annotations, RNA-seq data, microbiome data, embedded image data and SNP markers were uploaded to the indicated ftp. We hope that with the amendments made in response to the reviewer's comments, the

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely, Weimin Wang (PhD) (Correspondence author) College of Fisheries Huazhong Agricultural University Wuhan 430070, P. R. China E-mail address: wangwm@mail.hzau.edu.cn Tel: +86-27-8728 4292; Fax: +86-27-8728 4292

Response to Reviewer

Reviewer Report

Reviewer #2: It is quite demanding to properly review a paper with this amount of data and therefore the structure of the manuscript is very important. In addition, a figure showing the overview of the workflow would have been helpful.

Author response: We have now included a schematic figure to show the workflow that should be helpful for a better understanding of the workflow behind the data presented in the supporting information as additional file 1: Figure S2. (Line 92)

Concerns of Reviewer 1 and 2 are partially answered and altered accordingly in the manuscript. However some important aspects have to be addressed prior to acceptance.

1. Database submission: All raw data and molecular markers have to be available. The submission to the NCBI SRA database is not sufficient as this database is for sequencing data. There are specific databases for molecular markers such as SNPs. Author response: We have uploaded the assemblies, annotations, RNA-seq, microbiome data and molecular markers (SNPs) to the ftp

(ftp://user28@climb.genomics.cn). The URL is included in the revised manuscript.

2. Still the structure has to be revised and the outcome better worked out. The result section comprises parts of the discussion and the discussion contains again descriptions of the results. Line 227 -228 is just one example.

Author response: We have now carefully revised the manuscript according to your suggestions. The parts of the discussion in the results section have been removed and we also refined the discussion section.

3. The reading of the manuscript is still tedious as no structure /concept of the work is given.

Author response: According to your suggestions, we have now carefully revised the manuscript. We hope it is now clear and structured for reading and understanding with these changes and the additional file 1: Figure S2 showing the workflow.

4. Description of the differential expression analysis remains poor. No numbers of significant expressed transcripts are given nor was any further meta-analysis performed. In addition the threshold for significance has not been provided. The sentence at line 502 "DEGs were detected using DESeq" is not informative enough. Author response: We are sorry for the simple description about differential expression analysis. In the first submitted Supplementary Note, we had detailed the transcriptome analyses. Because there is no Supplementary Note section in the Journal, we deleted it and selectively added some necessary information in the Methods section of the manuscript. We have now clarified these questions in the new revised manuscript according to your suggestions. (Line 175-177, 184-193, 492-496)

5. Line 167 the word "many" in the results section is not an appropriate expression here.

Author response: This sentence has been modified. (Line 163-166)

6. Please provide revised Figure files.

Author response: The revised Figure files are now attached with this new revised manuscript.

7. Still authors do not explain what is meant by "expanded gene families". Figure 2C does not show "gene families" but GO categories. E.g myosin complex belongs to

	 GO:0016459. A gene family comprises similar genes, formed by duplication of a single original gene. Those genes normally have also similar biochemical functions. The most known example is the family comprising the human hemoglobin subunits. Author response: We apologize for the confusion. Indeed, Figure 2C does not show expanded gene families but the over-represented GO annotations of cyprinid-specific expansion genes. This has been corrected now in the manuscript and the figure legends. (Line 169-170, 787) 8. What is meant by "resource friendly"? It is still not clear what the authors want to pinpoint to. Author response: This sentence was ambiguous and we apologize for the confusion that it generated. This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "Reports on draft genomes of herbivorous and omnivorous species" in the revised manuscript. (Line 69) 9. Line 83 change expression: "remain obscure" Author response: This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "the molecular genetic basis and the evolution of this unique structure are still unclear". (Line 82) 10. Line 88: "many miRNA-mRNA interactions" not really informative. Author response: This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "1,136 miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs". (Line 87) 11. Line 129" "psuedo-chromosomes". Most probably "pseudo-chromosomes" are meant. Please carefully proof read the manuscript for typos and inadequate expressions. Author response: We apologize for the spelling mistake. It should be "pseudo-chromosomes". This has been corrected in the revised manuscript. (Line 129)
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?	No
Experimental design and statistics Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist. Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends. Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	Yes
Resources A description of all resources used, including antibodies, cell lines, animals and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> Identifiers (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible.	Yes

Have you included the information requested as detailed in our <u>Minimum</u> <u>Standards Reporting Checklist</u> ?	
Availability of data and materials	Yes
All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in <u>publicly available repositories</u> (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.	
Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist?	

16 March 2017 Dr. Hans Zauner

Journal: GigaScience

Dear Dr. Zauner,

Manuscript No.: GIGA-D-16-00088R1

Title: "The draft genome of *Megalobrama amblycephala* reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet"

Author(s): Han Liu, Chunhai Chen, Zexia Gao, Jiumeng Min, Yongming Gu, Jianbo Jian, Xiewu Jiang, Huimin Cai, Ingo Ebersberger, Meng Xu, Xinhui Zhang, Jianwei Chen, Wei Luo, Boxiang Chen, Junhui Chen, Hong Liu, Jiang Li, Ruifang Lai, Mingzhou Bai, Jin Wei, Shaokui Yi, Huanling Wang, Xiaojuan Cao, Xiaoyun Zhou, Yuhua Zhao, Kaijian Wei, Ruibin Yang, Bingnan Liu, Shancen Zhao, Xiaodong Fang, Manfred Schartl, Xueqiao Qian, Weimin Wang

We have carefully read the referee's comments which you forwarded to us with your email of 20 January 2017. We would like to express our sincere thanks to the reviewer for the constructive comments. We have now addressed all the suggestions, and the manuscript has been edited accordingly. The major amendments are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript. Responses to the reviewer's comments are detailed below in this letter. Because of the amendments, the page and the line numbers referred to by the referee have now changed in the edited version of the manuscript. Please, note that all raw reads of genome sequencing and RAD-seq have been deposited at NCBI. Other data including assemblies, annotations, RNA-seq data, microbiome data, embedded image data and SNP markers were uploaded to the indicated ftp. We hope that with the amendments made in response to the reviewer's comments, the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in GigaScience.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely,

Weimin Wang (PhD) (Correspondence author)

College of Fisheries

Huazhong Agricultural University

Wuhan 430070, P. R. China

E-mail address: wangwm@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Tel: +86-27-8728 4292; Fax: +86-27-8728 4292

Response to Reviewer

Reviewer Report

Reviewer #2: It is quite demanding to properly review a paper with this amount of data and therefore the structure of the manuscript is very important. In addition, a figure showing the overview of the workflow would have been helpful.

Author response: We have now included a schematic figure to show the workflow that should be helpful for a better understanding of the workflow behind the data presented in the supporting information as additional file 1: Figure S2. (Line 92)



for elucidating the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet

Additional file 1: Figure S2 Schematic diagram illustrating the workflow of *M. Amblycephala*

genome.

Concerns of Reviewer 1 and 2 are partially answered and altered accordingly in the manuscript. However some important aspects have to be addressed prior to acceptance.

1. Database submission: All raw data and molecular markers have to be available. The submission to the NCBI SRA database is not sufficient as this database is for sequencing data. There are specific databases for molecular markers such as SNPs.

Author response: We have uploaded the assemblies, annotations, RNA-seq, microbiome data and

molecular markers (SNPs) to the ftp (ftp://user28@climb.genomics.cn). The URL is included in the revised manuscript.

2. Still the structure has to be revised and the outcome better worked out. The result section comprises parts of the discussion and the discussion contains again descriptions of the results. Line 227 -228 is just one example.

Author response: We have now carefully revised the manuscript according to your suggestions. The parts of the discussion in the results section have been removed and we also refined the discussion section.

3. The reading of the manuscript is still tedious as no structure /concept of the work is given.

Author response: According to your suggestions, we have now carefully revised the manuscript. We hope it is now clear and structured for reading and understanding with these changes and the additional file 1: Figure S2 showing the workflow.

4. Description of the differential expression analysis remains poor. No numbers of significant expressed transcripts are given nor was any further meta-analysis performed. In addition the threshold for significance has not been provided. The sentence at line 502 "DEGs were detected using DESeq" is not informative enough.

Author response: We are sorry for the simple description about differential expression analysis. In the first submitted Supplementary Note, we had detailed the transcriptome analyses. Because there is no Supplementary Note section in the Journal, we deleted it and selectively added some necessary information in the Methods section of the manuscript. We have now clarified these questions in the new revised manuscript according to your suggestions. (Line 175-177, 184-193, 492-496)

5. Line 167 the word "many" in the results section is not an appropriate expression here.

Author response: This sentence has been modified. (Line 163-166)

6. Please provide revised Figure files.

Author response: The revised Figure files are now attached with this new revised manuscript.

7. Still authors do not explain what is meant by "expanded gene families". Figure 2C does not show "gene families" but GO categories. E.g myosin complex belongs to GO:0016459. A gene

family comprises similar genes, formed by duplication of a single original gene. Those genes normally have also similar biochemical functions. The most known example is the family comprising the human hemoglobin subunits.

Author response: We apologize for the confusion. Indeed, Figure 2C does not show expanded gene families but the over-represented GO annotations of cyprinid-specific expansion genes. This has been corrected now in the manuscript and the figure legends. (Line 169-170, 787)

8. What is meant by "resource friendly"? It is still not clear what the authors want to pinpoint to.

Author response: This sentence was ambiguous and we apologize for the confusion that it generated. This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "Reports on draft genomes of herbivorous and omnivorous species......" in the revised manuscript. (Line 69)

9. Line 83 change expression: "remain obscure"

Author response: This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "the molecular genetic basis and the evolution of this unique structure are still unclear". (Line 82)

10. Line 88: "many miRNA-mRNA interactions" not really informative.

Author response: This sentence has been re-phrased and reads now: "1,136 miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs". (Line 87)

11. Line 129" "psuedo-chromosomes". Most probably "pseudo-chromosomes" are meant. Please carefully proof read the manuscript for typos and inadequate expressions.

Author response: We apologize for the spelling mistake. It should be "pseudo-chromosomes". This has been corrected in the revised manuscript. (Line 129)

--

Please also take a moment to check our website at for any additional comments that were saved as attachments. Please note that as GigaScience has a policy of open peer review, you will be able to see the names of the reviewers.

±

1	1	The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of
3 4	2	intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet
5		
7	3	Han Liu ^{1†} , Chunhai Chen ^{2†} , Zexia Gao ^{1†} , Jiumeng Min ^{2†} , Yongming Gu ^{3†} , Jianbo Jian ^{2†} , Xiewu
0 9 10	4	Jiang ³ , Huimin Cai ² , Ingo Ebersberger ⁴ , Meng Xu ² , Xinhui Zhang ¹ , Jianwei Chen ² , Wei Luo ¹ ,
10 11 12	5	Boxiang Chen ^{1,3} , Junhui Chen ² , Hong Liu ¹ , Jiang Li ² , Ruifang Lai ¹ , Mingzhou Bai ² , Jin Wei ¹ ,
13 14	6	Shaokui Yi ¹ , Huanling Wang ¹ , Xiaojuan Cao ¹ , Xiaoyun Zhou ¹ , Yuhua Zhao ¹ , Kaijian Wei ¹ ,
15 16	7	Ruibin Yang ¹ , Bingnan Liu ³ , Shancen Zhao ² , Xiaodong Fang ² , Manfred Schartl ^{5,*} , Xueqiao
17 18	8	Qian ^{3,*} , Weimin Wang ^{1,*}
19 20	9	
21 22	10	*Equally contributing corresponding authors: wangwm@mail.hzau.edu.cn; xueqiaoqian@263.net;
23 24	11	phch1@biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de
25 26	12	[†] Equal contributors
27 28	13	¹ College of Fisheries, Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture, Key Lab
29 30	14	of Agricultural Animal Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction of Ministry of Education, Huazhong
31 32 22	15	Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China
33 34 35	16	² Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI)-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China
36 37	17	³ Guangdong Haid Group Co., Ltd., Guangzhou 511400, China
38 39	18	⁴ Dept. for Applied Bioinformatics, Institute for Cell Biology and Neuroscience, Goethe University,
40 41	19	Frankfurt D-60438, Germany
42 43	20	⁵ Physiological Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Biozentrum, Am Hubland, and Comprehensive
44 45 46	21	Cancer Center Mainfranken, University Clinic Würzburg, Würzburg 97070, Germany and Texas
40 47 48	22	A&M Institute for Advanced Study and Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College
49 50	23	Station, TX 77843, USA
51 52	24	
53 54	25	
55 56	26	
57 58	27	
59 60	28	
61	20	1
62 63		
64		
65		

29 Abstract

Background: The blunt snout bream, *Megalobrama amblycephala*, is the economically most important cyprinid fish species. As an herbivore, it can be grown by eco-friendly and resource-conserving aquaculture. However, the large number of intermuscular bones in the trunk musculature is adverse to fish meat processing and consumption.

Results: As a first towards optimizing this aquatic livestock, we present a 1.116-Gb draft genome of *M. amblycephala*, with 779.54 Mb anchored on 24 linkage groups. Integrating spatiotemporal transcriptome analyses we show that intermuscular bone is formed in the more basal teleosts by intramembranous ossification, and may be involved in muscle contractibility and coordinating cellular events. Comparative analysis revealed that olfactory receptor genes, especially of the beta type, underwent an extensive expansion in herbivorous cyprinids, whereas the gene for the umami receptor T1R1 was specifically lost in M. amblycephala. The composition of gut microflora, which contributes to the herbivorous adaptation of *M. amblycephala*, was found to be similar to that of other herbivores.

43 Conclusions: As a valuable resource for improvement of *M. amblycephala* livestock, the draft 44 genome sequence offers new insights into the development of intermuscular bone and herbivorous 45 adaptation.

Keywords: *Megalobrama amblycephala*, whole genome, herbivorous diet, intermuscular bone,
transcriptome, gut microflora

58 Background

Fishery and aquaculture play an important role in global alimentation. Over the past decades food fish supply has been increasing with an annual rate of 3.6 percent, about 2 times faster than the human population [1]. This growth of fish production is meanwhile solely accomplished by an extension of aquaculture, as over the past thirty years the total mass of captured fish has remained almost constant [1]. As a consequence of this emphasis on fish breeding, the genomes of various economically important fish species, e.g. Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) [2], rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) [3], European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) [4], yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) [5], half-smooth tongue sole (Cynoglossus semilaevis) [6], tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) [7] and channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) [8] have been sequenced. Yet, the majority of these species are carnivorous requiring large inputs of protein from wild caught fish or other precious feed. Reports on draft genomes of herbivorous and omnivorous species, in particular cyprinid fish are scarce. It is well known that cyprinids are currently the economically most important group of teleosts for sustainable aquaculture. They grow to large population sizes in the wild and already now account for the majority of freshwater aquaculture production worldwide [1]. Among these, the herbivorous Megalobrama amblycephala (Yih, 1955), a particularly eco-friendly and resource-conserving species, is predominant in aquaculture and has been greatly developed in China (Additional file 1: Figure S1) [1]. However, most cyprinids, including M. amblycephala, have a large number of intermuscular bones (IBs) in the trunk musculature, which have an adverse effect on fish meat processing and consumption. IBs-a unique form of bone occurring only in the more basal teleosts—are completely embedded within the myosepta and are not connected to the vertebral column or any other bones [9, 10]. Our previous study on IB development of M. amblycephala revealed that some miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs may be involved in regulating bone development and differentiation [11]. However, the molecular genetic basis and the evolution of this unique structure are still unclear. Unfortunately, the recent sequencing of two cyprinid genomes common carp (Cyprinus carpio) [12] and grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) [13], which provided valuable information for their genetic breeding, contributed little to the understanding of IB formation.

In an initial genome survey of *M. amblycephala*, we identified 25,697 single-nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) [14], 347 conserved miRNAs [15], and 1,136 miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs [11]. However, lack of a whole genome sequence resource limited a thorough investigation of *M. amblycephala*. Here we report the first high-quality draft genome sequence of *M*. amblycephala. Integrating this novel genome resource with tissue- and developmental stage-specific gene expression information, as well as with meta-genome data to investigate the composition of the gut microbiome (Workflow shown in additional file 1: Figure S2) provides relevant insights into the function and evolution of two key features characterizing this species: The formation of IB and the adaptation to herbivory. By that our study lays the foundation for genetically optimizing *M. amblycephala* to further increase its relevance for securing human food supply.

Data description

98 Genome Assembly and Annotation

The *M. amblycephala* genome was sequenced and assembled by a whole-genome shotgun strategy using genomic DNA from a double-haploid fish (Additional file 1: Table S1). We assembled a 1.116 Gb reference genome sequence from 142.55 Gb (approximately 130-fold coverage) of clean data [16] (Additional file 1: Tables S1 and S2, Figure S3). The contig and scaffold N50 lengths reached 49 Kb and 839 Kb, respectively (Table 1). The largest scaffold spans 8,951 Kb and the 4,034 largest scaffolds cover 90% of the assembly. To assess the genome assembly quality, the mapping of paired end sequence data from the short-insert size WGS libraries, as well as of published ESTs [14] (Additional file 1: Tables S3 and S4) against the genome assembly indicated that the number and extent of misassemblies is low. To further estimate the completeness of the assembly and gene prediction, the benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCO) [17] analysis was used and the results showed that the assembly contains 81.4% complete and 9.1% partial vertebrate BUSCO orthologues (Additional file 1: Table S5).

The *M. amblycephala* genome has an average GC content of 37.3%, similar to cyprinid *C. carpio* and *Danio rerio* (Additional file 1: Figure S4). Using a comprehensive annotation strategy combining RNA-seq derived transcript evidence, *de-novo* gene prediction and sequence similarity to proteins from five further fish species, we annotated a total of 23,696 protein-coding genes (Additional file 1: Table S6). Of the predicted genes, 99.44% (23,563 genes) are annotated by

functional database. In addition, we identified 1,796 non-coding RNAs including 474 miRNAs, 220 rRNA, 530 tRNAs, and 572 snRNAs. Transposable elements (TEs) comprise approximately 34.18% (381.3 Mb) of the M. amblycephala genome (Additional file 1: Table S7). DNA transposons (23.80%) and long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTRs) (9.89%) are the most abundant TEs in M. amblycephala. The proportion of LTRs in M. amblycephala is highest in comparison with other teleosts: G. morhua (4.88%) [2], L. crocea (2.2%) [5], C. semilaevis (0.08%) [6], C. carpio (2.28%) [12], C. idellus (2.58%) [13] and stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) (1.9%) [18] (Additional file 1: Tables S7 and S8, Figure S5). The distribution of divergence between the TEs in M. amblycephala peaks at 7% (Additional file 1: Figure S6), indicating a more recent activity of these TEs when compared with O. mykiss (13%) [3] and C. semilaevis (9%) [6].

127 Anchoring Scaffolds and Shared Synteny Analysis

Sequencing data from 198 F1 specimens, including the parents, were used as the mapping population to anchor the scaffolds on to 24 pseudo-chromosomes of the *M. amblycephala* genome. Following RAD-Seq and sequencing protocol, 1883.5 Mb of 125-bp reads (on average 30.6 Mb and 9.3 Mb of read data for each parent and progeny, respectively) were generated on the HiSeq 2500 next-generation sequencing platform. Based on the SOAP bioinformatic pipeline, we generated 5,317 SNP markers for constructing a high-resolution genetic map. The map spans 1,701 cM with a mean marker distance of 0.33 cM and facilitated an anchoring of 1,434 scaffolds comprising 70% (779.54 Mb) of the M. amblycephala genome assembly to form 24 linkage groups (LG) (Additional file 1: Table S9). Of the anchored scaffolds, 598 could additionally be oriented (678.27 Mb, 87.01% of the total anchored sequences) (Figure 1A). A subsequent comparison of the gene order between *M. amblycephala* and its close relative *C.idellus* revealed 607 large shared syntenic blocks encompassing 11,259 genes, and 190 chromosomal rearrangements. The values change to 1,062 regions, 13,152 genes and 279 rearrangements when considering D. rerio. The unexpected higher number of genes in syntenic regions shared with the more distantly related D. rerio is most likely an effect of the more complete genome assembly of this species compared to C. idellus. The rearrangement events are distributed across all M. amblycephala linkage groups without evidence for a local clustering (Figure 1B). The most prominent event is a chromosomal fusion in *M. amblycephala* LG02 that joined two *D. rerio*chromosomes, Dre10 and Dre22. The same fusion is observed in *C. idellus* but not in *C. carpio*suggesting that it probably occurred in a last common ancestor of *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*,
approximately 13.1 million years ago (Additional file 1: Figure S7).

Results

150 Evolutionary Analysis

A phylogenetic analysis of 316 single-copy genes with one to one orthologs in the genomes of 10 other fish species, and coelacanth (Latimeria chalumnae) and elephant shark (Callorhinchus milii), as out group served as a basis for investigating the evolutionary trajectory of M. amblycephala (Figure 2A, Additional file 1: Figure S8). To illuminate the evolutionary process resulting in the adaptation to a grass diet, we analyzed the functional categories of expanded genes in the M. amblycephala and C. idellus lineage (Additional file 1: Figure S9, Additional file 2: Data Note1), two typical herbivores mainly feeding on aquatic and terrestrial grasses. Among the significantly over-represented KEGG pathways (Fisher's exact test, P < 0.01), we find olfactory transduction (ko04740), immune-related pathways (ko04090, ko04672, ko04612 and ko04621), lipid metabolic related process (ko00590, ko00320, ko00591, ko00565, ko00592 and ko04975), as well as xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism (ko00625 and ko00363) (Figure S10). Indeed, when tracing positively selected genes (PSG) in M. amblycephala and C. idellus (Additional file 3: Date Note2), we identified 10 candidates involved in starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500), in citrate cycle (ko00020) and in other types of O-glycan biosynthesis (ko00514). Moreover, 10 genes encoding enzymes involved in lipid metabolism appear positively selected in both fish species (Additional file 1: Table S10).

Development of Intermuscular Bones

To explain the genetic basis of IB, their formation and their function in cyprinids, we first analyzed the functional annotation of genes that expanded in this lineage (Figure 2C). Many of these genes are involved in cell adhesion (GO: 0007155, P=5.26E-32, 357 genes), myosin complex (GO:0016459, P=2.74E-08, 100 genes) and cell-matrix adhesion (GO:0007160, P=1.59E-21, 69 genes) (Figure 2C). As a second line of evidence, we performed transcriptome analyses of early developmental stages (stage1: whole larvae without IBs) and juvenile M. amblycephala (stage2: trunk muscle with partial IBs; stage3: trunk muscle with completed IBs) (Figure 3A). Compared with stage1, 388 and 651 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) are up-regulated in stage2 and stage3, respectively. And 249 of them are significantly up-regulated both in stage2 and stage3. KEGG analyses indicate many of these genes involved in tight junction (ko04530), regulation of actin cytoskeleton (ko04810), cardiac muscle contraction (ko04260) and vascular smooth muscle contraction (ko04270) (Additional file 1: Figure S11). Specifically, 26 genes encoding proteins related to muscle contraction, including titin, troponin, myosin, actinin, calmodulin and other Ca²⁺ transporting ATPases (Figure 3A) point to a strong remodeling of the musculature compartment. To confirm that the observed differences in gene expression are indeed linked to IB formation and function and are not simply due to the fact that different developmental stages were compared, we performed differential expression analysis of muscle tissues, IB, and connective tissues from the same six months old individual of *M. amblycephala* (Figure 3B, Additional file 1: Figure S12). 1,290 DEGs and 5,231 DEGs are significantly up-regulated in IB compared with connective tissues and muscle, respectively. 24 of these DEGs encode extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins (collagens and intergrin-binding protein), Rho GTPase family (RhoA, Rho GAP, Rac, Ras), motor proteins (myosin, dynein, actin), and calcium channel regulation proteins (Additional file 1: Figure S13 and Table S11). In addition, GO annotations of 963 IB-specific genes indicative of abundance in protein binding (GO:0005515), calcium ion binding (GO:0005509), GTP binding (GO:0005525) and iron ion binding (GO:0005506) were found (Figure 3C).

During development of *M. amblycephala*, the first IB appears in muscles of caudal vertebrae as early as 28 days post fertilization (dpf) when body length is 12.95 mm (Additional file 1: Figure S14). The system then develops and ossifies predominantly from posterior to anterior (Additional file 1: Figure S15). IBs are present throughout the body within two months (Additional file 1: Figure S16) and develop into multiple morphological types in adults (Additional file 1: Figure S17). The bone is formed directly without an intermediate cartilaginous stage (Additional file 1: Figures S18 and S19). We also found a large number of mature osteoblasts distributed at the edge of the bone matrix while some osteocytes were apparent in the center of the mineralized bone matrix (Additional file 1: Figures S20 and S21). These primary bone-forming cells predominantly regulate bone formation and function throughout life. Notably, among the genes up-regulated in IB, 35 bone formation regulatory genes were identified (shown in colored boxes in Figure 3D). In particular, genes involved in bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling including *Bmp3*, *Smad8*, *Smad9*, and *Id2*, in fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling including *Fgf2*, *Fgfr1a*, *Fgfbp2*, *Col6a3*, and *Col4a5*, and in Ca²⁺ channels including *Cacna1c*, *CaM*, *Creb5* and *Nfatc* were highly expressed (>2-fold change) in IB (Additional file 1: Figure S22).

209 Adaptation to Herbivorous Diet

Next to the presence of IB, the herbivory of M. amblycephala is the second key feature in connection to the use of this species as aquatic livestock. Olfaction, the sense of smell, is crucial for animals to find food. The perception of smell is mediated by a large gene family of olfactory receptor (OR) genes. In the M. amblycephala genome, we identified 179 functional olfactory receptor (OR) genes (Figure 4A), and based on the classification of Niimura [19], 158, 117 and 153 receptors for water-borne odorants were identified in M. amblycephala, C. idellus and D. rerio, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S12). Overall, these receptor repertoires are substantially larger than those of other and carnivorous teleosts (G. morhua, C. semilaevis, O. latipes, X. maculatus) (Additional file 1: Figures S23 and S24, Table S12). In addition, we found a massive expansion of beta-type OR genes in the genomes of the herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus, while very few exist in other teleosts (Figure 4B, Additional file 1: Table S12).

Taste is also an important factor in the development of dietary habits. Most animals can perceive five basic tastes, namely sourness, sweetness, bitterness, saltiness and umami [20]. T1R1, the receptor gene necessary for sensing umami, has been lost in herbivorous M. amblycephala but is duplicated in carnivorous G. morhua, C. semilaevis and omnivorous O. latipes and X. maculatus (Figures 4C and 4D, Additional file 1: Figures S25-26 and Table S13). In contrast, T1R2, the receptor gene for sensing sweet, has been duplicated in herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus, omnivorous C. carpio and D. rerio, while it has been lost in carnivorous G. morhua and C. semilaevis (Additional file 1: Figure S27 and Table S13). Also the T2R gene family, most likely important in the course switching to a diet that contains a larger fraction of bitterness containing

food, has been expanded in *M. amblycephala*, *C. idellus*, *C. carpio* and *D. rerio* (Additional file 1:
Figure S28).

To obtain further insights into the genetic adaptation to herbivorous diet, we focused on further genes that might be associated with digestion. Genes that encode proteases (including pepsin, trypsin, cathepsin and chymotrypsin) and amylases (including alpha-amylase and glucoamylase) were identified in the genomes of M. amblycephala, carnivorous C. semilaevis, G. morhua and omnivorous D. rerio, O. latipes and X. maculatus, indicating that herbivorous M. amblycephala has a protease repertoire that is not substantially different from those of carnivorous and omnivorous fishes (Additional file 1: Table S14). We did not identify any genes encoding cellulose-degrading enzymes including endoglucanase, exoglucanase potentially and beta-glucosidase in the genome of *M. amblycephala*, suggesting that utilization of the herbivorous diet may largely depend on the gut microbiome. To elucidate this further, we determined the composition of the gut microbial communities of juvenile, domestic, wild adult M. amblycephala and wild adult C. idellus using bacterial 16S rRNA sequencing. A total of 549,020 filtered high quality sequence reads from 12 samples were clustered at a similarity level of 97%. The resulting 8,558 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) are dominated at phylum level by Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Additional file 1: Table S15, Figure 4E). Increasing the resolution to the genus level, the composition and relative abundance of the gut microbiota of wild adult M. amblycephala and C. idellus are still very similar (Additional file 1: Table S16) and we could identify more than 7% cellulose-degrading bacteria (Additional file 1: Table S17).

251 Discussion

The evolutionary trajectory analysis of *M. amblycephala* and other teleosts revealed that *M. amblycephala* has the closest relationship to *C. idellus*. Both the species are herbivorous fish but which endogenous and exogenous factors affected their feeding habits and how they adapted to their herbivorous diet is not known. Our results from the expanded genes and PSG in the lineage of the two herbivores uncovered a number of genes that are involved in glucose, lipid and xenobiotics metabolism, which would enhance the ability of an herbivore to detoxify the

secondary compounds present in grasses that are adverse or even toxic to the organism.
Furthermore, the high-fiber but low-energy grass diet requires a highly effective intermediate
metabolism that accelerates carbohydrate and lipid catabolism and conversion into energy to
maintain physiological functions.

Olfaction and taste are also crucial for animals to find food and to distinguish whether potential food is edible or harmful [21, 22]. The ORs of teleosts are predominantly expressed in the main olfactory epithelium of the nasal cavity [21, 23] and can discriminate, like those of other vertebrates, different kinds of odor molecules. Previous studies have demonstrated that the beta type OR genes are present in both aquatic and terrestrial vertebrates, indicating that the corresponding receptors detect both water-soluble and airborne odorants [19, 21]. In the present study, the search for genes encoding OR showed that herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus have a large number of beta-type OR, while other omnivorous and carnivorous fish only have one or two. This might be attributed to their particular herbivorous diet consisting not only of aquatic grasses but also the duckweed and terrestrial grasses, which they ingest from the water surface.

It is known that the receptor for umami is formed by the T1R1/T1R3 heterodimer, while T1R2/T1R3 senses sweet taste [24]. We found that the umami gene T1R1 was lost in herbivorous M. amblycephala but duplicated in the carnivorous G. morhua and C. Semilaevis. The loss of the T1R1 gene in M. amblycephala might exclude the expression of a functional umami taste receptor. Such situations in other organism, e.g. the Chinese panda, have previously been related to feeding specialization [25]. Bitterness sensed by the T2R is particularly crucial for animals to protect them from poisonous compounds [22]. Interestingly, the bitter receptor T2R genes are expanded in the herbivorous fish but few or no copy was found in carnivorous fish. These results not only indicate the genetic adaptation to herbivorous diet of M. amblycephala, but also provided a clear and comprehensive picture of adaptive evolutionary mechanisms of sensory systems in other fish species with different trophic specializations.

It has been reported that some insects such as *Tenebrio molitor* [26] and *Neotermes koshunensis* [27], and the mollusc *Corbicula japonica* [28] have genes encoding endogenous cellulose degradation-related enzymes. However, all so far analyzed herbivorous vertebrates lack these genes and always rely on their gut microbiome to digest food [25, 29]. In herbivorous *M*.

amblycephala and *C. idellus*, we also did not find any homologues of digestive cellulase genes. Interestingly, our work on the composition of gut microbiota of the two fish species identifies more than 7% cellulose-degrading bacteria, suggesting that the cellulose degradation of herbivorous fish largely depend on their gut microbiome.

IB has evolved several times during teleost evolution [9, 30]. The developmental mechanisms and ossification processes forming IB are dramatically distinct from other bones such as ribs, skeleton, vertebrae or spines. These usually develop from cartilaginous bone and are derived from the mesenchymal cell population by endochondral ossification [31, 32]. However, IB form directly by intramembranous ossification and differentiate from osteoblasts within connective tissue, forming segmental, serially homologous ossifications in the myosepta. Although various methods of ossification of IB have been proposed, few experiments have been conducted to confirm the ossification process and little is known about the potential role of IB in teleosts. Based on our findings of expanded genes in cyprinid lineage and evidence from transcriptome of developmental stages of IB formation, a number of genes were found to interact dynamically to mediate efficient cell motility, migration and muscle construction [33-36]. In addition, transcriptome analyses of three tissues indicated that ECM, Rho GTPase, motor and calcium channel regulation protein displayed high expression in IB. It is known that ECM proteins bound to integrins influence cell migration by actomyosin-generated contractile forces [34, 37]. Rho GTPases, acting as molecular switches, are also involved in regulating the actin cytoskeleton and cell migration, which in turn initiates intracellular signaling and contributes to tissue repair and regeneration [38-40]. Thus, our results provide molecular evidence that IB might play significant roles not only in regulating muscle contraction but also in active remodeling at the bone-muscle interface and coordination of cellular events.

Some major developmental signals including BMP, FGF, WNT, together with calcium/calmodulin signaling [31, 41-43], are essential for regulating the differentiation and function of osteoblasts and osteocytes and for regulating the RANKL signaling pathway for osteoclasts [44]. In agreement with this concept, we found 35 bone formation regulatory genes involved in these signals were highly up-regulated in IB. Among these signaling pathways, in particular, *Bmp*, *Fgf2*, and *Fgfr1* are closely related to intramembranous bone development and

affect the expression and activity of other osteogenesis related transcription factors [31, 45]. The calcium-sensitive transcription factor *NFATc1* together with *CREB* induces the expression of osteoclast-specific genes [46]. Taken together, these results suggest that IB indeed undergoes an intramembranous ossification process, is regulated by bone-specific signaling pathways, and underlies a homeostasis of maintenance, repair and remodeling.

321 Conclusions

Our results provide novel functional insights into the evolution of cyprinids. Importantly, the *M. amblycephala* genome data come up with novel insights shedding light on the adaptation to herbivorous nutrition and evolution and formation of IB. Our results on the evolution of gene families, digestive and sensory system, as well as our microbiome meta-analysis and transcriptome data provide powerful evidence and a key database for future investigations to increase the understanding of the specific characteristics of *M. amblycephala* and other fish species.

329 Methods

330 Sampling and DNA Extraction

331 DNA for genome sequencing was derived from a double haploid fish from the *M. amblycephala* 332 genetic breeding center at Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, Hubei, China). Fish blood 333 was collected from adult female fish caudal vein using sterile injectors with pre-added 334 anticoagulant solutions following anesthetized with MS-222 and sterilization with 75% alcohol. 335 Genomic DNA was extracted from the whole blood.

336 Genomic Sequencing and Assembly

Libraries with different insert sized inserts of 170 bp, 500 bp, 800 bp, 2 Kb, 5 Kb, 10 Kb and 20 Kb were constructed from the genomic DNA at BGI-Shenzhen. The libraries were sequenced using a HiSeq2000 instrument. In total, 11 libraries, sequenced in 23 lanes were constructed. To obtain high quality data, we applied filtering criteria for the raw reads. As a result, 142.55 Gb of filtered data were used to complete the genome assembly using SOAPdenovo_V2.04 [16]. Only filtered data were used in the genome assembly. First, the short insert size library data were used to construct a de Bruijn graph. The tips, merged bubbles and connections with low coverage were

removed before resolving the small repeats. Second, all high-quality reads were realigned with the contig sequences. The number of shared paired-end relationships between pairs of contigs was calculated and weighted with the rate of consistent and conflicting paired ends before constructing the scaffolds in a stepwise manner from the short-insert size paired ends to the long-insert size paired ends. Third, the gaps between the constructed scaffolds were composed mainly of repeats, which were masked during scaffold construction. These gaps were closed using the paired-end information to retrieve read pairs in which one end mapped to a unique contig and the other was located in the gap region. Subsequently, local assembly was conducted for these collected reads. To assess the genome assembly quality, approximately 42.82 Gb Illumina reads generated from short-insert size libraries were mapped onto the genome. Bwa0.5.9-r16 software [47] with default parameters was used to assess the mapping ratio and Soap coverage 2.27 was used to calculate the sequencing depth. We also assessed the accuracy of the genome assembly by Trinity [48], including number of ESTs and new mRNA reads from early stages of embryos and multiple tissues, by aligning the scaffolds to the assembled transcriptome sequences.

After obtaining K-mers from the short-insert-size (<1Kb) reads with just one bp slide, frequencies of each K-mer were calculated. The K-mer frequency fits Poisson distribution when a sufficient amount of data is present. The total genome size was deduced from these data in the following way: Genome size = K-mer num / Peak_depth.

362 Genome Annotation

The genome was searched for repetitive elements using Tandem Repeats Finder (version 4.04) [49]. TEs were identified using homology-based approaches. The Repbase (version 16.10) [50] database of known repeats and a *de novo* repeat library generated by RepeatModeler were used. This database was mapped using the software of RepeatMasker (version 3.3.0). Four types of non-coding RNAs (microRNAs, transfer RNAs, ribosomal RNAs and small nuclear RNAs) were also annotated using tRNAscan-SE (version 1.23) and the Rfam database45 (Release 9.1) [51].

For gene prediction, *de novo* gene prediction, homology-based methods and RNA-seq data were used to perform gene prediction. For the sequence similarity based prediction, *D. rerio, G. aculeatus, O. niloticus, O. latipes* and *G. morhua* protein sequences were downloaded from Ensembl (release 73) and were aligned to the *M. amblycephala* genome using TBLASTN. Then

homologous genome sequences were aligned against the matching proteins using GeneWise [52] to define gene models. Augustus was employed to predict coding genes using appropriate parameters in *de novo* prediction. For the RNA-seq based prediction, we mapped transcriptome reads to the genome assembly using TopHat [53]. Then, we combined TopHat mapping results together and applied Cufflinks [54] to predict transcript structures. All predicted gene structures were integrated by GLEAN [55] (http://sourceforge.net/projects/glean-gene/) to obtain a consensus gene set. Gene functions were assigned to the translated protein-coding genes using Blastp tool, based on their highest match to proteins in the SwissProt and TrEMBL [56] databases (Uniprot release 2011-01). Motifs and domains in the protein-coding genes were determined by InterProScan (version 4.7) searches against six different protein databases: ProDom, PRINTS, Pfam, SMART, PANTHER and PROSITE. Gene Ontology [57] IDs for each gene were obtained from the corresponding InterPro entries. All genes were aligned against KEGG [58] (Release 58) database, and the pathway in which the gene might be involved was derived from the matched genes in KEGG. tRNA genes were *de novo* predicted by tRNAscan-SE software [59], with eukaryote parameters on the repeat pre-masked genome. The rRNA fragments were identified by aligning the rRNA sequences using BlastN at E-value 1e-5. The snRNA and miRNA were searched by the method of aligning and searching with INFERNAL (version 0.81) [60] against Rfam database (release 9.1).

391 Genetic Map Construction

To anchor the scaffolds into pseudo-chromosomes, 198 F1 population individuals were used to obtain the genetic map. Each of the individual genomic DNA was digested with the restriction endonuclease EcoR I, following the RAD-Seq protocol [61]. The SNP calling process was carried out using the SOAP bioinformatic pipeline. The RAD-based SNP calling was done by SOAPsnp software [62] after each individual's paired-end RAD reads was mapped onto the assembled reference genome with the alignment software SOAP2 [63]. The potential SNP markers were used for the linkage analysis if the following criteria were satisfied: for parents - sequencing depth ≥ 8 and ≤ 100 , base quality ≥ 25 , copy number ≤ 1.5 ; for progeny - sequencing depth ≥ 5 , base quality \geq 20, copy number \leq 1.5. If the markers were showing significantly distorted segregation (*P*-value < 0.01), they were excluded from the map construction. Linkage analysis was performed only for

markers present in at least 80% of the genomes, using JoinMap 4.0 software with CP population type codes and applying the double pseudo-test cross strategy [64]. The linkage groups were formed at a logarithm of odds threshold of 6.0 and ordered using the regression mapping algorithm.

Construction of Gene Families

We identified gene families using TreeFam software [65] as follows: Blast was used to compare all the protein sequences from 13 species: M. amblycephala, C. idellus, C. semilaevis, C. carpio, D. rerio, Callorhinchus milii, G. morhua, G. aculeatus, Latimeria chalumnae, Oncorhynchus mykiss, O. niloticus, O. latipes, Fugu rubripes, with the E-value threshold set as 1e-7. In the next step, HSP segments of each protein pair were concatenated by Solar software. H-scores were computed based on Bit-scores and these were taken to evaluate the similarity among genes. Finally, gene families were obtained by clustering of homologous gene sequences using Hcluster sg (Version 0.5.0). Specific genes of *M. amblycephala* were those that did not cluster with other vertebrates that were chosen for gene family construction, and those that did not have homologs in the predicted gene repertoire of the compared genomes. If these genes had functional motifs, they were annotated by GO.

Phylogenetic Tree Reconstruction and Divergence Time Estimation

The coding sequences of single-copy gene families conserved among *M. amblycephala*, *C. idellus*, C. carpio, D. rerio, C. semilaevis, G. morhua, G. aculeatus, Latimeria chalumnae, O. mykiss, O. niloticus, O. latipes, C. milii and Fugu rubripes (Ensembl Gene v.77) were extracted and aligned with guidance from amino-acid alignments created by the MUSCLE program [66]. The individual sequence alignments were then concatenated to form one supermatrix. PhyML [67, 68] was applied to construct the phylogenetic tree under an HKY85+gamma model for nucleotide sequences. ALRT values were taken to assess the branch reliability in PhyML. The same set of codon sequences at position 2 was used for phylogenetic tree construction and estimation of the divergence time. The PAML mcmctree program (PAML version 4.5) [69, 70] was used to determine divergence times with the approximate likelihood calculation method and the correlated molecular clock and REV substitution model.

Gene Family Expansion and Contraction Analyses

Protein sequences of *M. amblycephala* and 11 other related species (Ensembl Gene v.77)) were used in BLAST searches to identify homologs. We identified gene families using CAFÉ [71], which employs a random birth and death model to study gene gains and losses in gene families across a user-specified phylogeny. The global parameter λ , which describes both the gene birth (λ) and death ($\mu = -\lambda$) rate across all branches in the tree for all gene families, was estimated using maximum likelihood. A conditional P-value was calculated for each gene family, and families with conditional P-values less than the threshold (0.05) were considered as having notable gain or loss. We identified branches responsible for low overall *P*-values of significant families.

439 Detection of Positively Selected Genes

We calculated Ka/Ks ratios for all single copy orthologs of *M. amblycephala* and *C. semilaevis*, *D.* rerio, G. morhua, O. niloticus and C. carpio. Alignment quality was essential for estimating positive selection. Thus, orthologous genes were first aligned by PRANK [72], which is considerably conservative for inferring positive selection. We used Gblocks [73] to remove ambiguously aligned blocks within PRANK alignments and employed 'codeml' in the PAML package with the free-ratio model to estimate Ka, Ks, and Ka/Ks ratios on different branches. The differences in mean Ka/Ks ratios for single-copy genes between M. amblycephala and each of the other species were compared using paired Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Genes that showed values of Ka/Ks higher than 1 along the branch leading to M. amblycephala were reanalyzed using the codon based branch-site tests implemented in PAML. The branch-site model allowed ω to vary both among sites in the protein and across branches, and was used to detect episodic positive selection.

452 Developmental Process of Intermuscular Bone in *M. amblycephala*

To better understand the number and morphological types of IBs in adult *M. amblycephala*, specimens with a body length ranging from 15.5 to 20.5 cm were collected and each individual was wrapped in gauze and boiled. The fish body was divided into two sections: anterior (snout to cloaca) and posterior (cloaca to the base of caudal fin), and the length of each section was measured. The IBs were retrieved, counted, arranged in order and photographed with a digital camera. Fertilized *M. amblycephala* eggs were brought from hatching facilities at Freshwater Fish Genetics Breeding Center of Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, Hubei, China) to our

laboratory. M. amblycephala larvae were maintained in a re-circulating aquaculture system at $23 \pm$ 1°C with a 14-hr photoperiod. To explore the early development of IBs, larvae at different stages from 15 to 40 dpf were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and transferred to 70% ethanol for storage. Specimens were stained with alizarin red for bone following the method described by Dawson [74]. The appearance of red color was recorded as the appearance of IB because bone ossification is accompanied by the uptake of alizarin red, resulting in red staining of the mineralized bone matrix. Myosepta, either not yet ossified, or poorly ossified, are not visible with alizarin red staining. For histologic analysis, specimens were paraffin-embedded and sectioned following standard protocols. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson trichrome [75] and photographed using a Nikon microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a DP70 digital camera (Olympus, Japan). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were also conducted to analyze the ultrastructure of IB. The specimens were fixed with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in a solution of 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3) for 2 h at room temperature. The SEM and TEM samples were prepared according to a standard protocol described by Ott [76]. The samples were then visualized with a JSM-6390LV scanning electron microscope (SEM, Japan) and the stained ultrathin sections with a H-7650 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan).

477 RNA Sequencing Analysis

M. amblycephala specimens belonging to three different developmental stages of IBs (stage 1: whole larvae without distribution of IB; stage 2: muscle tissues with partial distribution of IBs; stage 3: muscle tissues with completed distribution of IBs were identified under microscope and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. In addition, dorsal white muscle, IBs and connective tissue surrounding the IBs from six months old fish were also collected. RNA was extracted from total fish samples at different stages and from individual muscle, connective tissue, and intermuscular bone samples of M. amblycephala using RNAisoPlus Reagent (TaKaRa, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The integrity and purity of the RNA was determined by gel electrophoresis and Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent, USA) before preparing the libraries for sequencing. Paired-end RNA sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Low quality score reads were filtered and the clean data were aligned to the reference genome using Bowtie [77]. Genes and

isoforms expression level were quantified by a software package: RSEM (RNASeq by Expectation Maximization) [78]. Gene expression levels were calculated by using the RPKM method (Reads per kilobase transcriptome per million mapped reads) [79] and adjusted by a scaling normalization method [80]. We detected DEGs from three stages of IBs with software NOIseq and three different tissues with PossionDis as requested. NOIseq is based on noisy distribution model, performed as described by Tarazona [81]. The parameters were set as: fold change ≥ 2.00 and probability ≥ 0.7 . PossionDis is based on the Poisson distribution, performed as described by Audic [82]. The parameters were set as: fold change ≥ 2.00 and FDR ≤ 0.001 . DEGs GO Annotation of were mapped to categories in the database (http://www.geneontology.org/) and the number of genes for every term were calculated to identify GO terms that were significantly enriched in the input list of DEGs. The calculated *P*-value was adjusted by the Bonferroni Correction, taking corrected *P*-value ≤ 0.05 as a threshold. KEGG automatic annotation was used to perform pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs.

Prediction of Olfactory Receptor Genes

Olfactory receptor genes were identified by previously described methods [83], with the exception of a first-round TBLASTN [84] search, in which 1,417 functional olfactory receptor genes from H. sapiens, D. rerio, L. chalumnae, Lepisosteus oculatus, L. vexillifer, O. niloticus, O. latipes, F. rubripes and Xenopus tropicalis were used as queries. We then predicted the structure of sequenced genes using the blast-hit sequence with the software GeneWise extending in both 3' and 5' directions along the genome sequences. The results were further confirmed by NR annotation. Then the coding sequences from the start (ATG) to stop codons were extracted, while sequences that contained interrupting stop codons or frame-shifts were regarded as pseudogenes. To construct phylogenetic trees, the amino-acid sequences encoded by olfactory receptor genes were first aligned using the program MUSCLE nested in MEGA 5.10 [85]. We then constructed the phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method with Poisson correction distances using the program MEGA 5.10. We also identified and compared the genes for five basic tastes (sour, sweet, bitter, umami and salty) using a similar method as in OR gene identification.

- 516 Gut Microbiota Analysis
- 517 To characterize the microbial diversity of herbivorous *M. amblycephala*, a total of 12 juvenile

(LBSB), domestic adult (DBSB), wild adult M. amblycephala (BSB) and wild adult C. idellus (GC) intestinal fecal samples were collected. Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mg gut content of each sample using a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, USA). Ouality and integrity of each DNA sample were determined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. DNA concentration was quantified using NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). To determine the diversity and composition of the bacterial communities of each sample, a total of 20 µg of genomic DNA were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform. PCR amplifications were conducted from each sample to produce the V4 hypervariable region (515F and 806 R) of the 16S rRNA gene according to the previously described method [86]. We used the UPARSE pipeline [87] to pick operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at an identity threshold of 97% and picked representative sequences for each OTU and used the RDP classifier to assign taxonomic data to each representative sequence.

530 Additional files

531 Additional file 1: Tables S1 to S17 and Figures S1 to S28.

532 Additional file 2: Data Note1 Expanded genes in the *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus* lineage.

Additional file 3: Data Note2 Positively selected genes in the *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*genomes.

535 Abbreviations

IB, intermuscular bone; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; BUSCO, benchmarking universal
single-copy orthologs; TE, transposable element; LTR, long terminal repeat retrotransposon; LG,
linkage group; PSG, positively selected gene; ECM, extracellular matrix; dpf, days post
fertilization; BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; OR, olfactory
receptor; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; HE,
hematoxylin and eosin; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; TEM, transmission electron
microscopy

543 Acknowledgements

544 This work was supported by the Fundament Research Funds for the Central Universities 545 (2662015PY019), the Modern Agriculture Industry Technology System Construction Projects of

549 Availability of data and materials

550 Datasets supporting the results of this article are available in the GigaDB repository associated 551 with this publication [88]. Raw whole genome sequencing, transcriptome and RAD-Seq data have 552 been deposited at NCBI in the SRA under BioProject number PRJNA343584.

553 Authors' contributions

W.W. initiated and conceived the project and provided scientific input. X.Q. organized financial support and designed the project. M.S. discussed the data, wrote and modified the paper. H.L. and C.C. conducted the biological experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the paper with input from other authors. I.E. wrote and modified the manuscript and discussed the data. The RAD-Seq data analyses and the genetic map construction were performed by Z.G., Y.G., J.J. and X.J. Genome assembly and annotation were performed by J.M., H.C., M.X. and J.C. X.Z., W.L., R.L., B.C., J.W., H.L., S.Y., H.W., X.C., X.Z., Y.Z., K.W., R.Y. and B. L. carried out the samples preparation and data collection. J.L. and J.C. identified the gene families and analyzed the RNA-seq data. M.B. coordinated the project. S.Z. and X.F. modified the manuscript and discussed the data. All authors read the manuscript and provided comments and suggestions for improvements. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

- **Competing interests**
- 566 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

567 Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental procedures involving fish were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Institutional Review Board on Bioethics and Biosafety of BGI (BGI-IRB).

References

11. Wan SM, Yi SK, Zhong J, Nie CH, Guan NN, Zhang WZ, et al. Dynamic mRNA and miRNA

Nat. Commun. 2014; 5:3657.

- expression analysis in response to intermuscular bone development of blunt snout bream (Megalobrama amblycephala). Sci. Rep. 2016; 6:31050.
- 12. Xu P, Zhang X, Wang X, Li J, Liu G, Kuang Y, et al. Genome sequence and genetic diversity of the common carp, Cyprinus carpio. Nat. Genet. 2014; 46:1212-9.
- 13. Wang Y, Lu Y, Zhang Y, Ning Z, Li Y, Zhao Q, et al. The draft genome of the grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus) provides insights into its evolution and vegetarian adaptation. Nat. Genet. 2015; 47:625-31.
- 14. Gao Z, Luo W, Liu H, Zeng C, Liu X, Yi S, et al. Transcriptome analysis and SSR/SNP markers information of the blunt snout bream (Megalobrama amblycephala). PLoS One. 2012; 7:e42637.

4. Tine M., Kuhl H., Gagnaire PA, Louro B, Desmarais E, Martins RS, et al. European sea bass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to euryhalinity and speciation. Nat.

1. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. FAO yearbook Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics

2. Star B, Nederbragt AJ, Jentoft S, Grimholt U, Malmstrøm M, Gregers TF, et al. The genome

3. Berthelot C, Brunet F, Chalopin D, Juanchich A, Bernard M, Noël B, et al. The rainbow trout

genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates.

sequence of Atlantic cod reveals a unique immune system. Nature. 2011; 477:207-10.

2014 (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2016).

- Commun. 2014: 5:5770. 5. Wu C, Zhang D, Kan M, Lv Z, Zhu A, Su Y, et al. The draft genome of the large yellow
- croaker reveals well-developed innate immunity. Nat. Commun. 2014; 5:5227.
- 6. Chen S, Zhang G, Shao C, Huang Q, Liu G, Zhang P, et al. Whole-genome sequence of a flatfish provides insights into ZW sex chromosome evolution and adaptation to a benthic lifestyle. Nat. Genet. 2014; 46:253-60.
- 7. Brawand D, Wagner CE, Li YI, Malinsky M, Keller I, Fan S, et al. The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish. Nature. 2014; 513:375-82.
- 8. Chen X, Zhong L, Bian C, Xu P, Qiu Y, You X, et al. High-quality genome assembly of channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus. GigaScience. 2016; 5:39.
- 9. Gemballa S, Britz R. Homology of intermuscular bones in acanthomorph fishes. Am. Mus. Novit. 1998; 3241:1-25.
- 10. Danos N, Ward AB. The homology and origins of intermuscular bones in fishes: Phylogenetic or biomechanical determinants? Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 2012; 106:607-22.

- 606 15. Yi S, Gao ZX, Zhao H, Zeng C, Luo W, Chen B, et al. Identification and characterization of
 607 microRNAs involved in growth of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*) by
 608 Solexa sequencing. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:754.
 609 16. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved
 - 16. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved
 memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. Gigascience. 2012;1:18.
 - 611 17. Simao FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing
 612 genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics.
 613 2015;31:3210–2.
 - 614 18. Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF, Russell P, Mauceli E, Johnson J, et al. The genomic basis
 615 of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. Nature. 2012; 484:55–61.
 - 616 19. Niimura Y. On the origin and evolution of vertebrate olfactory receptor genes: comparative
 617 genome analysis among 23 chordate species. Genome Biol. Evol. 2009; 1:34–44.
 - 618 20. Lindemann B. Receptors and transduction in taste. Nature. 2001; 413:219–25.
 - 619 21. Nei M, Niimura Y, Nozawa M. The evolution of animal chemosensory receptor gene
 620 repertoires: roles of chance and necessity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2008; 9:951–63.
 - 621 22. Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, et al. T2Rs function as
 622 bitter taste receptors. Cell. 2000; 100:703–11.
- 623 23. Niimura Y, Nei M. Evolutionary dynamics of olfactory and other chemosensory receptor
 624 genes in vertebrates. J. Hum. Genet. 2006; 51:505–17.
- 625 24. Nelson G, Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Feng L, Zhao G, Ryba NJ, et al. An amino-acid taste
 626 receptor. Nature. 2002; 416:199–202.
- 627 25. Li R, Fan W, TianG, Zhu H, He L, Cai J, et al. The sequence and *de novo* assembly of the
 628 giant panda genome. Nature.2010; 463:311–7.
- 629 26. Ferreira AHP, Marana SR, Terra WR, Ferreira C. Purification, molecular cloning, and
 630 properties of a β-glycosidase isolated from midgut lumen of *Tenebrio molitor* (Coleoptera)
 631 larvae. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2001; 31:1065–76.
- 632 27. Tokuda G, Saito H, Watanabe H. A digestive β-glucosidase from the salivary glands of the
 633 termite, *Neotermes koshunensis* (Shiraki): Distribution, characterization and isolation of its
 634 precursor cDNA by 5'- and 3'-RACE amplifications with degenerate primers. Insect
 635 Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2002; 32:1681–9.
- 636 28. Sakamoto K, Uji S, Kurokawa T, Toyohara H. Molecular cloning of endogenous
 637 β-glucosidase from common Japanese brackish water clam *Corbicula japonica*. Gene. 2009;
 638 435:72–9.
 - 639 29. Zhu L, Wu Q, Dai J, Zhang S, Wei F. Evidence of cellulose metabolism by the giant panda gut

microbiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2011; 108:17714-9.

- 641 30. Bird NC, Mabee PM. Developmental morphology of the axial skeleton of the zebrafish, *Danio*642 *rerio* (Ostariophysi: Cyprinidae). Dev. Dyn. 2003; 228:337–57.
 - 43 31. Ornitz D, Marie P. FGF signaling pathways in endochondral and intramembranous bone
 44 development and human genetic disease. Genes Dev. 2002; 16:1446–65.
 - 32. Ortega N, Behonick DJ, Werb Z. Matrix remodeling during endochondral ossification. Trends
 Cell Biol. 2004; 14:86–93.
- 647 33. Even-Ram S, Doyle AD, Conti MA, Matsumoto K, Adelstein RS, Yamada KM. Myosin IIA
 648 regulates cell motility and actomyosin-microtubule crosstalk. Nat. Cell Biol. 2007;
 649 9:299–309.
- 34. Sheetz MP, Felsenfeld DP, Galbraith CG. Cell migration: Regulation of force on
 extracellular-complexes. Trends Cell Biol. 1998; 8:51–4.
- 652 35. Gunst SJ, Zhang W. Actin cytoskeletal dynamics in smooth muscle: a new paradigm for the
 653 regulation of smooth muscle contraction. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2008; 295:C576–87.
- 654 36. Webb RC. Smooth muscle contraction and relaxation. Adv. Physiol. Educ. 2003; 27:201–6.
- 655 37. Ulrich TA, De Juan Pardo EM, Kumar S. The mechanical rigidity of the extracellular matrix
 656 regulates the structure, motility, and proliferation of glioma cells. Cancer Res. 2009;
 657 69:4167–74.
- 658 38. Ridley AJ. Rho GTPases and cell migration. J. Cell Sci. 2001; 114:2713–22.
- 659 39. Etienne-Manneville S, Hall A. Rho GTPases in Cell Biology. Nature. 2002; 420:629–35.
- 40. Ridley AJ. Cell Migration: Integrating Signals from Front to Back. Science. 2003;
 302:1704–9.
- 662 41. Chen G, Deng C, Li YP. TGF-β and BMP signaling in osteoblast differentiation and bone
 663 formation. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2012; 8:272–88.
- 42. Harada S, Rodan GA. Control of osteoblast function and regulation of bone mass. Nature.
 2003; 423:349–55.
- 43. Long F. Building strong bones: molecular regulation of the osteoblast lineage. Nat. Rev. Mol.
 Cell Biol. 2011; 13:27–38.
- 668 44. Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Lacey DL. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. Nature. 2003;
 669 423:337–42.
- 670 45. Fakhry A, Ratisoontorn C, Vedhachalam C, Salhab I, Koyama E, Leboy P, et al. Effects of
 671 FGF-2/-9 in calvarial bone cell cultures: Differentiation stage-dependent mitogenic effect,
 672 inverse regulation of BMP-2 and noggin, and enhancement of osteogenic potential. Bone.

673 2005; 36:254–66.

- 46. Sato K, Suematsu A, Nakashima T, Takemoto-Kimura S, Aoki K, Morishita Y, et al.
 Regulation of osteoclast differentiation and function by the CaMK-CREB pathway. Nat. Med.
 2006; 12:1410–6.
- 47. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
 Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1754–60.
- 679 48. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Trinity:
 680 reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data . Nat.
 681 Biotechnol. 2011; 29:644–52.
- 49. Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res.
 1999; 27:573–80.
- 50. Jurka J, Kapitonov VV, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase
 Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 2005;
 110:462–7.
- 687 51. Griffiths-Jones S, Moxon S, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR, Bateman A. Rfam: Annotating
 688 non-coding RNAs in complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:121–4.
- 689 52. Birney E, Clamp M, Durbin R. GeneWise and Genomewise. Genome Res. 2004; 14:988–95.
- 53. Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: Discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq.
 Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1105–11.
- 54. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript
 assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform
 switching during cell differentiation. Nat. Biotechnol. 2010; 28:511–5.
- 55. Elsik CG, Mackey AJ, Reese JT, Milshina NV, Roos DS, Weinstock GM. Creating a honey
 bee consensus gene set. Genome Biol. 2007; 8:R13.
- 56. Bairoch A, Apweiler R. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement
 TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28:45–8.
- 57. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool
 for the unification of biology. Nat. Genet. 2000; 25:25–9.
- 58. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res.
 2000; 28:27-30.
- 59. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: A program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes
 in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:955–64.

60. Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.0: Inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1335–7.

- 61. Baird NA, Etter PD, Atwood TS, Currey MC, Shiver AL, Lewis ZA, et al. Rapid SNP
 discovery and genetic mapping using sequenced RAD markers. PloS One. 2008; 3:e3376.
- 62. Li R, Li Y, Fang X, Yang H, Wang J, Kristiansen K, et al. SNP detection for massively
 parallel whole-genome resequencing. Genome Res. 2009; 19:1124–32.
- 63. Li R, Yu C, Li Y, Lam TW, Yiu SM, Kristiansen K, et al. SOAP2: An improved ultrafast tool
 for short read alignment. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1966–7.
- 64. Grattapaglia D, Sederoff R. Genetic linkage maps of Eucalyptus grandis and Eucalyptus
 r14 urophylla using a pseudo-testcross: Mapping strategy and RAPD markers. Genetics. 1994;
 r15 137:1121–37.
- 716 65. Li H, Coghlan A, Ruan J, Coin LJ, Heriche JK, Osmotherly L, et al. TreeFam: a curated
 717 database of phylogenetic trees of animal gene families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;
 718 34:D572–80.
- 66. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
 Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32:1792–7.
- 67. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and
 methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML
 3.0. Syst. Biol. 2010; 59:307–21.
- 68. Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by
 maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 2003; 52:696–704.
- 726 69. Yang Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2007;
 727 24:1586–91.
- 728 70. Yang Z, Rannala B. Bayesian estimation of species divergence times under a molecular clock
 729 using multiple fossil calibrations with soft bounds. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2006; 23:212–26.
- 730 71. Hahn MW, Demuth JP, Han SG. Accelerated rate of gene gain and loss in primates. Genetics.
 731 2007; 177:1941–9.
- 732 72. Löytynoja A, Goldman N. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with
 733 insertions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2005; 102:10557–62.
- 734 73. Talavera G, Castresana J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and
 735 ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 2007; 56:564–77.
- 736 74. Dawson AB. A note on the staining of the skeleton of cleared specimens with alizarin red S.
 737 Biotech. Histochem. 1926; 1:123-4.

plastic embedded bone. Biotech. Histochem. 1992; 67:30-4. 76. Ott HC, Matthiesen TS, Goh SK, Black LD, Kren SM, Netoff TI, et al. Perfusion-decellularized matrix: using nature's platform to engineer a bioartificial heart. Nat. б Med. 2008; 14:213–21. 77. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods. 2012; 9:357-9. 78. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011; 12:323. 79. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods. 2008; 5:621-8. 80. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 2010; 11:R25. 81. Tarazona S, García-Alcalde F, Dopazo J, Ferrer A, Conesa A. Differential expression in RNA-seq: a matter of depth. Genome Res. 2011; 21:2213–23. 82. Audic S, Claverie JM. The significance of digital gene expression profiles. Genome Res. 1997; 7:986–95. 83. Niimura Y. Evolutionary dynamics of olfactory receptor genes in chordates: interaction between environments and genomic contents. Hum. Genomics. 2009; 4:107-18. 84. Altschul S, Madden T, Schaffer A, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:3389-402. 85. Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief. Bioinform. 2008; 9:299-306. 86. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2011; 108:4516-22. 87. Edgar, RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat. Methods. 2013; 10:996-8. 88. Liu H, Chen CH, Gao ZX, Min JM, Gu YM, Jian JB, et al. The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet. 2016. GigaScience Database.

75. Gruber HE. Adaptations of Goldner's Masson trichrome stain for the study of undecalcified

Figure 1 Global view of the *M. amblycephala* genome and syntenic relationship between *C. idellus*, *M. amblycephala* and *D. rerio*. (A) Global view of the *M. amblycephala* genome. From outside to inside, the genetic linkage map (a); Anchors between the genetic markers and the assembled scaffolds (b); Assembled chromosomes (c); GC content within a 50-kb sliding window (d); Repeat content within a 500-kb sliding window (e); Gene distribution on each chromosome (f); Different gene expression of three transcriptomes (g). (B) Syntenic relationship between *C. idellus* (a), *M. amblycephala* (b) and *D. rerio* (c) chromosomes.

Figure 2 Phylogenetic tree and comparison of orthologous genes in *M. amblycephala* and other fish species. (A) Phylogenetic tree of teleosts using 316 single copy orthologous genes. The color circles at the nodes shows the estimated divergence times using O. latipes-F. rubripes [96.9~150.9Mya], F. rubripes–D. rerio [149.85~165.2Mya], F. rubripes–C. milii [416~421.75Mya] (http://www.timetree.org/) as the calibration time. Pentagram represents four cyprinid fish with intermuscular bones. S, silurian period; D, devonian period; C, carboniferous period; P, permian periodin Paleozoic; T, triassic period; J, jurassic and k-cretaceous period in Mesozoic; Pg, paleogene in Cenozoic Era, N, Neogene. (B) Venn diagram of shared and unique orthologous gene families in M. amblycephala and four other teleosts. (C) Over-represented GO annotations of cyprinid-specific expansion genes.

Figure 3 Regulation of genes related to intermuscular bone formation and function identified from developmental stages and adult tissues transcriptome data. (A) Gene expression pattern involved in muscle contraction regulated genes in early developmental stages corresponds to intermuscular bone formation of *M. amblycephala*, (alizarin red staining). M, myosepta; IB, intermusucular bone. (B) Scanning electron microscope photos of muscle tissues, connective tissues, and intermuscular bone. (C) Distribution of intermuscular bone specific genes in GO annotations indicative of abundance in protein binding, calcium ion binding, GTP binding functions. (D) Several developmental signals regulating key steps of osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation in the process of intramembranous ossification. Colored boxes indicate significantly up-regulated genes in these signals specifically occurred in intermuscular bone.
Figure 4 Molecular characteristics of sensory systems and the composition of gut microbiota in M. amblycephala. (A) Extensive expansion of olfactory receptor genes (ORs) in M. amblycephala compared with other teleosts. (B) Phylogeny of 'beta' type ORs in eight representative teleost species showing the significant expansion of 'beta' ORs in *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*. The pink background shows cyprinid-specific 'beta' types of ORs. (C) Umami, sweet and bitter tastes related gene families in teleosts with different feeding habits. (D) Structure of the umami receptor encoding T1R1 gene in cyprinid fish. (E) Relative abundance of microbial flora and taxonomic assignments in juvenile (LBSB), domestic adult (DBSB), wild adult (BSB) M. amblycephala and wild adult C. idellus (GC) samples at the phylum level.

- 7 821
- 822 822

Table 1 Features of the *M. amblycephala* whole genome sequence

Total genome size (Mb)	1,116
N90 length of scaffold (bp)	20,422
N50 length of scaffold (bp)	838,704
N50 length of contig (bp)	49,400
Total GC content (%)	37.30
Protein-coding genes number	23,696
Average gene length (bp)	15,797
Content of transposable elements (%)	34.18
Number of chromosomes	24
Number of makers in genetic map	5,317
Scaffolds anchored on linkage groups (LGs)	1,434
Length of scaffolds anchored on LGs (Mb)	779.54 (70%)

≛

1	The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of	
2	intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet	
3	Han Liu ^{1†} , Chunhai Chen ^{2†} , Zexia Gao ^{1†} , Jiumeng Min ^{2†} , Yongming Gu ^{3†} , Jianbo Jian ^{2†} , Xiewu	
4	Jiang ³ , Huimin Cai ² , Ingo Ebersberger ⁴ , Meng Xu ² , Xinhui Zhang ¹ , Jianwei Chen ² , Wei Luo ¹ ,	
5	Boxiang Chen ^{1,3} , Junhui Chen ² , Hong Liu ¹ , Jiang Li ² , Ruifang Lai ¹ , Mingzhou Bai ² , Jin Wei ¹ ,	
6	Shaokui Yi ¹ , Huanling Wang ¹ , Xiaojuan Cao ¹ , Xiaoyun Zhou ¹ , Yuhua Zhao ¹ , Kaijian Wei ¹ ,	
7	Ruibin Yang ¹ , Bingnan Liu ³ , Shancen Zhao ² , Xiaodong Fang ² , Manfred Schartl ^{5,*} , Xueqiao	
8	Qian ^{3,*} , Weimin Wang ^{1,*}	
9		
10	*Equally contributing corresponding authors: wangwm@mail.hzau.edu.cn; xueqiaoqian@263.net;	
11	phch1@biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de	
12	[†] Equal contributors	
13	¹ College of Fisheries, Key Lab of Freshwater Animal Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture, Key Lab	
14	of Agricultural Animal Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction of Ministry of Education, Huazhong	
15	Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China	
16	² Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI)-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China	
17	³ Guangdong Haid Group Co., Ltd., Guangzhou 511400, China	
18	⁴ Dept. for Applied Bioinformatics, Institute for Cell Biology and Neuroscience, Goethe University,	
19	Frankfurt D-60438, Germany	
20	⁵ Physiological Chemistry, University of Würzburg, Biozentrum, Am Hubland, and Comprehensive	
21	Cancer Center Mainfranken, University Clinic Würzburg, Würzburg 97070, Germany and Texas	
22	A&M Institute for Advanced Study and Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College	
23	Station, TX 77843, USA	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	
	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ \end{array} $	

29 Abstract

Background: The blunt snout bream, *Megalobrama amblycephala*, is the economically most important cyprinid fish species. As an herbivore, it can be grown by eco-friendly and resource-conserving aquaculture. However, the large number of intermuscular bones in the trunk musculature is adverse to fish meat processing and consumption.

Results: As a first towards optimizing this aquatic livestock, we present a 1.116-Gb draft genome of *M. amblycephala*, with 779.54 Mb anchored on 24 linkage groups. Integrating spatiotemporal transcriptome analyses we show that intermuscular bone is formed in the more basal teleosts by intramembranous ossification, and may be involved in muscle contractibility and coordinating cellular events. Comparative analysis revealed that olfactory receptor genes, especially of the beta type, underwent an extensive expansion in herbivorous cyprinids, whereas the gene for the umami receptor T1R1 was specifically lost in M. amblycephala. The composition of gut microflora, which contributes to the herbivorous adaptation of *M. amblycephala*, was found to be similar to that of other herbivores.

43 Conclusions: As a valuable resource for improvement of *M. amblycephala* livestock, the draft 44 genome sequence offers new insights into the development of intermuscular bone and herbivorous 45 adaptation.

Keywords: *Megalobrama amblycephala*, whole genome, herbivorous diet, intermuscular bone,
transcriptome, gut microflora

58 Background

Fishery and aquaculture play an important role in global alimentation. Over the past decades food fish supply has been increasing with an annual rate of 3.6 percent, about 2 times faster than the human population [1]. This growth of fish production is meanwhile solely accomplished by an extension of aquaculture, as over the past thirty years the total mass of captured fish has remained almost constant [1]. As a consequence of this emphasis on fish breeding, the genomes of various economically important fish species, e.g. Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) [2], rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) [3], European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) [4], yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) [5], half-smooth tongue sole (Cynoglossus semilaevis) [6], tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) [7] and channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) [8] have been sequenced. Yet, the majority of these species are carnivorous requiring large inputs of protein from wild caught fish or other precious feed. Reports on draft genomes of herbivorous and omnivorous species, in particular cyprinid fish are scarce. It is well known that cyprinids are currently the economically most important group of teleosts for sustainable aquaculture. They grow to large population sizes in the wild and already now account for the majority of freshwater aquaculture production worldwide [1]. Among these, the herbivorous Megalobrama amblycephala (Yih, 1955), a particularly eco-friendly and resource-conserving species, is predominant in aquaculture and has been greatly developed in China (Additional file 1: Figure S1) [1]. However, most cyprinids, including M. amblycephala, have a large number of intermuscular bones (IBs) in the trunk musculature, which have an adverse effect on fish meat processing and consumption. IBs-a unique form of bone occurring only in the more basal teleosts—are completely embedded within the myosepta and are not connected to the vertebral column or any other bones [9, 10]. Our previous study on IB development of M. amblycephala revealed that some miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs may be involved in regulating bone development and differentiation [11]. However, the molecular genetic basis and the evolution of this unique structure are still unclear. Unfortunately, the recent sequencing of two cyprinid genomes common carp (Cyprinus carpio) [12] and grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) [13], which provided valuable information for their genetic breeding, contributed little to the understanding of IB formation.

In an initial genome survey of *M. amblycephala*, we identified 25,697 single-nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) [14], 347 conserved miRNAs [15], and 1,136 miRNA-mRNA interaction pairs [11]. However, lack of a whole genome sequence resource limited a thorough investigation of *M. amblycephala*. Here we report the first high-quality draft genome sequence of *M*. amblycephala. Integrating this novel genome resource with tissue- and developmental stage-specific gene expression information, as well as with meta-genome data to investigate the composition of the gut microbiome (Workflow shown in additional file 1: Figure S2) provides relevant insights into the function and evolution of two key features characterizing this species: The formation of IB and the adaptation to herbivory. By that our study lays the foundation for genetically optimizing *M. amblycephala* to further increase its relevance for securing human food supply.

97 Data description

98 Genome Assembly and Annotation

The *M. amblycephala* genome was sequenced and assembled by a whole-genome shotgun strategy using genomic DNA from a double-haploid fish (Additional file 1: Table S1). We assembled a 1.116 Gb reference genome sequence from 142.55 Gb (approximately 130-fold coverage) of clean data [16] (Additional file 1: Tables S1 and S2, Figure S3). The contig and scaffold N50 lengths reached 49 Kb and 839 Kb, respectively (Table 1). The largest scaffold spans 8,951 Kb and the 4,034 largest scaffolds cover 90% of the assembly. To assess the genome assembly quality, the mapping of paired end sequence data from the short-insert size WGS libraries, as well as of published ESTs [14] (Additional file 1: Tables S3 and S4) against the genome assembly indicated that the number and extent of misassemblies is low. To further estimate the completeness of the assembly and gene prediction, the benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCO) [17] analysis was used and the results showed that the assembly contains 81.4% complete and 9.1% partial vertebrate BUSCO orthologues (Additional file 1: Table S5).

The *M. amblycephala* genome has an average GC content of 37.3%, similar to cyprinid *C. carpio* and *Danio rerio* (Additional file 1: Figure S4). Using a comprehensive annotation strategy combining RNA-seq derived transcript evidence, *de-novo* gene prediction and sequence similarity to proteins from five further fish species, we annotated a total of 23,696 protein-coding genes (Additional file 1: Table S6). Of the predicted genes, 99.44% (23,563 genes) are annotated by

functional database. In addition, we identified 1,796 non-coding RNAs including 474 miRNAs, 220 rRNA, 530 tRNAs, and 572 snRNAs. Transposable elements (TEs) comprise approximately 34.18% (381.3 Mb) of the M. amblycephala genome (Additional file 1: Table S7). DNA transposons (23.80%) and long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTRs) (9.89%) are the most abundant TEs in M. amblycephala. The proportion of LTRs in M. amblycephala is highest in comparison with other teleosts: G. morhua (4.88%) [2], L. crocea (2.2%) [5], C. semilaevis (0.08%) [6], C. carpio (2.28%) [12], C. idellus (2.58%) [13] and stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) (1.9%) [18] (Additional file 1: Tables S7 and S8, Figure S5). The distribution of divergence between the TEs in M. amblycephala peaks at 7% (Additional file 1: Figure S6), indicating a more recent activity of these TEs when compared with O. mykiss (13%) [3] and C. semilaevis (9%) [6].

127 Anchoring Scaffolds and Shared Synteny Analysis

Sequencing data from 198 F1 specimens, including the parents, were used as the mapping population to anchor the scaffolds on to 24 pseudo-chromosomes of the *M. amblycephala* genome. Following RAD-Seq and sequencing protocol, 1883.5 Mb of 125-bp reads (on average 30.6 Mb and 9.3 Mb of read data for each parent and progeny, respectively) were generated on the HiSeq 2500 next-generation sequencing platform. Based on the SOAP bioinformatic pipeline, we generated 5,317 SNP markers for constructing a high-resolution genetic map. The map spans 1,701 cM with a mean marker distance of 0.33 cM and facilitated an anchoring of 1,434 scaffolds comprising 70% (779.54 Mb) of the M. amblycephala genome assembly to form 24 linkage groups (LG) (Additional file 1: Table S9). Of the anchored scaffolds, 598 could additionally be oriented (678.27 Mb, 87.01% of the total anchored sequences) (Figure 1A). A subsequent comparison of the gene order between *M. amblycephala* and its close relative *C.idellus* revealed 607 large shared syntenic blocks encompassing 11,259 genes, and 190 chromosomal rearrangements. The values change to 1,062 regions, 13,152 genes and 279 rearrangements when considering D. rerio. The unexpected higher number of genes in syntenic regions shared with the more distantly related D. rerio is most likely an effect of the more complete genome assembly of this species compared to C. idellus. The rearrangement events are distributed across all M. amblycephala linkage groups without evidence for a local clustering (Figure 1B). The most

 prominent event is a chromosomal fusion in *M. amblycephala* LG02 that joined two *D. rerio*chromosomes, Dre10 and Dre22. The same fusion is observed in *C. idellus* but not in *C. carpio*suggesting that it probably occurred in a last common ancestor of *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*,
approximately 13.1 million years ago (Additional file 1: Figure S7).

Results

150 Evolutionary Analysis

A phylogenetic analysis of 316 single-copy genes with one to one orthologs in the genomes of 10 other fish species, and coelacanth (Latimeria chalumnae) and elephant shark (Callorhinchus milii), as out group served as a basis for investigating the evolutionary trajectory of M. amblycephala (Figure 2A, Additional file 1: Figure S8). To illuminate the evolutionary process resulting in the adaptation to a grass diet, we analyzed the functional categories of expanded genes in the M. amblycephala and C. idellus lineage (Additional file 1: Figure S9, Additional file 2: Data Note1), two typical herbivores mainly feeding on aquatic and terrestrial grasses. Among the significantly over-represented KEGG pathways (Fisher's exact test, P < 0.01), we find olfactory transduction (ko04740), immune-related pathways (ko04090, ko04672, ko04612 and ko04621), lipid metabolic related process (ko00590, ko00320, ko00591, ko00565, ko00592 and ko04975), as well as xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism (ko00625 and ko00363) (Figure S10). Indeed, when tracing positively selected genes (PSG) in M. amblycephala and C. idellus (Additional file 3: Date Note2), we identified 10 candidates involved in starch and sucrose metabolism (ko00500), in citrate cycle (ko00020) and in other types of O-glycan biosynthesis (ko00514). Moreover, 10 genes encoding enzymes involved in lipid metabolism appear positively selected in both fish species (Additional file 1: Table S10).

Development of Intermuscular Bones

To explain the genetic basis of IB, their formation and their function in cyprinids, we first analyzed the functional annotation of genes that expanded in this lineage (Figure 2C). Many of these genes are involved in cell adhesion (GO: 0007155, P=5.26E-32, 357 genes), myosin complex (GO:0016459, P=2.74E-08, 100 genes) and cell-matrix adhesion (GO:0007160, P=1.59E-21, 69 genes) (Figure 2C). As a second line of evidence, we performed transcriptome analyses of early developmental stages (stage1: whole larvae without IBs) and juvenile M. amblycephala (stage2: trunk muscle with partial IBs; stage3: trunk muscle with completed IBs) (Figure 3A). Compared with stage1, 388 and 651 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) are up-regulated in stage2 and stage3, respectively. And 249 of them are significantly up-regulated both in stage2 and stage3. KEGG analyses indicate many of these genes involved in tight junction (ko04530), regulation of actin cytoskeleton (ko04810), cardiac muscle contraction (ko04260) and vascular smooth muscle contraction (ko04270) (Additional file 1: Figure S11). Specifically, 26 genes encoding proteins related to muscle contraction, including titin, troponin, myosin, actinin, calmodulin and other Ca²⁺ transporting ATPases (Figure 3A) point to a strong remodeling of the musculature compartment. To confirm that the observed differences in gene expression are indeed linked to IB formation and function and are not simply due to the fact that different developmental stages were compared, we performed differential expression analysis of muscle tissues, IB, and connective tissues from the same six months old individual of *M. amblycephala* (Figure 3B, Additional file 1: Figure S12). 1,290 DEGs and 5,231 DEGs are significantly up-regulated in IB compared with connective tissues and muscle, respectively. 24 of these DEGs encode extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins (collagens and intergrin-binding protein), Rho GTPase family (RhoA, Rho GAP, Rac, Ras), motor proteins (myosin, dynein, actin), and calcium channel regulation proteins (Additional file 1: Figure S13 and Table S11). In addition, GO annotations of 963 IB-specific genes indicative of abundance in protein binding (GO:0005515), calcium ion binding (GO:0005509), GTP binding (GO:0005525) and iron ion binding (GO:0005506) were found (Figure 3C).

During development of *M. amblycephala*, the first IB appears in muscles of caudal vertebrae as early as 28 days post fertilization (dpf) when body length is 12.95 mm (Additional file 1: Figure S14). The system then develops and ossifies predominantly from posterior to anterior (Additional file 1: Figure S15). IBs are present throughout the body within two months (Additional file 1: Figure S16) and develop into multiple morphological types in adults (Additional file 1: Figure S17). The bone is formed directly without an intermediate cartilaginous stage (Additional file 1: Figures S18 and S19). We also found a large number of mature osteoblasts distributed at the edge of the bone matrix while some osteocytes were apparent in the center of the mineralized bone matrix (Additional file 1: Figures S20 and S21). These primary bone-forming cells predominantly regulate bone formation and function throughout life. Notably, among the genes up-regulated in IB, 35 bone formation regulatory genes were identified (shown in colored boxes in Figure 3D). In particular, genes involved in bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling including *Bmp3*, *Smad8*, *Smad9*, and *Id2*, in fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling including *Fgf2*, *Fgfr1a*, *Fgfbp2*, *Col6a3*, and *Col4a5*, and in Ca²⁺ channels including *Cacna1c*, *CaM*, *Creb5* and *Nfatc* were highly expressed (>2-fold change) in IB (Additional file 1: Figure S22).

209 Adaptation to Herbivorous Diet

Next to the presence of IB, the herbivory of M. amblycephala is the second key feature in connection to the use of this species as aquatic livestock. Olfaction, the sense of smell, is crucial for animals to find food. The perception of smell is mediated by a large gene family of olfactory receptor (OR) genes. In the M. amblycephala genome, we identified 179 functional olfactory receptor (OR) genes (Figure 4A), and based on the classification of Niimura [19], 158, 117 and 153 receptors for water-borne odorants were identified in M. amblycephala, C. idellus and D. rerio, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S12). Overall, these receptor repertoires are substantially larger than those of other and carnivorous teleosts (G. morhua, C. semilaevis, O. latipes, X. maculatus) (Additional file 1: Figures S23 and S24, Table S12). In addition, we found a massive expansion of beta-type OR genes in the genomes of the herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus, while very few exist in other teleosts (Figure 4B, Additional file 1: Table S12).

Taste is also an important factor in the development of dietary habits. Most animals can perceive five basic tastes, namely sourness, sweetness, bitterness, saltiness and umami [20]. T1R1, the receptor gene necessary for sensing umami, has been lost in herbivorous M. amblycephala but is duplicated in carnivorous G. morhua, C. semilaevis and omnivorous O. latipes and X. maculatus (Figures 4C and 4D, Additional file 1: Figures S25-26 and Table S13). In contrast, T1R2, the receptor gene for sensing sweet, has been duplicated in herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus, omnivorous C. carpio and D. rerio, while it has been lost in carnivorous G. morhua and C. semilaevis (Additional file 1: Figure S27 and Table S13). Also the T2R gene family, most likely important in the course switching to a diet that contains a larger fraction of bitterness containing

food, has been expanded in *M. amblycephala*, *C. idellus*, *C. carpio* and *D. rerio* (Additional file 1:
Figure S28).

To obtain further insights into the genetic adaptation to herbivorous diet, we focused on further genes that might be associated with digestion. Genes that encode proteases (including pepsin, trypsin, cathepsin and chymotrypsin) and amylases (including alpha-amylase and glucoamylase) were identified in the genomes of M. amblycephala, carnivorous C. semilaevis, G. morhua and omnivorous D. rerio, O. latipes and X. maculatus, indicating that herbivorous M. amblycephala has a protease repertoire that is not substantially different from those of carnivorous and omnivorous fishes (Additional file 1: Table S14). We did not identify any genes encoding cellulose-degrading enzymes including endoglucanase, exoglucanase potentially and beta-glucosidase in the genome of *M. amblycephala*, suggesting that utilization of the herbivorous diet may largely depend on the gut microbiome. To elucidate this further, we determined the composition of the gut microbial communities of juvenile, domestic, wild adult M. amblycephala and wild adult C. idellus using bacterial 16S rRNA sequencing. A total of 549,020 filtered high quality sequence reads from 12 samples were clustered at a similarity level of 97%. The resulting 8,558 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) are dominated at phylum level by Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Additional file 1: Table S15, Figure 4E). Increasing the resolution to the genus level, the composition and relative abundance of the gut microbiota of wild adult M. amblycephala and C. idellus are still very similar (Additional file 1: Table S16) and we could identify more than 7% cellulose-degrading bacteria (Additional file 1: Table S17).

251 Discussion

The evolutionary trajectory analysis of *M. amblycephala* and other teleosts revealed that *M. amblycephala* has the closest relationship to *C. idellus*. Both the species are herbivorous fish but which endogenous and exogenous factors affected their feeding habits and how they adapted to their herbivorous diet is not known. Our results from the expanded genes and PSG in the lineage of the two herbivores uncovered a number of genes that are involved in glucose, lipid and xenobiotics metabolism, which would enhance the ability of an herbivore to detoxify the

secondary compounds present in grasses that are adverse or even toxic to the organism. Furthermore, the high-fiber but low-energy grass diet requires a highly effective intermediate metabolism that accelerates carbohydrate and lipid catabolism and conversion into energy to maintain physiological functions.

Olfaction and taste are also crucial for animals to find food and to distinguish whether potential food is edible or harmful [21, 22]. The ORs of teleosts are predominantly expressed in the main olfactory epithelium of the nasal cavity [21, 23] and can discriminate, like those of other vertebrates, different kinds of odor molecules. Previous studies have demonstrated that the beta type OR genes are present in both aquatic and terrestrial vertebrates, indicating that the corresponding receptors detect both water-soluble and airborne odorants [19, 21]. In the present study, the search for genes encoding OR showed that herbivorous M. amblycephala and C. idellus have a large number of beta-type OR, while other omnivorous and carnivorous fish only have one or two. This might be attributed to their particular herbivorous diet consisting not only of aquatic grasses but also the duckweed and terrestrial grasses, which they ingest from the water surface.

It is known that the receptor for umami is formed by the T1R1/T1R3 heterodimer, while T1R2/T1R3 senses sweet taste [24]. We found that the umami gene T1R1 was lost in herbivorous M. amblycephala but duplicated in the carnivorous G. morhua and C. Semilaevis. The loss of the T1R1 gene in M. amblycephala might exclude the expression of a functional umami taste receptor. Such situations in other organism, e.g. the Chinese panda, have previously been related to feeding specialization [25]. Bitterness sensed by the T2R is particularly crucial for animals to protect them from poisonous compounds [22]. Interestingly, the bitter receptor T2R genes are expanded in the herbivorous fish but few or no copy was found in carnivorous fish. These results not only indicate the genetic adaptation to herbivorous diet of M. amblycephala, but also provided a clear and comprehensive picture of adaptive evolutionary mechanisms of sensory systems in other fish species with different trophic specializations.

It has been reported that some insects such as *Tenebrio molitor* [26] and *Neotermes koshunensis* [27], and the mollusc *Corbicula japonica* [28] have genes encoding endogenous cellulose degradation-related enzymes. However, all so far analyzed herbivorous vertebrates lack these genes and always rely on their gut microbiome to digest food [25, 29]. In herbivorous *M*.

 amblycephala and *C. idellus*, we also did not find any homologues of digestive cellulase genes. Interestingly, our work on the composition of gut microbiota of the two fish species identifies more than 7% cellulose-degrading bacteria, suggesting that the cellulose degradation of herbivorous fish largely depend on their gut microbiome.

IB has evolved several times during teleost evolution [9, 30]. The developmental mechanisms and ossification processes forming IB are dramatically distinct from other bones such as ribs, skeleton, vertebrae or spines. These usually develop from cartilaginous bone and are derived from the mesenchymal cell population by endochondral ossification [31, 32]. However, IB form directly by intramembranous ossification and differentiate from osteoblasts within connective tissue, forming segmental, serially homologous ossifications in the myosepta. Although various methods of ossification of IB have been proposed, few experiments have been conducted to confirm the ossification process and little is known about the potential role of IB in teleosts. Based on our findings of expanded genes in cyprinid lineage and evidence from transcriptome of developmental stages of IB formation, a number of genes were found to interact dynamically to mediate efficient cell motility, migration and muscle construction [33-36]. In addition, transcriptome analyses of three tissues indicated that ECM, Rho GTPase, motor and calcium channel regulation protein displayed high expression in IB. It is known that ECM proteins bound to integrins influence cell migration by actomyosin-generated contractile forces [34, 37]. Rho GTPases, acting as molecular switches, are also involved in regulating the actin cytoskeleton and cell migration, which in turn initiates intracellular signaling and contributes to tissue repair and regeneration [38-40]. Thus, our results provide molecular evidence that IB might play significant roles not only in regulating muscle contraction but also in active remodeling at the bone-muscle interface and coordination of cellular events.

Some major developmental signals including BMP, FGF, WNT, together with calcium/calmodulin signaling [31, 41-43], are essential for regulating the differentiation and function of osteoblasts and osteocytes and for regulating the RANKL signaling pathway for osteoclasts [44]. In agreement with this concept, we found 35 bone formation regulatory genes involved in these signals were highly up-regulated in IB. Among these signaling pathways, in particular, *Bmp*, *Fgf2*, and *Fgfr1* are closely related to intramembranous bone development and

affect the expression and activity of other osteogenesis related transcription factors [31, 45]. The calcium-sensitive transcription factor *NFATc1* together with *CREB* induces the expression of osteoclast-specific genes [46]. Taken together, these results suggest that IB indeed undergoes an intramembranous ossification process, is regulated by bone-specific signaling pathways, and underlies a homeostasis of maintenance, repair and remodeling.

321 Conclusions

Our results provide novel functional insights into the evolution of cyprinids. Importantly, the *M. amblycephala* genome data come up with novel insights shedding light on the adaptation to herbivorous nutrition and evolution and formation of IB. Our results on the evolution of gene families, digestive and sensory system, as well as our microbiome meta-analysis and transcriptome data provide powerful evidence and a key database for future investigations to increase the understanding of the specific characteristics of *M. amblycephala* and other fish species.

329 Methods

330 Sampling and DNA Extraction

331 DNA for genome sequencing was derived from a double haploid fish from the *M. amblycephala* 332 genetic breeding center at Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, Hubei, China). Fish blood 333 was collected from adult female fish caudal vein using sterile injectors with pre-added 334 anticoagulant solutions following anesthetized with MS-222 and sterilization with 75% alcohol. 335 Genomic DNA was extracted from the whole blood.

336 Genomic Sequencing and Assembly

Libraries with different insert sized inserts of 170 bp, 500 bp, 800 bp, 2 Kb, 5 Kb, 10 Kb and 20 Kb were constructed from the genomic DNA at BGI-Shenzhen. The libraries were sequenced using a HiSeq2000 instrument. In total, 11 libraries, sequenced in 23 lanes were constructed. To obtain high quality data, we applied filtering criteria for the raw reads. As a result, 142.55 Gb of filtered data were used to complete the genome assembly using SOAPdenovo_V2.04 [16]. Only filtered data were used in the genome assembly. First, the short insert size library data were used to construct a de Bruijn graph. The tips, merged bubbles and connections with low coverage were

removed before resolving the small repeats. Second, all high-quality reads were realigned with the contig sequences. The number of shared paired-end relationships between pairs of contigs was calculated and weighted with the rate of consistent and conflicting paired ends before constructing the scaffolds in a stepwise manner from the short-insert size paired ends to the long-insert size paired ends. Third, the gaps between the constructed scaffolds were composed mainly of repeats, which were masked during scaffold construction. These gaps were closed using the paired-end information to retrieve read pairs in which one end mapped to a unique contig and the other was located in the gap region. Subsequently, local assembly was conducted for these collected reads. To assess the genome assembly quality, approximately 42.82 Gb Illumina reads generated from short-insert size libraries were mapped onto the genome. Bwa0.5.9-r16 software [47] with default parameters was used to assess the mapping ratio and Soap coverage 2.27 was used to calculate the sequencing depth. We also assessed the accuracy of the genome assembly by Trinity [48], including number of ESTs and new mRNA reads from early stages of embryos and multiple tissues, by aligning the scaffolds to the assembled transcriptome sequences.

After obtaining K-mers from the short-insert-size (<1Kb) reads with just one bp slide, frequencies of each K-mer were calculated. The K-mer frequency fits Poisson distribution when a sufficient amount of data is present. The total genome size was deduced from these data in the following way: Genome size = K-mer num / Peak_depth.

Genome Annotation

The genome was searched for repetitive elements using Tandem Repeats Finder (version 4.04) [49]. TEs were identified using homology-based approaches. The Repbase (version 16.10) [50] database of known repeats and a *de novo* repeat library generated by RepeatModeler were used. This database was mapped using the software of RepeatMasker (version 3.3.0). Four types of non-coding RNAs (microRNAs, transfer RNAs, ribosomal RNAs and small nuclear RNAs) were also annotated using tRNAscan-SE (version 1.23) and the Rfam database45 (Release 9.1) [51].

For gene prediction, *de novo* gene prediction, homology-based methods and RNA-seq data were used to perform gene prediction. For the sequence similarity based prediction, *D. rerio, G aculeatus, O. niloticus, O. latipes* and *G. morhua* protein sequences were downloaded from Ensembl (release 73) and were aligned to the *M. amblycephala* genome using TBLASTN. Then homologous genome sequences were aligned against the matching proteins using GeneWise [52] to define gene models. Augustus was employed to predict coding genes using appropriate parameters in *de novo* prediction. For the RNA-seq based prediction, we mapped transcriptome reads to the genome assembly using TopHat [53]. Then, we combined TopHat mapping results together and applied Cufflinks [54] to predict transcript structures. All predicted gene structures were integrated by GLEAN [55] (http://sourceforge.net/projects/glean-gene/) to obtain a consensus gene set. Gene functions were assigned to the translated protein-coding genes using Blastp tool, based on their highest match to proteins in the SwissProt and TrEMBL [56] databases (Uniprot release 2011-01). Motifs and domains in the protein-coding genes were determined by InterProScan (version 4.7) searches against six different protein databases: ProDom, PRINTS, Pfam, SMART, PANTHER and PROSITE. Gene Ontology [57] IDs for each gene were obtained from the corresponding InterPro entries. All genes were aligned against KEGG [58] (Release 58) database, and the pathway in which the gene might be involved was derived from the matched genes in KEGG. tRNA genes were de novo predicted by tRNAscan-SE software [59], with eukaryote parameters on the repeat pre-masked genome. The rRNA fragments were identified by aligning the rRNA sequences using BlastN at E-value 1e-5. The snRNA and miRNA were searched by the method of aligning and searching with INFERNAL (version 0.81) [60] against Rfam database (release 9.1).

391 Genetic Map Construction

To anchor the scaffolds into pseudo-chromosomes, 198 F1 population individuals were used to obtain the genetic map. Each of the individual genomic DNA was digested with the restriction endonuclease EcoR I, following the RAD-Seq protocol [61]. The SNP calling process was carried out using the SOAP bioinformatic pipeline. The RAD-based SNP calling was done by SOAPsnp software [62] after each individual's paired-end RAD reads was mapped onto the assembled reference genome with the alignment software SOAP2 [63]. The potential SNP markers were used for the linkage analysis if the following criteria were satisfied: for parents - sequencing depth ≥ 8 and ≤ 100 , base quality ≥ 25 , copy number ≤ 1.5 ; for progeny - sequencing depth ≥ 5 , base quality \geq 20, copy number \leq 1.5. If the markers were showing significantly distorted segregation (*P*-value < 0.01), they were excluded from the map construction. Linkage analysis was performed only for

 402 markers present in at least 80% of the genomes, using JoinMap 4.0 software with CP population 403 type codes and applying the double pseudo-test cross strategy [64]. The linkage groups were 404 formed at a logarithm of odds threshold of 6.0 and ordered using the regression mapping 405 algorithm.

Construction of Gene Families

We identified gene families using TreeFam software [65] as follows: Blast was used to compare all the protein sequences from 13 species: M. amblycephala, C. idellus, C. semilaevis, C. carpio, D. rerio, Callorhinchus milii, G. morhua, G. aculeatus, Latimeria chalumnae, Oncorhynchus mykiss, O. niloticus, O. latipes, Fugu rubripes, with the E-value threshold set as 1e-7. In the next step, HSP segments of each protein pair were concatenated by Solar software. H-scores were computed based on Bit-scores and these were taken to evaluate the similarity among genes. Finally, gene families were obtained by clustering of homologous gene sequences using Hcluster sg (Version 0.5.0). Specific genes of *M. amblycephala* were those that did not cluster with other vertebrates that were chosen for gene family construction, and those that did not have homologs in the predicted gene repertoire of the compared genomes. If these genes had functional motifs, they were annotated by GO.

Phylogenetic Tree Reconstruction and Divergence Time Estimation

The coding sequences of single-copy gene families conserved among *M. amblycephala*, *C. idellus*, C. carpio, D. rerio, C. semilaevis, G. morhua, G. aculeatus, Latimeria chalumnae, O. mykiss, O. niloticus, O. latipes, C. milii and Fugu rubripes (Ensembl Gene v.77) were extracted and aligned with guidance from amino-acid alignments created by the MUSCLE program [66]. The individual sequence alignments were then concatenated to form one supermatrix. PhyML [67, 68] was applied to construct the phylogenetic tree under an HKY85+gamma model for nucleotide sequences. ALRT values were taken to assess the branch reliability in PhyML. The same set of codon sequences at position 2 was used for phylogenetic tree construction and estimation of the divergence time. The PAML mcmctree program (PAML version 4.5) [69, 70] was used to determine divergence times with the approximate likelihood calculation method and the correlated molecular clock and REV substitution model.

 430 Gene Family Expansion and Contraction Analyses

Protein sequences of *M. amblycephala* and 11 other related species (Ensembl Gene v.77)) were used in BLAST searches to identify homologs. We identified gene families using CAFÉ [71], which employs a random birth and death model to study gene gains and losses in gene families across a user-specified phylogeny. The global parameter λ , which describes both the gene birth (λ) and death ($\mu = -\lambda$) rate across all branches in the tree for all gene families, was estimated using maximum likelihood. A conditional P-value was calculated for each gene family, and families with conditional P-values less than the threshold (0.05) were considered as having notable gain or loss. We identified branches responsible for low overall *P*-values of significant families.

439 Detection of Positively Selected Genes

We calculated Ka/Ks ratios for all single copy orthologs of *M. amblycephala* and *C. semilaevis*, *D.* rerio, G. morhua, O. niloticus and C. carpio. Alignment quality was essential for estimating positive selection. Thus, orthologous genes were first aligned by PRANK [72], which is considerably conservative for inferring positive selection. We used Gblocks [73] to remove ambiguously aligned blocks within PRANK alignments and employed 'codeml' in the PAML package with the free-ratio model to estimate Ka, Ks, and Ka/Ks ratios on different branches. The differences in mean Ka/Ks ratios for single-copy genes between M. amblycephala and each of the other species were compared using paired Wilcoxon rank sum tests. Genes that showed values of Ka/Ks higher than 1 along the branch leading to M. amblycephala were reanalyzed using the codon based branch-site tests implemented in PAML. The branch-site model allowed ω to vary both among sites in the protein and across branches, and was used to detect episodic positive selection.

452 Developmental Process of Intermuscular Bone in *M. amblycephala*

To better understand the number and morphological types of IBs in adult *M. amblycephala*, specimens with a body length ranging from 15.5 to 20.5 cm were collected and each individual was wrapped in gauze and boiled. The fish body was divided into two sections: anterior (snout to cloaca) and posterior (cloaca to the base of caudal fin), and the length of each section was measured. The IBs were retrieved, counted, arranged in order and photographed with a digital camera. Fertilized *M. amblycephala* eggs were brought from hatching facilities at Freshwater Fish Genetics Breeding Center of Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, Hubei, China) to our

 laboratory. M. amblycephala larvae were maintained in a re-circulating aquaculture system at $23 \pm$ 1°C with a 14-hr photoperiod. To explore the early development of IBs, larvae at different stages from 15 to 40 dpf were collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and transferred to 70% ethanol for storage. Specimens were stained with alizarin red for bone following the method described by Dawson [74]. The appearance of red color was recorded as the appearance of IB because bone ossification is accompanied by the uptake of alizarin red, resulting in red staining of the mineralized bone matrix. Myosepta, either not yet ossified, or poorly ossified, are not visible with alizarin red staining. For histologic analysis, specimens were paraffin-embedded and sectioned following standard protocols. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson trichrome [75] and photographed using a Nikon microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a DP70 digital camera (Olympus, Japan). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were also conducted to analyze the ultrastructure of IB. The specimens were fixed with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in a solution of 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3) for 2 h at room temperature. The SEM and TEM samples were prepared according to a standard protocol described by Ott [76]. The samples were then visualized with a JSM-6390LV scanning electron microscope (SEM, Japan) and the stained ultrathin sections with a H-7650 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan).

477 RNA Sequencing Analysis

M. amblycephala specimens belonging to three different developmental stages of IBs (stage 1: whole larvae without distribution of IB; stage 2: muscle tissues with partial distribution of IBs; stage 3: muscle tissues with completed distribution of IBs were identified under microscope and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. In addition, dorsal white muscle, IBs and connective tissue surrounding the IBs from six months old fish were also collected. RNA was extracted from total fish samples at different stages and from individual muscle, connective tissue, and intermuscular bone samples of M. amblycephala using RNAisoPlus Reagent (TaKaRa, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The integrity and purity of the RNA was determined by gel electrophoresis and Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent, USA) before preparing the libraries for sequencing. Paired-end RNA sequencing was performed using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Low quality score reads were filtered and the clean data were aligned to the reference genome using Bowtie [77]. Genes and

isoforms expression level were quantified by a software package: RSEM (RNASeq by Expectation Maximization) [78]. Gene expression levels were calculated by using the RPKM method (Reads per kilobase transcriptome per million mapped reads) [79] and adjusted by a scaling normalization method [80]. We detected DEGs from three stages of IBs with software NOIseq and three different tissues with PossionDis as requested. NOIseq is based on noisy distribution model, performed as described by Tarazona [81]. The parameters were set as: fold change ≥ 2.00 and probability ≥ 0.7 . PossionDis is based on the Poisson distribution, performed as described by Audic [82]. The parameters were set as: fold change ≥ 2.00 and FDR ≤ 0.001 . DEGs Annotation of were mapped to GO categories in the database (http://www.geneontology.org/) and the number of genes for every term were calculated to identify GO terms that were significantly enriched in the input list of DEGs. The calculated *P*-value was adjusted by the Bonferroni Correction, taking corrected *P*-value ≤ 0.05 as a threshold. KEGG automatic annotation was used to perform pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs.

Prediction of Olfactory Receptor Genes

Olfactory receptor genes were identified by previously described methods [83], with the exception of a first-round TBLASTN [84] search, in which 1,417 functional olfactory receptor genes from H. sapiens, D. rerio, L. chalumnae, Lepisosteus oculatus, L. vexillifer, O. niloticus, O. latipes, F. rubripes and Xenopus tropicalis were used as queries. We then predicted the structure of sequenced genes using the blast-hit sequence with the software GeneWise extending in both 3' and 5' directions along the genome sequences. The results were further confirmed by NR annotation. Then the coding sequences from the start (ATG) to stop codons were extracted, while sequences that contained interrupting stop codons or frame-shifts were regarded as pseudogenes. To construct phylogenetic trees, the amino-acid sequences encoded by olfactory receptor genes were first aligned using the program MUSCLE nested in MEGA 5.10 [85]. We then constructed the phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method with Poisson correction distances using the program MEGA 5.10. We also identified and compared the genes for five basic tastes (sour, sweet, bitter, umami and salty) using a similar method as in OR gene identification.

- 516 Gut Microbiota Analysis
- 517 To characterize the microbial diversity of herbivorous *M. amblycephala*, a total of 12 juvenile

(LBSB), domestic adult (DBSB), wild adult M. amblycephala (BSB) and wild adult C. idellus (GC) intestinal fecal samples were collected. Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mg gut content of each sample using a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, USA). Ouality and integrity of each DNA sample were determined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. DNA concentration was quantified using NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). To determine the diversity and composition of the bacterial communities of each sample, a total of 20 µg of genomic DNA were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform. PCR amplifications were conducted from each sample to produce the V4 hypervariable region (515F and 806 R) of the 16S rRNA gene according to the previously described method [86]. We used the UPARSE pipeline [87] to pick operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at an identity threshold of 97% and picked representative sequences for each OTU and used the RDP classifier to assign taxonomic data to each representative sequence.

530 Additional files

531 Additional file 1: Tables S1 to S17 and Figures S1 to S28.

532 Additional file 2: Data Note1 Expanded genes in the *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus* lineage.

Additional file 3: Data Note2 Positively selected genes in the *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*genomes.

535 Abbreviations

IB, intermuscular bone; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; BUSCO, benchmarking universal
single-copy orthologs; TE, transposable element; LTR, long terminal repeat retrotransposon; LG,
linkage group; PSG, positively selected gene; ECM, extracellular matrix; dpf, days post
fertilization; BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; OR, olfactory
receptor; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; HE,
hematoxylin and eosin; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; TEM, transmission electron
microscopy

543 Acknowledgements

544 This work was supported by the Fundament Research Funds for the Central Universities 545 (2662015PY019), the Modern Agriculture Industry Technology System Construction Projects of

549 Availability of data and materials

550 Datasets supporting the results of this article are available in the GigaDB repository associated 551 with this publication [88]. Raw whole genome sequencing, transcriptome and RAD-Seq data have 552 been deposited at NCBI in the SRA under BioProject number PRJNA343584.

553 Authors' contributions

W.W. initiated and conceived the project and provided scientific input. X.Q. organized financial support and designed the project. M.S. discussed the data, wrote and modified the paper. H.L. and C.C. conducted the biological experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the paper with input from other authors. I.E. wrote and modified the manuscript and discussed the data. The RAD-Seq data analyses and the genetic map construction were performed by Z.G., Y.G., J.J. and X.J. Genome assembly and annotation were performed by J.M., H.C., M.X. and J.C. X.Z., W.L., R.L., B.C., J.W., H.L., S.Y., H.W., X.C., X.Z., Y.Z., K.W., R.Y. and B. L. carried out the samples preparation and data collection. J.L. and J.C. identified the gene families and analyzed the RNA-seq data. M.B. coordinated the project. S.Z. and X.F. modified the manuscript and discussed the data. All authors read the manuscript and provided comments and suggestions for improvements. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

- **Competing interests**
- 566 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

567 Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental procedures involving fish were performed in accordance with the guidelines and regulations of the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Institutional Review Board on Bioethics and Biosafety of BGI (BGI-IRB).

References

11. Wan SM, Yi SK, Zhong J, Nie CH, Guan NN, Zhang WZ, et al. Dynamic mRNA and miRNA

Nat. Commun. 2014; 5:3657.

- expression analysis in response to intermuscular bone development of blunt snout bream (Megalobrama amblycephala). Sci. Rep. 2016; 6:31050.
- 12. Xu P, Zhang X, Wang X, Li J, Liu G, Kuang Y, et al. Genome sequence and genetic diversity of the common carp, Cyprinus carpio. Nat. Genet. 2014; 46:1212-9.
- 13. Wang Y, Lu Y, Zhang Y, Ning Z, Li Y, Zhao Q, et al. The draft genome of the grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus) provides insights into its evolution and vegetarian adaptation. Nat. Genet. 2015; 47:625-31.
- 14. Gao Z, Luo W, Liu H, Zeng C, Liu X, Yi S, et al. Transcriptome analysis and SSR/SNP markers information of the blunt snout bream (Megalobrama amblycephala). PLoS One. 2012; 7:e42637.

4. Tine M., Kuhl H., Gagnaire PA, Louro B, Desmarais E, Martins RS, et al. European sea bass genome and its variation provide insights into adaptation to euryhalinity and speciation. Nat.

1. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department. FAO yearbook Fishery and Aquaculture Statistics

2. Star B, Nederbragt AJ, Jentoft S, Grimholt U, Malmstrøm M, Gregers TF, et al. The genome

3. Berthelot C, Brunet F, Chalopin D, Juanchich A, Bernard M, Noël B, et al. The rainbow trout

genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates.

sequence of Atlantic cod reveals a unique immune system. Nature. 2011; 477:207-10.

2014 (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2016).

- Commun. 2014: 5:5770. 5. Wu C, Zhang D, Kan M, Lv Z, Zhu A, Su Y, et al. The draft genome of the large yellow
- croaker reveals well-developed innate immunity. Nat. Commun. 2014; 5:5227.
- 6. Chen S, Zhang G, Shao C, Huang Q, Liu G, Zhang P, et al. Whole-genome sequence of a flatfish provides insights into ZW sex chromosome evolution and adaptation to a benthic lifestyle. Nat. Genet. 2014; 46:253-60.
- 7. Brawand D, Wagner CE, Li YI, Malinsky M, Keller I, Fan S, et al. The genomic substrate for adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish. Nature. 2014; 513:375-82.
- 8. Chen X, Zhong L, Bian C, Xu P, Qiu Y, You X, et al. High-quality genome assembly of channel catfish, Ictalurus punctatus. GigaScience. 2016; 5:39.
- 9. Gemballa S, Britz R. Homology of intermuscular bones in acanthomorph fishes. Am. Mus. Novit. 1998; 3241:1-25.
- 10. Danos N, Ward AB. The homology and origins of intermuscular bones in fishes: Phylogenetic or biomechanical determinants? Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 2012; 106:607-22.

- 5. Yi S, Gao ZX, Zhao H, Zeng C, Luo W, Chen B, et al. Identification and characterization of
 microRNAs involved in growth of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*) by
 Solexa sequencing. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:754.
 - 16. Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved
 memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. Gigascience. 2012;1:18.
 - 611 17. Simao FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing
 612 genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics.
 613 2015;31:3210–2.
 - 614 18. Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF, Russell P, Mauceli E, Johnson J, et al. The genomic basis
 615 of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. Nature. 2012; 484:55–61.
- 616 19. Niimura Y. On the origin and evolution of vertebrate olfactory receptor genes: comparative
 617 genome analysis among 23 chordate species. Genome Biol. Evol. 2009; 1:34–44.
- 618 20. Lindemann B. Receptors and transduction in taste. Nature. 2001; 413:219–25.
- 619 21. Nei M, Niimura Y, Nozawa M. The evolution of animal chemosensory receptor gene
 620 repertoires: roles of chance and necessity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2008; 9:951–63.
- 621 22. Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, et al. T2Rs function as
 622 bitter taste receptors. Cell. 2000; 100:703–11.
- 623 23. Niimura Y, Nei M. Evolutionary dynamics of olfactory and other chemosensory receptor
 624 genes in vertebrates. J. Hum. Genet. 2006; 51:505–17.
- 625 24. Nelson G, Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Feng L, Zhao G, Ryba NJ, et al. An amino-acid taste
 626 receptor. Nature. 2002; 416:199–202.
- 627 25. Li R, Fan W, TianG, Zhu H, He L, Cai J, et al. The sequence and *de novo* assembly of the
 628 giant panda genome. Nature.2010; 463:311–7.
- 629 26. Ferreira AHP, Marana SR, Terra WR, Ferreira C. Purification, molecular cloning, and
 630 properties of a β-glycosidase isolated from midgut lumen of *Tenebrio molitor* (Coleoptera)
 631 larvae. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2001; 31:1065–76.
- 632 27. Tokuda G, Saito H, Watanabe H. A digestive β-glucosidase from the salivary glands of the
 633 termite, *Neotermes koshunensis* (Shiraki): Distribution, characterization and isolation of its
 634 precursor cDNA by 5'- and 3'-RACE amplifications with degenerate primers. Insect
 635 Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2002; 32:1681–9.
- 636 28. Sakamoto K, Uji S, Kurokawa T, Toyohara H. Molecular cloning of endogenous
 637 β-glucosidase from common Japanese brackish water clam *Corbicula japonica*. Gene. 2009;
 638 435:72–9.
 - 639 29. Zhu L, Wu Q, Dai J, Zhang S, Wei F. Evidence of cellulose metabolism by the giant panda gut

microbiome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2011; 108:17714-9.

- 30. Bird NC, Mabee PM. Developmental morphology of the axial skeleton of the zebrafish, *Danio rerio* (Ostariophysi: Cyprinidae). Dev. Dyn. 2003; 228:337–57.
- 643 31. Ornitz D, Marie P. FGF signaling pathways in endochondral and intramembranous bone
 644 development and human genetic disease. Genes Dev. 2002; 16:1446–65.
- 645 32. Ortega N, Behonick DJ, Werb Z. Matrix remodeling during endochondral ossification. Trends
 646 Cell Biol. 2004; 14:86–93.
- 647 33. Even-Ram S, Doyle AD, Conti MA, Matsumoto K, Adelstein RS, Yamada KM. Myosin IIA
 648 regulates cell motility and actomyosin-microtubule crosstalk. Nat. Cell Biol. 2007;
 649 9:299–309.
- 34. Sheetz MP, Felsenfeld DP, Galbraith CG. Cell migration: Regulation of force on
 extracellular-complexes. Trends Cell Biol. 1998; 8:51–4.
- 652 35. Gunst SJ, Zhang W. Actin cytoskeletal dynamics in smooth muscle: a new paradigm for the
 653 regulation of smooth muscle contraction. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2008; 295:C576–87.
- 654 36. Webb RC. Smooth muscle contraction and relaxation. Adv. Physiol. Educ. 2003; 27:201–6.
- 37. Ulrich TA, De Juan Pardo EM, Kumar S. The mechanical rigidity of the extracellular matrix
 regulates the structure, motility, and proliferation of glioma cells. Cancer Res. 2009;
 69:4167–74.
- 658 38. Ridley AJ. Rho GTPases and cell migration. J. Cell Sci. 2001; 114:2713–22.
- 659 39. Etienne-Manneville S, Hall A. Rho GTPases in Cell Biology. Nature. 2002; 420:629–35.
- 660 40. Ridley AJ. Cell Migration: Integrating Signals from Front to Back. Science. 2003;
 661 302:1704–9.
- 662 41. Chen G, Deng C, Li YP. TGF-β and BMP signaling in osteoblast differentiation and bone
 663 formation. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 2012; 8:272–88.
- 42. Harada S, Rodan GA. Control of osteoblast function and regulation of bone mass. Nature.
 2003; 423:349–55.
- 43. Long F. Building strong bones: molecular regulation of the osteoblast lineage. Nat. Rev. Mol.
 Cell Biol. 2011; 13:27–38.
- 44. Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Lacey DL. Osteoclast differentiation and activation. Nature. 2003;
 423:337–42.
- 670 45. Fakhry A, Ratisoontorn C, Vedhachalam C, Salhab I, Koyama E, Leboy P, et al. Effects of
 671 FGF-2/-9 in calvarial bone cell cultures: Differentiation stage-dependent mitogenic effect,
 672 inverse regulation of BMP-2 and noggin, and enhancement of osteogenic potential. Bone.

673 2005; 36:254–66.

- 46. Sato K, Suematsu A, Nakashima T, Takemoto-Kimura S, Aoki K, Morishita Y, et al.
 Regulation of osteoclast differentiation and function by the CaMK-CREB pathway. Nat. Med.
 2006; 12:1410–6.
- 47. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
 Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1754–60.
- 679 48. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Trinity:
 680 reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data . Nat.
 681 Biotechnol. 2011; 29:644–52.
- 49. Benson G. Tandem repeats finder: A program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res.
 1999; 27:573–80.
- 50. Jurka J, Kapitonov VV, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase
 Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 2005;
 110:462–7.
- 51. Griffiths-Jones S, Moxon S, Marshall M, Khanna A, Eddy SR, Bateman A. Rfam: Annotating
 non-coding RNAs in complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:121–4.
- 689 52. Birney E, Clamp M, Durbin R. GeneWise and Genomewise. Genome Res. 2004; 14:988–95.
- 53. Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. TopHat: Discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq.
 Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1105–11.
- 54. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript
 assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform
 switching during cell differentiation. Nat. Biotechnol. 2010; 28:511–5.
- 55. Elsik CG, Mackey AJ, Reese JT, Milshina NV, Roos DS, Weinstock GM. Creating a honey
 bee consensus gene set. Genome Biol. 2007; 8:R13.
- 56. Bairoch A, Apweiler R. The SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement
 TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28:45–8.
- 57. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool
 for the unification of biology. Nat. Genet. 2000; 25:25–9.
- 58. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res.
 2000; 28:27-30.
 - 59. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. tRNAscan-SE: A program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes
 in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:955–64.

60. Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.0: Inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1335-7.

- 61. Baird NA, Etter PD, Atwood TS, Currey MC, Shiver AL, Lewis ZA, et al. Rapid SNP discovery and genetic mapping using sequenced RAD markers. PloS One. 2008; 3:e3376.
- 62. Li R, Li Y, Fang X, Yang H, Wang J, Kristiansen K, et al. SNP detection for massively parallel whole-genome resequencing. Genome Res. 2009; 19:1124-32.
- 63. Li R, Yu C, Li Y, Lam TW, Yiu SM, Kristiansen K, et al. SOAP2: An improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1966-7.
- 64. Grattapaglia D, Sederoff R. Genetic linkage maps of Eucalyptus grandis and Eucalyptus urophylla using a pseudo-testcross: Mapping strategy and RAPD markers. Genetics. 1994; 137:1121-37.
- 65. Li H, Coghlan A, Ruan J, Coin LJ, Heriche JK, Osmotherly L, et al. TreeFam: a curated database of phylogenetic trees of animal gene families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006; 34:D572-80.
- 66. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32:1792-7.
- 67. Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 2010; 59:307-21.
- 68. Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 2003; 52:696-704.
- 69. Yang Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2007; 24:1586-91.
- 70. Yang Z, Rannala B. Bayesian estimation of species divergence times under a molecular clock using multiple fossil calibrations with soft bounds. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2006; 23:212–26.
- 71. Hahn MW, Demuth JP, Han SG. Accelerated rate of gene gain and loss in primates. Genetics. 2007; 177:1941-9.
- 72. Löytynoja A, Goldman N. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with insertions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2005; 102:10557-62.
- 73. Talavera G, Castresana J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 2007; 56:564-77.
- 74. Dawson AB. A note on the staining of the skeleton of cleared specimens with alizarin red S. Biotech. Histochem. 1926; 1:123-4.

plastic embedded bone. Biotech. Histochem. 1992; 67:30-4. 76. Ott HC, Matthiesen TS, Goh SK, Black LD, Kren SM, Netoff TI, et al. Perfusion-decellularized matrix: using nature's platform to engineer a bioartificial heart. Nat. б Med. 2008; 14:213-21. 77. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods. 2012; 9:357-9. 78. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011; 12:323. 79. Mortazavi A, Williams BA, McCue K, Schaeffer L, Wold B. Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. Nat. Methods. 2008; 5:621-8. 80. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 2010; 11:R25. 81. Tarazona S, García-Alcalde F, Dopazo J, Ferrer A, Conesa A. Differential expression in RNA-seq: a matter of depth. Genome Res. 2011; 21:2213–23. 82. Audic S, Claverie JM. The significance of digital gene expression profiles. Genome Res. 1997; 7:986–95. 83. Niimura Y. Evolutionary dynamics of olfactory receptor genes in chordates: interaction between environments and genomic contents. Hum. Genomics. 2009; 4:107-18. 84. Altschul S, Madden T, Schaffer A, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25:3389-402. 85. Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. Brief. Bioinform. 2008; 9:299-306. 86. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2011; 108:4516-22. 87. Edgar, RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat. Methods. 2013; 10:996-8. 88. Liu H, Chen CH, Gao ZX, Min JM, Gu YM, Jian JB, et al. The draft genome of Megalobrama amblycephala reveals the development of intermuscular bone and adaptation to herbivorous diet. 2016. GigaScience Database.

75. Gruber HE. Adaptations of Goldner's Masson trichrome stain for the study of undecalcified

Figure 1 Global view of the *M. amblycephala* genome and syntenic relationship between *C. idellus*, *M. amblycephala* and *D. rerio*. (A) Global view of the *M. amblycephala* genome. From outside to inside, the genetic linkage map (a); Anchors between the genetic markers and the assembled scaffolds (b); Assembled chromosomes (c); GC content within a 50-kb sliding window (d); Repeat content within a 500-kb sliding window (e); Gene distribution on each chromosome (f); Different gene expression of three transcriptomes (g). (B) Syntenic relationship between *C. idellus* (a), *M. amblycephala* (b) and *D. rerio* (c) chromosomes.

Figure 2 Phylogenetic tree and comparison of orthologous genes in *M. amblycephala* and other fish species. (A) Phylogenetic tree of teleosts using 316 single copy orthologous genes. The color circles at the nodes shows the estimated divergence times using O. latipes-F. rubripes [96.9~150.9Mya], F. rubripes–D. rerio [149.85~165.2Mya], F. rubripes–C. milii [416~421.75Mya] (http://www.timetree.org/) as the calibration time. Pentagram represents four cyprinid fish with intermuscular bones. S, silurian period; D, devonian period; C, carboniferous period; P, permian periodin Paleozoic; T, triassic period; J, jurassic and k-cretaceous period in Mesozoic; Pg, paleogene in Cenozoic Era, N, Neogene. (B) Venn diagram of shared and unique orthologous gene families in M. amblycephala and four other teleosts. (C) Over-represented GO annotations of cyprinid-specific expansion genes.

Figure 3 Regulation of genes related to intermuscular bone formation and function identified from developmental stages and adult tissues transcriptome data. (A) Gene expression pattern involved in muscle contraction regulated genes in early developmental stages corresponds to intermuscular bone formation of *M. amblycephala*, (alizarin red staining). M, myosepta; IB, intermusucular bone. (B) Scanning electron microscope photos of muscle tissues, connective tissues, and intermuscular bone. (C) Distribution of intermuscular bone specific genes in GO annotations indicative of abundance in protein binding, calcium ion binding, GTP binding functions. (D) Several developmental signals regulating key steps of osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation in the process of intramembranous ossification. Colored boxes indicate significantly up-regulated genes in these signals specifically occurred in intermuscular bone.

Figure 4 Molecular characteristics of sensory systems and the composition of gut microbiota in M. amblycephala. (A) Extensive expansion of olfactory receptor genes (ORs) in M. amblycephala compared with other teleosts. (B) Phylogeny of 'beta' type ORs in eight representative teleost species showing the significant expansion of 'beta' ORs in *M. amblycephala* and *C. idellus*. The pink background shows cyprinid-specific 'beta' types of ORs. (C) Umami, sweet and bitter tastes related gene families in teleosts with different feeding habits. (D) Structure of the umami receptor encoding T1R1 gene in cyprinid fish. (E) Relative abundance of microbial flora and taxonomic assignments in juvenile (LBSB), domestic adult (DBSB), wild adult (BSB) M. amblycephala and wild adult C. idellus (GC) samples at the phylum level.

- 7 821
- 822 822

Table 1 Features of the *M. amblycephala* whole genome sequence

Total genome size (Mb)	1,116
N90 length of scaffold (bp)	20,422
N50 length of scaffold (bp)	838,704
N50 length of contig (bp)	49,400
Total GC content (%)	37.30
Protein-coding genes number	23,696
Average gene length (bp)	15,797
Content of transposable elements (%)	34.18
Number of chromosomes	24
Number of makers in genetic map	5,317
Scaffolds anchored on linkage groups (LGs)	1,434
Length of scaffolds anchored on LGs (Mb)	779.54 (70%)



Figure2

Click here to download Figure 2 Figure 2.pdf 🛓







Additional file 1

Click here to access/download **Supplementary Material** 3 Additional file 1 Tables S1 to S17 and Figures S1 to S28.pdf
Additional file 2

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material 3 Additional file 2 Data note1.xlsx Additional file 3

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material 3 Additional file 3 Data note2.xlsx