Supplementary Figures

Combinatorial bioactive botanicals re-sensitize tamoxifen treatment in

ER-negative breast cancer via epigenetic reactivation of ERa expression

Yuanyuan Li^{1,2,3,*}, Syed M. Meeran⁴, and Trygve O. Tollefsbol^{1,2,3,5}

¹Department of Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, 35294, USA

²Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, 35294, USA

³Nutrition Obesity Research Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham,

Alabama, 35294, USA

⁴Department of Biochemistry, CSIR-Central Food technological Research Institute,

Mysore, 570019, India.

⁵Comprehensive Center for Healthy Aging, University of Alabama at Birmingham,

Birmingham, Alabama, 35294, USA

*Address correspondence to Yuanyuan Li, 1300 University Blvd, CH175, Birmingham,

AL, 35294-1170; Tel: +1-205-934-4587; Fax: +1-205-975-6097; E-mail: lyy@uab.edu



Fig. S1 Determination of an optimal concentration of EGCG and SFN combinatorial treatment by growth inhibitory effects. MDA-MB-231 (A) and MDA-MB-157 cells (B) cells were treated with different concentrations of EGCG and SFN, alone or in combination for 3 days. The treatment concentrations of EGCG or SFN alone or in combination are illustrated at the bottom of each graph. EGCG at 20 μ M EGCG and 10 μ M SFN represented the optimal concentrations (blue square) of these two compounds with physiological availability as well as potential synergistic effects on breast cancer growth inhibition. Columns, mean; Bars, SE; *, *p*<0.05; **, *p*<0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001,

significantly different from control; £, p < 0.001, significantly different from EGCG; †, p < 0.05, significantly different from SFN.



Fig. S2 Combined treatment with EGCG and SFN or 5-azacytidine (5-aza) and Trichostatin A (TSA) induced ER α reactivation in ER α -negative breast cancer cells. A, ER α -negative breast cancer MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-157 cells were treated with either EGCG and SFN, or 5-aza (2 μ M) and TSA (100ng/ml) as done previously and relative *ER* α mRNA expression was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. B, Protein expression of ER α in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-157 cells. MCF-7 cells served as a positive control. The full-length blots were shown in the Fig. S4. Data were in triplicate from three independent experiments and normalized to internal control and calibrated to levels in untreated samples. Com, EGCG and SFN in combination; Aza/TSA, 5-azacytidin and Trichostatin A in combination; Columns, mean; Bars, SD; *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001, significantly different from control.



Fig. S3 Enzymatic activities of HDAC1 and DNMT1 by post-EGCG and SFN treatment. A. HDAC enzymatic activity. B. DNMTs enzymatic activity. EGCG and SFN were added to untreated nuclear extract from MDA-MB-231 cells to evaluate direct inhibitory effects. The HDAC and DNMT activity assays were performed according to the manufacturer's protocols. Additional 5-aza and TSA were used as the controls. The values of enzymatic activities of HDACs and DNMTs are the means of three independent experiments.





Figure S2B

POGE +SS



Fig. S4 Uncropped figures