## 1 Appendix S1. Detailed Methods

2 Abuse screening

To identify abuse history or exposures among patients, we utilized the University of North Carolina sexual and physical abuse questionnaire. This instrument has been used extensively in studies of IBS patients; we used a slightly modified version that has been used in pelvic pain studies.<sup>1</sup> The instrument has demonstrated acceptable reliability and validity in female gastroenterology clinic patients.

8 Clinical exam and pain threshold detailed protocol

9 A palpometer (described below) was used to calibrate exam palpation pressure to 0.4-0.6 10 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Vaginal tissue compliance, voluntary pelvic floor contractility, and pelvic floor gross muscle 11 strength were quantified on exam using Likert scales. Flexibility of the vaginal introitus was measured 12 from 0-3 (0 = vaginal caliber <1 finger, 1 = able to fit 1 finger, 2 = able to fit 2 fingers, and 3 = able to fit 2 13 fingers with room to wiggle). The ability of the pelvic floor muscles to relax after contraction was scored 14 0-2 (0 = 100% relaxation after contraction, 1 = some relaxation, and 2 = 0% relaxation). General pelvic 15 floor muscle strength was assessed with vaginal exam during a voluntary pelvic floor contraction 16 (between 0 = no palpable muscle contraction up to a score of 5 = strong muscle contraction).

17 External PPTs:

Pressure was applied to four external sites (shoulder, forehead, hip and knee) at a rate between 0.5 and 1.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/s using a pain pressure algometer similar to the internal algometer described in our prior publications.<sup>2</sup> Participants squeezed a trigger at the moment the pressure turned to pain at which each trial was terminated. For safety, if the participant had not yet pressed the button by the time the examiner reached 4.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> on the forehead or 7.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> on the shoulder, hip, or knee, the test was terminated. For analytical purposes, in the few subjects who exceeded the safety threshold without reporting pain, the cutoff value was used instead. After the four sites were completed, the participant

25 was given a five minute break and the four sites were repeated a second time.

26 Internal Pelvic PPTs:

27 Pressure was next applied to four transvaginal pelvic floor sites (right and left iliococcygeus, anterior 28 bladder, and posterior anorectal raphe) at a rate between 0.5 and 1.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>/s using a fingertip 29 mounted algometer. The examiner terminated each trial when the participant indicated pressure had 30 turned to pain by again squeezing a hand-held trigger. For safety, all testing terminated at 3.5 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, 31 regardless of participant response. To avoid learning effects, we randomized the order in which sites 32 were tested. After the four sites were complete, the participant was given a five-minute break and the 33 four sites were retested. The average threshold across trials was used for final analyses. Both post-PPT 34 pain and duration were recorded to evaluate the safety and comfort of PPT testing and to characterize 35 sustained hyperalgesia (hereafter referred to as aftersensation pain).<sup>3</sup> 36 Bladder testing extended details 37 We used detailed descriptors for a prior study to operationalize the ICS' published cystometric 38 thresholds.<sup>4</sup> Definitions were as follows: 1) first sensation – When riding in a car, the driver pulls over to

a rest stop to urinate, you would go as well, 2) first desire to void- When riding in a car, you would

40 initiate the request to find a rest stop to urinate, 3) maximal capacity- When riding in a car, you would

41 urinate on the side of the road in bumper to bumper traffic.

- 42
- 43

1. Leserman J. Sexual abuse history: Prevalence, health effects, mediators, and psychological

45 treatment. Psychosom Med 2005;67:906-15.

46	2.	Tu FF, Fitzgerald CM, Kuiken T, Farrell T, Harden RN. Comparative measurement of pelvic floor pain
47		sensitivity in chronic pelvic pain. Obstet Gynecol. 2007 Dec;110(6):1244–8.
48	3.	Koltzenburg M, Torebjörk HE, Wahren LK. Nociceptor modulated central sensitization causes
49		mechanical hyperalgesia in acute chemogenic and chronic neuropathic pain. Brain J Neurol. 1994
50		Jun;117 ( Pt 3):579–91.
51	4.	Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, Griffiths D, Rosier P, Ulmsten U, et al., Standardisation Sub-committee
52		of the International Continence Society. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract
53		function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society.
54		Neurourol Urodyn. 2002;21(2):167–78.
55		