# The nucleotide sequence of maize streak virus DNA

# P.M.Mullineaux, J.Donson, B.A.M.Morris-Krsinich, M.I.Boulton and J.W.Davies

John Innes Institute, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK

Communicated by D.A.Hopwood

The nucleotide sequence of the DNA of maize streak virus (MSV) has been determined. The data were accommodated into one DNA circle of 2687 nucleotides, in contrast to previously characterised geminiviruses which have been shown to possess two circles of DNA. Comparison of the nucleotide sequences of the DNA of MSV with those of cassava latent virus (CLV) and tomato golden mosaic virus (TGMV) showed no detectable homology. Analysis of open reading frames revealed seven potential coding regions for proteins of mol. wt.  $\geq 10\,000$ , three in the viral (+) sense and four in the complementary (-) sense. The position of likely transcription signals on the MSV DNA sequence would suggest a bidirectional strategy of transcription as proposed for CLV and TGMV. Nine inverted repeat sequences which have a potential of forming hairpin structures of  $\triangle G \ge -14$  kcal/mol have been detected. Three of these hairpin structures are in non-coding regions and could be involved in the regulation of transcription and/or replication.

Key words: geminivirus/nucleotide sequence/maize streak virus/genome organisation/Zea mays

### Introduction

Maize streak virus (MSV) is a member of the geminivirus group of plant DNA viruses, members of which are characterized by their twinned, (geminate) particles and genomes of circular single-stranded (ss) DNA (Goodman, 1981). The geminivirus group comprises some members which are transmitted by whiteflies, and others which are transmitted by leafhoppers (Bock, 1982).

Three whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses have been well characterized. The genomes of cassava latent virus (CLV), tomato golden mosaic virus (TGMV) and bean golden mosaic virus (BGMV) have each been shown to consist of two ssDNA circles, and the complete nucleotide sequences of the bipartite genomes of CLV and TGMV are now known (Stanley and Gay, 1983; Hamilton *et al.*, 1984). Cloned double-stranded (ds) DNAs of CLV (Stanley, 1983) and TGMV (Hamilton *et al.*, 1983) are infectious, when mechanically inoculated onto their respective hosts.

In contrast, leafhopper-transmitted geminiviruses have not been examined at the molecular level. Furthermore, these include the only plant DNA viruses known to infect monocotyledonous hosts. We have therefore determined the nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA both from virus particles and infected tissue of Zea mays.

### Results

Sources of DNA

Double-stranded, covalently closed circular (ccc) DNA was

© IRL Press Limited, Oxford, England.

purified from leaf tissue of Z. mays L. infected with MSV. One species of cccDNA was isolated from MSV-infected plants, and restriction analysis confirmed the presence of only one class of circular molecules (data not shown). In contrast, restriction and gel electrophoresis of the cccDNA from TGMV, BGMV or CLV confirmed the presence of two classes of circular molecules (Ikegami *et al.*, 1981; Hamilton *et al.*, 1983; J.Stanley, personal communication).

Virion ssDNA was used as a template to synthesize doublestranded MSV DNA *in vitro* using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and a decameric *Bam*HI linker as primer. Only one type of circle could be discerned by restriction and gel electrophoresis in these preparations.

### The nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA

The sequencing strategy for MSV DNA is shown in Figure 1. Initially, sequence data were accumulated by 'shotgun' cloning of restriction fragments into M13 generated by digestion of cccDNA with *AluI*, *Sau3A*, *Hind*III, *PvuII*, *Bam*HI, *BgIII*, *SmaI*, *XhoI*, *AhaIII* and *SstI*. In addition, pMSV8, a *BgIII* clone of cccDNA in pED891 was used as a source of specific fragments in order to complete the sequence of the circle (Figure 1) [pED891 is a pBR322-based *BgI*II vector (Brown, 1981)].

However, small sections of the sequence were ambiguous in both senses as determined by the dideoxy chain termination method. Such regions were therefore also sequenced using the chemical degradation techniques of Maxam and Gilbert (1980). The source of DNA for the latter method was a fulllength M13mp9 *Bam*HI clone derived from dsDNA synthesized *in vitro*. As a consequence of correcting ambiguous sections, the complete sequence of the dsDNA generated from MSV ssDNA *in vitro* was also obtained (see Figure 1), and thus confirmed that there were no differences in the nucleotide sequence of MSV cccDNA and that from virion DNA.



**Fig. 1.** DNA sequencing strategy. The thick black line represents the MSV DNA sequence. Above the line is the strategy for the sequencing by the method of Maxam and Gilbert (1980). Below the line is the strategy for sequencing for M13 clones, using the dideoxy chain termination method (see Materials and methods). In both cases the arrows denote the position, extent and direction of sequence in relation to the virion (+) sense. Restriction sites are also shown: A, Avall; Ac, Accl; Ah, AhaIII; Av, Aval; B, BamHI; Bg, Bg/II; H, HindIII; P, PvuII; S, Smal; St, Ssrl; X, Xhol. The restriction sites of Alul and Sau3A are shown on separate lines. 1 and 2 are sequence data obtained from a HaeIII clone (into M13mp9, Smal site) derived from the 755 bp Sau3A fragment of that region. 3 is the sequence data obtained from a Rsal clone (into M13mp9, Smal site) derived from the 427 bp HindIII-Sstl fragment of that region.

# P.M.Mullineaux et al.

GGATCCACAGA 10		IGTATTATC 20	AGCCGCGGGT 30	ACCCACAGCA 40	GCTCCGACAT	CCGGAGGAGT 60	GCCGTGGAGT 70	CGCGTAGGCG C80	AGGTAGCTAT 90	TTTGAGCTT1 100	IGTTGCATTGA	120
CTTTTACCTGC 130	CTTTACC	TTTGGGTGC 140	TGAGAGACCT 150	TATCTTAGTT 160	CTGAAGGCTC 170	GACAAGGCAG 180C	ATCCACGGAG 190	GAGCTGATAT 200	TTGGTGGACA 210	AGCTGTGGA1 220	7AGGAGCAACC 230	240
CCCTAATATAC 250	CAGCAC	CACCAAGTC 260	AGGGCAATCC 270	CGGGCCATTT 280	GTTCCAGGCA 290	CGGGATAAGC 300	ATTCAGCCAT 310	GTCCACGTCC 320	CAAGAGGAAGC 330 C	GGGGGAGATG/ 340	ATTCGAATTGG 350	AGTA 360
AGCGGGTGAC 370	FAAGAAG	AAGCCTTCT 380	TCAGCTGGGC 390	TGAAGAGGGC 400	TGGCAGCAAG 410	GCCGATAGGC 420	CATCCCTGCA 430	AATCCAGACA 440	ACTCCAGCACO 450	CTGGGACCA	CCATGATAACO 470	3GTCC 480
CCTCCGGAGG/ 490	AGTATGT	GACCTCATC 500	AACACCTATG	CCCGAGGATC 520	TGACGAGGGG 530	CAACCGCCACA 540	CCAGCGAGAG 550	CTCTGACGTAC 560 A	CAAGATCGCCA 570	TCGACTACC	ACTTCGTTGCC 590	CGACG 600
CGGCAGCCTG6 610	CCGCTAC	TCCAACACC 620	GGTACCGGTG 630	TAATGTGGCT 640	GGTGTATGAC 650	660	GCGGACAAG0 670	CTCCGACCCCC 680	GCAAACTATA1 690	TTGCCTACC	CTGACACGCTO 710	3444G 720
CGTGGCCGGC0 730	CACATGG	AAAGTGAGC 740	CGGGAGCTGT 750	GTCATCGCT1 760	CGTGGTGAAA 770	ACGGCGATGG1 780	TGTTCAACA 790	TGGAGACCGAG 800	CGGGCGCATTO 810	GTTCGGATA 820	830	GAATG 840
CAAGTTGGAA 850	GCCTTGC	AAGCGCAAC 860	ATCTACTTCC 870	ACAAGTTCAC 880	GAGTGGGTTC 890	GGGAGTGAGAA 900	ACGCAGTGGAA 910	AGAATGTAACO 920	GGACGGAGGA( 930	GTTGGTGCCA 940	TCCAGAGAGG 950	AGCGC 960
TGTACATGGT 970	CATTGCC	CCCGGCAAT 980	GGCCTTACTT 990	TTACTGCCCA	ATGGGCAGACO 1010	CCGTCTGTAC1 1020	TTAAGAGTG 1030	TTGGCAACCA0 1040	GTAATGAATA 1050	AAACGCCGTT 1060	TTTATTATATA 1070	CTGAT 1080
GAATGCTGAA 1090	AGCTTAC	ATTAATATG 100	TCGTGCGATG	GCACGAAAAA 1120	CACACACAA 1130	TCAATACAGG0 1140	GGGGTAGTCG 1150	GCGGGCGGCT/ 1160	AAGGGTGGTGG 11/0	TCGGCGGGC 1180	AGAACATCGA 1190	1200
CAAGATCTAT	CTGAATG 1	TACTGCCTC 220	CGTAGGAGGC 1230	AGCTCAGGGG 1240	GAGAATACC/ 1250	ATTTCTCCCCC 1260	CGCCGACATA	ATGTAAATGA 1280	TGCAGTTTGC0 1290	CTCGAAATAC 1300	TCCAGCTGCC0 1310	CTGGA 1320
GTCATTTCCT 1330	TCATCCA 1	ATCTTCATO 340	CGAGTTGGCG 1350	AGGATTATTO	GTAGGCTTAG 1370	ACTTCTTCTG6 1380	CACCTTTTTC 1390	1400	ACTTGGGGTT 1410	TACAATGAAA 1420	ТСССТСТБАС/ 1430	AGCCA 1440
ACTAACTGTT 1450	TCCAACA 1	AGGACAGA/ 460	ATTTAAACGGA 1470	ATATCATCT/ 1480	ACGATGTTAT/ 1490	AGATTGCGTC1 1500	TCGTTGTAT	GAAGACCAAT	CAACATTATT 1530	TTGCCAGTAA 1540	TTATGAACCC0 1550	CTAGG 1560
CTTCTGGCCC 1570	AAGTAGA 1	TTTTCCGG1 580	TCTTGTTGGG 1590	CCGACGATG	FAGAGGCTC10 1610	GCTTTCITGA1 1620	ICTTICATOT( 1630	GATGACTGGA 1640	1650	ATCCATTGGA 1660	GGTCAGAAAT 1670	TGCAT 1680
CCTCGAGGGT 1690	АТЛАСАG 1	GTAGGTTG/ 700	VAGGAGCATG1 1710	INGCTTCGGG	5ACTAACCTG0 1730	GAAGATGTTA( 1740	GCTGGAGCC	ATCATTGAT 1760	TGACTCATTA 1770	CAAAGTAAAT 1780	CAGGTGATGA 1790 G	GGGTG 1800
GATGAGGATT 1810	GGTGAAC 1	TCTTCCTG	NTCTCAGGAV 1830	1840	TTGCAGAGTA 1850	TTCAAAATAC 1860	IGCAATTTTG 1870	TGGACCAATC 1990	1890	TCTTTCTGGA 1900	TCATGGAGAG 1910	GTACT 1920
CTTCTTTGGA 1930	AGTAGCO 1	GTGTGAAAT/ 1940	MTGTCTCGC/ 1950	1960	CTTTAGAAGG 1970	CTTTTTTCC <sup>-</sup> 1980	1990	AATCAGATTT 2000	100 FAGGAAG 2010	GGGGACTTCC 2020	TAGGAATGAA 2030	AGTAC 2040
CTCTCTCAAA 2050		CAGAGGTTCO 1060	2070	2080	CCCTGTTTAC 2090	TGACTTGGCAG 2100	2110	11GGGTGAAA 2120	CCCATTTATA 2130	TCAAAGAACC 2140	TTGAGTCAGA 2150	7ATCC 2160
TTACCGGCTT 2170	стстото 2	TGAAGCAA3	IGCATGTAAA1 2190	GCAAACTTCC 2200	2210	IGCCTCTCGGG 2220	CACATAGAA 2230	TGTATTTGGG 2240	ATCCAACGA 2250	ACAACGAGC1 2260	CCCAGATCAT( 2270	CTGAC 2280
AGGCGATTTC 2290		TCTGGACAG	2310	2320	IGTTAGCGTT 2330	CCGGTGTGAG 2340	ACTGACGGT 2350	TGGATGAGGA 2360	GGAGGCCATT 2370	GCCGACGACG 2380	GAGGTTGAGG 2390	CTGAG 2400
GGATGGCAGA 2410	CTGGGA	CTCCAAAC	1CTATAGTAT/ 2430	ACCCGTGCGCG 2440	2450	CGCCGCTCCC 2460	TTGTCTTATA 2470	GTGGTTGCAA 2480	ATGGGCCGGA 2490	CCGGGCCGGC 2500	CCAGCAGGAA 2510	2520
GGCGCGCACT 2530	MTATT/	ACCGCGCCT 2540	1CTTTTCCTG( 2550	CGAGGGCCCG4 2560	STAGGGCCCG 2570	AGCGATTTGA 2580	IGTAAAGTTT 2590	GGTCCTGCTT 2600	TG1ATGATTT. 2610	ATCTAAAGCA 2620	GCCCATTCTA 2630	2640
TCCGGTCCCG	GTCACTA			CGATTCATTC	NT 87							
2050	4		2010	2000 200								

Fig. 2. Nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA. The viral strand (+) sequence is shown starting with the nucleotide at the 5' end of the unique *Bam*HI site. This starting point was chosen so that the reader subsequently would find it easier to compare various aspects of the analysis of the sequence with those of CLV and TGMV.



Fig. 3. Open reading regions contained within the MSV DNA. The open reading regions found for MSV DNA in the viral (+) sense and its complement (-) are shown. The nucleotide numbering is directly related to that of Figure 2, open reading frames 1, 2 and 3 begin at nucleotides 1, 2 and 3 of the sequence, respectively. Each reading frame was divided up into groups of 10 bases and shaded if it contained the second base of a stop codon.





**Fig. 4.** Potential protein coding regions within MSV DNA in both the virion DNA sense (+) and its complement (-). Assuming that the first inphase ATG triplet of each open reading frame of Figure 3 initiates protein synthesis, those regions with a coding capacity of mol. wt.  $\geq 10000$  are given. Open triangles ( $\Delta$ ) indicate the position of a TATA box, and solid triangles ( $\Delta$ ) the sequence ATAA. The numbered arrows (-) indicate the positions of the inverted repeat sequences which are potentially capable of forming hairpin structures with a  $\Delta G \geq -14$  kcal/mol. The numbering system for the inverted repeats is that of Figure 5.

Table I. Positions of potential genes									
Product mol. wt.	Reading frame	Start	Stop	ΤΑΤΑ	<b><u>ê</u>ATAA</b>				
10 906	2+	2686	302	2656	301				
26 969	3+	315	1047	247	1052				
11 201	2+	734	1028	692	1052				
31 388	2 –	2374	1558	2431	1535				
17 768	1	1661	1202	1693	1071				
21 771	1	1007	389	1074	160				
13 011	2 –	469	163	695	160				

Finally, some sequencing by the methods of Maxam and Gilbert (1980) was carried out directly on the *Hae*III digested single-stranded virion DNA to determine the polarity of the consensus sequence. During the cloning experiments, no viral cloned DNA was found which did not correspond to the known viral DNA species described above. In addition, all derived sequence data could be accommodated into one circle.

The nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA is shown in Figure 2. The sequence is presented in the virion (+) sense and contains 2687 nucleotides. Approximately 98% of the sequence was determined in both orientations. Although the entire sequence was determined from one preparation each of cccDNA and single-stranded virion DNA, a number of nucleotide variations were encountered, and are shown in Figure 2 as an alternative nucleotide below the sequence. None of these sequence variations had any effect on our interpretation of the sequence in terms of open reading frames. MSV has no known local lesion host through which we could passage the isolate, thus a slightly mixed population of molecules might be expected.

# Potential genes

To investigate the potential coding capacity of the MSV DNA, the sequence was screened in all three reading frames for potential genes. The results of this, both for the viral (+) nucleotide sequence (Figure 2) and its complement (-), are presented in Figure 3. Based on these data, and assuming there is no post-transcriptional or post-translational processing, a proposal for a number of virus-specific proteins is suggested in Figure 4. The precise location of these potential genes on the sequence is given in Table I. It was assumed that the first ATG triplet in each potential gene would initiate protein synthesis, and only those regions with a potential coding capacity of mol. wt.  $\geq 10\ 000$  are included in this figure.

Figure 4 shows that, when read in the virion (+) sense, the sequence of MSV DNA could encode three proteins of mol. wts. 26 969, 11 201 and 10 906. When read in the complementary (-) sense, 75% of the sequence could be involved in protein coding, to give products of mol. wts. 31 388, 21 771, 17 768 and 13 011. The region encoding a 26 969 mol. wt. product on the viral sense sequence (see Figure 4) may be the coat protein gene. Firstly, the coat protein of MSV has an estimated size of 28 000 mol. wt. (Bock *et al.*, 1977), and secondly the calculated amino acid contents from the sequence data and the experimentally determined amino acid contents closely agreed (M.Short, personal communication). No other potential gene product was in agreement with the experimental data described above.



Fig. 5. Potential hairpin structures on MSV DNA. The inverted repeat sequences shown may be capable of forming hairpin structures with a free energy  $(\triangle G)$  of  $\ge -14$  kcal/mol, as calculated by the rules of Tinoco *et al.* (1973). The structures are numbered in order of potential stability, and their calculated free energies are shown adjacent to them. The coordinates refer to the sequence of Figure 2, and the positions of these potential hairpin structures are shown in Figure 4.

# Non-coding regions

There are two regions of the MSV sequence, from nucleotides 1047 to 1202 and 2374 to 2686, which are not part of any putative gene in either sense.

Taking a free energy of  $\triangle G$  as  $\ge -14$  kcal/mol (the free energy of the primosome assembly site of  $\phi X174$ ; Arai and Kornberg, 1981) as a base line, nine stem-loop structures were identified on the sequence with a greater value of  $\triangle G$  (Figure 5). The  $\triangle G$  of these potential hairpin structures was calculated according to the rules of Tinoco *et al.* (1973). Three of these potential hairpin structures are located in the two noncoding regions described above (hairpin numbers: 1, 2, and 4; Figures 4 and 5), and could be involved in functions such as replication, regulation of transcription and sites for the assembly of coat protein. Some or all of the hairpins in Figure 5 (plus a number of others with lower potential free energy) may have consequences for the conformation of MSV ssDNA.

# Possible transcriptional control signals

The best characterized DNA sequence of eukaryotic promoters is the TATA box of Goldberg and Hogness (see Proudfoot, 1979), which is associated with transcription both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Breathnach and Chambon, 1981; Messing *et al.*, 1983). The complete consensus sequence for promoters from animal genes is  $TATA_T^AA_T$  (Breathnach and Chambon, 1981) and from plant genes is  $TT_G^CTATA_A^TA_{1-3}^TA$ , based primarily on sequences from dicotyledonous species, but including information from the zein multigene family (Messing *et al.*, 1983). Nevertheless, information on the transcriptional signals from monocotyledonous species is so limited that we were constrained to search only for the 'core' TATA box in the MSV DNA sequence. The results of this search are shown in Figure 4 and Table I. With the exception of the region encoding for the 13 011 mol. wt. peptide on the complementary sense, all the remaining potential genes possess TATA sequences within 100 bp of the beginning of the first ATG. Also, AT-rich centres, rather than the TATA box, could be involved in promoter sequences. For example, in the DNA sequence of the maize transposable element, Mu 1, there are no core TATA sequences, although an AT-rich centre has been cited as containing possible promoter sequences (Barker *et al.*, 1984).

Consensus polyadenylation signals of plant genes,  $^{A}_{G}ATAA$  (Messing *et al.*, 1983) are also shown in Figure 4. All the potential gene products, except that coding for the 21 771 mol. wt. protein on the complementary sense, have a polyadenylation signal located within 200 bp of the termination codon.

# Comparison with CLV and TGMV

A comparison of the nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA with those of circles 1 and 2 of CLV (Stanley and Gay, 1983) and circles A and B of TGMV (Hamilton *et al.*, 1984) revealed no detectable homology. The parameters were a block size of 11, and a score of 7 using the DIAGON program (Staden, 1982). These parameters allowed the programme to display the homologies reported between the sequences of CLV and TGMV (Hamilton *et al.*, 1984).

The number of potentially stable hairpin structures (see Figures 4 and 5) compared with one each reported for CLV 1 (Stanley and Gay, 1983) and TGMV A (Hamilton *et al.*, 1984) does not allow the assignment to the MSV DNA se-

quence of a segment of DNA that may be involved in replication, such as has been suggested for the common regions of circles 1 and 2 of CLV (Stanley and Gay, 1983) and circles A and B of TGMV (Hamilton *et al.*, 1984). However, the organization of the potential genes and their associated promoter signals (Figure 4) suggests a similar bidirectional strategy for transcription as proposed for CLV and TGMV (Stanley and Gay, 1983; Hamilton *et al.*, 1984).

# Discussion

The determination of the MSV DNA sequence reveals several novel features. The identification of only one circle of MSV DNA in virus particles and infected tissue of *Z. mays* L., is the most striking difference between MSV and the other characterized geminiviruses, which have two circles of DNA (Stanley and Gay, 1983; Hamilton *et al.*, 1984). Furthermore, there is no detectable sequence homology between MSV and DNA circles 1 and 2 of CLV and circles A and B of TGMV. In contrast there is 60% homology between CLV 1 and TGMV A, and 39.6% homology between CLV 2 and TGMV B (Hamilton *et al.*, 1984). This is also reflected by the serological relationships of the virus particles (Roberts *et al.*, 1984).

More inverted repeat sequences, capable of forming stable hairpin structures, are found in the MSV sequence compared with either CLV or TGMV, which may reflect differences in replication, regulation of transcription and conformation of the virion DNAs. This may be a consequence of the specificities of CLV and TGMV for their dicotyledonous hosts and MSV for its monocotyledonous hosts, or adaption to whitefly and leafhopper insect vectors, respectively.

The positions of transcriptional signals would suggest that like CLV and TGMV, MSV has bidirectional transcription, although the mechanisms of transcriptional regulation could still be different. Once more is known about the products of the potential coding regions it will be interesting to reflect on how the organization of the potential genes and putative transcriptional signals relates to the lack of sequence homology or serological relationship between MSV and CLV or TGMV. The construction of infective double-stranded clones of TGMV and CLV have allowed the nucleotide sequence of the genomes of these two viruses to be defined (Stanley, 1983; Stanley and Davies, 1984; Hamilton et al., 1983, 1984). We find that MSV, like most other leafhopper-transmitted geminiviruses (perhaps excluding beet curly top virus), is not mechanically transmissable, either as whole virus or viral DNA. Intra-haemocoelic injection of virus into Cicadulina mbila (Naudé), a leafhopper vector for MSV, results in systemic infection. However we have not been able to demonstrate infectivity with virion or cloned DNA (results not shown). We believe, however, that we have identified and exhaustively sequenced all the components of MSV DNA, with all sequence obtained fitting into the one circle, and that the lack of infectivity is a failure to deliver intact viral DNA to the site where infection begins, rather than the absence of a minor and as yet undiscovered component. In view of dissimilarities with CLV and TGMV it has not escaped our notice that MSV being the type member of a group containing these two viruses (Matthews, 1982) may be misleading.

Determination of the nucleotide sequence of MSV DNA is a prerequisite for a more detailed understanding of gene organization and function of a DNA virus which is restricted to monocotyledonous plants, and thus may be useful in gaining some insight into aspects of the molecular biology of these hosts. In addition, provided that the problem of infectivity can be overcome, the sequence may be of use in the development of MSV as a vector for the introduction of chimaeric DNA into some important monocotyledonous crop plants.

### Materials and methods

#### Materials

MSV (Nigerian isolate) was propagated in Z. mays L. var. Golden Cross Bantam, following transmission by C. mbila (Naudé). Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I (large fragment) and calf intestinal phosphatase were purchased from Boehringer, and polynucleotide kinase from PL Biochemicals. Restriction enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs or Bethesda Research Laboratories. Radiochemicals were from Amersham International or New England Nuclear.

#### Isolation of MSV DNA

Virus particles and virion DNA were isolated according to the methods of Harrison et al. (1977). Supercoiled dsDNA was isolated from infected leaf tissue of Z. mays L. by the method of Sunter et al. (1984). The production of in vitro dsMSV DNA from virion ssDNA was by the method of Stanley and Gay (1983) using a synthetic decameric BamHI linker (BRL) as a primer. Restriction fragments from RF DNA or in vitro synthesized dsDNA were ligated into the appropriately linearized M13mp vectors of Messing and Vieira (1982). Recombinant phages were identified by the lac complementation assay of Benton and Davies (1977). Bacteriophage isolation and DNA extraction were carried out as described by Sanger et al. (1980). Sequencing of this cloned DNA was performed using the dideoxy chain termination method of Sanger et al. (1977) with [a-32P]dATP (800 Ci/mmol) using the 17-mer M13 primer of Duckworth et al. (1981). The products were subjected to electrophoresis on 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels (Sanger and Coulson, 1978) or 6% (w/v) buffer gradient gels (Biggin et al., 1983). The gels were then fixed, dried and subjected to autoradiography (Biggin et al., 1983).

Another method used was to sequence the products of restriction digests labelled at the 5' termini by the chemical degradation techniques of Maxam and Gilbert (1980). The products of these sequencing reactions were subjected to electrophoresis in 6% (w/v), 8% (w/v) or 20% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels (Maxam and Gilbert, 1980) and treated as described above. The source of restriction fragments for this sequencing method was a full length *Bam*HI clone of *in vitro* dsDNA into the *Bam*HI site of M13mp9; or ss viral DNA fragments generated by restriction with *Hae*III or *Taq*I. Sequence information derived from the above methods was stored, assembled and analysed using the computing methods of Staden (1980).

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr H.W.Rossell for the gift of the MSV (Nigerian) isolate; Drs John Stanley, George Lomonossoff and Rod Townsend for interesting discussion throughout the course of this work; Dr Angus Hepburn for advice on some aspects of computing, Dr Margaret Short for the amino acid analysis of the coat protein and Dr P.Markham for leafhopper transmission expertise. This work was funded by Agrigenetics Research Corporation, and carried out under MAFF licence number 49/152.

#### References

Arai, K. and Kornberg, A. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 78, 69-73.

- Barker, R.F., Thompson, D.V., Talbot, D.R., Swanson, J. and Bennetzen, J.L. (1984) Nucleic Acids Res., 12, 5955-5967.
- Benton, W.D. and Davis, R.W. (1977) Science (Wash.), 196, 180-182.
- Biggin, M.D., Gibson, T.J. and Hong, G.F. (1983) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 80, 3963-3965.
- Bock,K.R. (1982) Plant Dis., 66, 266-270.
- Bock, K.R., Guthrie, E.J., Meredith, G. and Barker, H. (1977) Ann. Appl. Biol., 85, 305-308.

Breathnach, R. and Chambon, P. (1981) Annu. Rev. Biochem., 50, 349-383.

Brown, A. (1981) Ph.D. Thesis, University of Edinburgh.

- Duckworth, M.L., Gait, M.J., Goelet, P., Hong, G.F., Singh, M. and Titmas, R.C. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res., 9, 1691-1706.
  Goodman, R.M. (1981) in Kirkstak, E. (ed.), Handbook of Plant Virus Infec-
- Goodman, R.M. (1981) in Kirkstak, E. (ed.), Handbook of Plant Virus Infections and Comparative Diagnosis, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Holland, pp. 879-910.
- Hamilton, W.D.O., Bisaro, D.M., Coutts, R.H.A. and Buck, K.W. (1983) Nucleic Acids Res., 11, 7387-7396.
- Hamilton, W.D.O., Stein, V.E., Coutts, R.H.A. and Buck, K.W. (1984) EMBO J., 3, 2197-2205.
- Harrison, B.D., Barker, H., Bock, K.R., Guthrie, E.J., Meredith, G. and Atkinson, M. (1977) Nature, 270, 760-762.
- Ikegami, M., Haber, S. and Goodman, R.M. (1981) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

# P.M.Mullineaux et al.

- USA, 78, 4102-4106.
- Matthews, R.E.F. (1982) Intervirology, 17, No. 1-3.
- Maxam, A.M. and Gilbert, W. (1980) Methods Enzymol., 65, 499-560.
- Messing, J. and Vieira, J. (1982) Gene, 19, 269-276.
- Messing, J., Geraghty, D., Heidecker, G., Hu, N.T., Kridl, J. and Rubenstein, I. (1983) in Kasuge, T., Meredith, C.P. and Hollaender, A. (eds.), *Genetic*
- Engineering of Plants, Plenum Press, NY, pp. 211-227.
- Proudfoot, N.J. (1979) Nature, 279, 376.
- Roberts, I.M., Robinson, D.J. and Harrison, B.D. (1984) J. Gen. Virol., in press.
- Sanger, F. and Coulson, A.R. (1978) FEBS Lett., 87, 107-110.
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 74, 5463-5467.
- Sanger, F., Coulson, A.R., Barrell, B.G., Smith, A.J.H. and Roe, B.A. (1980) J. Mol. Biol., 143, 161-178.
- Staden, R. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res., 8, 3673-3694.
- Staden, R. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res., 10, 2951-2961.
- Stanley, J. (1983) Nature, 305, 643-645.
- Stanley, J. and Davies, J.W. (1984) in Davies, J.W. (ed.), *Molecular Plant Virology*, C.R.C. Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Stanley, J. and Gay, M.R. (1983) Nature, 301, 260-262.
- Sunter, G., Coutts, R.H.A. and Buck, K.W. (1984) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 118, 747-752.
- Tinoco, I., Borer, P.N., Dengler, B., Levine, M.D., Uhlenbeck, O.C., Crothers, D.M. and Gralla, J. (1973) Nature, New Biol., 246, 40-41.

Received on 17 September 1984

### Note added in proof

Since this paper was accepted, the complete sequence of a Kenyan isolate of MSV has been published. [Howell, (1984), *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 12, 7359-7375.] It is curious that the virion (+) sense sequence of this isolate shows >99% homology with the complementary (-) sense of the nucleotide sequence of MSV (Nigerian isolate) shown in this paper.