

1

Manuscript EMBO-2017-44070

Morphology of the archaellar motor and associated cytoplasmic cone in *Thermococcus kodakaraensis*

Ariane Briegel, Catherine M. Oikonomou, Yi-Wei Chang, Andreas Kjær, Audrey N. Huang, Ki Woo Kim, Debnath Ghosal, Hong H. Nguyen, Dorothy Kenny, and Rachel R. Ogorzalek Loo, Robert P. Gunsalus, and Grant J. Jensen

Corresponding author: Grant J. Jensen, California Institute of Technology

Submission date:	13 February 2017
Editorial Decision:	13 March 2017
Revision received:	15 May 2017
Editorial Decision:	21 June 2017
Revision received:	29 June 2017
Accepted:	04 July 2017
	Revision received: Editorial Decision: Revision received:

Editor: Achim Breiling

Transaction Report: No Peer Review Process File is available with this article, as the authors have chosen not to make the review process public in this case.

EMBO PRESS

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS CHECKLIST WILL BE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE YOUR PAPER

Corresponding Author Name: Grant J. Jensen
Journal Submitted to: EMBO Reports
Manuscript Number: EMBOR-2017-44070

Reporting Checklist For Life Sciences Articles (Rev. July 2015)

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. These guidelines are consistent with the Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research issued by the NNH in 2014. Please follow the journal's authorship guidelines in preparing your manuscript.

A- Figures

- The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:

 The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:

 The data were obtained and processed according to the field's best practice and are presented to reflect the results of the experiments in an accurate and unbiased manager.

 If give panels include only data points, measurements or observations that can be compared to each other in a scientifically meaningful way.

 If graph is nicitated cearly labeled error bars for independent experiments and sample sizes. Unless justified, error bars should not be shown for technical registrates.

 If it is, the individual data points from each experiment should be plotted and any statistical test employed should be justified.

 Source Data should be included to report the data underlying graphs. Please follow the guidelines set out in the author ship guidelines on Data Presentation.

2. Captions

Each figure caption should contain the following information, for each panel where they are relevant:

- a specification of the experimental system investigated (eg cell line, species name).
 the assay(s) and method(s) used to carry out the reported observations and measurements
 an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(es) that are being measured
 an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(es) that are altered/variet/perturbed in a controlled manner.

- → the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a number, not a range;
 → a description of the sample collection allowing the reader to undestrated whether the samples represent technical or biological registrates (including how many animals, litters, cutures, etc.).
 → a statement of how many times the experiment shown was independently replicated in the laboratory.
 → a defination of statistical methods and measures:
 common tests, such as t-set (please specify whether paired s. unpaired), simple χ2 tests, Wiccoon and Mann-Whitney tests, can be unambiguously identified by name only, but more complete techniques should be described in the methods test. section;
 are tests one-sided or two-sided?
 are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?
 exact statistical test results, e.g., P values = x but not P values < x;
 definition of Center values a median or average;
 definition of error bars as s.d. or s.e.m.

Please ensure that the answers to the following questions are reported in the manuscript itself. We encourage you to include a specific subsection in the methods section for statistics, reagents, animal models and human subjects.

USEFUL LINKS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM

http://www.antibodypedia.com http://idegreebio.org 1DegreeBio http://www.eguator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-repo ARRIVE Guidelines

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Ourresearch/Ethicsresearchguidance/Useofanimals/index.htm http://www.inc.ac.us/consensory.c

CONSORT Check List

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/reporting-recommendations-for-tunREMARK Rep

http://datadryad.org http://figshare.com http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap dbGAP http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega

http://biomodels.net/ Biomodels Database

http://lii.biochem.sun.ac.za http://oba.od.nih.gov/biosecurity/biosecurity documents.html http://www.selectagents.gov/

B- Statistics and general methods

1.a. How was the sample size chosen to ensure adequate power to detect a pre-specified effect size?	N/A
1.b. For animal studies, include a statement about sample size estimate even if no statistical methods were used.	N/A
2. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if samples or animals were excluded from the analysis. Were the criteria pre- established?	N/A
 Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals/samples to treatment (e.g. randomization procedure)? If yes, please describe. 	N/A
For animal studies, include a statement about randomization even if no randomization was used.	N/A
4.a. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during group allocation or/and when assessing result (e.g. blinding of the investigator)? If yes please describe.	N/A
4.b. For animal studies, include a statement about blinding even if no blinding was done	N/A
5. For every figure, are statistical tests justified as appropriate?	N/A
Do the data meet the assumptions of the tests (e.g., normal distribution)? Describe any methods used to assess it.	N/A
Is there an estimate of variation within each group of data?	N/A
is the variance similar between the groups that are being statistically compared?	N/A

C- Reagents

6. To show that antibodies were profiled for use in the system under study (assay and species), provide a citation, catalog number and/or clone number, supplementary information or reference to an antibody validation profile. e.g., antibodypical (see ink fix at to right).	N/A
 Identify the source of cell lines and report if they were recently authenticated (e.g., by STR profiling) and tested for mycoplasma contamination. 	N/A

* for all hyperlinks, please see the table at the top right of the documer

D- Animal Models

al Models	
	N/A
and husbandry conditions and the source of animals.	
9. For experiments involving live vertebrates, include a statement of compliance with ethical regulations and identify the	N/A
committee(s) approving the experiments.	
10. We recommend consulting the ARRIVE guidelines (see link list at top right) (PLoS Biol. 8(6), e1000412, 2010) to ensure	N/A
that other relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting	
Guidelines'. See also: NIH (see link list at top right) and MRC (see link list at top right) recommendations. Please confirm	
compliance.	

,	N/A
12. Include a statement confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.	N/A
13. For publication of patient photos, include a statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained.	N/A
14. Report any restrictions on the availability (and/or on the use) of human data or samples.	N/A
15. Report the clinical trial registration number (at Clinical Trials gov or equivalent), where applicable.	N/A
16. For phase II and III randomized controlled trials, please refer to the CONSORT flow diagram (see link list at top right) and submit the CONSORT flowlise (see link list at one pingh) with your submission. See author guidelines, under "Reporting Guidelines". Please confirm you have submitted this list.	N/A
17. For tumor marker prognostic studies, we recommend that you follow the REMARK reporting guidelines (see link list at top right). See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have followed these guidelines.	N/A

F- Data Accessibility

 Provide accession codes for deposited data. See author guidelines, under 'Data Deposition'. 	Page 14
Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:	
a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences	
b. Macromolecular structures	
c. Crystallographic data for small molecules	
d. Functional genomics data	
e. Proteomics and molecular interactions	
19. Deposition is strongly recommended for any datasets that are central and integral to the study; please consider the	N/A
journal's data policy. If no structured public repository exists for a given data type, we encourage the provision of	
datasets in the manuscript as a Supplementary Document (see author guidelines under 'Expanded View' or in	
unstructured repositories such as Dryad (see link list at top right) or Figshare (see link list at top right).	
20. Access to human clinical and genomic datasets should be provided with as few restrictions as possible while	N/A
respecting ethical obligations to the patients and relevant medical and legal issues. If practically possible and compatible	
with the individual consent agreement used in the study, such data should be deposited in one of the major public access-	
controlled repositories such as dbGAP (see link list at top right) or EGA (see link list at top right).	
21. As far as possible, primary and referenced data should be formally cited in a Data Availability section. Please state	N/A
whether you have included this section.	
Examples:	
Primary Data	
Wetmore KM, Deutschbauer AM, Price MN, Arkin AP (2012). Comparison of gene expression and mutant fitness in	
Shewanella oneidensis MR-1. Gene Expression Omnibus GSE39462	
Referenced Data	
Huang J. Brown AF. Lei M (2012). Crystal structure of the TRBD domain of TERT and the CR4/5 of TR. Protein Data Bank	
4026	
AP-MS analysis of human histone deacetylase interactions in CEM-T cells (2013). PRIDE PXD000208	
22. Computational models that are central and integral to a study should be shared without restrictions and provided in a	N/A
machine-readable form. The relevant accession numbers or links should be provided. When possible, standardized	
format (SBML, CellML) should be used instead of scripts (e.g. MATLAB). Authors are strongly encouraged to follow the	
MIRIAM guidelines (see link list at top right) and deposit their model in a public database such as Biomodels (see link list	
at top right) or JWS Online (see link list at top right). If computer source code is provided with the paper, it should be	
deposited in a public repository or included in supplementary information.	

G- Dual use research of concern

23. Could your study fall under dual use research restrictions? Please check biosecurity documents (see link list at top	N/A
right) and list of select agents and toxins (APHIS/CDC) (see link list at top right). According to our biosecurity guidelines,	
provide a statement only if it could.	