Molecular Cell, Volume 67

# **Supplemental Information**

**Wnt-Dependent Inactivation** 

of the Groucho/TLE Co-repressor

# by the HECT E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Hyd/UBR5

Joshua E. Flack, Juliusz Mieszczanek, Nikola Novcic, and Mariann Bienz

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### Fig. S1 (related to main Figs. 1 & 3)

Additional clonal analysis in wing discs. (A-C) Basal views of wing discs bearing (A, B) hvd<sup>K7-19</sup> mutant clones (marked by absence of GFP, green), (B) also overexpressing SoxF, or (C) hvd<sup>K7-19</sup> gro<sup>MB36</sup> double-mutant clones, co-stained with DAPI (blue) and antibodies as indicated in panels (in color, as in merges). The hyd-dependent overgrowths are particularly pronounced in the prospective hinge region (large red arrows in A), but are also evident in hyd clones within the prospective blade and margin zones (small red arrow in A); they tend to be accompanied by Wg derepression (red arrows in A), however they do not depend on this derepression since clones in the proximal hinge zone (white arrowheads in A) show overgrowth without Wg derepression. These overgrowths (especially those in the distal hinge zone, adjacent to the wing blade) are partially suppressed by SoxF (white arrows in B) whereby the degree of suppression depends on the level of SoxF expression, or completely suppressed by Groucho loss (white arrows in C). Recall that Wg is initially expressed throughout the prospective wing blade and within the adjacent zone of the hinge region (i.e. its distal zone) in early larval discs, but undergoes negative autoregulation to narrow its own expression progressively within the prospective hinge and margin zones to thin stripes (see main text and Fig. 1B); the Wg stripes in the margin are outside the focal planes of the images in (B, C) and are thus barely visible (as these images were focused on clones near the hinge regions that exhibit suppressed overgrowth). Size bars, 50 µm. (D-F) Sections of wing discs from late third instar larvae, fixed and co-stained with DAPI (blue) and antibodies as indicated above panels (in color, as in merges), bearing (**D**)  $hvd^{K7-19}axin^{P}$  double-mutant clones, lacking Sens (*asterisk* in **D**) and showing derepressed Wg (arrow in **D**), similarly to  $hyd^{K7-19}$  single-mutant clones  $\pm$ overexpressed  $\operatorname{Arm}^{S10}$  (see Fig. 1D, F); (E)  $hvd^{K7-19}$  mutant clones, showing normal Armadillo expression levels; or (F)  $hvd^{K7-19}$  mutant clones also expressing dominant-negative Mastermind (dnMam) to block Notch-dependent transcription (Helms et al., 1999); the efficacy of this blockade is evident in wt territories near the margin (arrowheads in F) where expression of Wg (and Sens as a consequence) is abolished. However, the dnMam-expressing hyd clones retain derepression of Wg (arrows in **F**), arguing against this derepression being a consequence of hyperactive Notch signaling. Size bars, 10 µm.

Fig. S2 (related to main Fig. 2)

**Analysis of HEK293T KO cells lacking different HECT E3 ligases.** (A) Cartoons of HECT E3 ligases previously linked to Wnt signaling (HUWE1, HECTD1, UBE3C; de Groot et al., 2014; Tran et al., 2013; Wen et al., 2015) or UBR5 (TRIP12; Gudjonsson et al., 2012), with domains indicated (residue numbers of human proteins shown underneath). (B) Western blots of lysates from KO lines (see also **Tables S1 & S2**, and STAR Methods), probed with antibodies as indicated, to demonstrate absence of gene product; the following antibodies were used:  $\alpha$ -HUWE1,  $\alpha$ -TRIP12,  $\alpha$ -HECTD1,  $\alpha$ -UBE3C (Abcam). (C, D) SuperTOP assays of (C) two independently isolated UBR5 KO lines compared to parental control (*above*, corresponding Western blot), stimulated with Wnt3A or LiCl for 6 hours (mean relative luciferase values of induced control cells were set to 100%), or (D) wt or UBR5 KO cells expressing wt or catalytically-dead (CS) GFP-UBR5 (*below*, corresponding Western blot); fold induction indicates values relative uninduced controls; error bars, SEM of >3 independent experiments; \*\* = p < 0.001, \* = p < 0.01.

Fig. S3 (related to main Fig. 3)

Identification of TLE3 as a UBR5-interacting protein. (A) SDS-PAGE gel stained with Coomassie Blue, showing proteins coIPed with catalytically-dead FLAG-UBR5 (UBR5-CS)  $\pm$ MLLE or control baits (after expression in HEK293T cells stimulated with LiCl for 6 hours). (B) Selected significant UBR5-specific hits identified in two independent experiments by LC-MS/MS mass spectrometry, essentially as described (Fiedler et al., 2015), but see also STAR Methods, for further details; shown are unweighted spectral counts >95% probability; only hits with PAM2 motifs previously identified as putative MLLE-binding proteins are listed, in comparison to TLE3 (the only hit linked to Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling). (C) CoIP assay as in main Fig. 4B, confirming association between TLE3 and UBR5, as found in (B). (D) Assay as in main Fig. 4B, showing constitutive association between FLAG-UBR5-CS and (E) GFP-TCF4, or (F) GFP-PYGO1.

Fig. S4 (related to main Fig. 5)

K48-Ub specificity of UBR5-HECT, and TLE3 stability. (A) *In vitro* Ub assay with bacterially expressed GST-UBR5<sub>2217-2799</sub> or catalytically-inactive GST-UBR5-CS<sub>2217-2799</sub>, incubated for 2 hours with wt or methylated Ub, or with K-only Ub mutants (as indicated above), and supplemented with E1 and E2 (UBE2L3) enzymes, essentially as described (Mund and Pelham, 2009); shown is a Western blot probed with  $\alpha$ -GST, revealing Ub-GST-HECT. Note that the autoubiquitylation

achieved with K48-only Ub recapitulates the full activity of wt Ub, while all other K-only mutants only produce multi-monoubiquitylated GST-HECT (as obtained with methyl-Ub), indicating that UBR5-HECT generates exclusively K48-linked Ub chains. Note also that a shorter construct (GST-UBR5<sub>2390-2799</sub>) was completely inactive in this assay, and GST-UBR5<sub>2217-2799</sub>- $\Delta$ MLLE showed only weak activity (N. N., unpublished results). (**B**, **C**) Cycloheximide (CHX) chase experiments; shown are Western blots of lysates from (**B**) UBR5 KO cells or parental controls, or (**C**) UBR5 KO cells overexpressing wt or GFP-UBR5-CS, lysed 0-10 hours after treatment with 50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> cycloheximide plus 20 mM LiCl (or NaCl as control), probed with antibodies as indicated.

Fig. S5 (related to main Fig. 5)

Ligand associations of ubiquitylated K-only mutant WD40 domains. (A) Sequences of WD40 domains from human TLE1-4, with conserved K residues highlighted in red. (B) *In vivo* Ub assay in LiCl-treated UBR5 KO cells co-expressing wt or K-only HA-WD40 mutants with His-Ub, ± FLAG-UBR5 as indicated; note the varying levels of ubiquitylation of the K-only mutants (which share 14 of 16 K>R substitutions; KallR, all 16 K residues were substituted with R). (C) Heat-maps of the WD40 domain (2CE9; Jennings et al., 2006; *top*, ligand-binding surface; *bottom*, back side), indicating Ub levels of K-only mutants (shown in B); note that K720 engages in direct contacts with ligands (see main text). (D, E) CoIP assays as in main Fig. 4B, after co-expression of K-only HA-WD40 mutants with His-Ub and GFP-tagged (D) HES1 or (E) LDB1 and SSDP, as indicated, showing comparable associations of these ligands with HA-WD40, regardless of its ubiquitylation. (F) Pull-down assays with biotinylated histone tails (as indicated above panels; see also Chodaparambil et al., 2014), showing comparable associations of these tails with ubiquitylated and unmodified HA-TLE3.

Fig. S6 (related to main Fig. 6)

Additional analysis of Wnt responses after VCP/p97 inhibition. (A, B) SuperTOP assays as in main Fig. 2A, showing that (A) the activity of UBR5 in restoring efficient  $\beta$ -catenin-dependent transcription in UBR5 KO cells is highly sensitive to VCP/p97 inhibition and (B) dominant-negative VCP/p97 attenuates  $\beta$ -catenin-dependent transcription in HEK293T cells; error bars, SEM; \* = p<0.01, \*\* = p<0.001 (in all panels). (C) RT-qPCR assays as in main Fig. 2B, showing that the inducibility of endogenous Wnt target genes is attenuated (*AXIN2, SP5*) or blocked (*NKD1*) by VCP/p97 inhibition in HEK293T cells, while this treatment barely effects the residual induction of these genes in UBR5 KO cells (except for *AXIN2* which retains NMS-873 sensitivity in these cells,

but *AXIN2* also retains moderate inducibility in UBR5 KO cells, consistent with the notion that UBR5 may be redundant with another E3 ligase that ubiquitylates Groucho/TLE to target it for removal from the Wnt enhanceosome by VCP/p97). We also attempted to delete VCP/p97 in HEK293T cells by CRISPR/Cas9, to examine Wnt responses in VCP/p97 null mutant cells, but did not succeed in isolating any KO cell lines as VCP/p97 KO appeared to be cell-lethal (J. E. F., unpublished data).

Fig. S7 (related to main Fig. 3)

TLE3 ubiquitylation and Wnt responses in XIAP KO cells. (A) TLE3-Ub assays in XIAP KO cells as in main Fig. 3A, showing that the UBR5-dependent ubiquitylation of TLE3 is independent of XIAP. (B) SuperTOP assays as in main Fig. 2A, showing that UBR5 KO reduces the Wnt- and LiCl-inducibility of HEK293T more severely than XIAP KO; error bars, SEM; \*\* = p<0.001.

Table S1 (related to main Fig. 2)

## gRNA sequences used for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering

Table S2 (related to main Fig. 2)

### Genotyping primer pairs used for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome engineering

Table S3 (related to main Fig. 2)

### Primer pairs used for RT-qPCR





293T:

wt

KO #1

KO #2



NaCl

LiCl

NaCl

LiCl

293T: UBR5 KO





 $\alpha$ -ABC

 $\alpha$ -tubulin

 $\alpha$ -TLE

Ub-TLE

293T: UBR5 KO







Figure S6



Cell line	Gene	Exon Targeted	gRNA sequence
HEK293T	UBR5	6	(G)CTGGAGCTCGAGATTCCCGC
HEK293T	HUWE1	5	GGACCGCTTCGATGGAATAC
HEK293T	TRIP12	3	(G)CTGACTCCGTGAACCGCCAG
HEK293T	HECTD1	3	(G)TATCTGCGGAATGTACCCGA
HEK293T	UBE3C	4	GCTACCTTGTCACAGTCCGG
HEK293T	XIAP	2	(G)TATCAGACACCATATACCCG
HEK293T	CTNNB1	3	GAAAAGCGGCTGTTAGTCAC
HCT116	UBR5	6	(G)CTGGAGCTCGAGATTCCCGC

Table S1

Gene	Exon	Primer sequence		
UBR5	6	Forward	GATTGAGCCCGGGAGTTTTG	
		Reverse	TCCATCTTCATCATCCCGGC	
		Seq	TGAGGCAGGAGGATCACTTC	
HUWE1	5	Forward	GCAGATCAAAACATGGAACATTGG	
		Reverse	CTCTATGGAACTGTACAGATGCCG	
		Seq	GTATGACAATGAACTACAGC	
TRIP12	3	Forward	AAGCTGCAGTTCATCATCTGCT	
		Reverse	TTGCTAATTTGGCCTGTAATCCAGAA	
		Seq	GCGCAGTGCTAGTCCAGACT	
HECTD1	3	Forward	GACTACAGGTGCCTGTCACC	
		Reverse	ACTACCAGGAACTGAAGTGCAC	
		Seq	ATATGATTTCTTTCACTACAG	
UBE3C	4	Forward	GAAGAAAGGCGAAGGTTGAAAAATGC	
		Reverse	CACATCACCACATAGGTAACCTCTC	
		Seq	CTACAATTCAACTGTGAGCA	
XIAP	2	Forward	AAACTTGTGTACCTGCAGACA	
		Reverse	CCGTGCTTCATAATCTGCCA	
		Seq	CTTTTGCTAATTTTCCAAGTGG	

Table S2

Gene	Primer sequence		
	Forward	CTCCTAGTGGCACTGGCTTC	
	Reverse	GCAGGCTAGATCTCGTACCG	
	Forward	GCTGAGCGTGTCTCTCAACA	
INNUT	Reverse	AGGAGTGGATCGGGAGACAG	
AVINO	Forward	CTGGTGCAAAGACATAGCCA	
ΑλΙΙΝΖ	Reverse	GTCCAGCAAAACTCTGAGGG	
<u>ODE</u>	Forward	TCGGACATAGGGACCCAGTT	
3F5	Reverse	CTGACGGTGGGAACGGTTTA	

Table S3