Web-based Supplementary Materials for "A Bayesian Model for Sparse Functional Data" by W.K. Thompson and O. Rosen

December 3, 2006

Web Appendix

Here, we give a detailed account of the distributions and sampling scheme outlined in sections 2 and 3. Let $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}'_n)'$ be the vector of responses for all n subjects. Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$ be the total number of observations across all subjects. With the prior distributions specified in Section 2.2, we have

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\pi} \mid \boldsymbol{y}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) p(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \times p(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}) p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}) p(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}) p(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \times p(\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}) p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}) p(\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\pi}) p(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \times \sigma_{\epsilon}^{-m} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{\gamma,i} \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i})'(\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{\gamma,i} \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i})\} \times |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}|^{-n/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma})\} \times c^{-q_{\gamma}/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2c} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}' \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}\} (\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2})^{-(c_{\epsilon}+1)} \exp\{-d_{\epsilon}/\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\} \times |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}|^{-(\eta_{b}+q_{\gamma}+1)/2} \exp\{-\frac{\eta_{b}}{2} \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{S}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}^{-1})\} \times \pi^{q_{\gamma}} (1-\pi)^{L-q_{\gamma}} \pi^{c_{\pi}-1} (1-\pi)^{d_{\pi}-1}.$$

Step 1: Sample s distinct values $\boldsymbol{l} = \{l_1, \dots, l_s\}$ (for predetermined $1 \leq s \leq L$) from $\{1, \dots, L\}$ without replacement such that each such vector is equally probable.

Step 2: Sample $\{\gamma_l, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}\}$ conditional on $\{\boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$. This is done in three sub-

steps, using the factorization

$$p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) \times p(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}).$$

Step 2(a): Draw γ_l ; the posterior conditional probability that $\gamma_l = u$ (where u ranges over all zero-one vectors of dimension s) is given by

$$p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}) \times p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}),$$
(A.1)

where

$$p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}} p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \boldsymbol{b}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b, \gamma})$$

$$\times \{ \int_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}} p(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b, \gamma})$$

$$\times p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b, \gamma}) d\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \} d\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}. \quad (A.2)$$

Let $q_{\gamma(u)}$ be the dimension of the model selected by $\gamma(u) = \{ \gamma_l = u, \gamma_{(l)} \}$. Denote the right side of (A.2) by L_u . Then it can be shown that L_u is equal to

$$L_{u} = c^{-q_{\gamma(u)}/2} |\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}|^{-n/2} |n\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{c} I_{\gamma(u)}|^{-1/2} |A_{\gamma(u)}|^{-1/2} \times \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma_{\epsilon}^{4}} \boldsymbol{y}'(X_{\gamma(u)}^{D}) A_{\gamma(u)}^{-1}(X_{\gamma(u)}^{D})' \boldsymbol{y}\right\}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}$ is the $q_{\gamma(u)} \times q_{\gamma(u)}$ covariance matrix corresponding to the breakpoints selected by $\gamma(u)$, $X_{\gamma(u)}^D = \text{diag}\{X_{\gamma(u),i}\}$ is an $m \times nq_{\gamma(u)}$ block-diagonal matrix and

$$A_{\gamma(u)} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}X'_{\gamma(u),i}X_{\gamma(u),i} + \Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}^{-1}\right) - \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes I_{q_{\gamma(u)}}\right)\left(\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}^{-1}\left(n\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{c}I_{q_{\gamma(u)}}\right)^{-1}\Sigma_{b,\gamma(u)}^{-1}\right)(\mathbf{1} \otimes I_{q_{\gamma(u)}})',$$

where 1 is the *n*-dimensional vector of all ones, $I_{q_{\gamma(u)}}$ is the $q_{\gamma(u)} \times q_{\gamma(u)}$ identity matrix, and \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. While calculation of L_u involves taking the inverse and determinant of the $nq_{\gamma(u)} \times nq_{\gamma(u)}$ matrix $A_{\gamma(u)}$, because of the special form of this matrix, these can be simplified through the use of Woodbury's formula (Lange, 1999, pp. 86-87), so that only the determinant and inverse of $q_{\gamma(u)} \times q_{\gamma(u)}$ matrices are necessary.

Let
$$\Theta_u = p(\gamma_l = \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(bfl)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \Sigma_{b,\gamma})$$
. Then

$$\Theta_{u} = \int_{\pi} p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{l} = \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) p(\boldsymbol{\pi}) d\boldsymbol{\pi}$$

$$= B(c_{\pi} + q_{\gamma(u)}, d_{\pi} + L - q_{\gamma(u)}) / B(c_{\pi} + q_{\gamma(0)}, d_{\pi} + L - s - q_{\gamma(0)}), \qquad (A.4)$$

where $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the beta function, L is the number of interior breakpoints, and $q_{\gamma(0)}$ is the dimension of the model selected by $\gamma(\mathbf{0}) = \{ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_l = \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)} \}$. Using L_u and Θ_u as defined in (A.3) and (A.4), we have that (A.1) is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_l = \boldsymbol{u} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(l)}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\Theta_u L_u}{\sum_{u'} \Theta_{u'} L_{u'}},$$

where the sum in the denominator of the right side is taken over all zero-one vectors of dimension s.

Step 2(b): Draw $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}$ conditional on $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$. The posterior conditional is

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}) \propto \int_{\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}} \left\{ p(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}) \; p(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}) \right\} d\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma} \times p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}).$$

After integration, we see that $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \mid \{ \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2, \Sigma_b, \boldsymbol{y} \} \sim \text{MVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}|.}, \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}|.})$, where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}|.} = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}|.} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} X_{\gamma,i}^{\prime} X_{\gamma,i} + \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} X_{\gamma,i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} \text{ and}$$

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\beta}|.} = \left\{ n \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} + \frac{1}{c} I_{\gamma} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} X_{\gamma,i}^{\prime} X_{\gamma,i} + \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \right\}^{-1}.$$
(A.5)

Step 2(c): Draw the $\{\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ conditional on $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma},\sigma_{\epsilon}^2,\Sigma_{b,\gamma},\boldsymbol{y}\}$. The conditional posterior distributions of the $\{\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent $\text{MVN}((\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{b}_i|\cdot},\ \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}_i|\cdot}),\ \text{where}$

$$\mu_{\boldsymbol{b}_{i}|\cdot} = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}_{i}|\cdot} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} X_{\gamma,i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{y}_{i} + \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{b}_{i}|\cdot} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} X_{\gamma,i}^{\prime} X_{\gamma,i} + \Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{-1} \right)^{-1}. \tag{A.6}$$

Step 3: Draw σ_{ϵ}^2 conditional on $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma}, \Sigma_{b,\gamma}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$. The posterior conditional of σ_{ϵ}^2 is inverse gamma, $\mathrm{IG}(c_{\epsilon|\cdot}, d_{\epsilon|\cdot})$, with

$$c_{\epsilon|\cdot} = c_{\epsilon} + \frac{m}{2}$$
, and
 $d_{\epsilon|\cdot} = d_{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - X_{\gamma,i} \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i})' (\boldsymbol{y}_{i} - X_{\gamma,i} \boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i}).$ (A.7)

The parameters c_{ϵ} and d_{ϵ} may be chosen to obtain a vague prior on σ_{ϵ}^2 .

Step 4: Draw $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}$ conditional on $\{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma},\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma},\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2},\boldsymbol{y}\}$. The posterior conditional distribution of $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}$ is inverse Wishart, $\mathrm{IW}(\eta_{b|\cdot} S_{b|\cdot},\eta_{b|\cdot})$, where

$$\eta_{b|\cdot} = \eta_b + n, \text{ and}$$

$$S_{b|\cdot} = \frac{1}{\eta_{b|\cdot}} \left(\eta_b S_\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_\gamma \right) \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{\gamma,i} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_\gamma \right)' \right). \tag{A.8}$$

Let t be an ordered vector of τ time values containing all the unique time points in the data. Let X_{τ} denote the $\tau \times K$ design matrix of B-splines evaluated at all the points of t and let $X_{\tau,\gamma}$ be the $\tau \times q_{\gamma}$ design matrix corresponding to the breakpoints selected in γ . Furthermore, define $\Phi_{\gamma} = (X'_{\tau}X_{\tau})^{-1}X'_{\tau}X_{\tau,\gamma}$. Since models created by removing breakpoints are linear subspaces of the full model, we have that $X_{\tau}\Phi_{\gamma} = X_{\tau,\gamma}$ and hence we also have $X_{\tau,\gamma}\beta_{\gamma} = X_{\tau}\Phi_{\gamma}\beta_{\gamma}$. Thus, going from the full model to the model given by γ implies the linear restrictions on β (and similarly for the b_i 's) given by $\beta = \Phi_{\gamma}\beta_{\gamma}$ and hence

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\gamma} = (\Phi_{\gamma}' \Phi_{\gamma})^{-1} \Phi_{\gamma}' \boldsymbol{\beta} = \Omega_{\gamma}' \boldsymbol{\beta}$, where $\Omega_{\gamma} = \Phi_{\gamma} (\Phi_{\gamma}' \Phi_{\gamma})^{-1}$. This implies that the covariance matrix $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}$ can be obtained from Σ_b by using the relationship $\Sigma_{b,\gamma} = \Omega_{\gamma}' \Sigma_b \Omega_{\gamma}$. Additionally, let $P_{\Omega_{\gamma}} = \Omega_{\gamma} (\Omega_{\gamma}' \Omega_{\gamma})^{-1} \Omega_{\gamma}'$. Then,

$$\Sigma_b = P_{\Omega_{\gamma}} \Sigma_b P_{\Omega_{\gamma}} + (I - P_{\Omega_{\gamma}}) \Sigma_b (I - P_{\Omega_{\gamma}})$$

$$= \Omega_{\gamma} (\Omega_{\gamma}' \Omega_{\gamma})^{-1} \Sigma_{b,\gamma} (\Omega_{\gamma}' \Omega_{\gamma})^{-1} \Omega_{\gamma}' + (I - P_{\Omega_{\gamma}}) \Sigma_b (I - P_{\Omega_{\gamma}}). \tag{A.9}$$

At iteration r+1 of the MCMC algorithm, let $\Sigma_b^{(r)}$ be the value of Σ_b generated in the previous iteration. Step 4 consists of drawing $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{(r+1)}$ according to the $\mathrm{IW}(S_{b|\cdot},\eta_{b|\cdot})$ as detailed above and then using (A.9), with $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}^{(r+1)}$ in place of $\Sigma_{b,\gamma}$ and $\Sigma_b^{(r)}$ in place of Σ_b on the right side of this equation to obtain the new draw of the full covariance matrix $\Sigma_b^{(r+1)}$.