Differential expression of circulating biomarkers of tumor phenotype and outcomes in previously treated non-small cell lung cancer patients receiving erlotinib vs. cytotoxic chemotherapy

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Biomarker	HR	95% CI	p-value	
sIL-2Ralpha	6.1	(2.61,14.23)	<.001	
STNFRI	3.31	(1.68,6.52)	0.001	
STNFRI	1.9	(1.28, 2.82)	0.001	_ >
IL-8	4.94	(1.77,13.76)	0.002	\rightarrow
Tenascin C	3.57	(1.53,8.36)	0.003	\longrightarrow
TGF alpha	2.75	(1.35,5.63)	0.006	\longrightarrow
OPN	2.08	(1.21, 3.58)	0.008	
HGF	2.76	(1.24,6.17)	0.013	
sgp130	1.66	(1.06,2.58)	0.025	∎ →
sIL-1RI	1.83	(1.04,3.21)	0.035	_ →
IL-6	1.27	(1.01,1.6)	0.04	
IGFBP-1	5.1	(1.05,24.79)	0.043	
EGFR	0.41	(0.17,0.99)	0.048	
TGF alpha	2.05	(0.98,4.25)	0.055	
C-peptide	1.47	(0.99, 2.19)	0.058	→
SRAGE	2.64	(0.94, 7.41)	0.066	
FGF-2	1.36	(0.97, 1.91)	0.072	
Epiregulin	0.51	(0.24, 1.07)	0.074	
PDGF B	0.62	(0.36,1.05)	0.075	
IL-8	1.44	(0.94, 2.21)	0.091	
IGFBP-2	1.58	(0.89,2.8)	0.119	∎ →→
MIF	1.33	(0.93, 1.89)	0.121	
TNF alpha	1.47	(0.9,2.4)	0.125	e →
eta-HCG	1.17	(0.95,1.43)	0.132	
VEGF	1.4	(0.9,2.16)	0.133	·
Prolactin	1.42	(0.89,2.27)	0.136	
sIL-6R	1.23	(0.94, 1.62)	0.137	
EGF	0.63	(0.33, 1.17)	0.14	-
CEA	1.2	(0.94, 1.53)	0.148	
sFas	1.41	(0.88, 2.24)	0.149	_
IGF-2	0.7	(0.41,1.2)	0.195	
IGFBP-4	1.3	(0.87, 1.95)	0.205	
sCD30	3.43	(0.43,27.2)	0.243	
SCF	1.31	(0.83,2.07)	0.249	
sVEGFR2	1.32	(0.82,2.12)	0.25	
IGFBP-7	1.32	(0.82,2.13)	0.256	→• →→
PSA (total)	0.89	(0.72,1.1)	0.276	
IGF-1	0.77	(0.48, 1.23)	0.276	
				0.5 1 1.5 2
				HR

Supplementary Figure 1: Forest plot of Cox PH regression analysis findings for progression-free survival in the chemotherapy cohort. Hazard ratios (HR), confidence intervals (CI) and p-values are provided for each biomarker.

Biomarker	HR	95% CI	p-value		
OPN	1.48	(1.19,1.84)	<.001		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Leptin	0.6	(0.44,0.81)	0.001		
sTNFRII	1.43	(1.16,1.78)	0.001		
TGF alpha	1.45	(1.14, 1.83)	0.002		
Amphiregulin	1.44	(1.11, 1.88)	0.007		
FGF-2	0.6	(0.41,0.87)	0.008		
FGF basic	1.41	(1.09, 1.82)	0.008		
STNFRI	1.56	(1.12,2.18)	0.009		→
CA 15-3	1.87	(1.15,3.05)	0.012		
CA 125	1.27	(1.05, 1.55)	0.015		
IGFBP-1	1.22	(1.03, 1.43)	0.018		
sFas	1.36	(1.05, 1.75)	0.018		
PIGF	1.34	(1.04, 1.72)	0.023		
IGFBP-5	1.31	(1.01,1.71)	0.044		
sIL-1RII	1.26	(0.99,1.61)	0.061		
IGFBP-2	1.2	(0.99,1.45)	0.063		
sIL-4R	0.82	(0.66,1.01)	0.064		T
sIL-1RI	0.79	(0.62,1.02)	0.069		
IL-8	1.26	(0.97,1.64)	0.082		
HGF	1.2	(0.97,1.47)	0.087		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Betacellulin	0.78	(0.59,1.04)	0.09		-
IGFBP-4	1.23	(0.96,1.56)	0.096		
alpha-fetoprotein	0.73	(0.5,1.06)	0.098		-
VEGF	1.21	(0.96,1.52)	0.115	-	
sIL-2Ralpha	1.2	(0.96,1.51)	0.116		-
IGF-2	0.82	(0.63,1.06)	0.133		
Prolactin	1.19	(0.94,1.52)	0.143		
PDGF B	0.84	(0.66,1.07)	0.155		-
SRAGE	0.88	(0.72,1.06)	0.171		
RANTES	1.17	(0.91,1.5)	0.218		-
sFasL	0.88	(0.69,1.11)	0.274		-
sgp130	1.15	(0.88,1.51)	0.304		•
CYFRA 21-1	1.09	(0.93,1.27)	0.309		•
PSA (total)	1.21	(0.83, 1.77)	0.315		-
Tenascin C	1.14	(0.88,1.47)	0.324		•
TNF-alpha	1.16	(0.86,1.57)	0.334		-
IL-8	0.92	(0.78,1.09)	0.339		
				0.5	1 1.5 2
					HR

Supplementary Figure 2: Forest plot of Cox PH regression analysis findings for progression-free survival in the erlotinib cohort. Hazard ratios (HR), confidence intervals (CI) and p-values are provided for each biomarker.



Supplementary Figure 3: Representative immunostaining for E-cadherin and vimentin. Sections demonstrating the typical images for E-cadherin "low" (panel A), E-cadherin "high" (Panel B), vimentin "low" (Panel C) and Vimetin "high" (Panel D). Staining intensity and distribution for each specimen were recorded in a minimum of 25 randomly selected fields (x400 magnification) along a serpentine pattern using a 3-point intensity-based scoring system, defined as 0, negative; 1, weak; and 2, strong. The percentage of cells staining positive at each of these intensities was recorded for each field evaluated and ultimately averaged to generate values characteristic of the observed staining for each case. A final score was then calculated as the sum of the fractions positive in each of the intensity scores multiplied by the average frequency.



Supplementary Figure 4: Biomarker-Interaction analysis findings presented in forest plot format for overall survival. Hazard ratios (HR), confidence intervals (CI) and p-values are provided along with examples of known biological pathways/ processes involvement for each biomarker. This analysis assigns the differential associations of biomarker levels with the hazard of outcome events in the erlotinib cohort relative to the single-agent chemotherapy patients.

Biomarker	Interac. HR	95% CI	p-value					
slL-2Ralpha	0.37	(0.22,0.64)	<.001	1				
IL-8	0.22	(0.09,0.53)	0.001	-				
FGF-2	0.45	(0.28, 0.73)	0.001		-		_	
sIL-1RI	0.42	(0.24, 0.74)	0.003	2	-			
TGF alpha	0.4	(0.21,0.77)	0.006	Sec.	-		- 252	
Tenascin C	0.45	(0.25, 0.81)	0.007	_	-			
Leptin	0.56	(0.36,0.87)	0.011					
HGF	0.42	(0.19,0.9)	0.027	÷.		dan di		-
STNFRII	0.52	(0.28, 0.93)	0.029	23		-		TC .
C-peptide	0.63	(0.4,0.98)	0.039		<u></u>			
SRAGE	0.4	(0.15,1.07)	0.069	35	-			_
VEGF	0.65	(0.4,1.06)	0.082		-			_
					1	1	1	_
				0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1
						HR		

Supplementary Figure 5: Biomarker-Interaction analysis findings presented in forest plot format for progression-free survival. Hazard ratios (HR), confidence intervals (CI) and p-values are provided for each biomarker. High circulating levels of sIL-2R α , IL-8, FGF-2, sIL-1RI, TGF- α , Tenascin C, leptin, HGF, sTNF-RII and C-peptide were associated (interaction HR=0.37, 0.22, 0.45, 0.42, 0.40, 0.45, 0.56, 0.42, 0.52, and 0.63, respectively; all p<0.05) with a relatively higher risk of progression (PFS) in the chemotherapy cohort.