## CHEERS Checklist Items to include when reporting economic evaluations of health interventions

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report**, *Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards* (*CHEERS*)—*Explanation and Elaboration: A Report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluations Publication Guidelines Good Reporting Practices Task Force*, provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the *Value in Health* or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines – CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: <u>http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp</u>

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on page No/ line No
Title and abstract			Page and Line refer to
Title	1	Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more the specific terms such as "cost-effectiveness analysis", and describe the interventions compared.	PDF document generate Page 2 Line 3
Abstract	2	Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses), and conclusions.	Page 4
Introduction			
Background and objectives	3	<ul><li>Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study.</li><li>Present the study question and its relevance for health policy or practice decisions.</li></ul>	Page 5 line 8 - 20
Methods			
Target population and subgroups	4	Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analysed, including why they were chosen.	Page 6 Line 41 Page 17 Table 1
Setting and location	5	State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s) need(s) to be made.	Page 6 Line 8
Study perspective	6	Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated.	Page 9 Line 46
Comparators	7	Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen.	Page 8 Line 3 - 34
Time horizon	8	State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate.	Page 4 Line 27
Discount rate	9	Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate.	Page 8 Line 48
Choice of health outcomes	10	Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.	Page 32 Line 32 - 44
Measurement of effectiveness	11a	<i>Single study-based estimates:</i> Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.	Page 32 Line 22 -27



	11b	<i>Synthesis-based estimates:</i> Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical	
		effectiveness data.	N/A
Measurement and	12	If applicable, describe the population and methods used to	
valuation of preference based outcomes		elicit preferences for outcomes.	Page 32 Line 22 - 27
Estimating resources	13a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches	
and costs		used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative	
		interventions. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost.	5
		Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity	
		costs.	N/A
	13b	Model-based economic evaluation: Describe approaches and	
		data sources used to estimate resource use associated with	
		model health states. Describe primary or secondary research	
		methods for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to Ap	pendix 1 From page 29 - 33
		opportunity costs.	policix 111011 page 20 00
Currency, price date,	14	Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit	
and conversion		costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to	
		the year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for	
		converting costs into a common currency base and the exchange rate.	Page 8 Line 51
Choice of model	15	Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision-	Fage o Line 51
		analytical model used. Providing a figure to show model	Page 8 Line 13 and
		structure is strongly recommended.	Page 22 Figure 1
Assumptions	16	Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the	Page 36
Analytical methods	17	decision-analytical model. Describe all analytical methods supporting the evaluation. This	
Analytical methods	17	could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or	,
		censored data; extrapolation methods; methods for pooling	
		data; approaches to validate or make adjustments (such as half	
		cycle corrections) to a model; and methods for handling	Page 33 Line 13 - 32
		population heterogeneity and uncertainty.	
<b>Results</b> Study parameters	18	Report the values, ranges, references, and, if used, probability	
Study parameters	10	distributions for all parameters. Report reasons or sources for	
		distributions used to represent uncertainty where appropriate.	Page 33 Line 13 - 32
		Providing a table to show the input values is strongly	Page 18 Table 2,
<b>.</b>	10	recommended.	Page 33 Appendix Table 1
Incremental costs and	19	For each intervention, report mean values for the main categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well	
outcomes		as mean differences between the comparator groups. If	Page 9 Line 17 to
		applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios.	Page 10 Line 41
Characterising	20a	Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects	
uncertainty		of sampling uncertainty for the estimated incremental cost and	N/A
		incremental effectiveness parameters, together with the impact	



		of methodological assumptions (such as discount rate, study perspective).	N/A
	20b	<i>Model-based economic evaluation:</i> Describe the effects on the results of uncertainty for all input parameters, and uncertainty related to the structure of the model and assumptions.	Page 10 Line 45 - Page 12 Line 41
Characterising	21	If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes, or cost-	
heterogeneity		effectiveness that can be explained by variations between subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or	
		other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by more information.	Page 17 Table 1 Page 43 Appendix Figure 2
Discussion			
Study findings, limitations,	22	Summarise key study findings and describe how they support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the	
generalisability, and current knowledge		generalisability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge.	Page 12 Line 50 - Page 16 Line 22
Other			
Source of funding	23	Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder in the identification, design, conduct, and reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-monetary sources of support.	Page 3 Line 21 - 26
Conflicts of interest	24	Describe any potential for conflict of interest of study contributors in accordance with journal policy. In the absence of a journal policy, we recommend authors comply with	
		International Committee Medical Journal Editors recommendations.	Page 3 Line 28 - 31

For consistency, the CHEERS Statement checklist format is based on the format of the CONSORT statement checklist

The **ISPOR CHEERS Task Force Report** provides examples and further discussion of the 24-item CHEERS Checklist and the CHEERS Statement. It may be accessed via the *Value in Health* link or via the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines – CHEERS: Good Reporting Practices webpage: <u>http://www.ispor.org/TaskForces/EconomicPubGuidelines.asp</u>

The citation for the CHEERS Task Force Report is:

Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS)—Explanation and elaboration: A report of the ISPOR health economic evaluations publication guidelines good reporting practices task force. Value Health 2013;16:231-50.

