BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email

BMJ Open

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing? A Protocol for a Meta-Analytic Review of Randomised Controlled Trials

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	Draft
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Alex; Sheffield University, School of Health and Related Research Webb, Thomas; Sheffield University, Department of Psychology Rowse, Georgina; Sheffield University, Clinical Psychology Unit
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Meta-analysis, Review, Protocol, Sleep, MENTAL HEALTH, Wellbeing

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   1

1   **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing? A Protocol for a Meta-**

2   **Analytic Review of Randomised Controlled Trials**

3   Alexander J. Scott, Thomas L. Webb, & Georgina Rowse

4   The University of Sheffield, UK

8

9

10

11

12

13   Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alexander Scott, School of

14   Health and Related Research, The University of Sheffield, Regent Court, 30 Regent Street,

15   Sheffield, S1 4DA, alex.scott@sheffield.ac.uk

Version 1: 14/03/2017

16 **Abstract**

17 **Introduction**: Sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental health

18 problems being associated with problems sleeping. Although sleep has been traditionally

19 conceptualized as a secondary consequence of mental health problems, contemporary views

20 prescribe a more influential, causal role of sleep in the formation and maintenance of mental

21 health problems. One way to evaluate this assertion is to examine the extent to which

22 interventions that successfully improve sleep also improve mental health and wellbeing.

23 **Method and analysis:** Randomized controlled trials describing the effects of interventions

24 designed to improve sleep on mental health and wellbeing will be retrieved via a systematic

25 search of bibliographic databases. Following this, meta-analysis will be used to synthesize the

26 effects reported in eligible trials and investigate the impact of variables that could potentially

27 moderate the effect of changes in sleep on outcomes pertaining to mental health and wellbeing.

28 **Ethics and dissemination:** This study requires no ethical approval. We will submit the findings

29 for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and promote the review to relevant stakeholders (i.e.,

30 clinicians, policy makers, and the general public).

31

32 *Word count:* 180 words

33

34 *Keywords*: Meta-analysis; protocol; review; sleep; mental health; wellbeing; intervention

35

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   3

Strengths

- The present review will significantly strengthen the evidence base on the effect of interventions designed to improve sleep on mental health and wellbeing outcomes.

- The present review will elucidate the causal relationship between sleep and mental health.

- We will use the GRADE system to report the strength of the evidence base. This will allow members of the public, researchers and clinicians to quickly access the available evidence and judge its quality.

Limitations

- The present review will include a diverse range of interventions and target problems that might lead to a heterogeneous group of studies. To mitigate this we will use moderation analysis to investigate specific factors that might influence the effect of sleep improvement on mental health and wellbeing.

49 **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing? A Protocol for a Meta-**

50 **Analytic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials**

51   Difficulties sleeping and mental health problems are both public health concerns in their

52 own right; with each having a substantive impact on both individuals and society as a whole [1-4].

53 However, sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental health problems

54 being associated with problems sleeping [5-7]. Traditionally, sleep problems have been viewed as a

55 consequence of mental health problems; however, evidence suggests that problems sleeping can

56 contribute both to the formation of new mental health problems [8-10] and to the maintenance of

57 existing ones [11-13]. In other words, sleep is now thought to be causally related to mental health,

58 with problems sleeping likely to influence both the onset and trajectory of a variety of mental

59 health difficulties. Having said this, although a number of empirical studies have manipulated

60 sleep and examined the impact of so doing on outcomes related to mental health, to date there

61 has not been a systematic review of these studies. Consequently, the magnitude of the effect of

62 (changes in) sleep on mental health problems is difficult to estimate and has not been compared

63 between different mental health outcomes and other factors that might influence the effect (e.g.,

64 across different groups of participants, research designs, and approaches to intervention).

65   The potential for a causal relationship between sleep and mental health also raises an

66 intriguing prospect; namely, that interventions designed to improve sleep could also improve

67 mental health. Providing a definitive answer to this question would have important implications

68 for clinicians, researchers, and members of the public alike. From a practical perspective, if

69 interventions designed to improve sleep can change mental health outcomes, then they may be a

70 useful tool for tackling mental health difficulties. Indeed, interventions designed to improve

71 sleep can often be delivered remotely, in self-help and group formats, and / or at little cost

72   through the internet [14-18]. For example, a meta-analysis by Ho et al. reported that self-help

73   interventions based on the principles of CBT for insomnia (termed CBTi) had medium-to-large

74   effects on the symptoms of insomnia[16].

75   **Problems with extant literature and opportunities**

76   The relationship between sleep and mental health is well documented, with numerous

77   reviews testifying to a robust link between the two [6-8 19-24]. However, the majority of these

78   reviews have tended to focus on primary studies with correlational research designs. That is, they

79   either; i) measure associations between variables at a single time point (i.e., cross-sectional

80   designs); or ii) measure associations between variables at multiple time points (i.e., longitudinal

81   designs). For example, many reviews simply report the typical sleep profiles of those with

82   mental health difficulties relative to those without [25-28]. Cross-sectional designs simply tell us

83   that variables are associated in some way. It is impossible to determine whether sleep causes

84   mental health complaints, or mental health complaints cause difficulties sleeping, or whether the

85   effect is bi-directional in nature.

86   Longitudinal studies, although still correlational in nature, are better able to elucidate

87   causality than their cross-sectional counterparts. However, only a handful of reviews have

88   provided evidence on the relationship between sleep (at one point in time) and mental health

89   outcomes (measured later), and all of these have focused on depression, tending to report that

90   poor sleep quality is associated with depression [8 29-31]. For example, Baglioni et al. [8] conducted a

91   meta-analytic evaluation of 21 studies investigating the longitudinal associations between

92   insomnia and depression. Baglioni et al. reported that non-depressed people with insomnia had a

93   twofold risk of developing depression compared to people who did not experience difficulties

94   sleeping at baseline. Longitudinal designs, although better placed to infer causation, are still

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?

6

95   susceptible to the 'third variable problem' [32-34]. Namely, that a third, unmeasured variable (e.g.,

96   having young children) could cause both sleep difficulties and mental health problems. In

97   summary, correlational designs are not a valid way of disentangling the relationship between

98   problems sleeping and mental health.

99   Some reviews have assessed the impact of interventions designed to improve sleep on

100   mental health outcomes [18 35-38 24 39 40]. However, even these reviews do not permit us to draw

101   robust conclusions as to the causal impact of sleep quality on mental health outcomes for a

102   number of reasons. First, these reviews often include interventions that have not successfully

103   manipulated sleep (i.e., studies in which there was no significant impact of the intervention on

104   sleep outcomes). Such studies do not tell us anything about the relationship between sleep and

105   mental health other than that it can be difficult to improve sleep. Second, the focus of extant

106   reviews reporting both sleep and mental health variables has been on improving sleep, with the

107   measurement of mental health outcomes typically limited to depression and anxiety.

108   Consequently, the effect of improving sleep on other mental health difficulties and wellbeing

109   more broadly is currently unclear.

110   Finally, to our knowledge, there has been no attempt to date to investigate variables that

111   influence, or *moderate*, the impact of interventions that improve sleep on mental health.

112   Interventions designed to improve sleep are likely to vary in their content and delivery, and such

113   variables may influence how effective they are in improving sleep and / or mental health

114   outcomes. Furthermore, variables related to the nature of the sample (e.g., age, severity of

115   symptoms, nature of the mental health problem) and methodological features of the primary

116   study (e.g., self-report vs. objective assessment of the outcome variables, and so on) are likely to

117   influence the effect of the respective intervention. It is therefore crucial that the impact of such

118 variables is systematically examined across the extant evidence base in order to draw reliable and

119 valid conclusions about the impact of changes in sleep on outcomes pertaining to mental health

120 and well-being.

121 **The proposed review**

122 A number of primary research studies have experimentally manipulated sleep (typically

123 via some sort of psychological intervention) and then measured mental health outcomes.

124 However, these individual studies have, to our knowledge, never been integrated in a manner that

125 allows the magnitude of the effect of sleep on mental health outcomes to be estimated. Therefore,

126 it is currently difficult to; i) draw firm conclusions about the relationship between sleep and

127 various mental health problems; and ii) recommend with any confidence that mental health

128 problems might be tackled using interventions that have been designed to improve sleep.

129 Furthermore, there has been no attempt to date to understand the factors that influence, or

130 moderate, the effect of improvements in sleep on mental health. As a consequence, clinicians,

131 researchers, and members of the public may be unaware of whether and how the content and

132 nature of the intervention(s), target sample and mental health problem, and methodological

133 features of the primary study can influence the efficacy of an intervention.

134 **Objectives**

135 The proposed review therefore has two broad objectives; i) to synthesize and quantify the

136 effect of interventions that *successfully* improve sleep quality on mental health outcomes; and ii)

137 to explore variables that moderate the effect of interventions targeting sleep on both sleep and

138 mental health outcomes.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing? 8

139 **Method and Analysis**

140   This protocol has been prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for

141 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol (PRISMA-P, see Supplementary Materials 1)

142 checklist [41].

143   **Eligibility Criteria**

144   *Inclusion criteria*

145   In order to be included in the proposed review, the primary studies need to:

146 1. Randomly allocate participants to either an experimental group that receive an intervention

147   that is designed to improve sleep or a comparator group.

148 2. Report a statistically significant improvement on a measure of sleep quality among

149   participants in the experimental group as compared to those in the comparison group.

150 3. Include a measure of mental health outcomes subsequent to the measure of sleep quality.

151 4. Report sufficient data for us to be able to compute an effect size. Where sufficient data is not

152   reported, we will contact the authors and request further data. However, if this is not

153   provided then the study will not be included in the review.

154   *Exclusion criteria*

155   The aim of the proposed review is to be as inclusive as possible and address potential

156 differences between the primary studies (e.g., differences in the nature of the intervention or the

157 mental health problem under consideration) using moderation analysis. Therefore, very few

158 exclusion criteria will be applied. For example, we will not restrict the type of intervention (e.g.,

159 psychological and pharmacological), publication status, nature of the comparison condition, or

160 sample. However, studies with the following characteristics will be excluded in order to ensure

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   9

161   that we can reliably assess the independent contribution of changes in sleep to mental health

162   outcomes:

163   1. Studies where the intervention contains elements that specifically target a mental health

164   problem alongside improving sleep (e.g., an intervention that provides CBT for anxiety

165   alongside efforts to improve sleep).

166   2. Studies adopting a pre-post (or within participant) design.

167   **Information Sources**

168   The proposed review will use a combination of search techniques and sources in order to

169   identify potential studies. First, we will search MEDLINE, Embase, PsycINFO, and The

170   Cochrane Library using the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy[42] to identify randomized

171   controlled trials that include terms relating to sleep quality/disorders and mental health/wellbeing

172   outcomes (see Table 1 for a list of the proposed search terms). The search strategy has been

173   developed in collaboration with a health sciences librarian specializing in systematic search

174   procedures and will be used to search each database (see Supplementary Materials 2 for an

175   example search strategy). Second, the reference lists of extant reviews of the relationship

176   between sleep and mental health (cited in the introduction) will be searched for any potential

177   articles. Third, a search for any unpublished or ongoing studies will be conducted by searching

178   online databases including White Rose Online, The National Research Register, WHO approved

179   clinical trial databases, and PROSPERO. Finally, the authors of articles deemed eligible for

180   inclusion will be contacted and asked if they are aware of any unpublished research that may be

181   eligible for inclusion in the review.

182   **Data management**

183   All records will be stored in the reference management software Endnote, and we will

184 follow PRISMA guidelines for the selection of studies for meta-analysis [43]. Specifically, when

185 the pool of potential studies have been identified, we will remove duplicates and initially screen

186 each record based on the title and abstract and exclude clearly ineligible studies. Following this

187 initial screening, the full-text versions of each article will be reviewed in detail and cross-

188 referenced with the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The flow of articles through the review,

189 including the reasons for excluding studies will be documented in a PRISMA flow chart.

190   **Data Extraction**

191   Data will be recorded on standardized data extraction forms and a manual to accompany

192 the form will detail each variable to be extracted alongside definitions and examples (see

193 Supplementary Materials 3 & 4). Two reviewers will pilot the data collection forms and manual

194 on three of the included articles in order to ensure that there are no systematic problems or

195 difficulties coding any of the variables. After this, the data will be extracted from the full set of

196 studies by one reviewer. A second member of the review team will second code a subset of the

197 included articles (at least 10%) and levels of agreement will be calculated. Any disagreements

198 will be resolved through discussion, with a third member of the review team acting as arbiter for

199 any outstanding disagreements. The review team will extract meta-data pertaining to source

200 characteristics (e.g., publication status and year,), as well as data relating to the characteristics of

201 the sample (e.g., age, type of sleep/mental health problem etc.), the study (e.g., nature of the

202 comparison group, length of follow-up etc.), and characteristics of the intervention (e.g.,

203 theoretical basis, delivery modality etc.) (see Table 2 for an overview of potential moderators

204 that we will code and examine).

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   11

205 **Proposed Analysis**

206   Review Manager 5.3 Cochrane Collaboration, [44] will be used to compute Hedges g using

207 the post-treatment means and standard deviations for each variable reflecting sleep quality,

208 mental health and wellbeing reported in studies comparing outcomes between an intervention

209 group (i.e., a group receiving an intervention that improves sleep) and a comparison group (e.g.,

210 wait-list, placebo, treatment as usual etc.). Where a study reports multiple outcome measures

211 under one diagnostic category (e.g., several measures of depression), the effect sizes will be

212 computed for each outcome and meta-analyzed in their own right to form one overall effect for

213 inclusion in the main analysis. Where means and standard deviations are not reported and it is

214 not possible to compute Hedges g from the data provided in the report (e.g., test statistics,

215 confidence intervals etc.), then we will attempt to contact the author(s) for this information.

216   The sample-weighted average effect size (g_+) will be computed using a random effects

217 model as studies are likely to be "different from one another in ways too complex to capture by a

218 few simple study characteristics" [45]. Following Cohen's [46] recommendations, $g = 0.20$ will be

219 taken to represent a 'small' effect size, $g = 0.50$ a 'medium' effect size, and $g = 0.80$ a 'large'

220 effect size. We will use these qualitative indices to interpret the findings. Publication bias will be

221 assessed via visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger's test [47]. Finally, Orwin's [48] formula will

222 be used to determine the fail-safe n (i.e., the number of studies producing a null effect that would

223 be needed to reduce the overall effect of interventions that improve sleep on outcomes relating to

224 mental health and wellbeing to a trivial effect size).

225   **Heterogeneity, Bias and Study Quality**

226   The I^2 statistic will be used to assess heterogeneity across all the primary studies [49]. The

227 quality of each individual study included in the present review will be assessed using the Jadad

228   scale for reporting randomized controlled trials [50]. The Jadad scale assesses three key areas of

229   methodological quality that potentially impact the risk of bias – namely; randomization, blinding

230   and the flow of participants through the study. In order to assess these areas, raters will be asked

231   to answer three questions including: i) "*Was the study described as randomized (this includes the*

232   *use of words such as randomly, random, and randomization)*"; ii) "*Was the study described as*

233   *double blind?*"; and iii) "*Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts?*". Scores on the

234   Jadad scale range from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating a lower risk of bias (and therefore

235   higher methodological quality). The Jadad scale for reporting randomized controlled trials has

236   been extensively used as a measure of the methodological quality of RCTs (having received over

237   7,500 citations to date) and has been recommended as the most reliable and valid scale for

238   assessing the quality of RCTs, in a review of 21 measures [51]. Finally, the Grading of

239   Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system [52 53] will be used

240   to assess the quality of the body of evidence as a whole investigating the effect of interventions

241   to improve sleep on mental health and wellbeing.

242   **Moderation Analysis**

243   Moderation analyses will be performed to identify the variables that influence the effect

244   of interventions that improve sleep on both sleep outcomes, as well as those relating to mental

245   health and wellbeing. For continuous moderators (e.g., age, publication year, study quality etc.),

246   sample weighted meta-regression will be used to investigate the impact of the moderator on

247   effect sizes. For categorical variables (e.g., self-report vs. objective outcome measures, the nature

248   of the comparison condition etc.), the sample weighted mean effect size g and associated

249   standard errors will be computed for each level of the moderator and the Q statistic will be used

250   to assess if the difference is statistically significant.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   13

251 **Ethics and Dissemination**

252   As the proposed research is a meta-analytic review of primary studies, no ethical

253 approval is required. We have registered the proposed review on the PROSPERO database in

254 order to adhere to the principles of open research. Following completion of the review, we aim to

255 publish the findings in a peer reviewed academic journal and attend conferences and

256 dissemination events with stakeholders where possible.

257 **Author Contributions**

258   The first author (AJS) had the idea for the proposed review and approached TLW and

259 GR, who contributed to the design of the research. AJS drafted the protocol and TLW and GR

260 provided detailed comments before submission. AJS is the identified guarantor of the review.

261 **Funding Statement**

262   This research has not yet received any funding from the public, commercial or not-for-

263 profit sectors.

264 **Competing Interests**

265   There are no competing interests.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   14

266   **References**

267   1. Colten HR, Altevogt BM. Sleep disorders and sleep deprivation: An unmet public health

268   problem: National Academies Press 2006.

269   2. Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, et al. Mental health of young people: A global public-health

270   challenge. *The Lancet* 2007;369(9569):1302-13.

271   3. Robotham D. Sleep as a public health concern: Insomnia and mental health. *Journal of Public

272   Mental Health* 2011;10(4):234-37.

273   4. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. *The lancet*

274   2007;370(9590):859-77.

275   5. Ford DE, Kamerow DB. Epidemiologic study of sleep disturbances and psychiatric disorders:

276   An opportunity for prevention? *Jama* 1989;262(11):1479-84.

277   6. Baglioni C, Nanovska S, Regen W, et al. Sleep and mental disorders: A meta-analysis of

278   polysomnographic research. *Psychological Bulletin* 2016;14:14.

279   7. Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, et al. Sleep and psychiatric disorders: A meta-

280   analysis. *Archives of general psychiatry* 1992;49(8):651-68.

281   8. Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: A meta-

282   analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *Journal of affective disorders*

283   2011;135(1):10-19.

284   9. Gregory AM, Rijsdijk FV, Lau J, et al. The direction of longitudinal associations between

285   sleep problems and depression symptoms: A study of twins aged 8 and 10 years. *Sleep*

286   2009;32(2):189-99.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   15

287   10. Breslau N, Roth T, Rosenthal L, et al. Sleep disturbance and psychiatric disorders: A

288   longitudinal epidemiological study of young adults. *Biological psychiatry*

289   1996;39(6):411-18.

290   11. Harvey AG. Insomnia: Symptom or diagnosis? *Clinical psychology review* 2001;21(7):1037-

291   59.

292   12. Soehner AM, Kaplan KA, Harvey AG. Insomnia comorbid to severe psychiatric illness. *Sleep*

293   *medicine clinics* 2013;8(3):361-71.

294   13. Freeman D, Stahl D, McManus S, et al. Insomnia, worry, anxiety and depression as

295   predictors of the occurrence and persistence of paranoid thinking. *Social psychiatry and*

296   *psychiatric epidemiology* 2012;47(8):1195-203.

297   14. Cuijpers P, Van Straten A, Andersson G. Internet-administered cognitive behavior therapy for

298   health problems: A systematic review. *Journal of behavioral medicine* 2008;31(2):169-

299   77.

300   15. Wu JQ, Appleman ER, Salazar RD, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia

301   comorbid with psychiatric and medical conditions a meta-analysis. *Jama Internal*

302   *Medicine* 2015;175(9):1461-72. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.3006

303   16. Ho FY, Chung KF, Yeung WF, et al. Self-help cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia: A

304   meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:17-28.

305   17. van Straten A, Cuijpers P. Self-help therapy for insomnia: A meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine*

306   *Reviews* 2009;13(1):61-71. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2008.04.006

307   18. Koffel EA, Koffel JB, Gehrman PR. A meta-analysis of group cognitive behavioral therapy

308   for insomnia. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:6-16. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.05.001

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   16

309   19. Lovato N, Gradisar M. A meta-analysis and model of the relationship between sleep and

310   depression in adolescents: Recommendations for future research and clinical practice.

311   *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2014;18(6):521-9.

312   20. Chan MS, Chung KF, Yung KP, et al. Sleep in schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-

313   analysis of polysomnographic findings in case-control studies. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*

314   2016;10:10.

315   21. Kobayashi I, Boarts JM, Delahanty DL. Polysomnographically measured sleep abnormalities

316   in ptsd: A meta-analytic review. *Psychophysiology* 2007;44(4):660-9.

317   22. Nota JA, Sharkey KM, Coles ME. Sleep, arousal, and circadian rhythms in adults with

318   obsessive-compulsive disorder: A meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*

319   2015;51:100-7.

320   23. Reeve S, Sheaves B, Freeman D. The role of sleep dysfunction in the occurrence of delusions

321   and hallucinations: A systematic review. *Clinical psychology review* 2015;42:96-115.

322   24. Belleville G, Cousineau H, Levrier K, et al. Meta-analytic review of the impact of cognitive-

323   behavior therapy for insomnia on concomitant anxiety. *Clinical Psychology Review*

324   2011;31(4):638-52. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2011.02.004

325   25. Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, et al. Sleep and psychiatric-disorders - a

326   metaanalysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1992;49(8):651-68.

327   26. Baglioni C, Nanovska S, Regen W, et al. Sleep and mental disorders: A meta-analysis of

328   polysomnographic research. *Psychological Bulletin* 2016;142(9):969-90. doi:

329   10.1037/bul0000053

330   27. Chouinard S, Poulin J, Stip E, et al. Sleep in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Schizophrenia*

331   *Research* 2001;49(1-2):200-00.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   17

332   28. Diaz-Roman A, Perestelo-Perez L, Buela-Casal G. Sleep in obsessive-compulsive disorder: A

333   systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine* 2015;16(9):1049-55. doi:

334   10.1016/j.sleep.2015.03.020

335   29. Cole MG, Dendukuri N. Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects: A

336   systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2003;160(6):1147-

337   56. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.6.1147

338   30. Lovato N, Gradisar M. A meta-analysis and model of the relationship between sleep and

339   depression in adolescents: Recommendations for future research and clinical practice.

340   *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2014;18(6):521-29. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.03.006

341   31. Zhai L, Zhang H, Zhang D. Sleep duration and depression among adults: A meta-analysis of

342   prospective studies. *Depression and Anxiety* 2015;32(9):664-70. doi: 10.1002/da.22386

343   32. Mauro R. Understanding love (left out variables error): A method for estimating the effects of

344   omitted variables. *Psychological Bulletin* 1990;108(2):314.

345   33. Zapf D, Dormann C, Frese M. Longitudinal studies in organizational stress research: A

346   review of the literature with reference to methodological issues. *Journal of occupational*

347   *health psychology* 1996;1(2):145.

348   34. Duckworth AL, Tsukayama E, May H. Establishing causality using longitudinal hierarchical

349   linear modeling: An illustration predicting achievement from self-control. *Social*

350   *psychological and personality science* 2010

351   35. Ho FY-Y, Chung K-F, Yeung W-F, et al. Self-help cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia:

352   A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:17-28.

353   doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.06.010

354 36. Tang NKY, Lereya ST, Boulton H, et al. Nonpharmacological treatments of insomnia for

355 long-term painful conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient-reported

356 outcomes in randomized controlled trials. *Sleep* 2015;38(11):1751-+. doi:

357 10.5665/sleep.5158

358 37. Okajima I, Komada Y, Inoue Y. A meta-analysis on the treatment effectiveness of cognitive

359 behavioral therapy for primary insomnia. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms* 2011;9(1):24-34.

360 doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8425.2010.00481.x

361 38. Hansen K, Hoefling V, Kroener-Borowik T, et al. Efficacy of psychological interventions

362 aiming to reduce chronic nightmares: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*

363 2013;33(1):146-55. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2012.10.012

364 39. Gupta MA, Simpson FC, Lyons DCA. The effect of treating obstructive sleep apnea with

365 positive airway pressure on depression and other subjective symptoms: A systematic

366 review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2016;28:55-68. doi:

367 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.07.002

368 40. Ho FY-Y, Chan CS, Tang KN-S. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for sleep disturbances in

369 treating posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A meta-analysis of randomized

370 controlled trials. *Clinical Psychology Review* 2016;43:90-102. doi:

371 10.1016/j.cpr.2015.09.005

372 41. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and

373 meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *Bmj*

374 2015;349:g7647.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   19

375   42. Robinson KA, Dickersin K. Development of a highly sensitive search strategy for the

376   retrieval of reports of controlled trials using pubmed. *International journal of*

377   *epidemiology* 2002;31(1):150-53.

378   43. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and

379   meta-analyses: The prisma statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

380   2009;62(10):1006-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005

381   44. Collaboration C. Review manager (revman)[computer program] version 5.2. 3. Copenhagen:

382   The nordic cochrane centre; 2012, 2014.

383   45. Cooper HM. Integrating research: A guide for literature reviews. London, England: Sage

384   1986.

385   46. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological bulletin* 1992;112(1):155.

386   47. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,

387   graphical test. *Bmj* 1997;315(7109):629-34.

388   48. Orwin RG. A fail-safe n for effect size in meta-analysis. *Journal of educational statistics*

389   1983:157-59.

390   49. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *Bmj*

391   2003;327(7414):557-60.

392   50. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical

393   trials: Is blinding necessary? *Controlled clinical trials* 1996;17(1):1-12.

394   51. Olivo SA, Macedo LG, Gadotti IC, et al. Scales to assess the quality of randomized

395   controlled trials: A systematic review. *Physical therapy* 2007

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?

20

396   52. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. Grade guidelines: 1. Introduction: Grade evidence

397   profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

398   2011;64(4):383-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026

399   53. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. Grade: An emerging consensus on rating quality of

400   evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ: British Medical Journal*

401   2008;336(7650):924.

402

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   21

403   **Table 1**

404   *Search Terms that will be Used to Identify Randomized Controlled Trials of Interventions*

405   *Designed to Improve Sleep on Mental Health Outcomes*

HSSS for RCTs[a]	Sleep quality / disorders	Mental health and wellbeing
407 Randomized controlled trial	Sleep*	"Psychological health"
408 Controlled clinical trial	"Circadian rhythm*"	Wellbeing
409 Randomized	Insomnia	Distress
410 Placebo	Hypersomnia	"Mental"
411 Drug therapy	Parasomnia	Psychiat*
412 Randomly	Narcolepsy	Affect
413 Trial	Apnea	Depress*
414 Groups	Apnoea	Mood
415	Nightmare*	Stress
416	"Restless legs syndrome"	Anxi*
417		Phobi*
418		"Obsessive compulsive disorder"
419		OCD
420		Psychos*s
421		Psychotic
422		Schiz*
423		Bipolar
424		Hallucination*
425		Delusion*
426		"Eating disturbance*"
427		Anorexia
428		Bulimia
429		"Binge eating"

430   *Notes*: * = Indicates that variants of the word after the asterisk will be searched for (e.g.,

431   depress* will search for depressive etc.),

432   [a] The Highly sensitive Search Strategy (HSSS) is more than just a key word search, rather it

433   encompasses search techniques and strategies.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Wellbeing?   22

434 **Table 2**

435 *Variables to be Extracted for Moderation Analysis (where applicable)*

Source characteristics	Sample characteristics	Study characteristics	Intervention characteristics
437 Publication status	Age	Nature of comparison group(s)	Theoretical basis
438 Publication year	Gender	Attrition/drop-out rate	Delivery modality
439 Journal name	Type of mental health problem(s)	Methodological quality	Duration
440 Journal impact factor	Type of sleep problem(s)	Timing of follow-up	Self-help vs. face-to-face
441	Clinical status	Method of recruitment	Adherence
442	Comorbidity	Measure(s) of sleep	
443	Measure of mental health	Measure(s) of mental health	
444	Concurrent medication use	Study quality	
445	Concurrent psychological help		

Version 1: 14/03/2017

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Supplementary Materials 1

PRISMA-P Checklist

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Page and line numbers, emboldened and in parentheses, indicate the location of the PRISMA-P item in the corresponding manuscript.

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Title:		
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review **(p. 1)**
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such **(NA)**
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number **(p. 1)**
Authors:		
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author **(p. 1)**
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review **(p. 13)**
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments **(NA)**
Support:		
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review **(p. 13)**
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor **(NA)**
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol **(NA)**
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known **(p.4-7)**
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) **(p. 7)**
METHODS		

Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review **(p. 8-9)**
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage **(p. 9)**
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated **(see Supplementary Materials 2)**
Study records:		
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review **(p. 10)**
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) **(p. 10)**
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators **(p. 10)**
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications **(see Table 2)**
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale **(p.11. See also Table 2, p. 22)**
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis **(p. 11-12)**
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised **(p.11)**
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2, Kendall's τ) **(p. 11-12)**
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) **(p. 12)**
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned **(NA)**
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) **(p. 11-12)**
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) **(p. 12)**

* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

Supplementary Materials 2

Ovid Medline Example Search Strategy

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed

Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE and Versions(R)

Search Strategy:

----

1   (Sleep$ or Insomnia$ or nightmare$ or hypersomnia$ or parasomnia$ or narcolepsy

or circadian rhythm$ or restless leg syndrome or apnea or apnoea).ti,ab. (180111)

2   Sleep/ or Sleep Disorders, Circadian Rhythm/ or Sleep Disorders, Intrinsic/ or

Narcolepsy/ or Restless Legs Syndrome/ or Sleep Apnea Syndromes/ or "Sleep Initiation

and Maintenance Disorders"/ or Parasomnias/ (70224)

3   1 or 2 (193630)

4   (psychological health or distress or mental or psychiat$ or affect or depress$ or mood

or stress or anxious or anxiety or phobi$ or obsessive compulsive disorder$ or OCD or

psychos#s or psychotic or schiz$ or bipolar or bi-polar or hallucination$ or delusion$ or

eating disorder$ or eating disturbance$ or anorexia or bulimia or binge eating or

wellbeing or well-being or QoL or quality of life).ti,ab. (2200869)

5   Stress, Psychological/ or Anxiety Disorders/ or Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder/ or

Phobic Disorders/ or exp "Feeding and Eating Disorders"/ or Anorexia Nervosa/ or

Binge-Eating Disorder/ or Bulimia Nervosa/ or Depressive Disorder/ or Hallucinations/

or Delusions/ or Anxiety/ or Depression/ or psychotic disorders/ (379929)

6   4 or 5 (2283788)

7   3 and 6 (57412)

8   randomized controlled trial.pt. (446587)

9   controlled clinical trial.pt. (91788)

10   randomized.ab. (389502)

11   placebo.ab. (183719)

12   drug therapy.fs. (1928261)

13   randomly.ab. (270741)

14   trial.ab. (409336)

15   groups.ab. (1670961)

16   or/8-15 (3972831)

17   exp animals/ not humans.sh. (4311313)

18   16 not 17 (3433652)

19   7 and 18 (19379)

20   (trial$ or intervention$ or treatment$).ti,ab. (4565976)

21   7 and 20 (23924)

22   21 not 19 (11896)

****

Data extraction form   **Study ID:** ☐☐☐

Please consult the '**data extraction coding manual**' for instructions on how to code each.

Article meta-data

1. Please state the surnames and first initials of *all* authors of the article (e.g., Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.);

2. Please state the year that the article was first published: ☐☐☐☐

3. What is the publication status of the article?   ☐ Published (move to Q3.1)

    ☐ Unpublished (move to Q4)

    3.1. Please state the name of the journal that the article was published in:

Nature of the focal sample

4. State the mean age of the intervention group(s) to the nearest year at baseline:

5. State the percentage of the intervention group(s) that are female at baseline

6. Indicate the clinical status of the **mental health problems** of participants **included** in the study:

    ☐ Clinical   ☑ Non-clinical   ☐ Mixed   ☐ Not known

Data extraction form

Study ID:

7. Indicate the clinical status of the **sleep related problems** of participants **included** in the study:

☐ Clinical   ☐ Non-clinical   ☐ Mixed   ☐ Not known

8. What **mental-health difficulties**, symptoms or problems experienced by the participants were recorded by the study authors? Please provide details:

9. What the **sleep related difficulties**, symptoms or problems experienced by the participants were recorded by the study authors? Please provide details:

10. Did the focal sample have comorbid conditions in addition to sleep and/or mental health difficulties? An example would be alcohol dependency among those with anxiety and depression. Please record this where applicable:

11. Were the participants taking medication for a **mental health difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details; if no, please state NA.

2

Data extraction form
Study ID:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

12. Were the participants taking medication for a **sleep difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details; if no, please state NA.

13. Were the participants receiving psychological help for a **mental health difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details in the box below, if no please state NA;

14. Were the participants receiving psychological help for a **sleep difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes, provide details; if no, please state NA:

Research design

15. How were the participants recruited to the study?

16. Please state the nature of the comparison group(s) (i.e., the group(s) that the intervention group is compared to);

Data extraction form   **Study ID:**

17. State the number of participants in the intervention group(s) who have dropped out of the trial between baseline and each follow-up point recorded. Please express this as a percentage of the number of participants at baseline. If no data is reported, then please state 'not reported'.

18. Record all points where data collection has occurred after the intervention has ended in months (e.g., post-intervention, 3 months, 12 months);

19. Please record the outcome measure(s) **used to measure sleep quality** and indicate whether the measures are self-reported, clinician rated, or objective;

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

20. Please record the outcome measure(s) **used to record outcomes pertaining to mental health and / or wellbeing** and indicate whether the measures are self-reported or rated by a clinician rated.

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

Data extraction form   **Study ID:**

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

21. Please use the Jadad quality scale to score the study in terms of randomization, blinding and the account of participants. Use the 'Score given' column, placing your score in the box provided. Examples and guidance on the interpretation of each item are provided in the coding manual;

Item	Min-max score	Description	Score given
Randomization	0 to 2	1 point if randomization is mentioned at all	
		1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate	
		Deduct 1 point if method of randomization is inappropriate	
Blinding	0 to 2	1 point if blinding is mentioned	
		1 additional point if the method of blinding is appropriate	
		Deduct 1 point if the method of blinding is inappropriate	
Account of Participants	0 to 1	The fate of all participants in the trial is known. If there are no data the reason is stated	

Features of the intervention

22. Please state the theoretical approach of the intervention for each group receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep (e.g., psychological, pharmacological, medical device etc.). Use the text box below to provide as much detail as possible.

Data extraction form   **Study ID:**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

23. How was the intervention delivered to participants in each group receiving an
     intervention designed to improve sleep? Use the box below to provide details.

24. Please state the duration of the intervention(s) to the nearest week;

25. Please record levels of adherence to the intervention(s) where possible (e.g., the
     number of pages of the intervention materials read, the amount of time spent looking at
     the intervention). If no data on adherence is available, then please state "not reported";

END OF FORM

Supplementary Materials 4

Data Extraction Manual

<div style="text-align:center">**Data extraction manual**</div>

The following documents contains details regarding the data to be extracted from primary studies included in the present review. Characteristics of the source (green), sample (yellow), study (blue), and intervention (grey) are outlined here.

Variable	Definition for coding	Example
1. Article authors	State the surnames and first initials of all authors of the article	Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.
2. Publication year	The year that the article was first published	For articles published in Jan 2017, the year '2017' will be recorded on the data extraction form.
3. Publication status	Refers to whether an article has been published in a peer reviewed academic journal or not. Articles reporting a study published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'Published'. Articles reporting a study that has not been published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'unpublished'. Unpublished studies include those taken from PhD theses, dissertations, or studies that have otherwise not been accepted following peer review, or submitted to peer review.	

Version 1: 14/03/2017

3.1.Journal name if published	State the name of the journal that the article was published in	e.g. *British Journal of Psychiatry* or *Psychiatry Research* etc.
4. Age	The mean age of participants in the experimental group(s). Record the mean age of the participants in all of the groups who received an intervention designed to improve sleep. This may be more than one group, so, in these cases record the age of participants separately for each group. If mean age is not reported for the experimental group(s) alone, then report the total sample mean age. If no age data is available, state 'not reported'	
5. Gender	The percentage of participants in the experimental group(s) who are female. Record the percentage of participants who are female in all of the groups receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep. This may be more than one group, in which case record the percentage of female participants separately for each group. If the gender of the participants is not reported for the experimental group(s) alone, then report the percentage of participants who are female in the total sample. If no data on gender is available, then state 'not reported'	
6. Clinical status of participants' (with respect to mental health)	The mental health status of the sample should be classified as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical or iii) mixed	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed

Version 1: 14/03/2017

	Clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a mental health problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g ICD, DSM). Studies where it is explicitly stated that participants have a formal diagnoses of a mental health problem are classed as clinical. This is often defined by formal diagnostic and research criteria such as the DSM or ICD	psychosis spectrum disorder only. As a DSM rated diagnosis is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**.
	Non-clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a mental health problem. Mental health is often studied in non-clinical samples who do not have a formal diagnosis. These participants should be classed as non-clinical.	A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might include participants from the general population without any formal diagnoses of a mental health problem. For example, participants might be volunteers who have responded to a media advertisement of email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**.
	Mixed samples are those that include participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not. Samples that include both clinical and non-clinical participants should be classified as mixed.	A third study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might include a mix participants with a DSM rated diagnosis (clinical) and those from the general population with no diagnosis (non-clinical). This would be coded as a **mixed sample**.
7. Clinical status of participants with respect to sleep problems	The clinical status of the sleep difficulties reported by the sample are coded as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical or iii) mixed **Clinical samples** are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a sleep problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g., ICD, DSM). Studies where it is explicitly stated that participants have a formal diagnoses of a sleep problem are classed as clinical. This is often defined by formal diagnostic and research criteria such as the DSM or ICD	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed sleep problem (e.g. insomnia). As a DSM rated diagnosis of insomnia is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**. A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might include participants from the general population without any formal diagnoses of a sleep problem. For example, participants might be volunteers who have responded to a media

Version 1: 14/03/2017

		Non-clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a sleep problem. Sleep is often studied in non-clinical samples who do not have a formal diagnosis. These participants should be classed as non-clinical. **Mixed participants** are those that include participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not. Samples that include both clinical and non-clinical participants should be classified as mixed.	advertisement of email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**. A third study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might include a mix participants with a DSM rated diagnosis of a sleep problem (clinical) and those from the general population with no diagnosis of a sleep problem (non-clinical). This would be coded as a **mixed sample**.
8.	Type of mental problems	Record the type of mental health problems and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple mental health difficulties/problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the GAD-7 and the BDI to measure anxiety and depression respectively at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'anxiety' and 'depression' in the box provided.
9.	Type of sleep problem(s)	Record the type of sleep problem(s) and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple sleep difficulties/problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the insomnia severity scale and the PSQI to measure insomnia and sleep quality respectively at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'insomnia' and 'sleep quality' in the box provided.
10.	Comorbidity	Record any problems or difficulties identified by the authors that are comorbid to the targeted sleep and/or the mental health problem.	An example would be an intervention designed to improve sleep in those with depression and alcohol dependency. For this review, sleep and depression would not be considered comorbid at these are the target problems of this review. However, alcohol dependency would be the comorbid problem to record in the box provided.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

11. Concurrent medication use for mental health	Were participants allowed to take medication for a mental health difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study may investigate the effect of improving sleep using CBTi in people with depression who are also using SSRI medication. As these participants are receiving medication for depression, in addition to receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep, they would be classed as using concurrent medication for a mental health problem. Alternatively, some studies may screen those using medication for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation, leaving only those with depression who are not on medication for it. In which case, state that the participants are using no concurrent medication for mental health.
12. Concurrent medication use for sleep	Were participants allowed to take medication for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study that tests the impact of a CBTi intervention for insomnia that allows participants to continue with benzodiazepine use would be classed as allowing concurrent medication for a sleep problems. Alternatively, a study might screen those taking medication for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. Therefore, this study does not allow participants to take medication for a sleep problem in addition to the intervention being tested. In which case, state that the participants are using no concurrent medication for sleep.
13. Concurrent psychological treatment	Were participants receiving psychological help for a mental health difficulty that is different to the	A study where participants are able to continue receiving psychological help from outside of the

Version 1: 14/03/2017

for mental health	intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	study team for an anxiety problem while receiving the study intervention. Alternatively, some studies may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation. In which case, In which case, state that the participants are receiving no concurrent psychological treatment for mental health.
14. Concurrent psychological treatment for sleep	Were participants receiving psychological help for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study where participants are able to continue receiving psychological help from outside of the study team for a sleep problem while receiving the study intervention. Alternatively, some studies may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. In which case, In which case, state that the participants are receiving no concurrent psychological treatment for sleep.
15. Method of recruitment	Record how participants were recruited and from which source(s). This could include, for example, referral by GPs into the trial or from health professionals, recruitment from volunteer email lists at University's or self-referral from the participant. A study may also use a combination of multiple recruitment methods. If so, record all where possible.	Clinicians may refer participants with psychosis spectrum diagnoses from outpatient centres into the trial. In which case, record that participants were recruited by healthcare professionals from a clinical outpatient setting. Alternatively, participants may see advertisements and contact the study team directly. In which case, record that participants were recruited via media advertisement and self-referred to the study.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

16. Nature of comparison group	Describe the type of comparison group and provide a brief description.	Participants in a wait-list control group would receive no intervention for the duration of the study. In which case, record 'wait-list control group'
		Alternatively, an intervention might be compared to treatment as usual (TAU) where participants receive the same care they would usually receive regardless of the trial. In which case, record 'treatment as usual' alongside a brief description of what treatment as usual is.
17. Attrition/dropout	The total number of participants in the intervention group(s) who have dropped out of the trial between baseline and each follow-up point recorded should be expressed as a percentage.	If a study started with a total $n = 100$ participants in the intervention group giving baseline data, and ended with $n = 75$ at post-intervention and $n = 50$ at a six month follow-up, then this would be reported as;
		Post-intervention = 25% attrition
		6 month follow-up = 50% attrition
18. Follow-up points	Any point in the study where data has been collected following the intervention	A study that collects data immediately after an intervention has been delivered and then again 3 and 12 months later would have the following follow-up points;
		1. Post-intervention 2. 3 months 3. 12 months
19. Measure of sleep	Record the name of the measure(s) used to assess sleep. Please also record whether this measure was; i) self-reported; ii) rated by a clinician; or iii) measured objectively.	A study that uses both polysomnography (an objective measure of sleep) and the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI, a self-report measure).
		List the name of the measure (e.g.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

		polysomnography / Insomnia Severity Index) and then tick the appropriate box (i.e., objective in the case of polysomnography and self-report in the case of the ISI).
20. Measure of mental health	Record the name of the measure(s) used to assess mental health and/or wellbeing. Please also record if this measure was self-reported or rated by a clinician	A study that uses the Anxiety Disorder Interview Schedule (ADIS, a clinician rated measure of anxiety disorders) and the Generalised Anxiety Disorder Assessment-7(GAD-7, a self-report measure). List the name of the measure (e.g., ADIS/GAD-7) and then tick the appropriate box (i.e., clinician rated in the case of the ADIS and self-report in the case of the GAD-7).
21. Study quality	The Jadad scale assesses three key aspects of study quality that can affect the risk of bias; (i) randomization, (ii) blinding and (iii) withdrawal/drop-out. For guidance, please refer to the Jadad scale embedded within the data extraction form and the accompanying notes.	Full guidance and examples can be seen the accompanying Jaded scale document. However an example in relation to the assessment of randomization is given below; Give a max score of 2 for randomization and a minimum score of 0 Award 1 point if randomization is mentioned (e.g. *"The patients were randomly assigned into two groups"*). Award 1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate (e.g. *"The randomization was accomplished using a computer, generated random number list, coin toss or well-shuffled envelopes"*). Deduct 1 point if the method of randomization is

Version 1: 14/03/2017

		inappropriate (e.g. "*The group assignment was accomplished by alternate assignment, by birthday, hospital number or day of the week etc.*")
22. Theoretical basis of the intervention	Do the authors specify the theoretical basis of the intervention? If so, provide details.	The intervention group received a 6 week course of self-guided CBT for insomnia. The intervention was delivered via the internet and included multiple components. Participants were required to complete a daily sleep diary as well as complete online exercises to realign maladaptive thought processes about sleep. There was also a psychoeducation module and a section detailing several relaxation exercises based around progressive muscle relaxation and mindfulness.
23. Delivery modality	How was the intervention delivered to participants? Provide as much detail as possible in the text box provided.	A study that uses online self-help to provide an intervention to improve sleep. The delivery modality is online/computerised self-help
24. Duration of the intervention	How long did the intervention last (to the nearest week)? If this is not known or reported, please state unknown.	An intervention that comprises of 6 weekly modules would be 6 weeks long.
25. Adherence to the intervention	There are often many measures of adherence to interventions. Please state the measure reported (where possible) in the text box along with the rate of adherence.	If an intervention comprised of 6 weekly modules and the average number of modules completed was 4, then, on average, 66% of the intervention was adhered to.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

BMJ Open

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life? A Protocol for a Meta-Analytic Review of Randomised Controlled Trials

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   1

1   **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life? A Protocol for**

2   **a Meta-Analytic Review of Randomised Controlled Trials**

3   Alexander J. Scott, Thomas L. Webb, & Georgina Rowse

4   The University of Sheffield, UK

5   For submission to: *BMJ Open*

6   Word count (excluding tables, figures and references): 4539

7

8

9

10

11

12   Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alexander Scott,

13 School of Health and Related Research, The University of Sheffield, Regent Court, 30

14 Regent Street, Sheffield, S1 4DA, alex.scott@sheffield.ac.uk

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   2

Abstract

15

16   **Introduction**: Sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental

17   health problems being associated with problems sleeping. Although sleep has been

18   traditionally conceptualized as a secondary consequence of mental health problems,

19   contemporary views prescribe a more influential, causal role of sleep in the formation and

20   maintenance of mental health problems. One way to evaluate this assertion is to examine the

21   extent to which interventions that successfully improve sleep also improve mental health and

22   quality of life. **Method and analysis:** Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) describing the

23   effects of interventions designed to improve sleep on mental health and/or quality of life will

24   be retrieved via a systematic search of four bibliographic databases (in addition to a search

25   for unpublished literature). Hedges g and associated 95% confidence intervals will be

26   computed from means and standard deviations where possible. Following this, meta-analysis

27   will be used to synthesize the effects reported in eligible trials and investigate the impact of

28   variables that could potentially moderate the effect of changes in sleep on outcomes

29   pertaining to mental health and quality of life. The Jadad scale for reporting randomized

30   controlled trials will be used to assess study quality and publication bias will be assessed via

31   visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger's test alongside Orwin's fail-safe *n*. Finally, we

32   will use mediation analysis to investigate whether changes in outcomes relating to mental

33   health and quality of life can be attributed to changes in sleep quality. **Ethics and**

34   **dissemination:** This study requires no ethical approval. We will submit the findings for

35   publication in a peer-reviewed journal and promote the review to relevant stakeholders.

36   **Prospero registration:** CRD42017055450

37   *Keywords*: Meta-analysis; protocol; review; sleep; mental health; quality of life;

38   intervention

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   3

Strengths

39

40   • The proposed review should provide reliable evidence on the effect of interventions

41   designed to improve sleep on outcomes reflecting mental health and quality of life.

42   • The proposed review will further elucidate the nature of the relationship between

43   sleep and mental health.

44   • We will use the GRADE system to assess the strength of the evidence base. This will

45   allow members of the public, researchers, and clinicians to quickly access the

46   available evidence and judge its quality.

47   **Limitations**

48   • The proposed review will include a diverse range of interventions and target problems

49   that might lead to a heterogeneous group of studies. However, to mitigate this we will

50   use moderation analysis to investigate specific factors that might influence the effect

51   of sleep improvement on mental health and quality of life.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

52 **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life? A Protocol for**

53 **a Meta-Analytic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials**

54   Difficulties sleeping and mental health problems are both public health concerns in

55 their own right; with each having a substantive impact on both individuals and society as a

56 whole [1-4]. However, sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental

57 health problems being associated with problems sleeping [5-7]. Traditionally, sleep problems

58 have been viewed as a consequence of mental health problems. Although the notion that

59 mental health problems can lead to difficulties sleeping still stands, evidence suggests that

60 problems sleeping can also contribute both to the formation of new mental health problems [8-

61 10] and to the maintenance of existing ones [11-13]. In other words, sleep is now thought to have a

62 bidirectional relationship to mental health, with problems sleeping likely to influence both the

63 onset and trajectory of a variety of mental health difficulties. Having said this, although a

64 number of empirical studies have manipulated sleep and examined the impact of so doing on

65 outcomes related to mental health, to date there has not been a systematic review of these

66 studies. Consequently, the magnitude of the effect of (changes in) sleep on mental health

67 problems is difficult to estimate and has not been compared between different mental health

68 outcomes and other factors that might influence the effect (e.g., across different groups of

69 participants, research designs, and approaches to intervention).

70   The potential for a causal relationship between sleep and mental health also raises an

71 intriguing prospect; namely, that interventions designed to improve sleep could also improve

72 mental health. Providing a definitive answer to this question would have important

73 implications for clinicians, researchers, and members of the public alike. From a practical

74 perspective, if interventions designed to improve sleep can change mental health outcomes,

75 then they may be a useful tool for tackling mental health difficulties. Indeed, interventions

76 designed to improve sleep can often be delivered remotely, in self-help and group formats,

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life? 5

77 and / or at little cost through the internet [14-18]. For example, a meta-analysis by Ho et al.

78 reported that self-help interventions based on the principles of CBT for insomnia (termed

79 CBTi) had medium-to-large effects on the symptoms of insomnia[18].

80 **Problems with extant literature and opportunities**

81   The relationship between sleep and mental health is well documented, with numerous

82 reviews testifying to a robust link between the two [6-8 19-24]. However, the majority of these

83 reviews have tended to focus on primary studies with correlational research designs. That is,

84 they either; i) measure associations between variables at a single time point (i.e., cross-

85 sectional designs); or ii) measure associations between variables at multiple time points (i.e.,

86 longitudinal designs). For example, many reviews simply report the typical sleep profiles of

87 those with mental health difficulties relative to those without [6 7 25 26]. Cross-sectional designs

88 simply tell us that variables are associated in some way. It is impossible to determine whether

89 sleep causes mental health problems, mental health problems cause difficulties sleeping, or

90 whether the effect is bi-directional in nature.

91   Longitudinal studies, although still correlational in nature, are better able to elucidate

92 causality than their cross-sectional counterparts. However, only a handful of reviews have

93 provided evidence on the relationship between sleep (at one point in time) and mental health

94 outcomes (measured later). Furthermore, all of these have focused on depression [8 24 27 28]. For

95 example, Baglioni et al. [8] conducted a meta-analytic evaluation of 21 studies investigating the

96 longitudinal associations between insomnia and depression. Baglioni et al. reported that non-

97 depressed people with insomnia had a twofold risk of developing depression compared to

98 people who did not experience difficulties sleeping at baseline. Longitudinal designs,

99 although better placed to infer causation, are still susceptible to the 'third variable problem'

100 [29-31]. Namely, that a third, unmeasured variable (e.g., having young children) could cause

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   6

101   both sleep difficulties and mental health problems. In summary, correlational designs are not

102   a valid way of disentangling the relationship between problems sleeping and mental health.

103   Some reviews have assessed the impact of interventions designed to improve sleep on

104   mental health outcomes[17 18 23 24 32-36]. However, even these reviews do not permit us to draw

105   robust conclusions as to the causal impact of sleep quality on mental health outcomes for a

106   number of reasons. First, these reviews often include interventions that have not successfully

107   manipulated sleep (i.e., studies in which there was no significant impact of the intervention

108   on sleep outcomes). Such studies do not tell us anything about the relationship between sleep

109   and mental health other than that it can be difficult to improve sleep. Second, the focus of

110   extant reviews reporting both sleep and mental health variables has been on improving sleep,

111   with the measurement of mental health outcomes typically limited to depression and anxiety.

112   Consequently, the effect of improving sleep on other mental health problems and the

113   associated construct of quality of life[37 38] (QoL) more broadly is currently unclear.

114   Finally, to our knowledge, to date there has been no attempt to investigate variables

115   that influence – or *moderate* – the impact of interventions that improve sleep on mental

116   health. Interventions designed to improve sleep are likely to vary in their content and

117   delivery, and such variables may influence how effective they are in improving sleep and / or

118   mental health outcomes. Furthermore, variables related to the nature of the sample (e.g., age,

119   severity of symptoms, nature of the mental health problem) and methodological features of

120   the primary study (e.g., self-report vs. objective assessment of the outcome variables) are

121   likely to influence the effect of the respective intervention. It is therefore crucial that the

122   impact of such variables is systematically examined across the extant evidence base in order

123   to draw reliable and valid conclusions about the impact of changes in sleep on outcomes

124   pertaining to mental health and quality of life.

125   **The proposed review**

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   7

126   A number of primary research studies have experimentally manipulated sleep

127   (typically via some sort of psychological intervention) and then measured mental health

128   outcomes. However, these individual studies have, to our knowledge, never been integrated

129   in a manner that allows the magnitude of the effect of sleep quality on mental health

130   outcomes to be estimated. Therefore, it is currently difficult to; i) draw firm conclusions

131   about the relationship between sleep and various mental health problems; and ii) recommend

132   with any confidence that mental health problems might be tackled using interventions that

133   have been designed to improve sleep. Furthermore, to date there has been no attempt to

134   understand the factors that influence, or moderate, the effect of improvements in sleep on

135   mental health. As a consequence, clinicians, researchers, and members of the public may be

136   unaware of whether and how the content and nature of the intervention(s), target sample and

137   mental health problem, and methodological features of the primary study can influence the

138   efficacy of an intervention.

139   **Objectives**

140   The proposed review therefore has two broad objectives; i) to synthesize and quantify

141   the effect of interventions that improve sleep on outcomes reflecting mental health and QoL;

142   and ii) to explore variables that moderate the effect of interventions targeting sleep on

143   outcomes reflecting mental health and QoL.

144   **Method and Analysis**

145   This protocol has been prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for

146   Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol (PRISMA-P, see Supplementary Materials

147   1) checklist [39].

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   8

148   **Outcomes and Prioritization**

149   *Measuring improvements in sleep*

150   The concept of 'improved sleep' is multifaceted and can mean different things to

151   different people[40-42]. Indeed, many specific sleep problems are tied to mental health in unique

152   ways and are often measured using specific outcome measures. For example, the experience

153   of nightmares has been found to be associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)[43],

154   as measured using specific outcome measures such as dream diaries[44 45] or the Clinician-

155   Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS)[46] which includes a nightmare assessment. Consequently,

156   one challenge for the proposed review is to ensure that all of the primary studies assess a

157   similar notion of sleep improvement. To achieve this, the proposed review will require that

158   the primary studies report a measure that reflects the overall quality of sleep experienced by

159   participants. Broadly speaking, sleep quality consists of; (i) sleep continuity (e.g., sleep onset,

160   sleep maintenance, and number of awakenings); and (ii) daytime impact (e.g., the extent to

161   which the person feels refreshed on waking and throughout the day)[41 42].

162   Sleep quality can be measured using both self-report and objective indices. For

163   example, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[47] (PSQI) is widely recognized as the 'gold

164   standard' self-report measure of sleep quality and consists of 19 items measuring 7

165   components of sleep quality (subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep

166   efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction). The 'gold

167   standard' for objectively measuring sleep is accepted to be polysomnography (PSG)[48]; a

168   technique that monitors multiple biophysiological parameters and can directly record

169   components relating to sleep quality including sleep onset and sleep maintenance (for a

170   review, see [49]). The proposed review will include both self-report and objective indices of

171   sleep quality, but will also seek to compare effect sizes between different measures in an

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   9

172   effort to empirically examine the extent to which the nature of the measures influences the

173   apparent effect of the interventions.

174   ***Measuring mental health and QoL***

175   The measurement of mental health is often variable, with a range of different

176   outcomes which differ both in their administration and interpretation. Some studies will

177   report a general measure assessing a specific diagnostic category (e.g., a measure of the

178   severity of depression severity). For example, the Beck Depression Inventory II is a 21 item

179   self-report measure designed to assess multiple facets of depression including mood,

180   pessimism, self-dislike, loss of appetite, and social withdrawal, with higher scores indicating

181   more severe depression[50]. Other studies might assess a single symptom or problem. For

182   example, the Green Paranoid Thoughts Scale (GPTS) measures paranoid thoughts[51], an

183   experience that is associated with, but is not limited to, psychosis spectrum disorders[52 53].

184   Finally, some studies may report the effects of interventions designed to improve sleep on

185   global measures of mental health. For example, the Clinical Global Impressions Severity

186   scale (CGI-S)[54] asks clinicians to use their clinical experience to rate how mentally ill their

187   client has been over the last week, on a scale ranging from 1 – *normal* to 7 – *amongst the*

188   *most extremely ill patients*. Measures assessing aspects of mental health are either; (i) self-

189   reported by the participant, or (ii) completed on behalf of the participant by a clinician or

190   other independent rater. Both self-report and independently rated outcome measures will be

191   included in the proposed review; however, as above, we will compare effect sizes between

192   different measures in an effort to empirically examine the extent to which the nature of the

193   measures influences the apparent effect of the interventions.

194   With regards to QoL, a consctruct closely linked with mental health[37 38], Liu[55]

195   commented that there are as many definitions of QoL as there are people, a statement which

196   frames QoL as a personal and varied concept meaning different things from one person to

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   10

197   another. Although there is much disagreement on operational definitions of QoL[56 57],

198   fortunately there is also considerable overlap in the dimensions that researchers assess. For

199   example, five core dimensions of QoL that the majority of measures share are; (i) *physical*

200   *wellbeing* (e.g., health and fitness); (ii) *material wellbeing* (e.g., financial security,

201   possessions etc.); (iii) *social wellbeing* (e.g., breadth and depth of relationships); (iv)

202   *emotional wellbeing* (e.g., affect or mood, fulfilment, self-esteem etc.); and (v) *development*

203   *and activity level* (e.g., the possession and use of skills, work, education etc.)[58]. The proposed

204   review will therefore include measures of QoL that assess at least one of the five dimensions

205   detailed above listed by Felce and Perry[58]. For example, the Quality of Life Scale (QOLS)[59]

206   is a 16 item instrument that measures six domains of QoL; (i) material and physical

207   wellbeing; (ii) relationships with others; (iii) social, community and civic activities; (iv)

208   personal development and fulfilment; (v) recreation; and (vi) independence.

209   **Eligibility Criteria**

210   *Inclusion criteria*

211   In order to be included in the proposed review, the primary studies need to:

212   1. Randomly allocate participants to either an experimental group that receive an

213   intervention that is designed to improve sleep or a comparator group.

214   2. Report a statistically significant improvement at at least one follow-up point on a measure

215   of sleep quality among participants in the experimental group as compared to those in the

216   comparison group.

217   3. Include a measure of mental health and/or QoL subsequent to the measure of sleep

218   quality.

219   4. Report sufficient data for us to be able to compute effect sizes reflecting the impact of the

220   intervention on sleep quality and mental health and/or QoL. Where sufficient data is not

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   11

221   reported, we will contact the authors and request further data. However, if this is not

222   provided then the study will not be included in the review.

223   5.   Be written in English, or be able to be translated using available translation resources.

224   *Exclusion criteria*

225   The aim of the proposed review is to be as inclusive as possible and address potential

226   differences between the primary studies (e.g., differences in the nature of the intervention or

227   the mental health problem under consideration) using moderation analysis. Therefore, very

228   few exclusion criteria will be applied. For example, we will not restrict the type of

229   intervention (e.g., psychological and pharmacological), publication status, nature of the

230   comparison condition, or sample (i.e., interventions directed toward adults, children, and

231   adolescents will all be eligible). However, studies with the following characteristics will be

232   excluded in order to ensure that we can reliably assess the independent contribution of

233   changes in sleep on mental health outcomes:

234   1.   Studies where the intervention contains elements that specifically target a mental health

235   problem alongside improving sleep (e.g., an intervention that provides CBT for anxiety

236   alongside efforts to improve sleep).

237   2.   Studies adopting a pre-post (or within participant) design.

238   **Information Sources**

239   The proposed review will use a combination of search techniques and sources in order

240   to identify potential studies. First, we will search MEDLINE (1946 to present), Embase (1974

241   to present), PsycINFO (1967 to present), and The Cochrane Library(1898 to present) using

242   the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy[60] to identify randomized controlled trials that

243   include terms relating to sleep quality/disorders and mental health/QoL outcomes (see Table 1

244   for a list of the proposed search terms). The search strategy has been developed in

245   collaboration with a health sciences librarian specializing in systematic search procedures and

Version 2: 16/05/2017

246   will be used to search each database (see Supplementary Materials 2 for an example search

247   strategy). Second, the reference lists of extant reviews of the relationship between sleep and

248   mental health (e.g., those cited in the introduction) will be searched for any potential articles.

249   Third, a search for any unpublished or ongoing studies will be conducted by searching online

250   databases including White Rose Online, The National Research Register, WHO approved

251   clinical trial databases (e.g. ISRCTN), and PROSPERO. Finally, the authors of articles

252   deemed eligible for inclusion will be contacted and asked if they are aware of any

253   unpublished research that may be eligible for inclusion in the review.

254   **Data management**

255   All records will be stored in the reference management software Endnote, and we will

256   follow PRISMA guidelines for the selection of studies for meta-analysis [61]. Specifically,

257   when the pool of potential studies has been identified, we will remove duplicates and initially

258   screen each record based on the title and abstract and exclude clearly ineligible studies.

259   Following this initial screening, the full-text versions of each article will be reviewed in detail

260   and cross-referenced against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The flow of articles through

261   the review, including the reasons for excluding studies will be documented in a PRISMA

262   flow chart.

263   **Data Extraction**

264   Data will be recorded on standardized data extraction forms and a manual to

265   accompany the form will detail each variable to be extracted alongside definitions and

266   examples (see Supplementary Materials 3 and 4). Two reviewers will pilot the data extraction

267   forms and manual on three articles in order to ensure that there are no systematic problems or

268   difficulties coding any of the variables. After this, the data will be extracted from the full set

269   of studies by one reviewer. A second member of the review team will second code a subset of

270   the included articles (at least 10%) and levels of agreement will be calculated (the subset of

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   13

271   articles for second coding will be randomly selected using a computer generated algorithm).

272   Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion, with a third member of the review

273   team acting as arbiter for any outstanding disagreements. The review team will extract meta-

274   data pertaining to source characteristics (e.g., publication status and year,), as well as data

275   relating to the characteristics of the sample (e.g., age, type of mental health problem), the

276   study (e.g., the nature of the comparison group, length of follow-up), and characteristics of

277   the intervention (e.g., theoretical basis, delivery modality) (see Table 2 for an overview of

278   potential moderators that we propose to code and examine).

279   **Proposed Analysis**

280   Review Manager 5.3[62] will be used to compute Hedges g (and associated 95%

281   confidence intervals) using the means and standard deviations for each measure of sleep

282   quality, mental health, and QoL reported in studies comparing these outcomes between an

283   intervention group (i.e., a group receiving an intervention that improves sleep) and a

284   comparison group (e.g., wait-list, placebo, treatment as usual)[1]. Where means and standard

285   deviations are not available, we will compute effect sizes by converting relevant summary

286   statistics (e.g., F values from an ANOVA testing the impact of an intervention on relevant

287   outcomes) using Lyons Morris' meta-analysis calculator[63]. The effect of the interventions on

288   sleep quality will be assessed using data from the first available follow-up point that reports a

289   statistically significant difference in sleep quality between the intervention and comparison

290   conditions. The effect of the intervention on outcomes pertaining to mental health and QoL

291   will be assessed at the longest follow-up point available, whether the effect at this point is

292   statistically significant or not (and we will investigate the effect of follow-up duration on

---

[1] Data that has been adjusted for baseline differences between groups will be used to compute effect sizes, where available. However, if this information is not reported then we will use the unadjusted data to compute the effect size. We will also seek to compute effect sizes using the data from Intention to Treat (ITT) analyses where they are reported. Subscripts will be added to the table reporting the effect sizes derived from the primary research studies in order to identify how each effect size was computed and also to compare outcomes between studies that report adjusted vs. unadjusted statistics and ITT analyses vs. non-ITT analyses.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   14

293   outcomes using moderation analysis). . This strategy will provide a stringent test of the effect

294   of the interventions on outcomes pertaining to mental health and QoL (in the sense that any

295   changes need to have been maintained over time) and also enable us to investigate whether

296   the impact of the interventions on outcomes is mediated by changes in sleep quality that

297   precede the impact on outcomes pertaining to mental health and QoL (this proposed analysis

298   is discussed in detail below).

299   Where studies report multiple outcome measures under one diagnostic category (e.g.,

300   several measures of depression or sleep quality), the effect sizes will be computed for each

301   outcome and meta-analyzed in their own right to form one overall effect for inclusion in the

302   main analysis. For example, we would compute two effect sizes reflecting sleep quality for a

303   study that reports the effects of an intervention on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[47] and

304   the Insomnia Severity Index[64] (i.e., one effect size for each measure of sleep quality) and then

305   average them before inclusion in the main dataset. This procedure capitalizes on the

306   information that is available, while retaining the independence of effect sizes which is central

307   to the validity of meta-analysis[65].

308   The sample-weighted average effect size (g_+) will be computed using a random effects

309   model as studies are likely to be "different from one another in ways too complex to capture

310   by a few simple study characteristics" [66]. Following Cohen's [67] recommendations, $g = 0.20$

311   will be taken to represent a 'small' effect size, $g = 0.50$ a 'medium' effect size, and $g = 0.80$ a

312   'large' effect size. We will use these qualitative indices to interpret the findings. Publication

313   bias will be assessed via visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger's test [68]. Finally,

314   Orwin's [69] formula will be used to determine the fail-safe n (i.e., the number of studies

315   producing a null effect that would be needed to reduce the overall effect of interventions that

316   improve sleep on outcomes relating to mental health and QoL to a trivial effect size).

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   15

317   **Heterogeneity, Bias and Study Quality**

318   The I^2 statistic will be used to assess the heterogeneity of effect sizes across the

319   primary studies [70]. The quality of each individual study included in the proposed review will

320   be assessed using the Jadad scale for reporting randomized controlled trials [71]. The Jadad

321   scale assesses three key areas of methodological quality that potentially impact the risk of

322   bias – namely; randomization, blinding, and the flow of participants through the study. In

323   order to assess these areas, raters will be asked to answer three questions: i) "*Was the study*

324   *described as randomized (i.e., does it include words such as randomly, random, and*

325   *randomization*)?"; ii) "*Was the study described as double blind?*"; and iii) "*Was there a*

326   *description of withdrawals and dropouts*?". Scores on the Jadad scale range from 0 to 5, with

327   higher scores indicating a lower risk of bias (and therefore higher methodological quality).

328   The Jadad scale for reporting randomized controlled trials has been extensively used as a

329   measure of the methodological quality of RCTs (having received over 7,500 citations to date)

330   and has been recommended as the most reliable and valid scale for assessing the quality of

331   RCTs, in a review of 21 measures [72]. Finally, the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment,

332   Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system[73 74] will be used to assess the quality of the

333   body of evidence as a whole and the extent to which it can and should be used to inform

334   clinical recommendations.

335   **Moderation and Mediation Analysis**

336   Moderation analyses will be used to identify variables that influence the effect of

337   interventions that improve sleep on both mental health and QoL. For continuous moderators

338   (e.g., age, publication year, study quality), sample weighted meta-regression will be used to

339   investigate the impact of the moderator on effect sizes. For example, the quality of a given

340   study, assessed using the Jadad scale[71], will be used as the independent variable in a sample-

341   weighted meta-regression, with the effect sizes representing the effect of the interventions on

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   16

342   outcomes pertaining to mental health or QoL used as the dependent variable. For categorical

343   variables (e.g., self-report vs. objective outcome measures, the nature of the comparison

344   condition), the sample-weighted average effect size g and associated standard errors will be

345   computed for each level of the moderator and then the Q statistic will be used to assess if the

346   difference is statistically significant. For example, effect sizes based on clinician completed

347   measures of mental health (e.g., the Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale[54]) will be

348   compared to effect sizes based on self-report measures of mental health (e.g., the Depression,

349   Anxiety, Stress Scale[75]).

350   Mediation analysis will be used to investigate whether changes in mental health and

351   QoL can be attributed to changes in sleep. In line with Kenny, Kashy, and Bolger's [76]

352   recommendations, we will conduct 4 multiple regressions in order to investigate mediation.

353   These regressions will test; i) the effect of the independent variable (i.e., the intervention) on

354   the dependent variable (i.e., outcomes reflecting mental health and QoL); ii) the effect of the

355   independent variable on putative mediator (i.e., outcomes reflecting sleep quality); iii) the

356   effect of the mediating variable on the dependent variable; and finally iv) the simultaneous

357   effect of the independent variable and the mediator on the dependent variable, respectively. If

358   the effect of the interventions on mental health and QoL can be attributed to changes in the

359   quality of sleep, then the impact of the interventions on outcomes pertaining to mental health

360   and QoL should be significantly reduced when the effect of the interventions on sleep quality

361   is statistically controlled.

362   **Ethics and Dissemination**

363   As the proposed research is a meta-analytic review of primary studies, no ethical

364   approval is required. We have registered the proposed review on the PROSPERO database

365   (CRD42017055450) in order to adhere to the principles of open research. Following

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   17

366   completion of the review, we aim to publish the findings in a peer reviewed academic journal

367   and attend conferences and dissemination events with stakeholders where possible.

368   **Discussion**

369   The proposed review will use meta-analysis alongside moderator and mediation

370   analyses to i) quantify the effect of interventions that improve sleep on mental health

371   outcomes; ii) test whether any effect of the interventions on these outcomes is mediated by

372   changes in sleep quality, and iii) explore variables that potentially moderate the effect of the

373   interventions targeting sleep on mental health outcomes. The proposed review has a number

374   of strengths that we believe mean that it will make a substantive contribution. For example,

375   the proposed review will be inclusive and investigate the effect of improving sleep on a wide

376   range of mental health problems, as well as QoL. Furthermore, the proposed review will

377   further elucidate our understanding of the causal relationship between sleep and mental health

378   by including only studies that successfully manipulate sleep and by conducting a mediation

379   analysis to investigate whether any changes in mental health and QoL can be attributed to

380   changes in sleep. We will also use the GRADE system to assess the strength of the evidence

381   base[73 74] which should allow members of the public, researchers, and clinicians to quickly

382   access the available evidence and judge its quality. Despite the strengths of the proposed

383   review, the wide range of interventions, populations and target problems that are likely to be

384   addressed by the primary research studies may lead to a relatively heterogeneous group of

385   studies (and thus, potentially effect sizes) which may lead to concerns that we are not

386   comparing 'like with like' (cf. the problem of mixing apples and oranges[77]) and limit the

387   extent to which the findings can be generalized to a specific population (i.e., solely to those

388   with depression). However, we will use moderation analysis to investigate specific factors

389   that might influence the effect of improvements in sleep on mental health and QoL. Our hope

390   is that these analyses prove informative, both in understanding mental health problems and

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   18

391 QoL (i.e., when can changes in sleep quality be expected to influence these outcomes?).and

392 in developing interventions designed to mitigate these problems (e.g., the review will be able

393 to identify which interventions are most effective, and for whom)

394 **Author Contributions**

395   The first author (AJS) had the idea for the proposed review and approached TLW and

396 GR, who contributed to the design of the research. AJS drafted the protocol and TLW and GR

397 provided detailed comments before submission. AJS is the identified guarantor of the review.

398 **Funding Statement**

399   This research has not yet received any funding from the public, commercial or not-

400 for-profit sectors.

401 **Competing Interests**

402   We have no competing interests to declare.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   19

403   **References**

404   1. Colten HR, Altevogt BM. Sleep disorders and sleep deprivation: An unmet public health

405   problem: National Academies Press 2006.

406   2. Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, et al. Mental health of young people: A global public-health

407   challenge. *The Lancet* 2007;369(9569):1302-13.

408   3. Robotham D. Sleep as a public health concern: Insomnia and mental health. *Journal of*

409   *Public Mental Health* 2011;10(4):234-37.

410   4. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. *The Lancet*

411   2007;370(9590):859-77.

412   5. Ford DE, Kamerow DB. Epidemiologic study of sleep disturbances and psychiatric

413   disorders: An opportunity for prevention? *JAMA* 1989;262(11):1479-84.

414   6. Baglioni C, Nanovska S, Regen W, et al. Sleep and mental disorders: A meta-analysis of

415   polysomnographic research. *Psychological Bulletin* 2016;14:14.

416   7. Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, et al. Sleep and psychiatric disorders - a meta-

417   analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1992;49(8):651-68.

418   8. Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: A meta-

419   analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *Journal of Affective*

420   *Disorders* 2011;135(1):10-19.

421   9. Gregory AM, Rijsdijk FV, Lau J, et al. The direction of longitudinal associations between

422   sleep problems and depression symptoms: A study of twins aged 8 and 10 years. *Sleep*

423   2009;32(2):189-99.

424   10. Breslau N, Roth T, Rosenthal L, et al. Sleep disturbance and psychiatric disorders: A

425   longitudinal epidemiological study of young adults. *Biological Psychiatry*

426   1996;39(6):411-18.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

427   11. Harvey AG. Insomnia: Symptom or diagnosis? *Clinical Psychology Review*

428   2001;21(7):1037-59.

429   12. Soehner AM, Kaplan KA, Harvey AG. Insomnia comorbid to severe psychiatric illness.

430   *Sleep Medicine Clinics* 2013;8(3):361-71.

431   13. Freeman D, Stahl D, McManus S, et al. Insomnia, worry, anxiety and depression as

432   predictors of the occurrence and persistence of paranoid thinking. *Social Psychiatry*

433   *and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2012;47(8):1195-203.

434   14. Cuijpers P, Van Straten A, Andersson G. Internet-administered cognitive behavior therapy

435   for health problems: A systematic review. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*

436   2008;31(2):169-77.

437   15. Wu JQ, Appleman ER, Salazar RD, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia

438   comorbid with psychiatric and medical conditions: A meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal*

439   *Medicine* 2015;175(9):1461-72. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.3006

440   16. van Straten A, Cuijpers P. Self-help therapy for insomnia: A meta-analysis. *Sleep*

441   *Medicine Reviews* 2009;13(1):61-71. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2008.04.006

442   17. Koffel EA, Koffel JB, Gehrman PR. A meta-analysis of group cognitive behavioral

443   therapy for insomnia. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:6-16. doi:

444   10.1016/j.smrv.2014.05.001

445   18. Ho FY, Chung KF, Yeung WF, et al. Self-help cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia:

446   A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:17-

447   28.

448   19. Chan MS, Chung KF, Yung KP, et al. Sleep in schizophrenia: A systematic review and

449   meta-analysis of polysomnographic findings in case-control studies. *Sleep Medicine*

450   *Reviews* 2016;10:10.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   21

451   20. Kobayashi I, Boarts JM, Delahanty DL. Polysomnographically measured sleep

452   abnormalities in ptsd: A meta-analytic review. *Psychophysiology* 2007;44(4):660-9.

453   21. Nota JA, Sharkey KM, Coles ME. Sleep, arousal, and circadian rhythms in adults with

454   obsessive-compulsive disorder: A meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral*

455   *Reviews* 2015;51:100-7.

456   22. Reeve S, Sheaves B, Freeman D. The role of sleep dysfunction in the occurrence of

457   delusions and hallucinations: A systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*

458   2015;42:96-115.

459   23. Belleville G, Cousineau H, Levrier K, et al. Meta-analytic review of the impact of

460   cognitive-behavior therapy for insomnia on concomitant anxiety. *Clinical Psychology*

461   *Review* 2011;31(4):638-52. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2011.02.004

462   24. Lovato N, Gradisar M. A meta-analysis and model of the relationship between sleep and

463   depression in adolescents: Recommendations for future research and clinical practice.

464   *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2014;18(6):521-9.

465   25. Chouinard S, Poulin J, Stip E, et al. Sleep in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis.

466   *Schizophrenia Research* 2001;49(1-2):200-00.

467   26. Diaz-Roman A, Perestelo-Perez L, Buela-Casal G. Sleep in obsessive-compulsive

468   disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine* 2015;16(9):1049-55.

469   doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2015.03.020

470   27. Cole MG, Dendukuri N. Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects:

471   A systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*

472   2003;160(6):1147-56. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.6.1147

473   28. Zhai L, Zhang H, Zhang D. Sleep durations and depression among adults: A meta-

474   analysis of prospective studies. *Depression and Anxiety* 2015;32(9):664-70. doi:

475   10.1002/da.22386

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   22

476   29. Mauro R. Understanding love (left out variables error): A method for estimating the

477   effects of omitted variables. *Psychological Bulletin* 1990;108(2):314.

478   30. Zapf D, Dormann C, Frese M. Longitudinal studies in organizational stress research: A

479   review of the literature with reference to methodological issues. *Journal of*

480   *Occupational Health Psychology* 1996;1(2):145.

481   31. Duckworth AL, Tsukayama E, May H. Establishing causality using longitudinal

482   hierarchical linear modeling: An illustration predicting achievement from self-control.

483   *Social Psychological and Personality Science* 2010

484   32. Tang NKY, Lereya ST, Boulton H, et al. Nonpharmacological treatments of insomnia for

485   long-term painful conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient-

486   reported outcomes in randomized controlled trials. *Sleep* 2015;38(11):1751-+. doi:

487   10.5665/sleep.5158

488   33. Okajima I, Komada Y, Inoue Y. A meta-analysis on the treatment effectiveness of

489   cognitive behavioral therapy for primary insomnia. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms*

490   2011;9(1):24-34. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8425.2010.00481.x

491   34. Hansen K, Hoefling V, Kroener-Borowik T, et al. Efficacy of psychological interventions

492   aiming to reduce chronic nightmares: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*

493   2013;33(1):146-55. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2012.10.012

494   35. Gupta MA, Simpson FC, Lyons DCA. The effect of treating obstructive sleep apnea with

495   positive airway pressure on depression and other subjective symptoms: A systematic

496   review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2016;28:55-68. doi:

497   10.1016/j.smrv.2015.07.002

498   36. Ho FY-Y, Chan CS, Tang KN-S. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for sleep disturbances in

499   treating posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A meta-analysis of randomized

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   23

500   controlled trials. *Clinical Psychology Review* 2016;43:90-102. doi:

501   10.1016/j.cpr.2015.09.005

502   37. Katschnig H. Quality of life in mental disorders: Challenges for research and clinical

503   practice. *World Psychiatry* 2006;5(3):139-45.

504   38. Saarni SI, Suvisaari J, Sintonen H, et al. Impact of psychiatric disorders on health-related

505   quality of life: General population survey. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*

506   2007;190(4):326-32.

507   39. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review

508   and meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*

509   2015;349:g7647.

510   40. Akerstedt T, Hume K, Minors D, et al. The meaning of good sleep: A longitudinal study

511   of polysomnography and subjective sleep quality. *Journal of Sleep Research*

512   1994;3(3):152-58.

513   41. Harvey AG, Stinson K, Whitaker KL, et al. The subjective meaning of sleep quality: A

514   comparison of individuals with and without insomnia. *Sleep* 2008;31(3):383.

515   42. Libman E, Fichten C, Creti L, et al. Refreshing sleep and sleep continuity determine

516   perceived sleep quality. *Sleep Disorders* 2016;2016

517   43. Lamarche LJ, De Koninck J. Sleep disturbance in adults with posttraumatic stress

518   disorder: A review. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2007

519   44. Mellman TA, David D, Bustamante V, et al. Dreams in the acute aftermath of trauma and

520   their relationship to ptsd. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 2001;14(1):241-47.

521   45. Levin R, Fireman G. Nightmare prevalence, nightmare distress, and self-reported

522   psychological disturbance. *Sleep* 2002;25(2):205-12.

523   46. Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, et al. The development of a clinician-administered

524   ptsd scale. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 1995;8(1):75-90.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   24

525   47. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, et al. The pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new

526   instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research* 1989;28(2):193-

527   213.

528   48. van de Water A, Holmes A, Hurley DA. Objective measurements of sleep for non-

529   laboratory settings as alternatives to polysomnography – a systematic review. *Journal*

530   *of Sleep Research* 2011;20(1pt2):183-200.

531   49. Vaughn BV, Giallanza P. Technical review of polysomnography. *CHEST Journal*

532   2008;134(6):1310-19.

533   50. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the beck depression

534   inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review* 1988;8(1):77-

535   100.

536   51. Green C, Freeman D, Kuipers E, et al. Measuring ideas of persecution and social

537   reference: The green et al. Paranoid thought scales (gpts). *Psychological Medicine*

538   2008;38(01):101-11.

539   52. Johns LC, Cannon M, Singleton N, et al. Prevalence and correlates of self-reported

540   psychotic symptoms in the british population. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*

541   2004;185(4):298-305.

542   53. McGrath JA, Nestadt G, Liang K-Y, et al. Five latent factors underlying schizophrenia:

543   Analysis and relationship to illnesses in relatives. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*

544   2004;30(4):855.

545   54. Busner J, Targum SD. The clinical global impressions scale: Applying a research tool in

546   clinical practice. *Psychiatry* 2007;4(7):28-37.

547   55. Liu B-C. Quality of life indicators in us metropolitan areas: Praeger 1976.

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   25

548   56. Aaronson N, Alonso J, Burnam A, et al. Assessing health status and quality-of-life

549   instruments: Attributes and review criteria. *Quality of Life Research* 2002;11(3):193-

550   205.

551   57. Gill TM, Feinstein AR. A critical appraisal of the quality of quality-of-life measurements.

552   *JAMA* 1994;272(8):619-26.

553   58. Felce D, Perry J. Quality of life: Its definition and measurement. *Research in*

554   *Developmental Disabilities* 1995;16(1):51-74.

555   59. Burckhardt CS, Anderson KL. The quality of life scale (qols): Reliability, validity, and

556   utilization. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2003;1(1):60.

557   60. Robinson KA, Dickersin K. Development of a highly sensitive search strategy for the

558   retrieval of reports of controlled trials using pubmed. *International Journal of*

559   *Epidemiology* 2002;31(1):150-53.

560   61. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and

561   meta-analyses: The prisma statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

562   2009;62(10):1006-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005

563   62. Collaboration C. Review manager (revman)[computer program] version 5.2. 3.

564   Copenhagen: The nordic cochrane centre; 2012, 2014.

565   63. Lyons LC, Morris WA. Lyons-morris meta-analysis calculator 2017 [Available from:

566   http://www.lyonsmorris.com/ma1/ accessed 15th May 2017.

567   64. Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an

568   outcome measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Medicine* 2001;2(4):297-307.

569   65. Scammacca N, Roberts G, Stuebing KK. Meta-analysis with complex research designs

570   dealing with dependence from multiple measures and multiple group comparisons.

571   *Review of Educational Research* 2014;84(3):328-64.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   26

572   66. Cooper HM. Integrating research: A guide for literature reviews. London, England: Sage

573   1986.

574   67. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992;112(1):155.

575   68. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,

576   graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315(7109):629-34.

577   69. Orwin RG. A fail-safe n for effect size in meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Statistics*

578   1983:157-59.

579   70. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.

580   *BMJ* 2003;327(7414):557-60.

581   71. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized

582   clinical trials: Is blinding necessary? *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1996;17(1):1-12.

583   72. Olivo SA, Macedo LG, Gadotti IC, et al. Scales to assess the quality of randomized

584   controlled trials: A systematic review. *Physical Therapy* 2007

585   73. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. Grade guidelines: 1. Introduction: Grade evidence

586   profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

587   2011;64(4):383-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026

588   74. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. Grade: An emerging consensus on rating quality

589   of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336(7650):924.

590   75. Lovibond PF, Lovibond SH. The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of

591   the depression anxiety stress scales (dass) with the beck depression and anxiety

592   inventories. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1995;33(3):335-43.

593   76. Kenny DA, Kashy DA, Bolger N. Data analysis in social psychology. New York: Oxford

594   University Press 1998.

595   77. Barone JE. Comparing apples and oranges: A randomised prospective study. *BMJ*

596   2000;321(7276):1569-70.

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   27

597   **Table 1**

598   *Search Terms that will be used to Identify Randomized Controlled Trials of Interventions*

599   *Designed to Improve Sleep on Outcomes Pertaining to Mental Health and Quality of Life*

600   *(QoL)*

601	**HSSS for RCTs**[a]	**Sleep**	**Mental health and QoL**
602	Randomized controlled trial	Sleep*	"Psychological health"
603	Controlled clinical trial	"Circadian rhythm*"	"Mental"
604	Randomized	Insomnia	Wellbeing
605	Placebo	Hypersomnia	Distress
606	Drug therapy	Parasomnia	"Quality of life"
607	Randomly	Narcolepsy	QoL
608	Trial	Apnea	Psychiat*
609	Groups	Apnoea	Affect
610		Nightmare*	Depress*
611		"Restless legs syndrome"	Mood
612			Stress
613			Anxi*
614			Phobi*
615			"Obsessive compulsive
616			disorder"
617			PTSD
618			"Post-traumatic stress
619			disorder"
620			Trauma
621			OCD
622			Psychos*s
623			Psychotic
624			Schiz*
625			Bipolar
626			Hallucination*
627			Delusion*
628			"Eating dis*"
629			Anorexia
630			Bulimia
631			"Binge eating"
632			"Attention deficit"
633			"Hyperactivity disorder"
634			ADHD

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   28

635	Autis*
636	Asperger*

637   *Notes*: Studies will need to include at least one search term from each of the filter above in

638   the title, abstract, or keywords, for consideration for inclusion in the review.

639   * = Indicates that variants of the word after the asterisk will be searched for (e.g., depress*

640   will search for depressive etc.),

641   [a] The Highly Sensitive Search Strategy (HSSS) is more than just a key word search, rather it

642   encompasses search techniques and strategies[60].

Version 2: 16/05/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health and Quality of Life?   29

643   **Table 2**

644   *Variables to be Extracted for Moderation Analysis (where available)*

Source characteristics	**Sample characteristics**	**Study characteristics**	**Intervention characteristics**
Publication status	Age	Nature of comparison group(s)	Theoretical basis
Publication year	Gender	Attrition/drop-out rate	Delivery modality
Journal impact factor	Type of mental health problem(s)	Methodological quality	Duration
	Type of sleep problem(s)	Timing of follow-up	Self-help vs. face-to-face
	Clinical status	Method of recruitment	Adherence
	Comorbidity	Measure(s) of sleep	
	Measure of mental health	Measure(s) of mental health	
	Concurrent medication use	Study quality	
	Concurrent psychological help	Type of analysis	
		Adjusted vs. unadjusted data	

645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657

Version 2: 16/05/2017

1

Summary of the Main Revisions

Requesting reviewer	Revision description	Location in protocol
Editor	The abstract has been updated in line with the Editors request for more detail.	p. 2
Editor & Reviewer 2	Dates of coverage added to each database searched	p. 11, line 240 - 241
Reviewer 1	Mediation analysis added in line with Reviewer 1s feedback	p. 16, line 350
Reviewer 1	More detail added to explain our proposed moderation analysis including examples	p. 15, line 335
Reviewer 1 & 2	New section, 'Outcomes and Prioritization', added to the method and analysis section. Here we detail how we will assess sleep improvement, mental health and quality of life.	p. 8, line 148
Reviewer 1	More detail added to explain our procedure for computing effect sizes from multiple outcome measures assessing the same, or similar, constructs	p. 14, line 299
Reviewer 1	More detail added to inclusion criteria 2 to indicate requirements for an intervention to demonstrate a *significant* impact (i.e. statistical significance at at least 1 follow-up point)	p. 10, line 214
Reviewer 1	Procedure for handing adjusted data, and data from ITT analyses added	p. 13 and p. 29, line 654/655
Reviewer 1 & 2	Detail added to state how we will convert effect sizes where needed (including from dichotomous outcomes)	p. 13, line 284
Reviewer 1	Rephrased a sentence which could be read as suggesting the traditional view that mental health problems cause sleep disturbance has been replaced by a view that the causal relationship is in the other direction to be more accurate (i.e. that the relationship is bidirectional).	p. 4, line 61
Reviewer 1	Discussion added reflecting on review strengths and weaknesses	p. 17, line 368
Reviewer 1	Reference list amended in line with *BMJ Open* policies	p. 19
Reviewer 2	Inclusion criteria revised to be explicit that children and adolescents are eligible for inclusion	p. 11, line 230
Reviewer 2	Search terms relating to ADHD and autism have been added	Table 1, p. 27
Reviewer 2	Inclusion criteria revised to state that we will include studies not written in English providing we can translate them using available translation resources	p. 11, line 223
Reviewer 2	Detail added to state that 2nd coding will involve a random subset of studies	p. 13, line 271

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Supplementary Materials 2

Ovid Medline Example Search Strategy

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed

Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE and Versions(R)

Search Strategy:

----

1   (Sleep$ or Insomnia$ or nightmare$ or hypersomnia$ or parasomnia$ or narcolepsy

or circadian rhythm$ or restless leg syndrome or apnea or apnoea).ti,ab. (180111)

2   Sleep/ or Sleep Disorders, Circadian Rhythm/ or Sleep Disorders, Intrinsic/ or

Narcolepsy/ or Restless Legs Syndrome/ or Sleep Apnea Syndromes/ or "Sleep Initiation

and Maintenance Disorders"/ or Parasomnias/ (70224)

3   1 or 2 (193630)

4   (psychological health or distress pr "quality of life" or QoL or mental or psychiat$ or

affect or depress$ or mood or stress or anxious or anxiety or phobi$ or obsessive

compulsive disorder$ or OCD or psychos#s or psychotic or schiz$ or bipolar or bi-polar

or hallucination$ or delusion$ or eating disorder$ or eating disturbance$ or anorexia or

bulimia or binge eating or wellbeing or well-being or QoL or quality of life).ti,ab.

(2200869)

5   Stress, Psychological/ or Anxiety Disorders/ or Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder/ or

Phobic Disorders/ or exp "Feeding and Eating Disorders"/ or Anorexia Nervosa/ or

Binge-Eating Disorder/ or Bulimia Nervosa/ or Depressive Disorder/ or Hallucinations/

or Delusions/ or Anxiety/ or Depression/ or psychotic disorders/ (379929)

6   4 or 5 (2283788)

7   3 and 6 (57412)

8   randomized controlled trial.pt. (446587)

9   controlled clinical trial.pt. (91788)

10   randomized.ab. (389502)

11   placebo.ab. (183719)

12   drug therapy.fs. (1928261)

13   randomly.ab. (270741)

14   trial.ab. (409336)

15   groups.ab. (1670961)

16   or/8-15 (3972831)

17   exp animals/ not humans.sh. (4311313)

18   16 not 17 (3433652)

19   7 and 18 (19379)

20   (trial$ or intervention$ or treatment$).ti,ab. (4565976)

21   7 and 20 (23924)

22   21 not 19 (11896)

****

Data extraction form

Study ID: ▢▢▢

Please consult the '**data extraction coding manual**' for instructions on how to code each.

Article meta-data

1. Please state the surnames and first initials of *all* authors of the article (e.g., Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.);

2. Please state the year that the article was first published: ▢▢▢▢

3. What is the publication status of the article? ☐ Published (move to Q3.1)

   ☐ Unpublished (move to Q4)

   3.1. Please state the name of the journal that the article was published in:

Nature of the focal sample

4. State the mean age of the intervention group(s) to the nearest year at baseline:

5. State the percentage of the intervention group(s) that are female at baseline

6. Indicate the clinical status of the **mental health problems** of participants **included** in the study:

   ☐ Clinical   ☑ Non-clinical   ☐ Mixed   ☐ Not known

Data extraction form **Study ID:** ☐ ☐ ☐

7. Indicate the clinical status of the **sleep related problems** of participants **included** in the study:

   ☐ Clinical ☐ Non-clinical ☐ Mixed ☐ Not known

8. What **mental-health difficulties**, symptoms or problems experienced by the participants were recorded by the study authors? Please provide details:

9. What the **sleep related difficulties**, symptoms or problems experienced by the participants were recorded by the study authors? Please provide details:

10. Did the focal sample have comorbid conditions in addition to sleep and/or mental health difficulties? An example would be alcohol dependency among those with anxiety and depression. Please record this where applicable:

11. Were the participants taking medication for a **mental health difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details; if no, please state NA.

Data extraction form   **Study ID:**

12. Were the participants taking medication for a **sleep difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details; if no, please state NA.

13. Were the participants receiving psychological help for a **mental health difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes provide details in the box below, if no please state NA;

14. Were the participants receiving psychological help for a **sleep difficulty** in addition to the intervention being tested? If yes, provide details; if no, please state NA:

Research design

15. How were the participants recruited to the study?

16. Please state the nature of the comparison group(s) (i.e., the group(s) that the intervention group is compared to);

Data extraction form   **Study ID:**

17. State the number of participants in the intervention group(s) who have dropped out of the trial between baseline and each follow-up point recorded. Please express this as a percentage of the number of participants at baseline. If no data is reported, then please state 'not reported'.

18. Record all points where data collection has occurred after the intervention has ended in months (e.g., post-intervention, 3 months, 12 months);

19. Please record the outcome measure(s) **used to measure sleep quality** and indicate whether the measures are self-reported, clinician rated, or objective;

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician   ☐ Objective

20. Please record the outcome measure(s) **used to record outcomes pertaining to mental health and / or wellbeing** and indicate whether the measures are self-reported or rated by a clinician rated.

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

☐ Self-report   ☐ Clinician

Data extraction form

Study ID: ☐☐☐

21. Please use the Jadad quality scale to score the study in terms of randomization, blinding and the account of participants. Use the 'Score given' column, placing your score in the box provided. Examples and guidance on the interpretation of each item are provided in the coding manual;

Item	Min-max score	Description	Score given
Randomization	0 to 2	1 point if randomization is mentioned at all 1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate Deduct 1 point if method of randomization is inappropriate	☐
Blinding	0 to 2	1 point if blinding is mentioned 1 additional point if the method of blinding is appropriate Deduct 1 point if the method of blinding is inappropriate	☐
Account of Participants	0 to 1	The fate of all participants in the trial is known. If there are no data the reason is stated	☐

Features of the intervention

22. Please state the theoretical approach of the intervention for each group receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep (e.g., psychological, pharmacological, medical device etc.). Use the text box below to provide as much detail as possible.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

Data extraction form

Study ID:

23. How was the intervention delivered to participants in each group receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep? Use the box below to provide details.

24. Please state the duration of the intervention(s) to the nearest week;

25. Please record levels of adherence to the intervention(s) where possible (e.g., the number of pages of the intervention materials read, the amount of time spent looking at the intervention). If no data on adherence is available, then please state "not reported";

END OF FORM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

Supplementary Materials 4

Data Extraction Manual

Data extraction manual

The following documents contains details regarding the data to be extracted from primary studies included in the present review. Characteristics of the source (green), sample (yellow), study (blue), and intervention (grey) are outlined here.

Variable	Definition for coding	Example
1. Article authors	State the surnames and first initials of all authors of the article	Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.
2. Publication year	The year that the article was first published	For articles published in Jan 2017, the year '2017' will be recorded on the data extraction form.
3. Publication status	Refers to whether an article has been published in a peer reviewed academic journal or not. Articles reporting a study published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'Published'. Articles reporting a study that has not been published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'unpublished'. Unpublished studies include those taken from PhD theses, dissertations, or studies that have otherwise not been accepted following peer review, or submitted to peer review.	

Version 1: 14/03/2017

3.1. Journal name if published	State the name of the journal that the article was published in	e.g. *British Journal of Psychiatry* or *Psychiatry Research* etc.
4. Age	The mean age of participants in the experimental group(s). Record the mean age of the participants in all of the groups who received an intervention designed to improve sleep. This may be more than one group, so, in these cases record the age of participants separately for each group. If mean age is not reported for the experimental group(s) alone, then report the total sample mean age. If no age data is available, state 'not reported'	
5. Gender	The percentage of participants in the experimental group(s) who are female. Record the percentage of participants who are female in all of the groups receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep. This may be more than one group, in which case record the percentage of female participants separately for each group. If the gender of the participants is not reported for the experimental group(s) alone, then report the percentage of participants who are female in the total sample. If no data on gender is available, then state 'not reported'	
6. Clinical status of participants' (with respect to mental health)	The mental health status of the sample should be classified as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical or iii) mixed	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed

Version 1: 14/03/2017

		Clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a mental health problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g ICD, DSM). Studies where it is explicitly stated that participants have a formal diagnoses of a mental health problem are classed as clinical. This is often defined by formal diagnostic and research criteria such as the DSM or ICD	psychosis spectrum disorder only. As a DSM rated diagnosis is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**.
			A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might include participants from the general population without any formal diagnoses of a mental health problem. For example, participants might be volunteers who have responded to a media advertisement of email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**.
		Non-clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a mental health problem. Mental health is often studied in non-clinical samples who do not have a formal diagnosis. These participants should be classed as non-clinical.	
		Mixed samples are those that include participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not. Samples that include both clinical and non-clinical participants should be classified as mixed.	A third study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might include a mix participants with a DSM rated diagnosis (clinical) and those from the general population with no diagnosis (non-clinical). This would be coded as a **mixed sample**.
	7. Clinical status of participants with respect to sleep problems	The clinical status of the sleep difficulties reported by the sample are coded as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical or iii) mixed	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed sleep problem (e.g. insomnia). As a DSM rated diagnosis of insomnia is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**.
		Clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a sleep problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g., ICD, DSM). Studies where it is explicitly stated that participants have a formal diagnoses of a sleep problem are classed as clinical. This is often defined by formal diagnostic and research criteria such as the DSM or ICD	A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might include participants from the general population without any formal diagnoses of a sleep problem. For example, participants might be volunteers who have responded to a media

Version 1: 14/03/2017

	Non-clinical samples are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a sleep problem. Sleep is often studied in non-clinical samples who do not have a formal diagnosis. These participants should be classed as non-clinical. **Mixed participants** are those that include participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not. Samples that include both clinical and non-clinical participants should be classified as mixed.	advertisement of email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**. A third study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might include a mix participants with a DSM rated diagnosis of a sleep problem (clinical) and those from the general population with no diagnosis of a sleep problem (non-clinical). This would be coded as a **mixed sample**.
8. Type of mental problems	Record the type of mental health problems and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple mental health difficulties/problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the GAD-7 and the BDI to measure anxiety and depression respectively at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'anxiety' and 'depression' in the box provided.
9. Type of sleep problem(s)	Record the type of sleep problem(s) and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple sleep difficulties/problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the insomnia severity scale and the PSQI to measure insomnia and sleep quality respectively at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'insomnia' and 'sleep quality' in the box provided.
10. Comorbidity	Record any problems or difficulties identified by the authors that are comorbid to the targeted sleep and/or the mental health problem.	An example would be an intervention designed to improve sleep in those with depression and alcohol dependency. For this review, sleep and depression would not be considered comorbid at these are the target problems of this review. However, alcohol dependency would be the comorbid problem to record in the box provided.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

11. Concurrent medication use for mental health	Were participants allowed to take medication for a mental health difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study may investigate the effect of improving sleep using CBTi in people with depression who are also using SSRI medication. As these participants are receiving medication for depression, in addition to receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep, they would be classed as using concurrent medication for a mental health problem.

Alternatively, some studies may screen those using medication for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation, leaving only those with depression who are not on medication for it. In which case, state that the participants are using no concurrent medication for mental health. |
| 12. Concurrent medication use for sleep | Were participants allowed to take medication for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research? | A study that tests the impact of a CBTi intervention for insomnia that allows participants to continue with benzodiazepine use would be classed as allowing concurrent medication for a sleep problems.

Alternatively, a study might screen those taking medication for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. Therefore, this study does not allow participants to take medication for a sleep problem in addition to the intervention being tested. In which case, state that the participants are using no concurrent medication for sleep. |

Version 1: 14/03/2017

13. Concurrent psychological treatment for mental health	Were participants receiving psychological help for a mental health difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study where participants are able to continue receiving psychological help from outside of the study team for an anxiety problem while receiving the study intervention.
		Alternatively, some studies may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation. In which case, In which case, state that the participants are receiving no concurrent psychological treatment for mental health.
14. Concurrent psychological treatment for sleep	Were participants receiving psychological help for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study where participants are able to continue receiving psychological help from outside of the study team for a sleep problem while receiving the study intervention.
		Alternatively, some studies may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. In which case, In which case, state that the participants are receiving no concurrent psychological treatment for sleep.
15. Method of recruitment	Record how participants were recruited and from which source(s). This could include, for example, referral by GPs into the trial or from health professionals, recruitment from volunteer email lists at University's or self-referral from the participant. A study may also use a combination of multiple recruitment methods. If so, record all where possible.	Clinicians may refer participants with psychosis spectrum diagnoses from outpatient centres into the trial. In which case, record that participants were recruited by healthcare professionals from a clinical outpatient setting.
		Alternatively, participants may see advertisements and contact the study team directly. In which case,

Version 1: 14/03/2017

		record that participants were recruited via media advertisement and self-referred to the study.
16. Nature of comparison group	Describe the type of comparison group and provide a brief description.	Participants in a wait-list control group would receive no intervention for the duration of the study. In which case, record 'wait-list control group' Alternatively, an intervention might be compared to treatment as usual (TAU) where participants receive the same care they would usually receive regardless of the trial. In which case, record 'treatment as usual' alongside a brief description of what treatment as usual is.
17. Attrition/dropout	The total number of participants in the intervention group(s) who have dropped out of the trial between baseline and each follow-up point recorded should be expressed as a percentage.	If a study started with a total $n = 100$ participants in the intervention group giving baseline data, and ended with $n = 75$ at post-intervention and $n = 50$ at a six month follow-up, then this would be reported as; Post-intervention = 25% attrition 6 month follow-up = 50% attrition
18. Follow-up points	Any point in the study where data has been collected following the intervention	A study that collects data immediately after an intervention has been delivered and then again 3 and 12 months later would have the following follow-up points; 1. Post-intervention 2. 3 months 3. 12 months

Version 1: 14/03/2017

19. Measure of sleep	Record the name of the measure(s) used to assess sleep. Please also record whether this measure was; i) self-reported; ii) rated by a clinician; or iii) measured objectively.	A study that uses both polysomnography (an objective measure of sleep) and the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI, a self-report measure). List the name of the measure (e.g. polysomnography / Insomnia Severity Index) and then tick the appropriate box (i.e., objective in the case of polysomnography and self-report in the case of the ISI).
20. Measure of mental health	Record the name of the measure(s) used to assess mental health and/or wellbeing. Please also record if this measure was self-reported or rated by a clinician	A study that uses the Anxiety Disorder Interview Schedule (ADIS, a clinician rated measure of anxiety disorders) and the Generalised Anxiety Disorder Assessment-7(GAD-7, a self-report measure). List the name of the measure (e.g., ADIS/GAD-7) and then tick the appropriate box (i.e., clinician rated in the case of the ADIS and self-report in the case of the GAD-7).
21. Study quality	The Jadad scale assesses three key aspects of study quality that can affect the risk of bias; (i) randomization, (ii) blinding and (iii) withdrawal/drop-out. For guidance, please refer to the Jadad scale embedded within the data extraction form and the accompanying notes.	Full guidance and examples can be seen the accompanying Jaded scale document. However an example in relation to the assessment of randomization is given below; Give a max score of 2 for randomization and a minimum score of 0 Award 1 point if randomization is mentioned (e.g. "*The patients were randomly assigned into two groups*"). Award 1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate (e.g. *"The*

		randomization was accomplished using a computer, generated random number list, coin toss or well-shuffled envelopes"). Deduct 1 point if the method of randomization is inappropriate (e.g. "*The group assignment was accomplished by alternate assignment, by birthday, hospital number or day of the week etc.*")
22. Theoretical basis of the intervention	Do the authors specify the theoretical basis of the intervention? If so, provide details.	The intervention group received a 6 week course of self-guided CBT for insomnia. The intervention was delivered via the internet and included multiple components. Participants were required to complete a daily sleep diary as well as complete online exercises to realign maladaptive thought processes about sleep. There was also a psychoeducation module and a section detailing several relaxation exercises based around progressive muscle relaxation and mindfulness.
23. Delivery modality	How was the intervention delivered to participants? Provide as much detail as possible in the text box provided.	A study that uses online self-help to provide an intervention to improve sleep. The delivery modality is online/computerised self-help
24. Duration of the intervention	How long did the intervention last (to the nearest week)? If this is not known or reported, please state unknown.	An intervention that comprises of 6 weekly modules would be 6 weeks long.
25. Adherence to the intervention	There are often many measures of adherence to interventions. Please state the measure reported (where possible) in the text box along with the rate of adherence.	If an intervention comprised of 6 weekly modules and the average number of modules completed was 4, then, on average, 66% of the intervention was adhered to.

Version 1: 14/03/2017

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

For peer review only

Version 1: 14/03/2017

Supplementary Materials 1

PRISMA-P Checklist

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Page and line numbers, emboldened and in parentheses, indicate the location of the PRISMA-P item in the corresponding manuscript.

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Title:		
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review **(p. 1)**
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such **(NA)**
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number **(p. 2)**
Authors:		
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author **(p. 1)**
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review **(p. 18)**
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments **(NA)**
Support:		
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review **(p. 18)**
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor **(NA)**
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol **(NA)**
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known **(p.4-7)**
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) **(p. 7 and p. 10-11)**
METHODS		

Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review **(p. 10-11)**
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage **(p. 11)**
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated **(see Supplementary Materials 2)**
Study records:		
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review **(p. 12)**
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) **(p. 13)**
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators **(p. 13)**
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications **(see Table 2)**
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale **(p.8. See also Table 2, p. 31)**
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis **(p. 15)**
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised **(p.13)**
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2, Kendall's τ) **(p. 13-15)**
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) **(p. 15)**
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned **(NA)**
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) **(p. 15)**
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) **(p. 15)**

* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

BMJ Open

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health? A Protocol for a Meta-Analytic Review of Randomised Controlled Trials

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-016873.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Jul-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Scott, Alex; Sheffield University, School of Health and Related Research Webb, Thomas; Sheffield University, Department of Psychology Rowse, Georgina; Sheffield University, Clinical Psychology Unit
Primary Subject Heading:	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	Meta-analysis, Review, Protocol, Sleep, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   1

1   **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health? A Protocol for a Meta-Analytic**

2   **Review of Randomised Controlled Trials**

3

4   Alexander J. Scott, Thomas L. Webb, & Georgina Rowse

5   The University of Sheffield, UK

6

7   For submission to: *BMJ Open*

8   Word count (excluding tables, figures and references): 4,593

9

10

11

12   Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Alexander Scott, School of

13   Health and Related Research, The University of Sheffield, Regent Court, 30 Regent Street,

14   Sheffield, S1 4DA, alex.scott@sheffield.ac.uk

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   2

Abstract

Introduction: Sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental health problems being associated with problems sleeping. Although sleep has been traditionally conceptualized as a secondary consequence of mental health problems, contemporary views prescribe a more influential, causal role of sleep in the formation and maintenance of mental health problems. One way to evaluate this assertion is to examine the extent to which interventions that improve sleep also improve mental health. **Method and analysis:** Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) describing the effects of interventions designed to improve sleep on mental health will be identified via a systematic search of four bibliographic databases (in addition to a search for unpublished literature). Hedges g and associated 95% confidence intervals will be computed from means and standard deviations where possible. Following this, meta-analysis will be used to synthesize the effect sizes from the primary studies and investigate the impact of variables that could potentially moderate the effects. The Jadad scale for reporting RCTs will be used to assess study quality and publication bias will be assessed via visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger's test alongside Orwin's fail-safe n. Finally, mediation analysis will be used to investigate the extent to which changes in outcomes relating to mental health can be attributed to changes in sleep quality. **Ethics and dissemination:** This study requires no ethical approval. The findings will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and promoted to relevant stakeholders. **Prospero registration:** CRD42017055450

Keywords: Meta-analysis; protocol; review; sleep; mental health; intervention

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   3

36   **Strengths**

37   - The proposed review should provide reliable evidence on the effect of interventions

38   designed to improve sleep on outcomes reflecting mental health.

39   - The findings of the proposed review will further elucidate the nature of the relationship

40   between sleep and mental health.

41   - The GRADE system will be used to assess the strength of the evidence base and allow

42   members of the public, researchers, and clinicians to judge the quality of the available

43   evidence.

44   **Limitations**

45   - The proposed review will include a diverse range of interventions and target problems

46   and so might lead to a heterogeneous group of studies. However, to mitigate this,

47   moderation analysis will be used to investigate specific factors that might influence the

48   effect of sleep improvement on mental health.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

49   **Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health? A Protocol for a Meta-Analytic**

50   **Review of Randomized Controlled Trials**

51   Difficulties sleeping and mental health problems are both public health concerns in their

52   own right; with each having a substantive impact on both individuals and society as a whole [1-4].

53   However, sleep and mental health go hand-in-hand, with many, if not all, mental health problems

54   being associated with problems sleeping [5-7]. Traditionally, sleep problems have been viewed as a

55   consequence of mental health problems. Although this is not contested, evidence also suggests

56   that problems sleeping can contribute to the formation of new mental health problems [8-10] and to

57   the maintenance of existing ones [11-13]. In other words, sleep is now thought to have a

58   *bidirectional* relationship with mental health, with problems sleeping likely to influence both the

59   onset and trajectory of a variety of mental health difficulties. Having said this, although a number

60   of empirical studies have manipulated sleep and examined the impact of so doing on outcomes

61   related to mental health, to date there has not been a systematic review of these studies.

62   Consequently, the magnitude of the effect of (changes in) sleep on mental health problems is

63   difficult to estimate and has not been compared between different mental health outcomes and

64   other factors that might influence the effect (e.g., across different groups of participants, research

65   designs, and approaches to intervention).

66   The potential for a causal relationship between sleep and mental health also raises an

67   exciting prospect; namely, that interventions designed to improve sleep could also improve

68   mental health. Providing a definitive answer to this question would have important implications

69   for clinicians, researchers, and members of the public alike. From a practical perspective, if

70   interventions designed to improve sleep can change mental health outcomes, then they may be a

71   useful tool for tackling mental health difficulties. Indeed, interventions designed to improve

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   5

72   sleep can often be delivered remotely, in self-help and group formats, and / or at little cost

73   through the internet [14-18]. For example, a meta-analysis by Ho et al. reported that self-help

74   interventions based on the principles of CBT for insomnia (termed CBTi) had medium-to-large

75   effects on the symptoms of insomnia[18].

76   Current evidence on the relationship between sleep and mental health

77   The relationship between sleep and mental health is well documented, with numerous

78   reviews testifying to a robust link between the two [6-8 19-24]. However, the majority of these

79   reviews have focused on primary studies with correlational research designs. That is, they; i)

80   measure associations between variables at a single time point (i.e., cross-sectional designs); ii)

81   measure associations between variables at multiple time points (i.e., longitudinal designs); or iii)

82   compare the typical sleep profiles of those with mental health difficulties to those without [6 7 25 26].

83   Unfortunately, cross-sectional designs simply tell us that variables are associated in some way. It

84   is impossible to determine whether sleep causes mental health problems, mental health problems

85   cause difficulties sleeping, or whether the effect is bidirectional in nature.

86   Longitudinal studies, although still correlational in nature, are better able to elucidate

87   causality than their cross-sectional counterparts. However, only a handful of reviews have

88   provided evidence on the relationship between sleep (at one point in time) and mental health

89   outcomes (measured later). Furthermore, all of these have focused on depression [8 24 27 28]. For

90   example, Baglioni et al. [8] meta-analysed 21 studies that investigated the longitudinal associations

91   between insomnia and depression. Baglioni et al. reported that people with insomnia had a

92   twofold risk of developing depression compared to people who did not experience difficulties

93   sleeping. Longitudinal designs are also still susceptible to the 'third variable problem' [29-31].

94   Namely, that a third, unmeasured variable (e.g., having young children) could cause both sleep

Version 3: 11/07/2017

95 difficulties and mental health problems. In summary, correlational designs are not a valid way of

96 disentangling the relationship between problems sleeping and mental health.

97   Some reviews have assessed the impact of interventions designed to improve sleep on

98 mental health outcomes[17 18 23 24 32-36]. However, for a number of reasons, even these reviews do

99 not permit us to draw robust conclusions as to the causal impact of sleep quality on mental health

100 outcomes. First, these reviews often include interventions that have not successfully manipulated

101 sleep (i.e., studies in which there was no significant impact of the intervention on sleep

102 outcomes). Such studies do not tell us anything about the relationship between sleep and mental

103 health other than that it can be difficult to improve sleep. Second, the focus of extant reviews has

104 been on improving sleep, with the measurement of mental health outcomes being secondary and

105 typically limited to depression and anxiety. Consequently, the effect of improving sleep on other

106 mental health problems is currently unclear.

107   Finally, to our knowledge, to date there has been no attempt to investigate variables that

108 influence – or *moderate* – the impact of interventions that improve sleep on mental health.

109 However, interventions designed to improve sleep are likely to vary in their content and delivery,

110 and such variables may influence how effective they are (or appear to be) in improving sleep and

111 / or mental health outcomes. Furthermore, variables related to the nature of the sample (e.g., age,

112 severity of symptoms, nature of the mental health problem) and methodological features of the

113 study (e.g., self-report vs. objective assessment of the outcome variables) are likely to influence

114 the apparent effect of the intervention. It is therefore crucial that the impact of such variables is

115 systematically examined across the extant evidence base in order to draw reliable and valid

116 conclusions about the impact of changes in sleep on outcomes pertaining to mental health.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health? 7

The proposed review

118   A number of primary research studies have experimentally manipulated sleep (typically

119   via some sort of psychological intervention) and then measured mental health outcomes.

120   However, as described above, these individual studies have, to our knowledge, never been

121   integrated in a manner that allows the magnitude of the effect of sleep quality on mental health

122   outcomes to be estimated. Therefore, it is currently difficult to; i) draw firm conclusions about

123   the relationship between sleep and various mental health problems; and ii) recommend with any

124   confidence that mental health problems might be tackled using interventions that have been

125   designed to improve sleep. Furthermore, to date there has been no attempt to understand the

126   factors that influence, or moderate, the effect of improvements in sleep on mental health. As a

127   consequence it is currently unclear whether and how the content and nature of the

128   intervention(s), target sample and mental health problem, and methodological features of the

129   primary study influence the effects of interventions designed to improve sleep on mental health

130   outcomes.

131   **Objectives**

132   The proposed review therefore has two broad objectives; i) to synthesize and quantify the

133   effect of interventions that improve sleep on outcomes reflecting mental health; and ii) to explore

134   variables that moderate the effect of interventions targeting sleep on outcomes reflecting mental

135   health.

Method and Analysis

137   This protocol has been prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for

138   Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocol (PRISMA-P, see Supplementary Materials 1)

139   checklist [37].

Version 3: 11/07/2017

140   **Outcomes and Prioritization**

141   *Measuring improvements in sleep*

142   The concept of 'improved sleep' is multifaceted and can mean different things to

143   different people[38-40]. Indeed, many specific sleep problems are tied to mental health in unique

144   ways and often have their own unique measures. For example, the experience of nightmares has

145   been found to be associated with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)[41], as measured using

146   specific outcome measures such as dream diaries[42 43] or the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale

147   (CAPS)[44]. Consequently, one challenge for the proposed review is to ensure that all of the

148   primary studies assess a similar notion of sleep improvement. To achieve this, the proposed

149   review will require that the primary studies report a measure that reflects the overall quality of

150   sleep experienced by participants. Broadly speaking, sleep quality consists of; (i) sleep continuity

151   (e.g., sleep onset, sleep maintenance, and number of awakenings); and (ii) daytime impact (e.g.,

152   the extent to which the person feels refreshed on waking and throughout the day)[39 40].

153   Sleep quality can be measured using both self-report and objective indices. For example,

154   the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[45] (PSQI) is widely recognized as the 'gold standard' self-

155   report measure of sleep quality and consists of 19 items measuring 7 aspects of sleep quality

156   (namely, subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep

157   disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction). The 'gold standard' for

158   objectively measuring sleep is accepted to be polysomnography (PSG)[46]; a technique that

159   monitors multiple biophysiological parameters and directly records aspects of sleep quality

160   including sleep onset and sleep maintenance (for a review, see [47]). As such, the proposed review

161   will include both self-report and objective indices of sleep quality, but will also seek to compare

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   9

162   effect sizes between different measures in an effort to empirically examine the extent to which

163   the nature of the measures influences the apparent effect of the interventions.

164   ***Measuring mental health***

165   Measuring mental health is also complex and multifaceted, with a range of different

166   outcomes which differ both in their administration and interpretation. Some studies will report a

167   general measure assessing a specific diagnostic category (e.g., a measure of the severity of

168   depression). For example, the Beck Depression Inventory II is a 21 item self-report measure

169   designed to assess multiple facets of depression including mood, pessimism, self-dislike, loss of

170   appetite, and social withdrawal, with higher scores indicating more severe depression[48]. Other

171   studies might assess a single symptom or problem. For example, the Green Paranoid Thoughts

172   Scale (GPTS) measures paranoid thoughts[49]; an experience that is associated with, but is not

173   limited to, psychosis spectrum disorders[50 51]. Finally, some studies may report the effects of

174   interventions designed to improve sleep on global measures of mental health. For example, the

175   Clinical Global Impressions Severity scale (CGI-S)[52] asks clinicians to use their clinical

176   experience to rate how mentally ill their client has been over the last week, on a scale ranging

177   from 1 – *normal* to 7 – *amongst the most extremely ill patients*.

178   Measures assessing aspects of mental health can either be; (i) self-reported by the

179   participant, or (ii) completed on behalf of the participant by a clinician or other independent

180   rater. Both self-report and independently rated outcome measures will be included in the

181   proposed review; however, as above, we will compare effect sizes between different measures in

182   an effort to empirically examine the extent to which the nature of the measure(s) influences the

183   apparent effect of the interventions.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

184   **Eligibility Criteria**

185   *Inclusion criteria*

186   In order to be included in the proposed review, the primary studies need to:

187   1. Randomly allocate participants to either an experimental group that receives an intervention

188   that is designed to improve sleep or a comparison group.

189   2. Report a statistically significant improvement at on a measure of sleep quality at least one

190   follow-up point among participants in the experimental group as compared to those in the

191   comparison group.

192   3. Include a measure of mental health subsequent to the measure of sleep quality.

193   4. Report sufficient data for us to be able to compute effect sizes reflecting the impact of the

194   intervention on i) sleep quality and ii) mental health. Where sufficient data is not reported,

195   we will contact the authors and request further data. However, if this is not provided, then the

196   study will not be included in the review.

197   5. Be written in English, or be able to be translated using available translation resources.

198   *Exclusion criteria*

199   The aim of the proposed review is to be as inclusive as possible and address potential

200 differences between the primary studies (e.g., differences in the nature of the intervention or the

201 mental health problem under consideration) using moderation analysis. Therefore, we will not

202 restrict the type of intervention (e.g., psychological and pharmacological), publication status,

203 nature of the comparison condition, or sample (i.e., interventions directed toward adults,

204 children, and adolescents will all be eligible). However, in order to ensure that we can reliably

205 and validly assess the independent contribution of changes in sleep on mental health outcomes

206 among adult populations, studies with the following characteristics will be excluded:

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   11

207   1.   Studies where the intervention contains elements that specifically target a mental health

208   problem alongside improving sleep (e.g., an intervention that provides CBT for anxiety

209   alongside efforts to improve sleep).

210   2.   Studies that recruit children and young people (i.e. under the age of 18 years old).

211   3.   Studies adopting a pre-post (or within participant) design.

212   **Information Sources**

213   The proposed review will use a combination of search techniques and sources in order to

214   identify potential studies. First, we will search MEDLINE (1946 to present), Embase (1974 to

215   present), PsycINFO (1967 to present), and The Cochrane Library(1898 to present) using the

216   Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy[53] to identify RCTs that include terms relating to sleep

217   quality and/or sleep disorders and mental health (see Table 1 for a list of the proposed search

218   terms). The search strategy has been developed in collaboration with a health sciences librarian

219   specializing in systematic search procedures and will be used to search each database (see

220   Supplementary Materials 2 for an example search strategy). Second, the reference lists of extant

221   reviews of the relationship between sleep and mental health (e.g., those cited in the introduction)

222   will be searched for any potential articles. Third, a search for any unpublished or ongoing studies

223   will be conducted by searching online databases including White Rose Online, The National

224   Research Register, WHO approved clinical trial databases (e.g. ISRCTN), and PROSPERO.

225   Finally, the authors of articles deemed eligible for inclusion will be contacted and asked if they

226   are aware of any unpublished research that may be eligible for inclusion in the review.

227   **Data management**

228   All records will be stored in the reference management software Endnote, and we will

229   follow PRISMA guidelines for the selection of studies for meta-analysis [54]. Specifically, when

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   12

230   the pool of potential studies has been identified, we will remove duplicates and initially screen

231   each record based on the title and abstract and exclude clearly ineligible studies. Following this

232   initial screening, the full-text versions of each article will be reviewed in detail and cross-

233   referenced against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The flow of articles through the review,

234   including the reasons for excluding studies, will be documented in a PRISMA flow chart.

235   **Data Extraction**

236   Data will be recorded on a standardized data extraction form and a manual will

237   accompany this form and detail each variable to be extracted alongside definitions and examples

238   (see Supplementary Materials 3 and 4). Two reviewers will pilot the data extraction forms and

239   manual on three articles in order to ensure that there are no systematic problems or difficulties

240   coding any of the variables. After this, the data will be extracted from the full set of studies by

241   one reviewer. A second member of the review team will second code a subset of the included

242   articles (at least 10%) and levels of agreement will be calculated (the subset of articles for second

243   coding will be randomly selected using a computer generated algorithm). Any disagreements

244   will be resolved through discussion, with a third member of the review team acting as arbiter for

245   any outstanding disagreements. The review team will extract meta-data pertaining to source

246   characteristics (e.g., publication status and year), as well as data relating to the characteristics of

247   the sample (e.g., age, type of mental health problem), the study (e.g., the nature of the

248   comparison group, length of follow-up), and characteristics of the intervention (e.g., theoretical

249   basis, mode of delivery). Table 2 provides an overview of the potential moderators that we

250   propose to code and examine and Supplementary Materials 3 provides detail on specific

251   moderator levels and categories.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   13

252   **Proposed Analysis**

253   Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration, [55] will be used to compute Hedges g (and

254   associated 95% confidence intervals) using the means and standard deviations for each measure

255   of sleep quality and mental health reported in studies comparing these outcomes between an

256   intervention group (i.e., a group receiving an intervention that improves sleep) and a comparison

257   group (e.g., wait-list, placebo, treatment as usual)[1]. Where means and standard deviations are not

258   available, we will compute effect sizes by converting relevant summary statistics (e.g., F values

259   from an ANOVA testing the impact of an intervention on relevant outcomes) using Lyons Morris'

260   meta-analysis calculator [56]. The effect of the interventions on sleep quality will be assessed using

261   data from the first available follow-up point that reports a statistically significant difference in

262   sleep quality between the intervention and comparison conditions. The effect of the interventions

263   on outcomes pertaining to mental health will be assessed at the longest follow-up point available,

264   whether the effect at this point is statistically significant or not (and we will investigate the effect

265   of follow-up duration on outcomes using moderation analysis). This strategy will provide a

266   stringent test of the effect of the interventions on outcomes pertaining to mental health (in the

267   sense that any changes need to have been maintained over time) and also enable us to investigate

268   whether the impact of the interventions on outcomes is mediated by changes in sleep quality that

269   precede the impact on outcomes pertaining to mental health (this proposed analysis is discussed

270   in detail below).

---

[1] Where available, data that has been adjusted for baseline differences between groups will be used to compute effect sizes. However, if this information is not reported then we will use the unadjusted data to compute the effect sizes. We will also seek to compute effect sizes using the data from Intention to Treat (ITT) analyses where they are reported. Subscripts will be added to the table reporting the effect sizes derived from the primary research studies in order to identify how each effect size was computed and also to compare outcomes between studies that report adjusted vs. unadjusted statistics and ITT analyses vs. non-ITT analyses.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

271   Where studies report multiple outcome measures under one diagnostic category (e.g.,

272   several measures of depression or sleep quality), the effect sizes will be computed for each

273   outcome and meta-analyzed in their own right to form one overall effect for inclusion in the main

274   analysis. For example, we would compute two effect sizes reflecting sleep quality if a study

275   reported the effects of an intervention on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index[45] and the Insomnia

276   Severity Index[57] (i.e., one effect size for each measure of sleep quality) and then average them

277   before inclusion in the main dataset. This procedure capitalizes on the information that is

278   available, while retaining the independence of effect sizes which is central to the validity of

279   meta-analysis[58].

280   The sample-weighted average effect size (g_+) will be computed using a random effects

281   model as studies are likely to be "different from one another in ways too complex to capture by a

282   few simple study characteristics" [59]. Following Cohen's [60] recommendations, $g = 0.20$ will be

283   taken to represent a 'small' effect size, $g = 0.50$ a 'medium' effect size, and $g = 0.80$ a 'large'

284   effect size. We will use these qualitative indices to interpret the findings. Publication bias will be

285   assessed via visual inspection of a funnel plot and Egger's test [61]. Finally, Orwin's [62] formula will

286   be used to determine the fail-safe n (i.e., the number of studies producing a null effect that would

287   be needed to reduce the overall effect of interventions that improve sleep on outcomes relating to

288   mental health to a trivial effect size).

289   **Heterogeneity, Bias and Study Quality**

290   The I^2 statistic will be used to assess the heterogeneity of effect sizes across the primary

291   studies [63]. The quality of each individual study included in the proposed review will be assessed

292   using the Jadad scale for reporting RCTs [64]. The Jadad scale assesses three key areas of

293   methodological quality that potentially lead to bias – namely; randomization, blinding, and the

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   15

294   flow of participants through the study. In order to assess these areas, raters will be asked to

295   answer three questions: i) "*Was the study described as randomized (i.e., does it include words*

296   *such as randomly, random, and randomization*)?"; ii) "*Was the study described as double*

297   *blind?*"; and iii) "*Was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts*?". Scores on the Jadad

298   scale range from 0 to 5, with higher scores indicating a lower risk of bias (and therefore higher

299   methodological quality). The Jadad scale for reporting RCTs has been extensively used as a

300   measure of the methodological quality of RCTs (having received over 7,500 citations to date)

301   and has been recommended as the most reliable and valid scale for assessing the quality of

302   RCTs, in a review of 21 measures [65]. Finally, the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment,

303   Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system[66 67] will be used to assess the quality of the body

304   of evidence as a whole and the extent to which it can and should be used to inform clinical

305   recommendations.

306   **Moderation Analysis**

307   Moderation analyses will be used to identify variables that influence the effect of

308   interventions that improve sleep on both mental health. Many of these variables and their sub-

309   categories are outlined in Table 2 (for more detail see Supplementary Materials 3); however, we

310   are keen to be flexible and responsive to the literature as the search develops. Imposing an

311   exhaustive coding structure *a priori* without knowledge of the primary studies included in the

312   review may result in an unsuitable structure that does not accurately reflect the nature of the

313   included studies. Consequently, Table 2/Supplementary Materials 3 is not intended to provide an

314   exhaustive list of moderators and we are open to considering additional moderators and

315   categories as the search and data-extraction develops. However, in order to ensure that the reader

316   is clear on what analyses were pre-planned, we will label any analyses that are *not* pre-specified

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   16

317 in this protocol as exploratory in the final report. Moderation analysis will be undertaken to

318 explore the effect of variables relating to the nature of the focal sample, the methodological

319 design and intervention characteristics across all studies within the main meta-analyses.

320 We will require a minimum of $k = 3$ studies representing each moderator level category in

321 order to conduct moderation analysis (e.g., to investigate the effect of outcome type on effect

322 sizes we will require data from at least 3 studies using self-report outcomes and at least 3 studies

323 using clinician completed outcomes). For continuous moderators (e.g., age, publication year,

324 study quality), sample weighted meta-regression will be used to investigate the impact of the

325 moderator on effect sizes. For example, the quality of a given study, assessed using the Jadad

326 scale[64], will be used as the independent variable in a sample-weighted meta-regression, with the

327 effect sizes representing the effect of the interventions on outcomes pertaining to mental health

328 used as the dependent variable. For categorical variables (e.g., self-report vs. clinician rated

329 outcomes, the nature of the comparison condition), the sample-weighted average effect size (g_+)

330 and associated standard errors will be computed for each level of the moderator and then the Q

331 statistic will be used to assess if the effect sizes are significantly different. For example, effect

332 sizes based on clinician rated measures of mental health (e.g., the Clinical Global Impressions

333 Severity Scale[52]) will be compared to effect sizes based on self-report measures of mental health

334 (e.g., the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale[68]).

335 **Mediation Analysis**

336 Mediation analysis will be used to investigate the extent to which changes in mental

337 health can be attributed to changes in sleep. These analyses will include all studies that report the

338 correlation between (changes in) sleep quality and (changes in) mental health outcomes (the

339 correlation between the intervention and sleep quality and mental health outcomes, respectively,

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   17

340   will be computed by converting the sample-weighted average effect of the interventions on these

341   outcomes into effect size *r*). These (sample-weighted, average) correlations will be entered using

342   the matrix function into SPSS to permit analysis as if they resulted from a primary dataset. In

343   line with Kenny, Kashy, and Bolger's [69] recommendations, we will then conduct 4 multiple

344   regressions in order to investigate mediation. These regressions will test; i) the effect of the

345   independent variable (i.e., the intervention) on the dependent variable (i.e., outcomes reflecting

346   mental health); ii) the effect of the independent variable on the putative mediator (i.e., outcomes

347   reflecting sleep quality); iii) the effect of the mediating variable on the dependent variable; and

348   iv) the simultaneous effect of the independent variable and the mediator on the dependent

349   variable, respectively. If the effect of the interventions on mental health can be attributed to

350   changes in the quality of sleep, then the impact of the interventions on outcomes pertaining to

351   mental health should be significantly reduced when the effect of the interventions on sleep

352   quality is statistically controlled.

353   **Ethics and Dissemination**

354   As the proposed research is a meta-analytic review of primary studies, no ethical

355   approval is required. We have registered the proposed review on the PROSPERO database

356   (CRD42017055450) in order to adhere to the principles of open research. Following completion

357   of the review, we will submit the findings for publication in a peer reviewed academic journal

358   and attend conferences and dissemination events with stakeholders where possible.

359   **Discussion**

360   The proposed review will use meta-analysis alongside moderator and (meta)mediation

361   analyses to i) quantify the effect of interventions that improve sleep on mental health outcomes;

362   ii) test whether any effect of the interventions on these outcomes is mediated by changes in sleep

Version 3: 11/07/2017

363   quality, and iii) explore variables that potentially moderate the effect of the interventions

364   targeting sleep on mental health outcomes. The proposed review has a number of strengths that

365   we believe mean that it will make a substantive contribution. First, the review will be inclusive

366   and investigate the effect of improving sleep on a wide range of mental health problems. Second,

367   the review will further elucidate our understanding of the causal relationship between sleep and

368   mental health by including only studies that successfully manipulate sleep and by conducting a

369   mediation analysis to investigate whether any changes in mental health can be attributed to

370   changes in sleep. Finally, the GRADE system will be used to assess the strength of the evidence

371   base[66 67] which should allow members of the public, researchers, and clinicians to quickly access

372   the available evidence and judge its quality.

373   Despite the strengths of the proposed review, however, the wide range of interventions

374   and target problems that are likely to be addressed by the primary research studies may lead to a

375   relatively heterogeneous group of studies (and thus, potentially effect sizes) which may lead to

376   concerns that we are not comparing 'like with like' (cf. the problem of mixing apples and

377   oranges[70]) and limit the extent to which the findings can be generalized to a specific population

378   (e.g., to those with depression). However, to mitigate these concerns we will use moderation

379   analysis to investigate specific factors that might influence the effect of improvements in sleep

380   on mental health and to estimate the sample-weighted average effect sizes for different types of

381   interventions and on different mental health outcomes. Our hope is that these analyses prove

382   informative, both in understanding mental health problems (i.e., for which mental health

383   problems can changes in sleep quality be expected to influence outcomes?) and in developing

384   interventions designed to mitigate these problems (e.g., the review will be able to identify which

385   interventions are most effective).

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   19

386   **Author Contributions**

387   The first author (AJS) had the idea for the proposed review and approached TLW and

388   GR, who contributed to the design of the research. AJS drafted the protocol and TLW and GR

389   provided detailed comments before submission. AJS is the identified guarantor of the review.

390   **Funding Statement**

391   This research has not yet received any funding from the public, commercial or not-for-

392   profit sectors.

393   **Competing Interests**

394   We have no competing interests to declare.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   20

395   **References**

396   1. Colten HR, Altevogt BM. Sleep disorders and sleep deprivation: An unmet public health

397   problem: National Academies Press 2006.

398   2. Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, et al. Mental health of young people: A global public-health

399   challenge. *The Lancet* 2007;369(9569):1302-13. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60368-7

400   3. Robotham D. Sleep as a public health concern: Insomnia and mental health. *Journal of Public*

401   *Mental Health* 2011;10(4):234-37. doi: 10.1108/17465721111188250

402   4. Prince M, Patel V, Saxena S, et al. No health without mental health. *The Lancet*

403   2007;370(9590):859-77. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61238-0

404   5. Ford DE, Kamerow DB. Epidemiologic study of sleep disturbances and psychiatric disorders:

405   An opportunity for prevention? *JAMA* 1989;262(11):1479-84. doi:

406   10.1001/jama.1989.03430110069030

407   6. Baglioni C, Nanovska S, Regen W, et al. Sleep and mental disorders: A meta-analysis of

408   polysomnographic research. *Psychological Bulletin* 2016;14:14. doi:

409   10.1037/bul0000053

410   7. Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, et al. Sleep and psychiatric disorders - a meta-

411   analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 1992;49(8):651-68. doi:

412   10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820080059010

413   8. Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: A meta-

414   analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *Journal of Affective*

415   *Disorders* 2011;135(1):10-19. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.01.011

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   21

416   9. Gregory AM, Rijsdijk FV, Lau J, et al. The direction of longitudinal associations between

417   sleep problems and depression symptoms: A study of twins aged 8 and 10 years. *Sleep*

418   2009;32(2):189-99.

419   10. Breslau N, Roth T, Rosenthal L, et al. Sleep disturbance and psychiatric disorders: A

420   longitudinal epidemiological study of young adults. *Biological Psychiatry*

421   1996;39(6):411-18. doi: 10.1016/0006-3223(95)00188-3

422   11. Harvey AG. Insomnia: Symptom or diagnosis? *Clinical Psychology Review*

423   2001;21(7):1037-59. doi: doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(00)00083-0

424   12. Soehner AM, Kaplan KA, Harvey AG. Insomnia comorbid to severe psychiatric illness. *Sleep*

425   *Medicine Clinics* 2013;8(3):361-71. doi: 10.1016/j.jsmc.2013.04.007

426   13. Freeman D, Stahl D, McManus S, et al. Insomnia, worry, anxiety and depression as

427   predictors of the occurrence and persistence of paranoid thinking. *Social Psychiatry and*

428   *Psychiatric Epidemiology* 2012;47(8):1195-203. doi: 10.1007/s00127-011-0433-1

429   14. Cuijpers P, Van Straten A, Andersson G. Internet-administered cognitive behavior therapy for

430   health problems: A systematic review. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine* 2008;31(2):169-

431   77. doi: 10.1007/s10865-007-9144-1

432   15. Wu JQ, Appleman ER, Salazar RD, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia

433   comorbid with psychiatric and medical conditions: A meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal*

434   *Medicine* 2015;175(9):1461-72. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.3006

435   16. van Straten A, Cuijpers P. Self-help therapy for insomnia: A meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine*

436   *Reviews* 2009;13(1):61-71. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2008.04.006

437   17. Koffel EA, Koffel JB, Gehrman PR. A meta-analysis of group cognitive behavioral therapy

438   for insomnia. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:6-16. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.05.001

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   22

439   18. Ho FY, Chung KF, Yeung WF, et al. Self-help cognitive-behavioral therapy for insomnia: A

440   meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2015;19:17-28.

441   doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.06.010

442   19. Chan MS, Chung KF, Yung KP, et al. Sleep in schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-

443   analysis of polysomnographic findings in case-control studies. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*

444   2016;10:10. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2016.03.001

445   20. Kobayashi I, Boarts JM, Delahanty DL. Polysomnographically measured sleep abnormalities

446   in ptsd: A meta-analytic review. *Psychophysiology* 2007;44(4):660-9. doi:

447   10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.537.x

448   21. Nota JA, Sharkey KM, Coles ME. Sleep, arousal, and circadian rhythms in adults with

449   obsessive-compulsive disorder: A meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*

450   2015;51:100-7. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.01.002

451   22. Reeve S, Sheaves B, Freeman D. The role of sleep dysfunction in the occurrence of delusions

452   and hallucinations: A systematic review. *Clinical Psychology Review* 2015;42:96-115.

453   doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2015.09.001

454   23. Belleville G, Cousineau H, Levrier K, et al. Meta-analytic review of the impact of cognitive-

455   behavior therapy for insomnia on concomitant anxiety. *Clinical Psychology Review*

456   2011;31(4):638-52. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2011.02.004

457   24. Lovato N, Gradisar M. A meta-analysis and model of the relationship between sleep and

458   depression in adolescents: Recommendations for future research and clinical practice.

459   *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2014;18(6):521-9. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2014.03.006

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   23

460   25. Diaz-Roman A, Perestelo-Perez L, Buela-Casal G. Sleep in obsessive-compulsive disorder: A

461   systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine* 2015;16(9):1049-55. doi:

462   10.1016/j.sleep.2015.03.020

463   26. Chouinard S, Poulin J, Stip E, et al. Sleep in untreated patients with schizophrenia: A meta-

464   analysis: National Institute of Mental Health, 2004.

465   27. Cole MG, Dendukuri N. Risk factors for depression among elderly community subjects: A

466   systematic review and meta-analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 2003;160(6):1147-

467   56. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.6.1147

468   28. Zhai L, Zhang H, Zhang D. Sleep durations and depression among adults: A meta-analysis of

469   prospective studies. *Depression and Anxiety* 2015;32(9):664-70. doi: 10.1002/da.22386

470   29. Mauro R. Understanding love (left out variables error): A method for estimating the effects of

471   omitted variables. *Psychological Bulletin* 1990;108(2):314.

472   30. Zapf D, Dormann C, Frese M. Longitudinal studies in organizational stress research: A

473   review of the literature with reference to methodological issues. *Journal of Occupational*

474   *Health Psychology* 1996;1(2):145. doi: 10.1037//1076-8998.1.2.145

475   31. Duckworth AL, Tsukayama E, May H. Establishing causality using longitudinal hierarchical

476   linear modeling: An illustration predicting achievement from self-control. *Social*

477   *Psychological and Personality Science* 2010 doi: 10.1177/1948550609359707

478   32. Tang NKY, Lereya ST, Boulton H, et al. Nonpharmacological treatments of insomnia for

479   long-term painful conditions: A systematic review and meta-analysis of patient-reported

480   outcomes in randomized controlled trials. *Sleep* 2015;38(11):1751. doi:

481   10.5665/sleep.5158

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   24

482   33. Okajima I, Komada Y, Inoue Y. A meta-analysis on the treatment effectiveness of cognitive

483   behavioral therapy for primary insomnia. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms* 2011;9(1):24-34.

484   doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8425.2010.00481.x

485   34. Hansen K, Hoefling V, Kroener-Borowik T, et al. Efficacy of psychological interventions

486   aiming to reduce chronic nightmares: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*

487   2013;33(1):146-55. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2012.10.012

488   35. Gupta MA, Simpson FC, Lyons DCA. The effect of treating obstructive sleep apnea with

489   positive airway pressure on depression and other subjective symptoms: A systematic

490   review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 2016;28:55-68. doi:

491   10.1016/j.smrv.2015.07.002

492   36. Ho FY-Y, Chan CS, Tang KN-S. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for sleep disturbances in

493   treating posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms: A meta-analysis of randomized

494   controlled trials. *Clinical Psychology Review* 2016;43:90-102. doi:

495   10.1016/j.cpr.2015.09.005

496   37. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and

497   meta-analysis protocols (prisma-p) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*

498   2015;349:g7647. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g7647

499   38. Åkerstedt T, Hume K, Minors D, et al. The meaning of good sleep: A longitudinal study of

500   polysomnography and subjective sleep quality. *Journal of Sleep Research* 1994;3(3):152-

501   58. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.1994.tb00122.x

502   39. Harvey AG, Stinson K, Whitaker KL, et al. The subjective meaning of sleep quality: A

503   comparison of individuals with and without insomnia. *Sleep* 2008;31(3):383. doi:

504   10.1093/sleep/31.3.383

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   25

505   40. Libman E, Fichten C, Creti L, et al. Refreshing sleep and sleep continuity determine

506   perceived sleep quality. *Sleep Disorders* 2016;2016 doi: 10.1155/2016/7170610

507   41. Lamarche LJ, De Koninck J. Sleep disturbance in adults with posttraumatic stress disorder: A

508   review. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 2007

509   42. Mellman TA, David D, Bustamante V, et al. Dreams in the acute aftermath of trauma and

510   their relationship to ptsd. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 2001;14(1):241-47. doi:

511   10.1023/A:1007812321136

512   43. Levin R, Fireman G. Nightmare prevalence, nightmare distress, and self-reported

513   psychological disturbance. *Sleep* 2002;25(2):205-12.

514   44. Blake DD, Weathers FW, Nagy LM, et al. The development of a clinician‑administered ptsd

515   scale. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* 1995;8(1):75-90. doi: 10.1007/BF02105408

516   45. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, et al. The pittsburgh sleep quality index: A new

517   instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Research* 1989;28(2):193-

518   213. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4

519   46. van de Water A, Holmes A, Hurley DA. Objective measurements of sleep for non‑

520   laboratory settings as alternatives to polysomnography – a systematic review. *Journal of*

521   *Sleep Research* 2011;20(1pt2):183-200. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2009.00814.x

522   47. Vaughn BV, Giallanza P. Technical review of polysomnography. *CHEST Journal*

523   2008;134(6):1310-19. doi: 10.1378/chest.08-0812

524   48. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the beck depression inventory:

525   Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review* 1988;8(1):77-100. doi:

526   10.1016/0272-7358(88)90050-5

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   26

527   49. Green C, Freeman D, Kuipers E, et al. Measuring ideas of persecution and social reference:

528   The green et al. Paranoid thought scales (gpts). *Psychological Medicine* 2008;38(01):101-

529   11. doi: 10.1017/S0033291707001638

530   50. Johns LC, Cannon M, Singleton N, et al. Prevalence and correlates of self-reported psychotic

531   symptoms in the british population. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2004;185(4):298-

532   305. doi: 10.1192/bjp.185.4.298

533   51. McGrath JA, Nestadt G, Liang K-Y, et al. Five latent factors underlying schizophrenia:

534   Analysis and relationship to illnesses in relatives. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 2004;30(4):855.

535   doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.schbul.a007138

536   52. Busner J, Targum SD. The clinical global impressions scale: Applying a research tool in

537   clinical practice. *Psychiatry* 2007;4(7):28-37.

538   53. Robinson KA, Dickersin K. Development of a highly sensitive search strategy for the

539   retrieval of reports of controlled trials using pubmed. *International Journal of*

540   *Epidemiology* 2002;31(1):150-53. doi: 10.1093/ije/31.1.150

541   54. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and

542   meta-analyses: The prisma statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

543   2009;62(10):1006-12. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005

544   55. Review manager (revman) [program]. 5.3 version. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane

545   Centre: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014.

546   56. Lyons LC, Morris WA. Lyons-morris meta-analysis calculator 2017 [Available from:

547   http://www.lyonsmorris.com/ma1/ accessed 15th May 2017.

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   27

548   57. Bastien CH, Vallières A, Morin CM. Validation of the insomnia severity index as an outcome

549   measure for insomnia research. *Sleep Medicine* 2001;2(4):297-307. doi: 10.1016/S1389-

550   9457(00)00065-4

551   58. Scammacca N, Roberts G, Stuebing KK. Meta-analysis with complex research designs

552   dealing with dependence from multiple measures and multiple group comparisons.

553   *Review of Educational Research* 2014;84(3):328-64. doi: 10.3102/0034654313500826

554   59. Cooper HM. Integrating research: A guide for literature reviews. London, England: Sage

555   1986.

556   60. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin* 1992;112(1):155. doi: 10.1037/0033-

557   2909.112.1.155

558   61. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,

559   graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315(7109):629-34. doi: 10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

560   62. Orwin RG. A fail-safe n for effect size in meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Statistics*

561   1983:157-59. doi: 10.2307/1164923

562   63. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*

563   2003;327(7414):557-60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557

564   64. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical

565   trials: Is blinding necessary? *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1996;17(1):1-12. doi:

566   10.1016/0197-2456(95)00134-4

567   65. Olivo SA, Macedo LG, Gadotti IC, et al. Scales to assess the quality of randomized

568   controlled trials: A systematic review. *Physical Therapy* 2007 doi: 10.2522/ptj.20070147

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health? 28

569 66. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. Grade guidelines: 1. Introduction: Grade evidence

570   profiles and summary of findings tables. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*

571   2011;64(4):383-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026

572 67. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. Grade: An emerging consensus on rating quality of

573   evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336(7650):924. doi:

574   10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD

575 68. Lovibond PF, Lovibond SH. The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the

576   depression anxiety stress scales (dass) with the beck depression and anxiety inventories.

577   *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1995;33(3):335-43. doi: 10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-

578   U

579 69. Kenny DA, Kashy DA, Bolger N. Data analysis in social psychology. New York: Oxford

580   University Press 1998.

581 70. Barone JE. Comparing apples and oranges: A randomised prospective study. *BMJ*

582   2000;321(7276):1569-70. doi: 10.1136/bmj.321.7276.1569

583

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   29

584   **Table 1**

585   *Search Terms that will be used to Identify Randomized Controlled Trials of Interventions*

586   *Designed to Improve Sleep on Outcomes Pertaining to Mental Health*

587	**HSSS for RCTs[a]**	**Sleep**	**Mental health**
588	Randomized controlled trial	Sleep*	"Psychological health"
589	Controlled clinical trial	"Circadian rhythm*"	"Mental"
590	Randomized	Insomnia	Psychiat*
591	Placebo	Hypersomnia	Affect*
592	Drug therapy	Parasomnia	Depress*
593	Randomly	Narcolepsy	Mood
594	Trial	Apnea	Stress
595	Groups	Apnoea	Anxi*
596		Nightmare*	Phobi*
597		"Restless legs syndrome"	"Obsessive compulsive disorder"
598			OCD
599			PTSD
600			"Post-traumatic stress disorder"
601			Psychos*s
602			Psychotic
603			Schiz*
604			Bipolar
605			Hallucination*
606			Delusion*
607			"Eating disturbance*"
608			Anorexia
609			Bulimia
610			"Binge eating"

611   *Notes*: Studies will need to include at least one search term from each of the filter above in the

612   title, abstract, or keywords, for consideration for inclusion in the review.

613   * = Indicates that variants of the word after the asterisk will be searched for (e.g., depress* will

614   search for depressive etc.)

615   [a] The Highly Sensitive Search Strategy (HSSS) is more than just a key word search, rather it

616   encompasses search techniques and strategies[53].

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Does Improving Sleep Lead to Better Mental Health?   30

617   **Table 2**

618   *Variables to be Extracted for Moderation Analysis (where available, see Supplemenatry Materials 3 for detailed variable categories*

619   *and levels)*

Source characteristics	Sample characteristics	Design characteristics	Intervention characteristics
621 Publication status	Age	Method of recruitment	Size of the effect on sleep
622 Publication year	Gender	Nature of comparison group(s)	Duration
623 Journal impact factor	Type of mental health problem(s)	Attrition/drop-out rate	Theoretical basis
624	Type of sleep problem(s)	Timing of follow-up	Mode of delivery
625	Clinical status of mental health	Nature of outcome measure(s)	Adherence
626	Clinical status of sleep problem	Type of analysis	
627	Comorbidity	Adjusted vs. unadjusted data	
628	Concurrent medication use	Study quality	
629	Concurrent psychological help		

Version 3: 11/07/2017

Supplementary Materials 2

Ovid Medline Example Search Strategy

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE and Versions(R)

Search Strategy:

----

1   (Sleep$ or Insomnia$ or nightmare$ or hypersomnia$ or parasomnia$ or narcolepsy or circadian rhythm$ or restless leg syndrome or apnea or apnoea).ti,ab. (180111)

2   Sleep/ or Sleep Disorders, Circadian Rhythm/ or Sleep Disorders, Intrinsic/ or Narcolepsy/ or Restless Legs Syndrome/ or Sleep Apnea Syndromes/ or "Sleep Initiation and Maintenance Disorders"/ or Parasomnias/ (70224)

3   1 or 2 (193630)

4   (psychological health or distress or mental or psychiat$ or affect or depress$ or mood or stress or anxious or anxiety or phobi$ or obsessive compulsive disorder$ or OCD or psychos#s or psychotic or schiz$ or bipolar or bi-polar or hallucination$ or delusion$ or eating disorder$ or eating disturbance$ or anorexia or bulimia or binge eating or wellbeing or well-being or).ti,ab. (2200869)

5   Stress, Psychological/ or Anxiety Disorders/ or Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder/ or Phobic Disorders/ or exp "Feeding and Eating Disorders"/ or Anorexia Nervosa/ or Binge-Eating Disorder/ or Bulimia Nervosa/ or Depressive Disorder/ or Hallucinations/ or Delusions/ or Anxiety/ or Depression/ or psychotic disorders/ (379929)

6   4 or 5 (2283788)

7   3 and 6 (57412)

8   randomized controlled trial.pt. (446587)

9   controlled clinical trial.pt. (91788)

10   randomized.ab. (389502)

11   placebo.ab. (183719)

12   drug therapy.fs. (1928261)

13   randomly.ab. (270741)

14   trial.ab. (409336)

15   groups.ab. (1670961)

16   or/8-15 (3972831)

17   exp animals/ not humans.sh. (4311313)

18   16 not 17 (3433652)

19   7 and 18 (19379)

20   (trial$ or intervention$ or treatment$).ti,ab. (4565976)

21   7 and 20 (23924)

22   21 not 19 (11896)

****

Sleep & mental health: Data extraction form

Article ID number: ☐☐☐

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Article meta-data

1. Please state the surnames and first initials of *all* authors of the article in the box below (e.g. Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.);

2. Please state the year the article was first available or published; ☐☐☐☐

3. What is the publication status of the article: ☐ Published
    ☐ Unpublished (move to Q4)

    3.1. Please state the name of the journal the article was published in below;

    3.2. Please state the journals most recent impact factor; ☐☐.☐☐

Nature of the focal sample

4. Stage the mean age of the intervention group to the nearest whole year; ☐☐

5. State the rounded percentage of the total sample who are female; ☐☐

6. What is the clinical status of the mental health related problems of participants included in the study;

    ☐ Clinical status   ☐ Non-clinical status   ☐ Mixed status

7. What is the clinical status of the sleep related problems of participants included in the study;

    ☐ Clinical status   ☐ Non-clinical status   ☐ Mixed status

Nature of the focal sample

8. Record the type of mental health problems and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple mental health difficulties/problems, record all that are mentioned in the text;

☐ Depression ☐ Anxiety ☐ Stress ☐ Psychosis ☐ Eating disorder ☐ OCD

☐ Phobias ☐ Wellbeing/distress ☐ PTSD

☐ Other (provide details in 8.1)

   8.1. Please use the box below to provide further details regarding mental health problems/symptoms if necessary;

| |
| |

9. Record the type of sleep problems and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple sleep problems, record all that are mentioned in the text;

☐ Insomnia ☐ Parasomnia ☐ Hypersomnia ☐ Circadian rhythm

☐ Narcolepsy ☐ Sleep apnoea ☐ Nightmares ☐ Restless-legs

☐ Other (if other, provide details in 9.1)

   9.1. Please use the box below to provide further details regarding sleep problems/symptoms if necessary;

| |
| |

10. Do participants have any additional problems/difficulties that are comorbid to the target problem (e.g. alcohol dependency, physical disability etc.)

☐ Yes (move to Q10.1) ☐ No (move to Q12)

   10.1. Please list any comorbidities stated by the authors;

| |
| |

Nature of the focal sample

11. Were participants allowed to take medication for a mental health difficulty/problem that is different to the intervention being tested whilst taking part in the research?

☐ Yes   ☐ No

12. Were participants allowed to take medication for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested whilst taking part in the research?

☐ Yes   ☐ No

13. Were participants receiving psychological help for a mental health difficulty/problem that is different to the intervention being tested whilst taking part in the research?

☐ Yes   ☐ No

14. Were participants receiving psychological help for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested whilst taking part in the research?

☐ Yes   ☐ No

Research design

15. Select the method of recruitment used in the study;

☐ Health professional referral   ☐ Self-referral/voluntary   ☐ Mixed   ☐ Other

16. Please state the nature of the comparison group;

   16.1. **Comparator 1**

   ☐ Wait-list   ☐ TAU   ☐ Placebo   ☐ Active control

   16.2. **Comparator 2**

   ☐ Wait-list   ☐ TAU   ☐ Placebo   ☐ Active control   ☐ NA

   16.3. **Comparator 3**

   ☐ Wait-list   ☐ TAU   ☐ Placebo   ☐ Active control   ☐ NA

   16.4. **Comparator 4**

   ☐ Wait-list   ☐ TAU   ☐ Placebo   ☐ Active control   ☐ NA

Research design

17. State the level of attrition from the intervention group as well as the total attrition rate of all groups as a percentage (see coding manual for more details);

17.1. The attrition rate of the intervention group only is:

17.2. The attrition rate across all groups is:

18. Record all points where data collection has occurred after the intervention has ended in months (e.g., post-intervention, 3 months, 12 months);

19. Please record the outcome measure(s) used to measure sleep quality and indicate whether the measures are self-reported, clinician rated, or objective;

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated ☐ Objective

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated ☐ Objective

20. Please record the outcome measure(s) used to record outcomes pertaining to mental health and indicate whether the measures are self-reported or rated by a clinician rated;

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated

☐ Self-report ☐ Clinician rated

Research design

21. Please sate the type of analysis conducted;

☐ Intention to treat (ITT) ☐ Per protocol ☐ Not stated ☐ Other ⟶

22. State whether adjusted or unadjusted data has been used to compute an effect size;

☐ Adjusted ☐ Unadjusted

23. Please use the Jadad quality scale to score the study in terms of randomization, blinding and the account of participants. Use the 'Score given' column, placing your score in the box provided. Examples and guidance on the interpretation of each item are provided in the coding manual;

Item	Min/max score	Description	Score
Randomization	0 to 2	1 point if randomization is mentioned at all	☐
		1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate	
		Deduct 1 point if method of randomization is inappropriate	
Blinding	0 to 2	1 point if blinding is mentioned	☐
		1 additional point if the method of blinding is appropriate	
		Deduct 1 point if the method of blinding is inappropriate	
Account of participants	0 to 1	The fate of all participants in the trial is known. If there are no data the reason is stated	☐
Total score	0 to 5	Sum total of all domains	☐

Intervention characteristics

24. Please indicate the size of the effect on sleep quality (Hedges *g*) of the intervention at the first statistically significant follow-up;

☐ Small (≤ 0.33)   ☐ Medium (> 0.33, ≤ 0.66)   ☐ Large (> 0.66)

25. Please state the duration of the intervention(s) to the nearest week;

26. Do the authors specify the theoretical basis of the intervention? If so, provide the broad theoretical category;

☐ Psychological   ☐ Pharmacological   ☐ Medical device

27. State the approach to intervention that the study describes (tick all that apply);

☐ CBTi   ☐ Psychoeducation   ☐ Sleep hygiene

☐ Mindfulness   ☐ Relaxation   ☐ Exercise/activity increase

☐ Exposure   ☐ Image rehearsal   ☐ Alternative medicine

☐ Medication   ☐ Paradoxical intention ☐ Sleep restriction

☐ Behavioural   ☐ Other (if other, provide more detail in 22.1)

27.1. Please use the box below to provide more details if required;

28. Please state the mode of delivery of the intervention (tick all that apply;

☐ Face-to-face   ☐ Self-help/self-administration

28.1. If self-help/self-administration, please state how the intervention was delivered (tick all that apply);

☐ Internet   ☐ Video   ☐ Pen/paper   ☐ Bibliotherapy

☐ Other (other, provide more detail in 28.2)

Intervention characteristics

28.2. Please provide more detail if necessary below;

29. Please record adherence to the intervention where possible. If no adherence data is available, please state "not reported";

Notes and comments

END OF FORM

Supplementary Materials 4

Data Extraction Manual

Data extraction manual

The following document contains details regarding the data to be extracted from primary studies included in the present review. Characteristics of the source (green), sample (yellow), study (blue), and intervention (grey) are outlined here.

Variable	Definition for coding	Example
1. Authors	The surnames and first initials of all authors.	Smith, J. A., Jones, A. C.
2. Publication year	The year that the article was first published.	2017
3. Publication status	Refers to whether the article has been published in a peer reviewed academic journal or not. Articles published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'Published'. Articles that have not been published in a peer reviewed academic journal should be coded as 'unpublished'. Unpublished studies include those taken from PhD theses, dissertations, or studies that have otherwise not been accepted following peer review, or submitted to peer review.	Published
3.1. Journal name (if published)	The name of the journal that the article was published in.	e.g. *British Journal of Psychiatry* or *Psychiatry Research* etc.
3.2. Impact factor	Impact factor of the journal in which the article was published. This should be computed using the most recent available data from Thomson Reuters InCites	4.72 (2016)

Version 1: 18.07.2017

	Journal Citation Reports (please note the year in parentheses)	
4. Age	The mean age of the participants in the group who received an intervention designed to improve sleep. If mean age is not reported for the experimental group alone, then report the mean age of the sample as a whole. If no data on the age of the sample is available, then state 'not reported'	27 years
5. Gender	The percentage of participants who are female in the group receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep. If the gender of the participants is not reported for the experimental group alone, then report the percentage of participants who are female in the total sample. If no data on gender is available, then state 'not reported'	67%
6. Clinical status of participants' (with respect to mental health)	The mental health status of the sample should be coded as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical, or iii) mixed **Clinical samples** are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a mental health problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g., ICD, DSM). **Non-clinical samples** are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a mental health problem.	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed psychosis spectrum disorder only. As a DSM rated diagnosis is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**. A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on paranoid thinking might include participants from the general population who do not have a formal diagnoses of a mental health problem. For example, participants might volunteer in response to a media advertisement

Version 1: 18.07.2017

	Mixed samples are those that include a mix of participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not.	of email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**.
7. Clinical status of participants with respect to sleep problems	The clinical status of the sleep difficulties reported by the sample should be coded as either; i) clinical; ii) non-clinical, or iii) mixed **Clinical samples** are those that comprise primarily of participants that have a clinical diagnosis of a sleep problem as defined by formal criteria (e.g., ICD, DSM). **Non-clinical samples** are those that comprise primarily of participants that have no formal diagnosis of a sleep problem. **Mixed participants** are those that include a mix of participants who have formal clinical diagnoses and those who do not.	A study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might recruit participants with a DSM diagnosed sleep problem (e.g. insomnia). As a DSM rated diagnosis of insomnia is a requirement for entry into the trial, this would be coded as a **clinical sample**. A similar study investigating the impact of an intervention aimed at improving sleep on depressive symptoms might include participants from the general population who do not have a formal diagnoses of a sleep problem. For example, participants might volunteer in response to a media advertisement or email invitation. This would be coded as a **non-clinical sample**.
8. Type of mental problems	The type of mental health problem(s) and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple mental health problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the GAD-7 and the BDI to measure anxiety and depression at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'anxiety' and 'depression'.
9. Type of sleep problem(s)	The type of sleep problem(s) and experiences that the authors measure. Where there are multiple sleep problems, record all that are mentioned in the text.	A study may use the insomnia severity scale and the PSQI to measure insomnia and sleep quality at baseline and again at post-intervention. In this case, record 'insomnia' and 'sleep quality'.
10. Comorbidity	Any problems or difficulties identified by the authors that are comorbid to the targeted sleep and/or mental health problem.	An example would be an intervention designed to improve sleep in those with depression and alcohol dependency. For this review, sleep and depression would not be considered comorbid at these are the

Version 1: 18.07.2017

		target problems of this review. However, alcohol dependency would be considered a comorbid problem.
11. Concurrent medication use for mental health	Did participants take medication for a mental health difficulty in addition to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study may investigate the effect of improving sleep using CBTi in people with depression who are also using SSRI medication. As these participants are receiving medication for depression in addition to receiving an intervention designed to improve sleep, they would be classed as using concurrent medication for a mental health problem. Alternatively, a study may screen those using medication for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation. In which case, state that the participants are not using concurrent medication for mental health.
12. Concurrent medication use for sleep	Did participants take medication for a sleep difficulty that is different to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study that tests the impact of an intervention for insomnia that allows participants to continue with benzodiazepine use would be classed as allowing concurrent medication for a sleep problems. Alternatively, a study might screen those taking medication for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. In which case, state that the participants are not using concurrent medication for sleep.
13. Concurrent psychological treatment for mental health	Did participants receive psychological help for a mental health difficulty in addition to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study where participants continued receiving psychological help from outside of the study team for an anxiety problem while receiving the study intervention would be classed as involving concurrent psychological treatment for mental health.

Version 1: 18.07.2017

		Alternatively, a study may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a mental health problem and remove these participants before randomisation. In which case, state that the participants are not receiving concurrent psychological treatment for mental health.
14. Concurrent psychological treatment for sleep	Did participants receive psychological help for a sleep difficulty in addition to the intervention being tested while taking part in the research?	A study where participants are able to continue receiving psychological help from outside of the study team for a sleep problem while receiving the study intervention. Alternatively, a study may screen participants who are currently receiving psychological help for a sleep problem and remove these participants before randomization. In which case, state that the participants are not receiving concurrent psychological treatment for sleep.
15. Method of recruitment	How participants were recruited and from which source(s). The method of recruitment should be coded as; 1. Referral by a health professional (e.g., GP) 2. Self-referral/voluntary 3. Mixed 4. Other	Clinicians may refer participants with psychosis spectrum diagnoses from outpatient centres into the trial. In which case, record that participants were referred by a healthcare professionals. Alternatively, participants may see advertisements and contact the study team directly. In which case, record that participants were self-referred to the study. Some studies could recruit participants who are referred by a health professional and those who self-refer, in which case code this as mixed recruitment. Code any studies that use a method of recruitment not specified here as 'other'

Version 1: 18.07.2017

16. Nature of comparison group	Identify the nature of the comparison group. **Wait-list** groups are defined as those who receive no intervention (including usual care) for the duration of the study **Treatment as Usual (TaU)** groups are those that receive only their usual care throughout the study **Placebo** groups are those that unknowingly receive a 'sham' treatment that is specifically designed to have no real effect. **Active control** groups are those that receive an intervention that can theoretically have an effect on outcomes, but it is not the primary intervention being tested in the study.	An example of an active control group would be a trial comparing a group receiving full CBTi intervention with a group who simply complete a daily sleep diary. Although the sleep diary group have not received a CBTi intervention, the act of keeping a diary could improve sleep quality and is therefore considered an 'active' intervention. Other examples of active control groups include trials that compare CBT for depression against a befriending group or comparing two drugs that can affect outcomes (e.g., melatonin vs. benzodiazepines on sleep related outcomes)
17. Attrition/dropout	The total number of participants in the intervention group(s) who have dropped out of the trial between baseline and each follow-up point should be expressed as a percentage.	If a study stated that $n = 100$ participants in the intervention group provided baseline data, $n = 75$ provided data immediately post-intervention and $n = 50$ provided data at 6 month follow-up, then this would be reported as; Post-intervention = 25% attrition 6 month follow-up = 50% attrition
18. Follow-up point	The number of weeks following the intervention where outcome data is reported. Where there are multiple follow-up periods, state the number of weeks following the intervention for each.	A study that collects data immediately after an intervention has been delivered and then again 3 and 12 months later would have the following follow-up points; 1. 0 weeks (post-intervention) 2. 13 weeks (3 months) 3. 52 weeks (12 months)

19. Measure of sleep	The name of the measure(s) used to assess sleep. Identify whether each measure was; i) self-reported; ii) rated by a clinician; or iii) measured objectively.	A study that uses both polysomnography and the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) would be coded as having both an objective and a self-report measure of sleep.
20. Measure of mental health	The name of the measure(s) used to assess mental health and/or wellbeing. Identify whether each measure was self-reported or rated by a clinician	A study that uses the Anxiety Disorder Interview Schedule (ADIS) and the Generalised Anxiety Disorder Assessment-7 (GAD-7) would be coded as having both a clinician-rated measure of anxiety disorders and a self-report measure.
21. Study quality	The Jadad scale assesses three key aspects of study quality that can affect the risk of bias; (i) randomization, (ii) blinding, and (iii) rates of withdrawal / drop-out. For guidance, please refer to the Jadad scale embedded within the data extraction form and the accompanying notes.	Full guidance and examples are provided in the data extraction form. However, an example in relation to the assessment of randomization is given below; Give a max score of 2 for randomization and a minimum score of 0 Award 1 point if randomization is mentioned (e.g. "*The patients were randomly assigned to one of two groups*"). Award 1 additional point if the method of randomization is appropriate (e.g. *"The randomization was accomplished using a computer, generated random number list, coin toss, or well-shuffled envelopes*"). Deduct 1 point if the method of randomization is inappropriate (e.g. "*The group assignment was accomplished by alternate assignment, by birthday, hospital number or day of the week etc.*")

Version 1: 18.07.2017

22. Size of the effect of the intervention on sleep quality.	Please indicate the size of the effect that the intervention has on sleep quality at the first follow-up point at which this effect is statistically significant.
	
	Use the method for computing effect sizes outlined in the protocol and then interpret the effect size with respect to Cohen's (1992) criteria, which for Hedges *g* corresponds to:
	
	    Small effect ($g \leq 0.33$)
	
	    Medium effect ($g > 0.33, \leq 0.66$)
	
	    Large effect ($g > 0.66$)
		Medium effect.

23. Duration of the intervention	How long did the intervention last (to the nearest week)? If this is not known or reported, then please state unknown. Note that this should be coded as the *intended* duration of the intervention, regardless of how long participants actually engaged with the intervention.	An intervention that comprises of 6 weekly modules would be coded as 6 weeks long, even if 80% of the participants only attended the first 4 weeks of the intervention.
24. Theoretical basis of the intervention	Do the authors specify the theoretical basis of the intervention? If so, state which theory (or theories) were used.	CBTi
25. Delivery modality	Identify the primary mode by which the intervention was delivered. **Face-to-face delivery** includes interventions which are administered in person by a clinician, researcher, therapist or peer **Self-help / self-administered interventions** are defined as those that are "designed to be conducted predominantly independently of professional contact" (Bower, Richards, & Lovell, 2001, p. 839)	Face-to-face delivery

Version 1: 18.07.2017

26. Adherence to the intervention	If the study assessed rate of adherence to intervention, then describe the nature of the measure along with the rate of adherence. If adherence was not assessed, then state "Not assessed".	If an intervention comprised of 6 weekly modules and the average number of modules completed was 4, then state "Average proportion of modules completed - 66%".

Version 1: 18.07.2017

Supplementary Materials 1

PRISMA-P Checklist

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Page and line numbers, emboldened and in parentheses, indicate the location of the PRISMA-P item in the corresponding manuscript.

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION		
Title:		
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review **(p. 1)**
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such **(NA)**
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number **(p. 2)**
Authors:		
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author **(p. 1)**
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review **(p. 19)**
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments **(NA)**
Support:		
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review **(p. 19)**
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor **(NA)**
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol **(NA)**
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known **(p.4-7)**
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO) **(p. 7 and p. 10-11)**
METHODS		

Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review **(p. 10-11)**
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage **(p. 11)**
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated **(see Supplementary Materials 2)**
Study records:		
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review **(p. 11)**
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis) **(p. 12)**
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators **(p. 12)**
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications **(see Table 2 and Supplementary Materials 3 & 4)**
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale **(p.8. See also Table 2, p. 30)**
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis **(p. 14)**
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised **(p.13)**
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2, Kendall's τ) **(p. 13-15)**
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression) **(p. 15-17)**
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned **(NA)**
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies) **(p. 14)**
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE) **(p. 15)**

* It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.