BMJ Open

Development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC): a protocol for a mixed methods study to explore the medical school physical activity situations

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017297
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Apr-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Wattanapisit, Apichai; School of Medicine, Walailak University , Vijitpongjinda, Surasak ; School of Medicine, Walailak University Saengow, Udomsak; School of Medicine, Walailak University Amaek, Waluka ; School of Architecture and Design, Walailak University Thanamee, Sanhapan; Chiang Mai University, Family of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Petchuay, Prachyapan ; Walailak University School of Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Public health
Keywords:	Education metrics, Medical school, Medical students, Physical activity, Policy, Report card

Title: Development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC): a protocol for a mixed methods study to explore the medical school physical activity situations

Authors:

Apichai Wattanapisit, MD[1,2]

Surasak Vijitpongjinda, MD[1,2]

Udomsak Saengow, MD, PhD[1,2]

Waluka Amaek, M.Arch. (Industrial Design)[3]

Sanhapan Thanamee, MD[4]

Prachyapan Petchuay, MD, PhD[1]

[1] School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[2] Center of Excellence in Health System and Medical Research, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[3] School of Architecture and Design Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[4] Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

Correspondence to Apichai Wattanapisit; apichai.wa@wu.ac.th

Contact number: (+66)-8-6706-0440

Keywords: Medical school; medical students; physical activity; policy; report card

Word count: 2,168 (excluding title page, 272 words of abstract, 32 references, 1 box and 1 table)

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Physical activity (PA) is important in promoting health as well as in the treatment and prevention of diseases. However, insufficient PA is still a global health problem and it is also a problem in medical schools. PA training in medical curricula is still sparse or non-existent. There is a need for a comprehensive understanding of PA situations in medical schools through several indicators, including people, place, and policy. This study will include a survey of the PA situations in a medical school and development of a tool, the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC), for exploring, monitoring and reporting the information relating to PA situations.

Methods and analysis: This mixed methods study will run from January to September 2017. We will involve the School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thailand and its medical students (n=285). Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into 4 parts: general information, people, place and policy. We will investigate the PA situations about (1) people: the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours; (2) place: the quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas; and (3) policy: PA promotion programmes for medical students, education metrics and investment related to PA. The MSPARC will be developed using simple symbols, infographics and short texts to represent the PA situations of the medical school.

Ethics and dissemination: This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01). Findings will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented at national or international conferences. The MSPARC and full report will be disseminated to relevant stakeholders, policymakers, staff and clients.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study includes comprehensive metrics about physical activity (PA) situations in a medical school.
- The Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC) will be an innovative product for exploring, monitoring and reporting the related information to PA situations.
- The MSPARC will provide concise and understandable infographics and information on PA situations of the medical school. Users can read the results at a glance.
- The study is limited by its cross-sectional design in only one medical school. However, the methodology can be adopted for subsequent surveys and for other medical schools. The data collection is adjustable for each medical school.

INTRODUCTION

Physical inactivity is one of the global health challenges. The estimated prevalence of physical inactivity is 23.3% among adults, 76.3% in adolescents, 78.4% for boys and 84.4% for girls.[1] The pandemic of physical inactivity involves mortality, morbidity and economic costs.[2] Globally, physical inactivity causes 9% of premature mortality or more than 5.3 million deaths each year, 6% of the burden of disease from coronary heart disease, 7% of type 2 diabetes mellitus, 10% of breast cancer, and 10% of colon cancer.[3] Economically, the estimated healthcare costs of physical inactivity was $53.8 billion in 2013.[2] The World Health Organization (WHO) aims to reduce physical inactivity by 10% by 2025.[4] In response to this goal, the global recommendations on PA for health was launched in 2010.[5] Battling against physical inactivity or striving to increase PA requires understanding of multiple layers and associations among individual aspects, environmental aspects and public policies.[6, 7] It also needs a multi-sectoral, multidisciplinary and public-health response.[8]

In Thailand, about one-third (30%) of Thai adults are physically inactive, and 5.1% of mortality is due to physical inactivity.[9] It is a challenging task to reduce physical inactivity. In 2015, the 1st National Conference on Physical Activity (NCPA 2015) was held in the country. Its slogan was 'Active Living for All' and comprised an active people, active place and active policy.[10] In 2016, the International Society for Physical Activity and Health (ISPAH) arranged an international conference, the 6th International Congress on Physical Activity and Public Health 2016, in Thailand under the same theme as the NCPA 2015, 'Active Living for All: Active People, Active Place, Active Policy'.[11] Consequently, PA campaigns have been widely promoted beyond individual involvement. A nationwide PA campaign was announced by the Prime Minister to instruct all government agencies to arrange exercise sessions every Wednesday from 15:00 to 16:30 pm.[12] Additionally,

regular monitoring and reporting on progress of PA, its determinants and policy implementation are stated as a sustainable development goal.[13]

The national concern about promoting PA and reducing physical inactivity has emerged, especially in the healthcare system. In terms of manpower, it is assumed that medical students and physicians are more likely to be physically active compared with general populations,[14, 15] and might be the ideal healthy population. Nevertheless, physical inactivity is still a problem among medical students. A previous study showed that more than half (50.5%) of medical students in Southern Thailand are physically inactive.[16] The evidence supports a strong association between personal PA behaviours of medical students and PA counselling attitudes and practices.[17, 18] However, teaching and training about basic knowledge and skills to promote PA in medical schools is still sparse or non-existent.[19, 20] This issue is not only an individual concern; it is also a substantial issue in health promotion among medical schools and in medical student education. Nevertheless, there is a gap in the understanding of PA situations and its determinants in medical schools.

Comprehensive exploration and monitoring is an approach to increase PA in different populations. The previous evidence suggests PA report cards have been successful to get people moving.[21] The Global Observatory for Physical Activity (GoPA) have launched country cards presenting the information on country specific PA profiles for surveillance of PA prevalence and relevant situations.[22, 23] The Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth, PA surveillance among children, has been released annually since 2005 to assess PA situations.[21, 24] This approach might be beneficial for medical schools. Therefore, a specific PA report card for medical school might be an effective tool for exploring, monitoring and reporting the situations of PA in a particular medical school.

We still do not have a study protocol to explore the PA situations in medical school, hence our research team will focus on exploring the PA situations in a medical school and developing a tool for exploring, monitoring and reporting the information relating to PA situations. This paper describes the study design and the development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

Aims and objectives

The primary aims are:

(1) To explore the PA situations of a medical school, including people, place and policy.

(2) To develop the MSPARC for monitoring and reporting the PA situations to clients (medical students), staff, policymakers and stakeholders.

Secondary aim is to develop the MSPARC protocol for further surveillance and for other medical schools.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

A mixed methods study will be conducted. The study consists of both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitatively, a cross-sectional observational study will be implemented to survey the relevant outcomes, including the prevalence of PA, the prevalence of sedentary behaviours, and the quality and accessibility of active environments. Qualitatively, a case study will focus on an in-depth description to develop a detailed analysis

of the medical school policies.[25] The study will occur in a 9-month period (from January to September 2017).

Setting and participants

The study will involve the medical students in three campuses of the School of Medicine, Walialak University, Thailand (in Nakhon Si Thammarat main campus, Trang Hospital and Vachira Phuket Hospital). All the preclinical years (years 1-3) study at the main campus. The rest of the medical students (clinical years, years 4-6) receive clinical training and hospital attachments at Trang Hospital and Vachira Phuket Hospital. The total number of medical students is 285, with 46-48 students in each class.

Data collection

Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into 4 parts: general information, people, place and policy.

General information

The information will include the land area of the medical school (only main campus), number of students and annual tuition fee. All the information will be reviewed from the recent university and/or faculty documents.

People

The secondary data on the participation of medical students in PA, using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) including activities at work, travelling activities, and recreational activities,[26] will be gathered from the previous survey in 2016.[16] PA in this meaning is sufficient PA behaviours or the WHO recommended PA levels (any activities

which are equal to 150 minutes of moderate-intensity PA throughout the week or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity PA throughout the week, or combined (or an equivalent combination of) moderate- and vigorous-intensity PA).[5] We will focus on the PA prevalence of the whole population and the prevalence by sex.

Sedentary time, collected by using the GPAQ, refers to time spent sitting during waking hours.[26] We will define sedentary behaviour using the cut-off point of ≥8 hours per day of sedentary time.

Place

We will assess the places related to active transportation and recreational PA in the main campus. Active transportation refers to 'walking and cycling for transportation', 'non-motorised transport' and 'human powered transport'.[27] Recreational PA means a PA that people engage in during their free time, that people enjoy, and that people recognise as having socially redeeming values.[28] Both active transportation and recreational activities are associated with natural and built environments.[27, 29-31]

The data on PA related places, including walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational environments, will be collected from the preclinical students (n=144) by using a self-administered questionnaire developed by the research team. Box 1 shows the questions to survey places for PA.

Box 1 Questions to survey places for physical activity

1. Usage: Do you use this type of place*?

☐ Yes (go to item 1.1) ☐ No (go to item 1.2)

1.1 How often do you use this type of place*?

☐ Sometimes (1-2 day/week) ☐ Often (3-4 days/week)

☐ Always (5-7 days/week)

1.2 Why do you not use this type of place*? (select 1 or more answers)

☐ Not interested/dissatisfied ☐ Unsafe

☐ Unavailable/inconvenient ☐ Other reason (please specify)

2. Quality: How much do you rate the quality of this type of place*?

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

3. Accessibility: How much do you rate the accessibility of this type of place*?

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

*This type of place refers to walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas

Policy

We will collect the data on PA promotion programmes for medical students from the School of Medicine annual plans and reports. The data will include the number and name of programmes or projects related to PA promotion for medical students. The investment related to PA (amount of expense) will be collected.

As the Thai national medical competency issued by the Medical Council of Thailand, medical students have to learn about approaches of health promotion included exercise.[32] The criteria does not specify particular aspects about PA education and training. The school

curriculum will be reviewed to explore the education metrics regarding the following topics: basic knowledge of PA, PA and public health, and PA counselling.

Data analysis

People

We will reanalyse the data from the previous survey.[16] The prevalence of PA will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who met the recommended PA levels with the total number of participants. For each sex, the prevalence will be calculated by dividing the number of a particular sex who met the recommended PA levels with the total number of the same sex.

The prevalence of sedentary behaviours will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who engage in ≥8 hours/day of sedentary time with the total number of participants, and the prevalence of sedentary behaviours for each sex will be analysed.

Place

We will use descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, describing the usage of each type of place. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas will be calculated from the 11-point scales as mean scores.

Policy

Two investigators will independently review the relevant documents to find out the PA promotion programmes for medical students. Two investigators will analyse the education metrics from the school curriculum to discover the lectures, active learning sessions or clinical teaching topics about basic knowledge of PA, PA and public health, and PA

counselling. Any differences in the analyses will be resolved through consensus. The analysis will confirmed by the research team members.

For the investment related to PA, we will calculate (1) the annual investment in PA programmes, (2) per capita investment (dividing the annual investment with the total number of medical students) and (3) ratio of per capita investment to annual tuition fee (dividing the per capita investment by the annual tuition fee).

Development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

The indicators of the MSPARC will consist of 5 parts: general information, people, place, policy and surveillance (Table 1). We will design simple and concise report cards in Thai and English versions using uncomplicated symbols, infographics and short texts.

Table 1 Data indicators of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

General information	Land area (km^2) Number of students (people) Tuition fee (Baht/academic year)
People	Prevalence of physical activity • Total (%) • Male (%) • Female (%) Prevalence of sedentary behaviours • Total (%) • Male (%)

	• Female (%)
Place	Walkable neighbourhoods • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Bicycle facilities • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Recreational areas • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score)
Policy	Physical activity promotion programmes for medical students • Yes/No • Number • Programme names Education metrics • Basic knowledge of physical activity (Yes/No) • Physical activity and public health (Yes/No) • Physical activity counselling (Yes/No) Investment related to physical activity • Annual investment (Baht/year) • Per capita investment (Baht/student) • Per capita investment/annual tuition fee
Surveillance	First survey (year) Recent survey (year)

	Next survey (year)

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01) and the study will conform to the Declaration of Helsinki. Participation in this study is entirely voluntary and medical students are free to refuse participation. This will not result in any penalty. Information about the research will be provided and the informed consent will be taken by asking the participants to indicate their agreement to participate by written informed-consent forms. The participants' information and responses will be strictly confidential and we will protect the participants' anonymity.

The MSPARC will be presented to the faculty committee via a staff meeting. We will provide the visualised report card at the main office of School of Medicine. Medical students will be informed via the faculty website and social media. The final report and results will be forwarded to the grant funder (Walailak University), key stakeholders and policymakers of the university. The findings and product (MSPARC) of the study will be disseminated to scholars and researchers through peer-reviewed journals as well as national and international conferences.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This study will present the PA situations of a medical school. The results will be presented via the MSPARC, which provides concise data. It may help to communicate scientific and public health data at a glance. Information on the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours will be the initial information for defining future goals to improve student health. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas will

help the medical school to understand the underlying limitations of PA related environments. This will lead to the in-depth exploration of a particular problem. According to the policy, the data about PA promotion programmes will show the current activities and concerns about medical students' health. Education metrics will reflect the comprehensiveness of the school curriculum regarding knowledge and practice as well as the need for additional teaching. The information on investment related to PA will indicate the adequacy of the budget for PA promotion. Lastly, the surveillance information will deliver the year of first, recent and future surveys in the medical school. These could be the milestones for evaluating and monitoring PA situations in the medical school.

A key limitation is that this cross-sectional study will be initially conducted in only one medical school. However, this protocol and the MSPARC can be adopted for future surveys or for other medical schools. For example, other medical schools can objectively measure PA and sedentary behaviours using pedometers or accelerometers instead of using the GPAQ. The MSPARC, based on the PA situations, will enable comparison and evaluation among medical schools. At the larger scale, regional or national concerns can help develop a strategy to strengthen collaboration among medical schools to promote PA in their own settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Steve Melville for English language editing.

Contributors

AW and ST initiated the idea for the project and developed the study design. SV, US and WA provided advice for the study design. PP was responsible for supervision of project. AW wrote early drafts of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Walailak University grant number WUDPL60001.

Competing interests

None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Sallis JF, Bull F, Guthold R, et al. Progress in physical activity over the Olympic quadrennium. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1325-36.

2. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1311-24.

3. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. The Lancet 2012;380(9838):219-29.

4. World Health Organization. Physical activity: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

5. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2010.

6. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, et al. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? The Lancet 2012;380(9838):258-71.

7. McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. Health Educ Q 1988;15(4):351-77.

8. Reis RS, Salvo D, Ogilvie D, et al. Scaling up physical activity interventions worldwide: stepping up to larger and smarter approaches to get people moving. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1337-48.

9. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Physical activity country card: Thailand: Global Observatory for Physical Activity. http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/card/?country=TH (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

10. Thai Health Promotion Foundation, eds. Abstract book 1st National Conference on Physical Activity; Bangkok, Thailand: Thai Health Promotion Foundation, 2015.

11. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The 6th ISPAH Congress: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/congress/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

12. Bangkok Post. Civil servants to exercise every Wednesday: Bangkok Post. http://m.bangkokpost.com/news/general/1141689/civil-servants-to-exercise-every-wednesday (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

13. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The Bangkok Declaration on Physical Activity for Global Health and Sustainable development: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/resources (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

14. Stanford FC, Durkin MW, Stallworth JR, et al. Comparison of physical activity levels in physicians and medical students with the general adult population of the United States. Phys Sportsmed 2013;41(4):86-92.

15. Wattanapisit A, Gaensan T, Anothaisintawee T, eds. Prevalence of physical activity and associated factors of medium and high activity among medical students at Ramathibodi Hospital. The 6th International Conference on Sport and Exercise Science 2015.; Chonburi, Thailand: Institute of Physical Education, 2015.

16. Wattanapisit A, Fungthongcharoen K, Saengow U, et al. Physical activity among medical students in Southern Thailand: a mixed methods study. BMJ open 2016;6(9):e013479.

17. Lobelo F, Duperly J, Frank E. Physical activity habits of doctors and medical students influence their counselling practices. Br J Sports Med 2009;43(2):89-92.

18. Stanford FC, Durkin MW, Stallworth JR, et al. Factors that influence physicians' and medical students' confidence in counseling patients about physical activity. J Prim Prev 2014;35:193–201.

19. Stoutenberg M, Stasi S, Stamatakis E, et al. Physical activity training in US medical schools: Preparing future physicians to engage in primary prevention. Phys Sportsmed 2015;43(4):388-94.

20. Weiler R, Chew S, Coombs N, et al. Physical activity education in the undergraduate curricula of all UK medical schools: are tomorrow's doctors equipped to follow clinical guidelines? Br J Sports Med 2012;46(14):1024-6.

21. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, Cowie Bonne J. Impact of the Active Healthy Kids Canada report card: a 10-year analysis. J Phys Act Health 2014;11(Suppl 1):S3-s20.

22. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Project mission and methods: Global Observatory for Physical Activity.

http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/project-description/ (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

23. Hallal P, Ramirez A. The Lancet Physical Activity Observatory : monitoring a 21st century pandemic. Res Exerc Epidemiol 2015;17(1):1-5.

24. Barnes JD, Cameron C, Carson V, et al. Results From Canada's 2016 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. J Phys Act Health 2016;13(11 Suppl 2):S110-s6.

25. Creswell JW. Qualitative inquiry and research design : choosing among five approaches. 3 ed. Los Angeles, United States of America: SAGE, 2013.

26. World Health Organization. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) Analysis Guide: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/chp/steps/resources/GPAQ_Analysis_Guide.pdf (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

27. Sallis JF, Frank LD, Saelens BE, et al. Active transportation and physical activity: opportunities for collaboration on transportation and public health research. Transp Res Part A Policy Pract 2004;38(4):249-68.

28. Hurd AR, Anderson DM. The park and recreation professional's handbook. Illinois, United States of Ametica: Human Kinetics, 2011.

29. Sundquist K, Eriksson U, Kawakami N, et al. Neighborhood walkability, physical activity, and walking behavior: The Swedish Neighborhood and Physical Activity (SNAP) study. Soc Sci Med 2011;72(8):1266-73.

30. Wei Y, Xiao W, Wen M, et al. Walkability, Land Use and Physical Activity. Sustainability 2016;8(1):65.

31. White MP, Elliott LR, Taylor T, et al. Recreational physical activity in natural environments and implications for health: A population based cross-sectional study in England. Prev Med 2016;91:383-8.

32. Medical Council of Thailand. Medical Competency Assessment Criteria for National License 2012. Bangkok, Thailand: Medical Council of Thailand, 2012.

BMJ Open

Development of a physical activity monitoring tool in a Thai medical school: a protocol for a mixed methods study to explore medical school physical activity situations

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017297.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-May-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Wattanapisit, Apichai; School of Medicine, Walailak University , Vijitpongjinda, Surasak ; School of Medicine, Walailak University Saengow, Udomsak; School of Medicine, Walailak University Amaek, Waluka ; School of Architecture and Design, Walailak University Thanamee, Sanhapan; Chiang Mai University, Family of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Petchuay, Prachyapan ; Walailak University School of Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Public health
Keywords:	Education metrics, Medical school, Medical students, Physical activity, Policy, Report card

Title: Development of a physical activity monitoring tool in a Thai medical school: a protocol for a mixed methods study to explore medical school physical activity situations

Authors:

Apichai Wattanapisit, MD[1,2]

Surasak Vijitpongjinda, MD[1,2]

Udomsak Saengow, MD, PhD[1,2]

Waluka Amaek, M.Arch. (Industrial Design)[3]

Sanhapan Thanamee, MD[4]

Prachyapan Petchuay, MD, PhD[1]

[1] School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[2] Center of Excellence in Health System and Medical Research, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[3] School of Architecture and Design Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[4] Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

Correspondence to Apichai Wattanapisit; apichai.wa@wu.ac.th

Contact number: (+66)-8-6706-0440

Keywords: Medical school; medical students; physical activity; policy; report card

Word count: 2,212 (excluding title page, 286 words of abstract, 33 references, 1 box, 1 table and 1 figure)

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Physical activity (PA) is important in promoting health as well as in the treatment and prevention of diseases. However, insufficient PA is still a global health problem and it is also a problem in medical schools. PA training in medical curricula is still sparse or non-existent. There is a need for a comprehensive understanding of PA situations in medical schools through several indicators, including people, place, and policy. This study is a protocol of a project that will include a survey of the PA situations in a medical school and development of a tool, the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC) which will contain concise and understandable infographics and information, for exploring, monitoring and reporting the information relating to PA situations.

Methods and analysis: This mixed methods study will run from January to September 2017. We will involve the School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thailand and its medical students (n=285). Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into 4 parts: general information, people, place and policy. We will investigate the PA situations about (1) people: the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours; (2) place: the quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas; and (3) policy: PA-promotion programmes for medical students, education metrics and investment related to PA. The MSPARC will be developed using simple symbols, infographics and short texts to represent the PA situations of the medical school.

Ethics and dissemination: This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01). Findings will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented at national or international conferences. The MSPARC and full report will be disseminated to relevant stakeholders, policymakers, staff and clients.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This study includes comprehensive metrics about physical activity (PA) situations in a medical school.
- The Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC) will be an innovative tool for exploring, monitoring and reporting the information related to PA situations.
- The MSPARC will provide concise and understandable infographics and information on PA situations of the medical school. Users can read the results at a glance.
- The study is limited by its cross-sectional design in only one medical school. However, the methodology can be adopted for subsequent surveys and for other medical schools. The data collection is adjustable for each medical school.

INTRODUCTION

Physical inactivity is one of the global health challenges. The estimated prevalence of physical inactivity is 23.3% among adults, 76.3% in adolescents, 78.4% for boys and 84.4% for girls.[1] The pandemic of physical inactivity involves mortality, morbidity and economic costs.[2] Globally, physical inactivity causes about 1.6 million deaths a year, 15% of the burden of disease from colon and rectum cancer, 11% of ischaemic stroke, 9% of ischaemic heart disease and 7% of diabetes mellitus.[3] Economically, the estimated healthcare cost of physical inactivity was $53.8 billion in 2013.[2] The World Health Organization (WHO) aims to reduce physical inactivity by 10% by 2025.[4] In response to this goal, the global recommendations on PA for health were launched in 2010.[5] Battling against physical inactivity or striving to increase PA requires understanding of multiple layers and associations among individual aspects, environmental aspects and public policies.[6, 7] It also needs a multi-sectoral, multidisciplinary and public-health response.[8]

In Thailand, about one-third (30%) of Thai adults are physically inactive, and 5.1% of mortality is due to physical inactivity.[9] It is a challenging task to reduce physical inactivity. In 2015 and 2016, the first national conference on physical activity and an international conference were held in the country. Their slogan was ‘Active Living for All’ and comprised an active people, active place and active policy.[10, 11] Consequently, PA campaigns have been widely promoted beyond individual involvement. A nationwide PA campaign was announced by the Prime Minister to instruct all government agencies to arrange exercise sessions every Wednesday from 15:00 to 16:30 pm.[12] Additionally, regular monitoring and reporting on progress of PA, its determinants and policy implementation are stated as a sustainable development goal.[13]

The national concern about promoting PA and reducing physical inactivity has emerged, especially in the healthcare system and medical schools. Nevertheless, physical inactivity is still a problem among medical students. A previous study showed that more than half (50.5%) of medical students in Southern Thailand are physically inactive.[14] The evidence supports a strong association between personal PA behaviours of medical students and PA counselling attitudes and practices.[15, 16] However, teaching and training about basic knowledge and skills to promote PA in medical schools is still sparse or non-existent.[17, 18] This issue is not only an individual concern; it is also a substantial issue in health promotion among medical schools and in medical student education. Nevertheless, there is a gap in the understanding of PA situations and its determinants in medical schools.

Comprehensive exploration and monitoring is an approach to increase PA in different populations. The Global Observatory for Physical Activity (GoPA) have launched PA report cards (country cards), a single slide infographic as a tool, presenting the information on country specific PA profiles for surveillance of PA prevalence and relevant situations.[19-21] The Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth, PA surveillance among children, has been released annually since 2005 to assess PA situations.[22, 23] The previous evidence suggests PA report cards have been successful to get people moving.[23] This approach might be beneficial for medical schools. Therefore, a specific PA report card for medical school might be an effective tool for exploring, monitoring and reporting the situations of PA in a particular medical school.

We still do not have a study protocol to explore the PA situations in medical school, hence our research team will focus on exploring the PA situations, including prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours; quality and accessibility of PA-related environments; and policies

relating to PA, in a medical school and developing a tool for exploring, monitoring and reporting the information relating to PA situations. This paper describes the study design and the development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

Aims and objectives

The primary aims are:

(1) To explore the PA situations of a medical school, including people, place and policy.

(2) To develop the MSPARC for monitoring and reporting PA situations to clients (medical students), staff, policymakers and stakeholders.

Secondary aim is to develop the MSPARC protocol for further surveillance and for other medical schools.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

A mixed methods study will be conducted. The study consists of both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitatively, a cross-sectional observational study will be implemented to survey the relevant outcomes, including the prevalence of PA, the prevalence of sedentary behaviours, and the quality and accessibility of active environments. Qualitatively, a case study will focus on an in-depth description to develop a detailed analysis of the medical school policies.[24] The study will occur in a 9-month period (from January to September 2017).

Setting and participants

The study will involve the medical students in three campuses of the School of Medicine, Walialak University, Thailand (in Nakhon Si Thammarat main campus, Trang Hospital and Vachira Phuket Hospital). All the preclinical years (years 1-3) study at the main campus. The rest of the medical students (clinical years, years 4-6) receive clinical training and hospital attachments at Trang Hospital and Vachira Phuket Hospital. The total number of medical students is 285, with 46-48 students in each class.

Data collection

Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into 4 parts: general information, people, place and policy.

General information

The information will include the land area of the medical school (only main campus), number of students and annual tuition fee. All the information will be reviewed from the recent university and/or faculty documents.

People

The secondary data on the participation of medical students in PA, using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) including activities at work, travelling activities, and recreational activities,[25] will be gathered from the previous survey in 2016.[14] PA in this meaning is sufficient PA behaviours or the WHO recommended PA levels (any activities which are equal to 150 minutes of moderate-intensity PA throughout the week or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity PA throughout the week, or combined (or an equivalent combination of) moderate- and vigorous-intensity PA).[5] We will focus on the PA prevalence of the whole population and the prevalence by sex and education level (preclinical and clinical levels).

Sedentary time, collected by using the GPAQ, refers to time spent sitting during waking hours.[25] We will define sedentary behaviour using the cut-off point of ≥8 hours per day of sedentary time.[26, 27]

Place

We will assess the places related to active transportation and recreational PA in the main campus. Active transportation refers to 'walking and cycling for transportation', 'non-motorised transport' and 'human powered transport'.[28] Recreational PA means a PA that people engage in during their free time, that people enjoy, and that people recognise as having socially redeeming values.[29] Both active transportation and recreational activities are associated with natural and built environments.[28, 30-32]

The data on PA-related places, including walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational environments, will be collected from the preclinical students (n=144) by using a self-administered questionnaire developed by the research team. Box 1 shows the questions to survey places for PA.

Box 1 Questions to survey places for physical activity

1. Usage: Do you use walkable neighbourhoods*? (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)

☐ Yes (go to item 1.1) ☐ No (go to item 1.2)

1.1 How often do you use walkable neighbourhoods*? (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)

☐ Sometimes (1-2 days/week) ☐ Often (3-4 days/week)

☐ Always (5-7 days/week)

1.2 Why do you not use walkable neighbourhoods*? (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)

☐ Not interested/dissatisfied ☐ Unsafe

☐ Unavailable/inconvenient ☐ Other reason (please specify)

2. Quality: How much do you rate the quality of walkable neighbourhoods*? (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

3. Accessibility: How much do you rate the accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods*? (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

* Walkable neighbourhoods or bicycle facilities or recreational areas

Policy

We will collect the data on PA-promotion programmes for medical students from the School of Medicine annual plans and reports. The data will include the number and name of programmes or projects related to PA promotion for medical students. The investment related to PA (amount of expense) will be collected.

As part of the Thai national medical competency issued by the Medical Council of Thailand, medical students have to learn about approaches to health promotion including exercise.[33] The criteria does not specify particular aspects about PA education and training. The school curriculum will be reviewed to explore the education metrics regarding the following topics:

(1) basic knowledge of PA – basic science of PA; (2) PA and public health – PA guidelines and PA promotion in public health; and (3) PA counselling – tailored PA counselling for healthy people and patients.

Data analysis

People

We will reanalyse the data from the previous survey.[14] The prevalence of PA will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who met the recommended PA levels with the total number of participants. For each sex, the prevalence will be calculated by dividing the number of a particular sex who met the recommended PA levels with the total number of the same sex. The prevalence of PA for preclinical and clinical students will be classified.

The prevalence of sedentary behaviours will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who engage in ≥8 hours/day of sedentary time with the total number of participants, the prevalence of sedentary behaviours for each sex, and the prevalence of sedentary behaviours for preclinical and clinical students will be analysed.

Place

We will use descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, describing the usage of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas will be calculated from the self-rating scales as mean scores to generate the fundamental and comparable data among each place.

Policy

Two investigators will independently review the relevant documents to find PA-promotion programmes for medical students. Two investigators will analyse the education metrics from the school curriculum to discover the lectures, active learning sessions or clinical teaching topics about basic knowledge of PA, PA and public health, and PA counselling. Any differences in the analyses will be resolved through consensus. The analysis will confirmed by the research team members.

For the investment related to PA, we will calculate (1) the annual investment in PA programmes, (2) per capita investment (dividing the annual investment with the total number of medical students) and (3) ratio of per capita investment to annual tuition fee (dividing the per capita investment by the annual tuition fee).

Development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

The indicators of the MSPARC will consist of 5 parts: general information, people, place, policy and surveillance (Table 1). We will design simple and concise report cards (Figure 1) in Thai and English versions using uncomplicated symbols, infographics and short texts.

Table 1 Data indicators of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

General information	Land area (km^2) Number of students (people) Tuition fee (Baht/academic year)
People	Prevalence of physical activity • Total (%) • Male (%)

	• Female (%) Prevalence of sedentary behaviours • Total (%) • Male (%) • Female (%)
Place	Walkable neighbourhoods • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Bicycle facilities • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Recreational areas • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score)
Policy	Physical activity promotion programmes for medical students • Yes/No • Number • Programme names Education metrics • Basic knowledge of physical activity (Yes/No) • Physical activity and public health (Yes/No) • Physical activity counselling (Yes/No) Investment related to physical activity • Annual investment (Baht/year)

	• Per capita investment (Baht/student) • Per capita investment/annual tuition fee
Surveillance	First survey (year) Recent survey (year) Next survey (year)

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01) and the study will conform to the Declaration of Helsinki. Participation in this study is entirely voluntary and medical students are free to refuse participation. This will not result in any penalty. Information about the research will be provided and the informed consent will be taken by asking the participants to indicate their agreement to participate by written informed-consent forms. The participants' information and responses will be strictly confidential and we will protect the participants' anonymity.

The MSPARC will be presented to the faculty committee via a staff meeting. We will provide the visualised report card at the main office of School of Medicine. Medical students will be informed via the faculty website and social media. The final report and results will be forwarded to the grant funder (Walailak University), key stakeholders and policymakers of the university. The findings and tool (MSPARC) of the study will be disseminated to scholars and researchers through peer-reviewed journals as well as national and international conferences.

DISCUSSION

This study will analyse the PA situations of a medical school. The results will be presented via the MSPARC, which provides concise data. It may help to communicate scientific and public health data at a glance. Information on the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours will be the initial information for defining future goals to improve student health. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas will help the medical school to understand the underlying limitations of PA-related environments. This will lead to the in-depth exploration of a particular problem. According to the policy, the data about PA-promotion programmes will show the current activities and concerns about medical students' health. Education metrics will reflect the comprehensiveness of the school curriculum regarding knowledge and practice as well as the need for additional teaching. The information on investment related to PA will indicate the adequacy of the budget for PA promotion. Lastly, the surveillance information will deliver the year of first, recent and future surveys in the medical school. These could be the milestones for evaluating and monitoring PA situations in the medical school.

A key limitation is that this cross-sectional study will be initially conducted in only one medical school. However, this protocol and the MSPARC can be adopted for future surveys or for other medical schools. For example, other medical schools can objectively measure PA and sedentary behaviours using pedometers or accelerometers instead of using the GPAQ. The MSPARC, based on the PA situations, will enable comparison and evaluation among medical schools. Nevertheless, there is a need to evaluate the effectiveness and feasibility of the MSPARC. An implementation study will be necessary prior to future surveys. At the larger scale, regional or national concerns can help develop a strategy to strengthen collaboration among medical schools to promote PA in their own settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Steve Melville for English language editing.

Contributors

AW and ST initiated the idea for the project and developed the study design. SV, US and WA provided advice for the study design. PP was responsible for supervision of project. AW wrote early drafts of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Walailak University grant number WUDPL60001.

Competing interests

None declared.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Example of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

REFERENCES

1. Sallis JF, Bull F, Guthold R, et al. Progress in physical activity over the Olympic quadrennium. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1325-36.

2. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1311-24.

3. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet 2016;388(10053):1659-724.

4. World Health Organization. Physical activity: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

5. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2010.

6. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, et al. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? The Lancet 2012;380(9838):258-71.

7. McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. Health Educ Q 1988;15(4):351-77.

8. Reis RS, Salvo D, Ogilvie D, et al. Scaling up physical activity interventions worldwide: stepping up to larger and smarter approaches to get people moving. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1337-48.

9. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Physical activity country card: Thailand: Global Observatory for Physical Activity.

http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/card/?country=TH (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

10. Thai Health Promotion Foundation, eds. Abstract book 1st National Conference on Physical Activity; Bangkok, Thailand: Thai Health Promotion Foundation, 2015.

11. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The 6th ISPAH Congress: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/congress/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

12. Bangkok Post. Civil servants to exercise every Wednesday: Bangkok Post. http://m.bangkokpost.com/news/general/1141689/civil-servants-to-exercise-every-wednesday (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

13. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The Bangkok Declaration on Physical Activity for Global Health and Sustainable development: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/resources (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

14. Wattanapisit A, Fungthongcharoen K, Saengow U, et al. Physical activity among medical students in Southern Thailand: a mixed methods study. BMJ open 2016;6(9):e013479.

15. Lobelo F, Duperly J, Frank E. Physical activity habits of doctors and medical students influence their counselling practices. Br J Sports Med 2009;43(2):89-92.

16. Stanford FC, Durkin MW, Stallworth JR, et al. Factors that influence physicians' and medical students' confidence in counseling patients about physical activity. J Prim Prev 2014;35:193–201.

17. Stoutenberg M, Stasi S, Stamatakis E, et al. Physical activity training in US medical schools: Preparing future physicians to engage in primary prevention. Phys Sportsmed 2015;43(4):388-94.

18. Weiler R, Chew S, Coombs N, et al. Physical activity education in the undergraduate curricula of all UK medical schools: are tomorrow's doctors equipped to follow clinical guidelines? Br J Sports Med 2012;46(14):1024-6.

19. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Project mission and methods: Global Observatory for Physical Activity. http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/project-description/ (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

20. Hallal P, Ramirez A. The Lancet Physical Activity Observatory : monitoring a 21st century pandemic. Res Exerc Epidemiol 2015;17(1):1-5.

21. Tremblay MS, Gonzalez SA, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Physical activity report cards: Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance and The Lancet Physical Activity Observatory. J Phys Act Health 2015;12(3):297-8.

22. Barnes JD, Cameron C, Carson V, et al. Results From Canada's 2016 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. J Phys Act Health 2016;13(11 Suppl 2):S110-s6.

23. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, Cowie Bonne J. Impact of the Active Healthy Kids Canada report card: a 10-year analysis. J Phys Act Health 2014;11(Suppl 1):S3-s20.

24. Creswell JW. Qualitative inquiry and research design : choosing among five approaches. 3 ed. Los Angeles, United States of America: SAGE, 2013.

http://www.who.int/chp/steps/resources/GPAQ_Analysis_Guide.pdf (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

25. World Health Organization. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) Analysis Guide: World Health Organization.

26. van der Ploeg HP, Chey T, Korda RJ, et al. Sitting time and all-cause mortality risk in 222 497 Australian adults. Arch Intern Med 2012;172(6):494-500.

27. Win AM, Yen LW, Tan KH, et al. Patterns of physical activity and sedentary behavior in a representative sample of a multi-ethnic South-East Asian population: a cross-sectional study. BMC public health 2015;15:318.

28. Sallis JF, Frank LD, Saelens BE, et al. Active transportation and physical activity: opportunities for collaboration on transportation and public health research. Transp Res Part A Policy Pract 2004;38(4):249-68.

29. Hurd AR, Anderson DM. The park and recreation professional's handbook. Illinois, United States of Ametica: Human Kinetics, 2011.

30. Sundquist K, Eriksson U, Kawakami N, et al. Neighborhood walkability, physical activity, and walking behavior: The Swedish Neighborhood and Physical Activity (SNAP) study. Soc Sci Med 2011;72(8):1266-73.

31. Wei Y, Xiao W, Wen M, et al. Walkability, Land Use and Physical Activity. Sustainability 2016;8(1):65.

32. White MP, Elliott LR, Taylor T, et al. Recreational physical activity in natural environments and implications for health: A population based cross-sectional study in England. Prev Med 2016;91:383-8.

33. Medical Council of Thailand. Medical Competency Assessment Criteria for National License 2012. Bangkok, Thailand: Medical Council of Thailand, 2012.



Figure 1 Example of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

122x173mm (300 x 300 DPI)

BMJ Open

Development of a physical activity monitoring tool for Thai medical schools: a protocol for a mixed methods study

Journal:	*BMJ Open*
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-017297.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Jul-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Wattanapisit, Apichai; School of Medicine, Walailak University , Vijitpongjinda, Surasak ; School of Medicine, Walailak University Saengow, Udomsak; School of Medicine, Walailak University Amaek, Waluka ; School of Architecture and Design, Walailak University Thanamee, Sanhapan; Chiang Mai University, Family of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Petchuay, Prachyapan ; Walailak University School of Medicine
Primary Subject Heading:	Medical education and training
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health policy, Public health
Keywords:	Education metrics, Medical school, Medical students, Physical activity, Policy, Report card

Development of a physical activity monitoring tool for Thai medical schools: a protocol for a mixed methods study

Authors:

Apichai Wattanapisit, MD[1,2]

Surasak Vijitpongjinda, MD[1,2]

Udomsak Saengow, MD, PhD[1,2]

Waluka Amaek, M. Arch. (Industrial Design)[3]

Sanhapan Thanamee, MD[4]

Prachyapan Petchuay, MD, PhD[1]

[1] School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[2] Center of Excellence in Health System and Medical Research, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[3] School of Architecture and Design, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, 80161, Thailand

[4] Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand

Correspondence to Apichai Wattanapisit; apichai.wa@wu.ac.th

Contact number: (+66)-8-6706-0440

Keywords: Medical school; medical students; physical activity; policy; report card

Word count: 2,239 (excluding title page, 280 words of abstract, 33 references, 1 box, 1 table and 1 figure)

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Physical activity (PA) is important in promoting health as well as in the treatment and prevention of diseases. However, insufficient PA is still a global health problem and it is also a problem in medical schools. PA training in medical curricula is still sparse or non-existent. There is a need for a comprehensive understanding of the extent of PA in medical schools through several indicators, including people, places, and policies. This study includes a survey of the PA prevalence in a medical school and development of a tool, the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC) that will contain concise and understandable infographics and information, for exploring, monitoring, and reporting information relating to PA prevalence.

Methods and analysis: This mixed methods study will run from January to September 2017. We will involve the School of Medicine, Walailak University, Thailand and its medical students (n=285). Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into four parts: general information, people, places, and policies. We will investigate the PA metrics about (1) people: the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours; (2) place: the quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities, and recreational areas; and (3) policy: PA-promotion programmes for medical students, education metrics, and investments related to PA. The MSPARC will be developed using simple symbols, infographics, and short texts to evaluate the PA metrics of the medical school.

Ethics and dissemination: This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01). Findings will be published in peer-reviewed journals and presented at national or international conferences. The MSPARC and full report will be disseminated to relevant stakeholders, policymakers, staff, and clients.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- The mixed methods design of the study will include comprehensive metrics about physical activity (PA) in a medical school.
- The data analysed from this study will be presented as an innovative tool, the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC), for exploring, monitoring, and reporting on PA prevalence.
- The MSPARC will provide concise and understandable infographics and information on PA at the medical school. Users can read the results at a glance.
- The study is limited by its cross-sectional design presenting data from only one medical school. However, the methodology can be adopted for subsequent surveys and for other medical schools. The data collection can be adjusted for the conditions present at each medical school.

INTRODUCTION

Physical inactivity is a global health challenge. The estimated prevalence of physical inactivity is 23.3% among adults, 76.3% in adolescents, 78.4% for boys, and 84.4% for girls.[1] The pandemic of physical inactivity leads to increased mortality and morbidity as well as increased economic costs.[2] Globally, physical inactivity leads to about 1.6 million deaths a year, 15% of the burden of disease from colorectal cancer, 11% of ischaemic stroke, 9% of ischaemic heart disease, and 7% of diabetes mellitus.[3] The economic cost of physical inactivity was estimated to be $53.8 billion in 2013.[2] The World Health Organization (WHO) set a goal of reducing physical inactivity by 10% by 2025.[4] In response to this, global recommendations on physical activity (PA) for health were launched in 2010.[5] Reducing physical inactivity or increasing PA requires understanding of multiple factors including individual characteristics, environmental resources, and public policies.[6,7] It also entails a multi-sector, multidisciplinary public health response.[8]

In Thailand, about 30% of adults are physically inactive, and this leads to about 5.1% of the mortality nationally.[9] Reducing the high rates of physical inactivity in the adult population will be a challenging task. In 2015 and 2016, the first national conference on health and physical activity, as well as an international conference, were held in Thailand. The slogan at the national conference was “Active Living for All” and was adopted to encourage active people, places, and policies.[10,11] Subsequently, PA campaigns have been widely promoted. A nationwide PA campaign was announced by the Prime Minister, and all government agencies were to arrange exercise sessions every Wednesday afternoon from 15:00 to 16:30.[12] Regular monitoring and reporting on the progress being made to increase PA were instituted as a sustainable development goal.[13]

Thus, a national policy for promoting PA and reducing physical inactivity emerged from these efforts, with a focus on the healthcare system and medical schools. Nevertheless, physical inactivity still occurs commonly among medical students, with about half (50.5%) of them in Southern Thailand not being physically active.[14] This is problematic because the evidence shows a strong association between the personal PA behaviours of medical students and their PA counselling attitudes and practices.[15,16] However, the education provided in medical schools to promote PA is either sparse or non-existent.[17,18] This issue is an individual concern as well as a substantial issue for provision of an appropriate medical curriculum, given the ongoing gap in the understanding of the role of PA in health maintenance and its determinants in medical schools.

The approaches that have been utilized to increase PA in different populations include comprehensive exploration and monitoring. The Global Observatory for Physical Activity (GoPA) launched PA report cards ("country cards") as a single slide infographic tool for presenting the information on country specific PA profiles for surveillance of PA prevalence and relevant metrics.[19-21] The Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth, PA surveillance among children, has been released annually since 2005 to assess PA.[22,23] The evidence suggests that PA report cards can "get people moving."[23] This approach might be beneficial for medical schools. Therefore, a specific PA report card designed for medical students might be an effective tool for exploring, monitoring, and reporting the prevalence of PA in a particular cohort at one or more medical schools.

We still do not have a study protocol to explore the PA prevalence in medical schools. Therefore, our research team will focus on exploring relevant metrics for PA, including (1) the extent of PA and sedentary behaviours, (2) the quality and accessibility of PA-related

environments, (3) any policies relating to PA in medical schools, and (4) developing a tool for exploring, monitoring, and reporting the information relating to PA prevalence. This paper describes the study design and the development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

Aims and objectives

Primary aims:

(1) Explore the PA metrics of a medical school, including people, places and policies.

(2) Develop the MSPARC for monitoring and reporting PA prevalence to clients (medical students), staff, policymakers, and stakeholders.

Secondary aims are to develop the MSPARC protocol for further surveillance and for additional medical school settings.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design

A mixed methods study will be conducted, and will consist of both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitatively, a cross-sectional observational study will be implemented to survey the relevant outcomes, including the prevalence of PA, the prevalence of sedentary behaviours, and the quality and accessibility of active environments. Qualitatively, a case study will focus on an in-depth description to develop a detailed analysis of the medical school policies.[24] The study will be carried out over a 9-month period (from January to September 2017).

Setting and participants

The study will involve the medical students at three campuses of the School of Medicine, Walialak University, Thailand (in Nakhon Si Thammarat main campus, Trang Hospital, and Vachira Phuket Hospital). All students are enrolled in preclinical years (years 1 to 3) study at the main campus. The rest of the medical students (clinical years, years 4 to 6) receive clinical training and hospital attachments at Trang Hospital and Vachira Phuket Hospital. The total number of medical students is 285, with 46 to 48 students in each class.

Data collection

Data collection will consist of both primary and secondary data, divided into 4 parts: general information, people, places, and policies.

General information

The information will include the land area of the medical school (for the main campus), number of students, and annual tuition fees. All this information will be collected from recent university and/or faculty documents.

People

The secondary data on the participation of medical students in PA, using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) – including activity that occurs during work, travel, and recreation[25] – will be derived from the previous survey in 2016.[14] PA in this context is sufficient behaviours or the WHO recommended levels, which includes any activity that equals (1) 150 minutes of moderate-intensity exercise throughout the week, (2) 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity exercise throughout the week, or (3) an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity PA.[5] We will focus on the PA prevalence of the entire

student population and the prevalence by sex and seniority level (preclinical and clinical levels).

Sedentary time, collected by using the GPAQ, refers to time spent sitting during waking hours.[25] We define sedentary behaviour using the cut-off of ≥ 8 hours per day of sedentary time.[26,27]

Place

We will assess the places related to active transportation and recreational PA in the main campus. Active transportation refers to "walking and cycling for transportation," "non-motorised transport," and "human powered transport."[28] Recreational PA means a PA that people engage in during their free time, that people enjoy, and that people recognise as having socially redeeming value.[29] Both active transportation and recreational activities are associated with natural and built environments.[28,30-32]

The data on PA-related places, including walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities, and recreational environments, will be collected from the preclinical students (n = 144) by using a self-administered questionnaire developed by the research team. Box 1 shows the questions to survey places for PA.

Box 1 Questions to survey places for physical activity

1. Usage: Do you use walkable neighbourhoods* (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)?

☐ Yes (go to item 1.1) ☐ No (go to item 1.2)

1.1 How often do you use walkable neighbourhoods* (or bicycle facilities or

recreational areas)?

☐ Sometimes (1-2 days/week) ☐ Often (3-4 days/week)

☐ Always (5-7 days/week)

1.2 Why do you not use walkable neighbourhoods* (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)?

☐ Not interested/dissatisfied ☐ Unsafe

☐ Unavailable/inconvenient ☐ Other reason (please specify)

2. Quality: How do you rate the quality of walkable neighbourhoods* (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)?

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

3. Accessibility: How do you rate the accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods* (or bicycle facilities or recreational areas)?

An 11-point scale, with end-points at 0 (least) and 10 (most) will be provided.

* Walkable neighbourhoods or bicycle facilities or recreational areas

Policy

We will collect the data on PA-promotion programmes for medical students from the School of Medicine annual plans and reports. The data will include the number and name of programmes or projects related to PA promotion for medical students. The investment related to PA (amount of expense) will be collected.

As part of the Thai national medical competencies issued by the Medical Council of Thailand, medical students have to learn about approaches to health promotion including

exercise.[33] The competencies do not specify particular aspects of PA education and training. The school curriculum will be reviewed to determine metrics regarding the following topics: (1) basic knowledge of PA – basic science of PA; (2) PA and public health – PA guidelines and PA promotion in public health; and (3) PA counselling – tailored PA counselling for healthy people and patients.

Data analysis

People

We will reanalyse the data from the previous survey.[14] The prevalence of PA will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who met the recommended PA levels by the total number of participants. For each sex, the prevalence will be calculated by dividing the number of a particular sex who met the recommended PA levels with the total number of the same sex. The prevalence of PA for preclinical and clinical students will be classified.

The prevalence of sedentary behaviours will be calculated by dividing the number of participants who engage in ≥8 hours/day of sedentary time with the total number of participants, the prevalence of sedentary behaviours for each sex, and the prevalence of sedentary behaviours for preclinical and clinical students.

Place

We will use descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, describing the usage of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities, and recreational areas. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities, and recreational areas will be calculated from the self-rating scales as mean scores to generate the fundamental and comparable data among each place.

Policy

Two investigators will independently review the relevant documents to identify PA-promotion programmes for medical students. Two investigators will analyse the education metrics from the school curriculum for lectures, active learning sessions, or clinical teaching topics about basic knowledge of PA, the relationship of PA and public health, and PA counselling. Any differences in the analyses will be resolved through consensus. The analysis will confirmed by the research team members.

For the investment related to PA, we will calculate (1) the annual investment in PA programmes, (2) per capita investment (dividing the annual investment with the total number of medical students), and (3) ratio of per capita investment to annual tuition fee (dividing the per capita investment by the annual tuition fee).

Development of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

The indicators of the MSPARC will consist of 5 parts: general information, people, places, policies, and surveillance (Table 1). We will design simple and concise report cards (Figure 1) in both Thai and English versions using uncomplicated symbols, infographics, and short texts.

Table 1 Data indicators of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card

General information	Land area (km^2) Number of students Tuition fee (Baht/academic year)

People	Prevalence of physical activity • Total (%) • Male (%) • Female (%) Prevalence of sedentary behaviours • Total (%) • Male (%) • Female (%)
Place	Walkable neighbourhoods • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Bicycle facilities • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score) Recreational areas • Quality (mean score) • Accessibility (mean score)
Policy	Physical activity promotion programmes for medical students • Yes/No • Number • Programme names Education metrics • Basic knowledge of physical activity (Yes/No) • Physical activity and public health (Yes/No)

	• Physical activity counselling (Yes/No) Investment related to physical activity • Annual investment (Baht/year) • Per capita investment (Baht/student) • Per capita investment/annual tuition fee
Surveillance	First survey (year) Recent survey (year) Next survey (year)

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This study has been approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Walailak University (protocol number: WUEC-16-005-01) and the study will comply with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participation will be entirely voluntary, and medical students are free to refuse to become subjects in the study. This will not result in any penalty. Information about the research will be provided and the informed consent will be taken by asking the participants to indicate their agreement to participate by written informed-consent forms. The participants' information and responses will be strictly confidential and we will protect the participants' anonymity.

The MSPARC will be presented to the faculty committee via a staff meeting. We will provide the visualised report card at the main office of School of Medicine. Medical students will be informed via the faculty website and social media. The final report and results will be forwarded to the grant funder (Walailak University), key stakeholders, and policymakers of the university. The findings and tool (MSPARC) of the study will be disseminated to scholars

and researchers through peer-reviewed journals as well as national and international conferences.

DISCUSSION

This study will analyse the prevalence of regular physical activity in students at a Thai medical school. The results will be presented via the MSPARC, which provides concise data. It may help to communicate scientific and public health data at a glance. Information on the prevalence of PA and sedentary behaviours will be the initial information for defining future goals to improve student health. The quality and accessibility of walkable neighbourhoods, bicycle facilities and recreational areas will help the medical school administration understand the underlying limitations of PA-related environments. This will lead to the in-depth exploration of a particular problem. According to the policy, the data about PA-promotion programmes will show the current activities and concerns about medical students' health. Education metrics will reflect the comprehensiveness of the school curriculum regarding knowledge and practice as well as the need for additional teaching. The information on investment related to PA will indicate the adequacy of the budget for PA promotion. Lastly, the surveillance information will collect the first, recent and future surveys in the medical school. These could be the milestones for evaluating and monitoring PA prevalence in the medical school.

A key limitation is that this cross-sectional study will be initially conducted in only one medical school. However, this protocol and the MSPARC can be adopted for future surveys and extended to other medical schools. For example, other medical schools can objectively measure PA and sedentary behaviours using pedometers or accelerometers instead of using the GPAQ. The MSPARC, which is based on the PA metrics, will enable comparison and

evaluation among medical schools. Nevertheless, there is a need to evaluate the effectiveness and feasibility of the MSPARC. An implementation study will be necessary prior to future surveys. On a larger scale, regional or national concerns can help develop a strategy to strengthen collaboration among medical schools or promote PA in their own settings.

Acknowledgements

We thank Steve Melville for English language editing.

Contributors

AW and ST initiated the idea for the project and developed the study design. SV, US, and WA provided advice for the study design. PP was responsible for supervision of project. AW wrote early drafts of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Walailak University grant number WUDPL60001.

Competing interests

None declared.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Example of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

REFERENCES

1. Sallis JF, Bull F, Guthold R, et al. Progress in physical activity over the Olympic quadrennium. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1325-36.

2. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1311-24.

3. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet 2016;388(10053):1659-724.

4. World Health Organization. Physical activity: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

5. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2010.

6. Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, et al. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? The Lancet 2012;380(9838):258-71.

7. McLeroy KR, Bibeau D, Steckler A, Glanz K. An ecological perspective on health promotion programs. Health Educ Q 1988;15(4):351-77.

8. Reis RS, Salvo D, Ogilvie D, et al. Scaling up physical activity interventions worldwide: stepping up to larger and smarter approaches to get people moving. The Lancet 2016;388(10051):1337-48.

9. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Physical activity country card: Thailand: Global Observatory for Physical Activity. http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/card/?country=TH (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

10. Thai Health Promotion Foundation, eds. Abstract book 1st National Conference on Physical Activity; Bangkok, Thailand: Thai Health Promotion Foundation, 2015.

11. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The 6th ISPAH Congress: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/congress/ (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

12. Bangkok Post. Civil servants to exercise every Wednesday: Bangkok Post. http://m.bangkokpost.com/news/general/1141689/civil-servants-to-exercise-every-wednesday (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

13. International Society for Physical Activity and Health. The Bangkok Declaration on Physical Activity for Global Health and Sustainable development: International Society for Physical Activity and Health. http://www.ispah.org/resources (accessed 25 Jan 2017).

14. Wattanapisit A, Fungthongcharoen K, Saengow U, et al. Physical activity among medical students in Southern Thailand: a mixed methods study. BMJ open 2016;6(9):e013479.

15. Lobelo F, Duperly J, Frank E. Physical activity habits of doctors and medical students influence their counselling practices. Br J Sports Med 2009;43(2):89-92.

16. Stanford FC, Durkin MW, Stallworth JR, et al. Factors that influence physicians' and medical students' confidence in counseling patients about physical activity. J Prim Prev 2014;35:193–201.

17. Stoutenberg M, Stasi S, Stamatakis E, et al. Physical activity training in US medical schools: Preparing future physicians to engage in primary prevention. Phys Sportsmed 2015;43(4):388-94.

18. Weiler R, Chew S, Coombs N, et al. Physical activity education in the undergraduate curricula of all UK medical schools: are tomorrow's doctors equipped to follow clinical guidelines? Br J Sports Med 2012;46(14):1024-6.

19. Global Observatory for Physical Activity. Project mission and methods: Global Observatory for Physical Activity. http://www.globalphysicalactivityobservatory.com/project-description/ (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

20. Hallal P, Ramirez A. The Lancet Physical Activity Observatory : monitoring a 21st century pandemic. Res Exerc Epidemiol 2015;17(1):1-5.

21. Tremblay MS, Gonzalez SA, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Physical activity report cards: Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance and The Lancet Physical Activity Observatory. J Phys Act Health 2015;12(3):297-8.

22. Barnes JD, Cameron C, Carson V, et al. Results From Canada's 2016 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. J Phys Act Health 2016;13(11 Suppl 2):S110-s6.

23. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, Cowie Bonne J. Impact of the Active Healthy Kids Canada report card: a 10-year analysis. J Phys Act Health 2014;11(Suppl 1):S3-s20.

24. Creswell JW. Qualitative inquiry and research design : choosing among five approaches. 3 ed. Los Angeles, United States of America: SAGE, 2013. http://www.who.int/chp/steps/resources/GPAQ_Analysis_Guide.pdf (accessed 30 Jan 2017).

25. World Health Organization. Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) Analysis Guide: World Health Organization.

26. van der Ploeg HP, Chey T, Korda RJ, et al. Sitting time and all-cause mortality risk in 222 497 Australian adults. Arch Intern Med 2012;172(6):494-500.

27. Win AM, Yen LW, Tan KH, et al. Patterns of physical activity and sedentary behavior in a representative sample of a multi-ethnic South-East Asian population: a cross-sectional study. BMC public health 2015;15:318.

28. Sallis JF, Frank LD, Saelens BE, et al. Active transportation and physical activity: opportunities for collaboration on transportation and public health research. Transp Res Part A Policy Pract 2004;38(4):249-68.

29. Hurd AR, Anderson DM. The park and recreation professional's handbook. Illinois, United States of Ametica: Human Kinetics, 2011.

30. Sundquist K, Eriksson U, Kawakami N, et al. Neighborhood walkability, physical activity, and walking behavior: The Swedish Neighborhood and Physical Activity (SNAP) study. Soc Sci Med 2011;72(8):1266-73.

31. Wei Y, Xiao W, Wen M, et al. Walkability, Land Use and Physical Activity. Sustainability 2016;8(1):65.

32. White MP, Elliott LR, Taylor T, et al. Recreational physical activity in natural environments and implications for health: A population based cross-sectional study in England. Prev Med 2016;91:383-8.

33. Medical Council of Thailand. Medical Competency Assessment Criteria for National License 2012. Bangkok, Thailand: Medical Council of Thailand, 2012.



Figure 1 Example of the Medical School Physical Activity Report Card (MSPARC).

122x173mm (300 x 300 DPI)