Supplement Results, Figures and Tables

Results

PET imaging

The SUV was significantly higher in tumours of the parental HSC-3 cell line than tumours of the EV and the MMP-8 overexpressing cell lines (Figure S4B upper panel), suggesting that the cloning procedure somehow affected the metabolism of the cells. Tongue tumours normally metastasize to lymph nodes in the neck, which overlap the sublingual and parotid salivary glands in mice. Salivary glands give background signal in ¹⁸F-FDG PET-scans due to their metabolic activity. To identify lymph node metastases, the salivary gland area was delineated and the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in left and right salivary gland areas were compared (Figure S4A lower panel). In mice with tumours of the HSC-3 parental and control cell lines, there was more uptake in the left side compared to the right side, although the differences were not statistically significant (Figure S4B, lower panel). In mice injected with the MMP-8+ HSC-3 cells, the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake was similar on the left and right side. However, the differences were not statistically significant. Figure S4C shows representative time-activity curves of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in liver, tumour and in the left and right salivary glands in an OSCC xenograft mouse based on a 60 min dynamic PET scan. This illustrates that the 20 min static scans, starting at about 40 min after ¹⁸F-FDG injection, were within a stationary phase of the ¹⁸F-FDG uptake.



Figure S1. The overexpressed proMMP-8 level is higher in conditioned media of HSC-3 clone #1 compared to clone #2, and pro-MMP-8 was activated after treatment with APMA in MMP-8+ cells. Clone #1 of MMP-8+ HSC-3 cells showed higher expression of MMP-8 compared to the clone #2 cells in Western blot analysis (**A**). APMA activation led to reduction of proMMP-8 (~70kDA) and increase of mature MMP-8 (~58kDa) as shown by Western blot (**B**).



Figure S2. Apoptosis, EMT markers and proliferation in control and MMP-8+ OTSCC cells. Apoptosis was studied by TUNEL technology from cells seeded into wells of 96-well plates as described in the methods (A). The levels of E-cadherin, Slug, N-cadherin and vimentin were not changed in cell extracts (40 μ g protein) studied by Western blot from control and MMP-8+ HSC-3, SCC-25 and SCC-15 cells cultured in monolayers. Representative results are shown (B). Levels of immunohistochemically detected vimentin was slightly reduced in MMP-8+ HSC-3 cells in organotypic 3D tissue cultures. In control cells, vimentin was stained in invasive cells (arrow), but the staining disappeared from invasive MMP-8+ HSC-3 cells; the amount of E-cadherin was not changed (C). Proliferation of HSC-3 cells was studied by BrdU kit. Two to four independent experiments (with different cell passages) were studied at each time point (D). Six samples of each cell group were analysed in the TUNEL assay. Western blots were performed using cell extracts from four different individual experiments. The myoma experiment was performed once with triplicate myoma disks per culture condition.



Figure S3. MMP-8 overexpression reduced the migration of HSC-3 cells and altered the response to TGF- β 1 in clone #2. 10 ng/ml of recombinant human TGF- β 1 was added to the serum-free medium in the upper Transwell[®] chamber and the amount of migrated MMP-8+ HSC-3 and control cells was measured as absorbance at 650 nm. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.



Figure S4. Evaluation of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and temporal pattern of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in OSCC xenograft mice (example graph). Coronal PET sections co-registered with a maximum intensity projection (MIP) CT image of an OSCC xenograft mouse showing average tumour and salivary gland (Sg) ¹⁸F-FDG uptake 40-60 min post injection (**A**). Tumour and salivary gland ¹⁸F-FDG uptake (SUV_{max}) relative to liver uptake (SUV_{mean}) in the different OTSCC cell groups. Lower panel, left salivary glands (filled bars) and right salivary glands (shaded bars). Results are mean \pm SEM. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. Sg, salivary glands (**B**). An example graph shows representative time-activity curves of ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in liver (grey symbols), tumour (red symbols), left (blue closed symbols) and right (blue open symbols) salivary glands (sg) in an OTSCC xenograft mouse (MMP-8+ HSC-3 group) during a 60 min PET acquisition (**C**).



Figure S5. MMP-8 immunohistochemical staining of HSC-3 tumours in mouse tongues. Tumours of the HSC-3 parental cell line (**A-B**) and the control cell line (**C-D**) did not show cytoplasmic MMP-8 staining of the cancer cells. In the tumours of MMP-8 overexpressing cells, MMP-8 staining was mainly seen in the cells located in the centre of tumour islands. In the tumour-stroma interface, the cancer cells showed weak or absent cytoplasmic MMP-8 staining. Some of the mouse inflammatory cells were positive for MMP-8 (**E-F**). Some nuclear staining could be seen in the cancer cells of all clones.



Figure S6. H/E staining of the primary tumours and lymph node metastasis in mice with MMP-8+ and control HSC-3 clones. Representative primary tumours and lymph node metastases in mice with MMP-8+ and control cells (A). The lymph nodes were analysed after 13 days to count the number of mice with and without metastases (B). The areas of primary tumours and metastases were measured (C).

Tables

Table S1. Patients' clinical data (OTSCC samples used in the VEGF-C and MMP-8 analyses).

| Patient clinical data | | |
|-----------------------|----|-------|
| | n | % |
| Total | | |
| | 57 | 100.0 |
| Age at diagnosis | | |
| <55 yrs | 20 | 35.1 |
| 55-70 yrs | 14 | 24.6 |
| >70 yrs | 23 | 40.4 |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 25 | 43.9 |
| Female | 32 | 56.1 |
| Tumour grade | | |
| 1 | 22 | 38.6 |
| 2 | 29 | 50.9 |
| 3 | 6 | 10.5 |
| Tumour stage | | |
| 1-2 | 31 | 54.4 |
| 3-4 | 26 | 45.6 |
| Neck lymph nodes | | |
| Negative | 43 | 75.4 |
| Positive | 14 | 24.6 |
| Recurrence | | |
| No | 38 | 66.7 |
| Yes | 19 | 33.3 |
| Adjuvant therapy | | |
| No | 38 | 66.7 |
| Radiotherapy | 16 | 28.1 |
| Missing | 3 | 5.3 |

Table S2. Differentially expressed genes in MMP-8 overexpressing stationary and migrating cells.

| Stationary cells (43ª) | Migrating cells (40ª) |
|--|--|
| GJB6 ^b , CXCL14, FOS ^b , KRT13, PHC1, GJB2, | GJB6 ^b , TUSC1 ^b , TENM2 ^b , KLK10, KLK13 ^b , S100A8, |
| EGR1, MEST ^b , KLK13 ^b , TUSC1 ^b , S100A8, TENM2 ^b , | MAGED1 ^b , EGR1, MEST ^b , IL17D ^b , GJB2 ^b , SCEL ^b , |
| BLNK, ARMCX1 ^b , MAGED1 ^b , KLK10, ID1, GJA1 ^b , | BCHE ^b , GAPDHS ^b , NRG1, GAPDHS ^b , NRG1, IL1RN, |
| | VGLL1, IL27RA ^b , ARMCX1 ^b , FLRT3 ^b |

Top 20 genes upregulated in MMP-8 overexpressing cells compared to controls

Top 20 genes downregulated in MMP-8 overexpressing cells compared to controls

| Stationary cells (4 | :0 ^a) | | Migrating c | ells (39ª) | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| MMP1 ^b , RBM15B ^b , MALAT1 ^b , LIMCH1 ^b , L1CAM ^b , | | | MMP1 ^b , L1CAM ^b , NNMT ^b , MAP2 ^b , AGPAT9, CFB, | | | |
| NNMT ^b , CFB, LYN ^b , C3 ^b , KLRC1/2, MAP2 ^b , SRPX ^b , | | LYN ^b , POLI ^b , C3 ^b , KLRC1/2, PRTFDC1 ^b , ALS2CL ^b , | | | | |
| TNFAIP3, UGT1A1/3/4/5/6/7/8/9 | FAM20C ^b , 9/10, | POLI ^b , | FLNC, UGT1/ | A1/3/4/5/6/7/8/9/1 | 0, MUC1, GRIP2⁵, | |
| BIRC3, C18orf54, NR | G1, SNHG12 | | FADS3, POMZP3/ZP3 | C18orf54 ^b , 3 | CROCC, | |

Changed genes only in migrating or stationary cells, top 15 \uparrow =upregulated, top 15 \downarrow = downregulated

MMP-8 overexpressing cells vs. control cells

Change only in stationary cell (42^a): ↑: CXCL14, FOS, KRT13, PHC1, BLNK, MCAM, LTBP1, NIN, TFCP2L1, HES1, FAM213A, CHCHD10, C6orf62, SSBP3, BCL11B ↓: RBM15B, MALAT1, LIMCH1, SRPX, TNFAIP3, FAM20C, BIRC3, SNHG12, ABLIM3, SLC7A11,SLC39A8, PPAP2B, INSIG1,TWIST1, SLC47A2

Change only in migrating cells (37^a): ↑: IL17D, SCEL, GAPDHS, TBX1, DOCK1, KREMEN1, MMP9, BAK1, ELAVL2, PTPLB, FAM89A, FAM101B, ASNS, MRPL43, TFPI2 ↓: AGPAT9, PRTFDC1, FLNC, MUC1, ALS2CL, FADS3, POMZP3/ZP3, CROCC, SERPINA1, TMEM123, LOC284591, IL7R, CSRP2, NEXN, AKR1B10

^aTotal number of changed genes (approx.) with fold change value 1.5.

Table S3. Differentially expressed genes in MMP-8 overexpressing cells involved in cancer-related events.

| Function | Overexpressed | | Underexpressed | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Stationary cells | Migrating cells | Stationary cells | Migrating cells | |
| Actin cytoskeleta organisation | l | FLRT3, LAMA2 | LIMCH1 | | |
| Cell adhesion | HES1, FLRT3 | BCAM | L1CAM, OLR1 | L1CAM | |
| Cell motion | ID1 | TBX1, GAPDHS, ID1 | IL8, L1CAM, VEGFC, PPAP2B, TWIST1, NDE1 | L1CAM | |
| Transcriptional regulation | EGR1, HES1, VGLL1, MAGED1 EOS ID1 | ELAVL2, TBX1, | SOD2, TWIST1, | YAP1, SOD2, | |
| | BCL11B, TFCP2L1 | ID1, VGLL | ABLIM3, | TRIMZZ | |
| Regulation of cell growth | | NRG1 | NRG1, PAPPA2 | | |
| Inflammatory / immune resp. | S100A8 IL1RN | S100A8, IL1RN, IL27RA | CFB, LYN, IL8, PTX3, OLR1, C3 | CFB, SERPINA1, C3, IL7R, LYN, TRIM22 | |
| Proteolysis | KLK10, KLK5 | KLK10, KLK13, KLK5, MMP9 | ECE1, ISG15, SENP7 OLR1, CFB, PAPPA2 TNFAIP3, MMP1 , C3 | CFB, MMP1 , C3 | |

The changed genes (MMP-8+ vs. control cells) that are involved in cancer-related cell processes

| | MMP-8 expression | | VEGF-C expression | | | |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Weak, n (%) | Strong, n (%) | <i>p</i> -value | Weak, n (%) | Strong, n (%) | <i>p</i> value |
| Age at diagnosis | | | | | | |
| <55 yrs | 9 (45.0) | 11 (55.0) | | 11 (55.0) | 9 (45.0) | |
| 55-70 yrs | 11 (78.6) | 3 (21.4) | | 7 (50.0) | 7 (50.0) | |
| >70 yrs | 14 (60.9) | 9 (39.1) | 0.144 | 10 (43.5) | 13 (56.5) | 0.751 |
| Sex | | | | | | |
| Male | 13 (52.0) | 12 (48.0) | | 15 (60.0) | 10 (40.0) | |
| Female | 21 (65.6) | 11 (34.4) | 0.298 | 13 (40.6) | 19 (59.4) | 0.147 |
| Tumour grade | | | | | | |
| 1 | 12 (54.5) | 10 (45.5) | | 12 (54.5) | 10 (45.5) | |
| 2 | 18 (62.1) | 11 (37.9) | | 13 (44.8) | 16 (55.2) | |
| 3 | 4 (66.7) | 2 (33.3) | 0.806 | 3 (50.0) | 3 (50.0) | 0.789 |
| Tumour stage | | | | | | |
| 1-2 | 19 (61.3) | 12 (38.7) | | 18 (58.1) | 13 (41.9) | |
| 3-4 | 15 (57.7) | 11 (42.3) | 0.783 | 10 (38.5) | 16 (61.5) | 0.140 |
| Neck lymph nodes | | | | | | |
| Negative | 23 (53.5) | 20 (46.5) | | 25 (58.1) | 18 (41.9) | |
| Positive | 11 (78.6) | 3 (21.4) | 0.097 | 3 (21.4) | 11 (78.6) | 0.017 |
| Recurrence | | | | | | |
| No | 21 (55.3) | 17 (44.7) | | 22 (57.9) | 16 (42.1) | |
| Yes | 13 (68.4) | 6 (31.6) | 0.340 | 6 (31.6) | 13 (68.4) | 0.061 |
| Adjuvant therapy | | | | | | |
| No | 21 (55.3) | 17 (44.7) | | 21 (55.3) | 17 (44.7) | |
| Radiotherapy | 10 (62.5) | 6 (37.5) | 0.623 | 6 (37.5) | 10 (62.5) | 0.233 |

Table S4. Clinical correlations with tumour MMP-8 and VEGF-C status.