A collection of enhancer trap insertional mutants for functional genomics in tomato

Fernando Pérez-Martín^{1,+}, Fernando J. Yuste-Lisbona^{1,+}, Benito Pineda², María Pilar Angarita-Díaz², Begoña García-Sogo², Teresa Antón², Sibilla Sánchez², Estela Giménez¹, Alejandro Atarés², Antonia Fernández-Lozano¹, Ana Ortíz-Atienza¹, Manuel García-Alcázar¹, Laura Castañeda¹, Rocío Fonseca¹, Carmen Capel¹, Geraldine Goergen², Jorge Sánchez², Jorge L. Quispe¹, Juan Capel¹, Trinidad Angosto¹, Vicente Moreno² and Rafael Lozano^{1,*}

¹ Centro de Investigación en Biotecnología Agroalimentaria (BITAL). Universidad de Almería, 04120 Almería, Spain.

² Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas (UPV-CSIC), Universidad
 Politécnica de Valencia. 46022 Valencia, Spain.

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author: rlozano@ual.es

Prof. Rafael Lozano

Dept. Biología y Geología

Universidad de Almería

04120 Almería, Spain

Tel. +34 950 01 5111



Figure S1. Schematic representation of the T-DNA insertional mutagenesis programme described in this work. (a) Development of the enhancer trap collection using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation protocol with the binary vector pD991. (b) Phenotypic and GUS histochemical characterization of enhancer trap lines. (c) Molecular characterization of T-DNA integration sites.



Figure S2. Graphical representation of the distribution of T-DNA insertions (orange arrows) on tomato chromosomes (Ch). Black ovals on the chromosomes indicate the centromere and horizontal lines represent the size in megabases (Mb). Green plots represent the percentage of heterochromatin (% nucleotides per 500kb) and blue plots display the percentage of euchromatin (% nucleotides per 500kb).



Figure S3. Complementation test of 1381ETMM and *lyrate* mutations. F1 progeny obtained from a cross between wild-type heterozygous plants, one bearing the 1381ETMM mutation (female parent) and the other carrying the *lyrate* mutation (*lyr2*, accession number LA2923, male parent) showed the expected 3:1 segregation (18 WT : 8 mut; $\chi 2 = 0.50$, P = 0.46) of wild-type (WT) and mutant phenotypes. Mutant F1 plants were affected in the development of leaves (a), flowers (b) and fruits (c). Scale bar = 5 cm in (a); and 1 cm in (b) and (c).



Figure S4. Phenotypic characterization of RNA interference (RNAi) lines for the *Solyc11g011960*, which was the gene tagged by the T-DNA insertion in the 2477ETMM line. Leaves of the T1 RNAi - 2477ETMM plants displayed evident necrosis symptoms and a reduction of plant growth either under *in vitro* (a) or greenhouse (b) conditions, similar to those showed by the 2477ETMM insertional mutant. Scale bar = 1 cm in (a); and 10 cm in (b).

Cultivar	Inoculated explants	Transgenic plants $(2n + 4n)$	Transgenic plants $(2n)$	Ratio 2N : 4N	Transf. frequency ^a	% transgenic plants (2 <i>n</i>)
P73	4200	1816	1021	1:0.78	43.2%	56.2%
Moneymaker	18500	6026	4539	1:0.33	32.6%	75.3%
Total	22700	7842	5560	1:0.41	34.6%	70.9%
la c	â		1 0: 1	1 1	•	1

 Table S1. Transformation efficiency in two tomato cultivars.

^aTransformation frequency was estimated as the number of independent transgenic events divided by the total number of inoculated leaf explants, then multiplied by 100.

	Sampla	Number of lines showing	Number of lines showing GUS expression restricted to ^b	
	Sample	GUS expression in ^a		
	Root	16	4	
Vagatativa	Stem	141	23	
vegetative	Rachis	117	4	
suuctures	Petiole	151	4	
	Leaflet	164	14	
	Sepal	56	1	
	Petal	48	3	
	Stamen	359	219	
Flowers	Pistil	158	24	
Flowers	Stigma	38	4	
	Style	43	9	
	Ovary	56	7	
	Ovule	25	2	
	Pericarp	227	78	
Immentura fruita	Placenta	103	13	
miniature fruits	Mucilage	49	6	
	Embryo	199	92	

 Table S2. Summary of reporter GUS expression.

^aNumber of lines showing GUS expression in the evaluated tissue. ^bNumber of lines displaying GUS staining restricted to the evaluated tissue.

Primer name Primer sequence (5'-3')	Primer sequence (5'-3')				
Adı CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGC	CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGC				
Ad2 CTATAGGGCTCGAGCGGC					
Ad3 AGCGGCGGGGGGGGGGG	AGCGGCGGGGAGGT				
ARB-1 ACAGTTTTCGCGATCCAGAC					
ARB-2 GGTCTTGCGAAGGATAGTGG	GGTCTTGCGAAGGATAGTGG				
ARB-3 CTGGCGTAATAGCGAAGAGG	CTGGCGTAATAGCGAAGAGG				
ALB-1 TTGGCGTGTCAGCGTATCTA	TTGGCGTGTCAGCGTATCTA				
ALB-2 ATCGGTCTCAATGCAAAAGG					
ALB-3 ATAATAACGCTGCGGACATCTAC					
B. Primers used for genotyping analysis					
Primer name Primer sequence (5'-3')					
Gt5-F AAGGAAGCTAGGAATCAACAAGA					
Gt5-R ATTTCTCGGTGAAGGGGTTC					
Gt6-F TGCTCAATGAAGTGGTCGAA					
Gt6-R TTGCAATATTGGTCCCTGAA					
Gt11-F GAAGTGGGGCAAAGTCTTCA					
Gt11-R GAGGCCGGGATCTATCTTTC					
C. Primers used for qRT-PCR assays					
Primer name Primer sequence (5'-3')					
1381_Fz CATCCCCAATGCTCATTCTT					
1381_Rz ATGCAGTGAAACCCTCCATC					
2477_Fz ATCCCGCGGAAACTAAGAAG	ATCCCGCGGAAACTAAGAAG				
2477_Rz GTGCATCCCATTGTTGTTCC	GTGCATCCCATTGTTGTTCC				
Ubiquitine3_Fz CACACTTCACTTGGTCTTGCGT					
Ubiquitine3_Rz TAGTCTTTCCGGTGAGAGTCTTCA					

Table S3. Primer sequences used for anchor PCR, genotyping and qRT-PCR analyses.