### **SUPPLEMENTARY**

**Supplementary Table 1** *Multiple regression for association between injury characteristics and pain interference (only for pain intensity>0; N=370), EQ-5D, and GOS-E recovery outcome.* 

ouicome.													
			I	Pain nterferei	nce		Sun	EQ-5D nmary So	core		Funct	GOS-E ional Ou	tcome
Injury character	istics	M	(sd)	β	(95% CI)	M	(sd)	β	(95% CI)	N	%	OR	(95% CI)
Comorbidities	None	2.91	2.42	Ref		0.82	0.22	Ref		137	50.6	Ref	
	Present	3.27	2.62	0.23	-0.0.32,0.78	0.77	0.25	-0.05	-0.10,0	73	47.1	0.86	0.56,1.31
Work status at	Not working	3.97	2.87	Ref		0.72	0.33	Ref		37	68.5	Ref	
injury	Working	2.91	2.41	-1.00	-1.87,-0.13	0.82	0.21	0.10	0.01,0.19	173	46.5	0.40	0.20,0.80
Injury place	At home	2.48	2.39	Ref		0.82	0.24	Ref		44	57.9	Ref	
	Traffic/Road	3.60	2.61	1.02	0.26, 1.78	0.77	0.25	-0.03	-0.10,0.04	66	39.5	0.67	0.37,1.23
	Workplace	3.60	2.38	1.21	0.26, 2.16	0.74	0.25	-0.08	-0.17,0.01	14	31.1	0.37	0.17,0.80
	Other	2.43	2.24	0.15	-0.62, 0.92	0.86	0.18	0.04	-0.03,0.10	86	62.3	1.37	0.74,2.26
Injury severity	AIS region count			0.37	0.14, 0.61			-0.03	-0.06,-0.01			0.70	0.58,0.82
Hospital stay	1-2 days	2.48	2.35	Ref		0.83	0.24	Ref		95	60.5	Ref	
	3-6 days	3.26	2.46	0.72	0.06, 1.37	0.81	0.22	-0.01	-0.06,0.05	69	52.3	0.80	0.49,1.30
	7-13 days	2.88	2.52	0.30	-0.49, 1.09	0.82	0.19	0.01	-0.05,0.07	35	43.8	0.61	0.34,1.10
	≥ 14 days	4.34	2.49	1.65	0.76, 2.55	0.70	0.27	-0.10	-0.19,-0.02	10	17.9	0.18	0.08,0.42
Type of	Home	2.65	2.29	Ref		0.84	0.22	Ref		168	55.6	Ref	
discharge	Rehabilitation	3.91	2.72	0.99	0.38, 1.61	0.73	0.25	-0.08	-0.14,-0.03	42	33.9	0.51	0.32,0.82

Compensation	None	2.53	2.32	Ref		0.84	0.22	Ref		155	58.7	Ref	
status	TAC/Worksafe	3.82	2.57	1.16	0.61, 1.71	0.75	0.25	-0.07	-0.12,-0.02	55	34	0.44	0.28,0.67
Fault	At fault	2.42	2.14	Ref		0.85	0.19	Ref		124	57.9	Ref	
	Not at fault	3.63	2.68	1.15	0.66, 1.64	0.76	0.26	-0.09	-0.13,-0.05	83	39.9	0.51	0.34,0.76

*Notes*: all analysis adjusted for age, sex and injury severity, except for the injury severity analysis which only adjusted for age and sex.

Supplementary Table 2
Multiple regression for association between injury characteristics, pain catastrophising, pain self-efficacy, kinesiophobia and injustice experience.

				PCS				PSEQ	
Injury characteri	istics	M	(sd)	β**	(95% CI)	M	(sd)	β**	(95% CI)
Comorbidities	None	9.54	11.23	Ref		45.63	14.19	Ref	
	Present	9.30	10.6	0.32	-1.31,1.94	45.02	14.68	-0.34	-2.68,2.01
Work status at	Not working	11.65	12.61	Ref		40.99	15.12	Ref	
injury	Working	9.12	10.71	-0.06	-2.57,2.44	46.63	14.46	1.71	-1.22,4.65
Injury place	At home	8.18	10.67	Ref		47.41	12.01	Ref	
	Traffic/Road	11.38	11.81	0.85	-1.49,3.20	42.7	15.27	-2.32	-5.09,0.46
	Workplace	11.13	11.3	0.52	-2.48,3.53	43.11	15.41	-1.44	-6.26,3.38
	Other	7.18	9.49	-1.27	-3.55,1.00	48.43	13.37	-0.10	-2.97,2.76
Injury severity	AIS region count			0.28	-0.51,1.06			-0.94	-1.96,0.08
Hospital stay	1-2 days	7.91	10.00	Ref		49.11	12.57	Ref	
	3-6 days	9.77	11.3	0.69	-1.31,2.68	43.81	14.99	-3.34	-6.05,-0.63
	7-13 days	9.24	10.55	1.94	-0.09,3.98	45.33	13.96	-4.02	-7.27,-0.77
	≥ 14 days	13.25	12.76	2.40	-0.31,5.11	38.89	15.5	-5.70	-9.16,-2.23
Type of	Home	7.92	9.67	Ref		47.41	13.61	Ref	
discharge	Rehabilitation	13.08	12.97	2.56	0.63,4.50	40.71	15.01	-2.76	-5.10,-0.42
Compensation	None	8.04	10.12	Ref		47.91	13.29	Ref	
status	TAC/Worksafe	11.67	11.93	1.06	-0.72,2.84	41.43	15.12	-3.32	-5.55,-1.10

Fault	At fault	7.22	9.72	Ref		48.94	12.07	Ref	
	Not at fault	11.67	11.80	2.11	0.57,3.65	41.87	15.63	-4.29	-6.45,-2.11

Notes: all analysis adjusted for age, sex and injury severity, except for the injury severity analysis which only adjusted for age and sex.

# (Supplementary Table 2 continued)

				TSK				IEQ	
Injury characteri	stics	M	(sd)	β**	(95% CI)	M	(sd)	β**	(95% CI)
Comorbidities	None	36.99	8.32	Ref		15.99	13.6	Ref	
	Present	37.32	7.99	0.80	-0.65,2.24	16.67	13.75	1.14	-1.17,3.46
Work status at	Not working	37.81	9.33	Ref		17.68	14.27	Ref	
injury	Working	37	8.01	0.47	-1.70,2.64	16.02	13.55	0.80	-2.83,4.42
Injury place	At home	36.97	7.86	Ref		12.57	11.06	Ref	
	Traffic/Road	37.53	8.05	-0.93	-2.82,0.95	19.22	14.43	4.20	1.25,7.16
	Workplace	39.76	7.63	0.96	-1.55,3.48	19.39	13.6	4.20	0.08,8.32
	Other	35.79	8.56	-1.78	-3.85,0.29	13.51	12.97	1.03	-2.17,4.24
Injury severity	AIS region count			-0.20	-0.76,0.35			0.95	-0.02,1.91
Hospital stay	1-2 days	36.66	7.77	Ref		12.54	12.57	Ref	
	3-6 days	37.45	8.62	-0.14	-1.82,1.54	17.41	13.82	3.71	1.04,6.37
	7-13 days	36.98	8.1	0.44	-1.47,2.36	16.81	13.11	4.84	1.50,8.19
	≥ 14 days	37.77	8.64	-0.96	-3.41,1.49	22.55	14.14	7.05	3.26,10.34
Type of	Home	36.52	8.14	Ref		14.09	12.87	Ref	
discharge	Rehabilitation	38.53	8.18	0.74	-0.85,2.33	21.31	14.12	4.35	1.88,6.81
Compensation	None	36.3	8.05	Ref		13.66	12.51	Ref	
status	TAC/Worksafe	38.37	8.28	0.86	-0.58,2.31	20.31	14.39	3.95	1.60,6.31

Fault	At fault	36.19	7.79	Ref		11.94	11.1	Ref	
	Not at fault	38.03	8.54	0.54	-0.81,1.89	20.5	14.62	6.35	4.23,8.48

*Notes*: all analysis adjusted for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity.

#### Supplementary Table 3a

Direct and indirect effects between predictors and pain interference, adjusting for age, sex, pain

• . • .	7		• .
intensity	and	iniurv	severity

		Indire	ct effects		Direct effects
	В	(95% CI)	p-value	% of total effect mediated	p-value
Mediation via Pain Self-Effi	cacy				
Compensation status	0.24	0.07,0.41	0.006	36.9%	0.016
Fault	0.25	0.09,0.41	0.002	37.7%	0.010
Mediation via Pain Catastro Compensation status <sup>a</sup>	ophising n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fault	0.17	0.03,0.32	0.017	26.0%	0.001
Mediation via Perceived Inj	ustice			.0	
Compensation status	0.25	0.10,0.40	0.001	39.1%	0.017
Fault	0.34	0.19,0.48	< 0.001	50.4%	0.027

Notes, all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity. <sup>a</sup> Compensation status was not associated with catastrophising, so mediation not examined.

#### Supplementary Table 3b

Combined mediation effects between predictors (fault attribution and compensation status) and pain interference

	Fault attribution	Compensation
Total indirect effect		
β (95% CI)	0.08 (0.04,0.12)	0.07 (0.03,0.10)
p-value	< 0.001	< 0.001
% of mediated		
Total effect	59.3%	48.7%
Pain self-efficacy	23.9%	25.1%
Perceived injustice	19.3%	23.6%
Pain Catastrophising	16.2%	n/a
Direct effect (p-value)		
β (95% CI)	0.05 (0.00, 0.11)	0.06 (0.00,0.12)
p-value	0.055	0.051

*Notes*: all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity.

## Supplementary Table 3c

Direct and indirect effects between predictors and <u>health status (EQ-5D)</u>, adjusting for age, sex and injury severity

		Indirect effect	ts		Direct effects <sup>a</sup>
	В (9:	5% CI) p-value	% of total e		p-value
Mediation via Pain Self-Ef	ficacy				
Compensation status	-0.016	-0.029,-0.002	0.022	34.8%	0.121
Fault	-0.020	-0.035,-0.004	0.012	33.5%	0.026
Mediation via Pain Catasti	ophising				5
Compensation status <sup>a</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Fault	-0.014	-0.026,-0.002	0.026	24.1%	0.011
Mediation via Perceived In	justice				
Compensation status	-0.017	-0.034,-0.008	0.008	37.0%	0.151
Fault	-0.026	-0.042,-0.015	< 0.001	46.1%	0.070

*Notes*, all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity. <sup>a</sup> Compensation status was not associated with catastrophising, so mediation not examined.

Supplementary Table 3d

Combined mediation effects between predictors (fault attribution and compensation status) and EQ-5D

	Fault attribution	Compensation
Total indirect effect		
β (95% CI)	-0.068 (-0.103,-0.033)	-0.048 (-0.079,-0.016)
p-value	< 0.001	0.002
% of mediated	<b>*</b>	
Total effect	54.0%	50.1%
Pain self-efficacy	21.7%	26.0%
Perceived injustice	16.9%	24.1%
Pain Catastrophising	15.5%	n/a
Direct effect (p-value)		
β (95% CI)	-0.058 (-0.130,0.014)	-0.047 (-0.130,0.035)
p-value	0.114	0.258

Notes, all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity.

#### Supplementary Table 3e

Direct and indirect effects between predictors and functional outcome (GOS-E), adjusting for age, sex

and injury severity

		Indirec	t effects		Direct effects <sup>a</sup>
	В	(95% CI)	p-value	% of total effect mediated	p-value
Mediation via Pain Self-Ef	ficacy				
Compensation status	-0.025	-0.055,0.006	0.110	11.8%	0.004
Fault	-0.032	-0.062,-0.001	0.041	19.4%	0.032
Mediation via Pain Catast	rophising				25
Fault	-0.026	-0.054,0.002	0.065	16.4%	0.023
Mediation via Perceived In	ıjustice				
Compensation status	-0.050	-0.087,-0.013	0.009	23.5%	0.006
Fault	-0.084	-0.125,-0.043	< 0.001	50.9%	0.189

Notes, all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity.

Supplementary Table 3f

Combined mediation effects for the relationship between GoS-E and compensation status/fault

	Fault attribution	Compensation
Total indirect effect		
β (95% CI)	-0.089 (-0.133,-0.045)	-0.053 (-0.091,-0.016)
p-value	< 0.001	0.006
% of mediated		
Total effect	55.6%	25.1%
Pain self-efficacy	5.6%	3.8%
Perceived injustice	43.5%	21.2%
Pain Catastrophising	6.5%	n/a
Direct effect (p-value)		
β (95% CI)	-0.071 (-0.192,0.051)	-0.159 (-0.278,-0.041)
p-value	0.255	0.009

Notes, all analysis adjusted only for age, sex, pain intensity and injury severity.