Cell Systems, Volume 5

Supplemental Information

Yeast Creates a Niche for Symbiotic Lactic

Acid Bacteria through Nitrogen Overflow

Olga Ponomarova, Natalia Gabrielli, Daniel C. Sévin, Michael Mülleder, Katharina Zirngibl, Katsiaryna Bulyha, Sergej Andrejev, Eleni Kafkia, Athanasios Typas, Uwe Sauer, Markus Ralser, and Kiran Raosaheb Patil

Supplemental Tables

	Component	Concentration, g/L	
Carbon Source	Glucose	15	
Amino Acids	L-Arginine	0.72	
	L-Asparagine	0.5	
	L-Histidine	0.17	
	L-Isoleucine	0.24	
	L-Leucine	1	
	L-Methionine	0.125	
	L-Tyrosine	0.3	
	L-Valine	0.7	
Vitamins	Biotin	0.006	
	Ca pantothenate	0.0012	
	Folic acid	0.00056	
	myo-Inositol	0.002	
	Nicotinic acid	0.0009	
	p-Aminobenzoic acid	0.000056	
	Pyridoxine HCl	0.0048	
	Riboflavin	0.0009	
	Thiamine HCl	0.00056	
Inorganic Salts	FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	0.005	
	K_2HPO_4	6.48	
	KH_2PO_4	3.12	
	MgCl ₂	0.3864	
	NaCl	3	
	ZnSO ₄	0.005	
	K_2SO_4	0.023	
	Boric acid	0.00075	
	CaCl ₂	0.03	
	CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.00019	
	CuSO ₄	0.00012	
	KI	0.00011	
	$MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$	0.00034	
	$(NH_4)6Mo_7O_{24} \cdot 4H_2O$	0.00019	

Table S1. Related to STAR methods (Strains, media and growth conditions). CDM35 medium composition (final pH 7).

Table S2. Related to Figure 2. Ion annotation for candidate exchange metabolites identified by untargeted metabolomics.

Included separately as Excel file.

Table S3. Related to Figure 3. Metabolites detected in yeast conditioned medium in addition to amino acids.

Metabolite	Concentration, µM		
Putrescine	71		
Phenylpyruvate	0.92		
Pyruvate	149		
2-Oxoglutarate	30		
α -Ketoisocaproic acid	4		

Table S4. Related to the Figure 3, Figure S4, and STAR Methods (Metabolic modeling of community crossfeeding). Amino acid requirements of *Lactococcus lactis* IL1403 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. E-essential; S-stimulatory amino acids

Table S5. Related to the Figure 4. Effect of yeast strains with deletions of TORC1-related genes on LAB growth in CDM35 conditioned medium (relative to the wild type).

neutral		non-viable	increase	decrease
AGPI		PUT2 ARG4	ALTI	DAL81
ARG4	<i>SFA1</i>	ATG5	EGO3	GLN3
<i>ARO10</i>	SIT4	AVTI	GCNI	
ARO80	<i>STP1</i>	AVT4	GTR1	
ARO9	STP2	BAP3	LST4	
ASN1	<i>TOR1</i>	BAT2	PEP ₃	
ASP1		UGAI CANI	URE ₂	
ATGI		UGA2 DAL82		
AVT6	UGA3	DIP ₅		
CAR ₁	VID30	DUR3		
CAR ₂		GRR1		
<i>DALI</i>		<i>GUD1</i>		
DAL ₂		GZF3		
DAL3		HOM2		
DAL4		HOM3		
DAL5		MKS1		
DAL7		NCR1		
DAL80		NCR ₂		
DURI, 2		NPR1		
<i>FPR1</i>		NPR ₂		
GAP1		PDR12		
GAT1		RTG1		
GCN2		RTG3		
GCN4		SCH9		
GLT1		TATI		
<i>GNP1</i>		TAT2		
LEU3		TOD6		
MEP3		UGA4		
MSN ₂		VPS52		
<i>MSN4</i>		YCK1		
PTR ₂		YCK2		
<i>PUTI</i>				

Note: Deletion of *GLN3* reduces the growth of *L. lactis* but not *L. plantarum.*

Table S6. Related to STAR Methods (Quantification of species in communities). Species-specific primers used for quantification of the microorganisms in communities.

Table S7. Related to Figure 5B. Amino acid content of the media used for the varying nitrogen load experiment, as measured by targeted LC-MS. Also included is the yeast growth in the corresponding media.

Table S8. Related to Figure 5C. Amino acid content of 3 different lots of grape juice measured by targeted LC-MS.

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. Related to Figure 1. (A, B) Lactic acid bacteria remain in co-culture with *S. cerevisiae* for over fifty days of daily passaging. Error bars, mean ± s.d., (*n* = 3 technical replicates). Each bar represents a biological replicate. (C, D). Lactic acid bacteria survive in a week-long co-cultivation (without sub-culturing) with yeast but not in monocultures. Error bars, mean \pm s.d., ($n = 3$ independent biological replicates) in all graphs.

Figure S2. Related to STAR Methods. Predictions of exchanged metabolites based on genome-scale metabolic modeling. Manually curated models of the individual species were used to reconstruct community models (see STAR Methods). Dashed line denotes predictions when using automatically reconstructed model for *L. plantarum*. * indicates updated model.

Figure S3. Related to Figure 2. Metabolites produced by *S. cerevisiae* and consumed by lactic acid bacteria (untargeted metabolomics). (A and B) Metabolites consumed by *L. plantarum* and *L. lactis,* respectively. Metabolite dynamics in yeast and LAB cultures are separated by dashed line. Shown are ions with at least two-fold change in both accumulation and decrease. Error bars, mean \pm s.d., $(n=3)$ independent biological replicates).

Figure S4. Related to Figure 3. Effect of yeast conditioned medium collected at different yeast growth stages on LAB growth. (A and B) Black line shows OD_{600} of corresponding yeast culture. Error bars, mean \pm s.d., ($n = 4$) technical replicates).

Figure S5. Related to Figure 3. *L. plantarum* growth in supplemented CDM35 medium. CDM35 was supplemented with: AA – seven amino acids identified in the yeast conditioned medium (in respective concentrations), KG – alpha-ketoglutarate (200 µM), allant – allantoin (200 µM), putr – putrescine (200 µM), ur – urea (250 µM), PP – sodium phenylpyruvate and hydroxy phenylpyruvate (200 µM each) , W- tryptophan (1 mM), F – phenylalanine (2.4 mM), C – cysteine (1.6 mM), la – lipoic acid (6 μ M), asc – ascorbate (2.8 mM), nbnucleobases adenine (80 µM), guanine (36 µM), uracil (200 µM), and xanthine (24 µM), pa – pyridoxamine dihydrochloride (30 µM). Dots represent pooled technical replicates of at least two independent biological replicates.

Figure S6. Related to Figure 3. Metabolites produced by *S. cerevisiae* and consumed by LAB (untargeted metabolomics) in presence of rapamycin. (A and B) Metabolites consumed by *L. plantarum* and *L. lactis,* respectively. Metabolite dynamics in yeast and LAB cultures are separated by dashed line. Shown are ions with at

least two-fold change in both accumulation and decrease. Error bars, mean ± s.d., (*n* = 3 independent biological replicates) in all graphs.

Figure S7. Related to Figure 5. Growth rates and cell death estimates for yeast strains. (A) Growth rate of yeast strains (*ure2Δ, gtr1Δ*, *dal81Δ, gln3Δ* and the wild type) does not correlate with their effect on LAB. (B) Estimation of dead cells using FACS. Shown is the example of raw data for WT cells. Separation of events of live cells (SYTO9 recorded in FITC channel) from dead/membrane-compromised (PI recorded in Cy5 channel). (C) Cell death/damage rate of yeast strains (*ure2Δ, gtr1Δ*, *dal81Δ, gln3Δ* and the wild type) does not correlate with their effect on LAB growth. Dots represent pooled technical replicates from three biological replicates.

Figure S8. Related to Figure 5. Amino acid content in grape juice as compared with CDM35 medium.

Figure S9. Related to Figure 5. Yeast-LAB co-culture does not change grape juice pH after 24 h. The cultures were treated as is described in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Error bars, mean ± s.d., (*n* = 3 independent biological replicates).

Figure S10. Related to Figure 5. *L. plantarum* growth in grape juice in co-culture with selected *S. cerevisiae* knockout mutants and WT treated with rapamycin. Error bars, mean ± s.d. (*n* = 3 independent biological replicates).

Figure S11. Related to STAR Methods. Effect of CDM35 conditioned medium of different natural yeast isolates on the growth of lactic acid bacteria. Pooled technical replicates from three biological replicates. Dashed line indicates bacterial growth in unconditioned CDM35.

CDM-Glucose 2 Days

Figure S12. Related to Figure 5E. Unidirectional interaction between yeast and wild *L. lactis* (kefir) in CDM35 (glucose, 2%). OD₆₀₀ values refer to the seed cultures. *S. cerevisiae* growth is independent of the *L. lactis* (kefir) while the *L. lactis* (kefir) grows in proximity to the yeast colonies. This unidirectional interaction becomes mutualistic when glucose is substituted by lactose (Figure 5E).

Figure S13. Related to Figure 3. Rapamycin does not affect bacterial growth. Shown is the LAB growth in CDM35 and yeast condition media (CM) with (or without) rapamycin at the indicated concentrations. (A) *L. plantarum.* (B) *L. lactis.* Error bars, mean \pm s.d., ($n = 3$ independent biological replicates).

Supplemental References

Aller, K., Adamberg, K., Timarova, V., Seiman, A., Festsenko, D., and Vilu, R. (2014). Nutritional requirements and media development for Lactococcus lactis IL1403. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98, 5871-5881.

Cocaign-Bousquet, M., Garrigues, C., Novak, L., Lindley, N.D., and Loublere, P. (1995). Rational development of a simple synthetic medium for the sustained growth of Lactococcus lactis. Journal of Applied Bacteriology 79, 108-116.

Van Niel, E., and Hahn-Hägerdal, B. (1999). Nutrient requirements of lactococci in defined growth media. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 52, 617-627.

Wegkamp, A., Teusink, B., de Vos, W.M., and Smid, E.J. (2010). Development of a minimal growth medium for Lactobacillus plantarum. Letters in applied microbiology 50, 57-64.

Winzeler, E.A., Shoemaker, D.D., Astromoff, A., Liang, H., Anderson, K., Andre, B., Bangham, R., Benito, R., Boeke, J.D., and Bussey, H. (1999). Functional characterization of the S. cerevisiae genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. science 285, 901-906.

Zhang, G., Mills, D.A., and Block, D.E. (2009). Development of chemically defined media supporting high-cell-density growth of lactococci, enterococci, and streptococci. Applied and environmental microbiology 75, 1080-1087.