Supplementary Fig. 1: Overview of ASCAT analysis results

Aberrant and nonaberrant samples after ASCAT analysis across 12 cancer types, and cases that failed ASCAT analysis. 81 samples (3.6%) failed ASCAT analysis and are not included in this figure and in any subsequent analyses. Of the 2,137 cases that passed ASCAT analysis, 273 (12.8%) showed little to no copy number aberrations, and therefore purity estimates can be considered less accurate. These samples are therefore not included in these plots. However, as we observed clear driver homozygous deletions in known tumour suppressor CDKN2A in 6 of these cases, they are included in all further analyses.



Supplementary Fig. 2: Tumour ploidy and homozygous deletion rate

Scatter plots of tumour ploidy versus (**a**) the number of homozygous deletions or (**b**) the length of homozygous deletions in the tumour, as inferred by ASCAT. Samples were considered tetraploid when they had a ploidy > 2.7 and diploid otherwise (dashed line in (**a**, **c**)). (**c**) Diploid tumours carry a higher number of homozygous deletions than tetraploid ones (Fisher-Pitman permutation test, $p = 4.49 \times 10^{-3}$), while the size distribution (**d**) of the deletions is the same for both (Mann–Whitney *U* test, p = 0.675). (**e**) The frequencies of homozygous deletion at known tumour suppressor loci were not significantly different between diploid and tetraploid tumours, except for *RB1* (Fisher-Boschloo exact unconditional test, $p = 1.01 \times 10^{-3}$).



Supplementary Fig. 3: Homozygous deletions targeting known tumour suppressors

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (**a**) *CDKN2C*, (**b**) *FANCD2* and *VHL*, two known tumour suppressors located close together, (**c**) *FAT1*, (**d**) *CDKN2A* (and *CDKN2B*), evidently the dominant homozygously deleted tumour suppressors, with homozygous deletions across 9 cancer types, (**e**) *TET1*, (**f**) *PTEN*, (**g**) *BIRC3* (and *BIRC2*), (**h**) *BRCA2*, (**i**) *RB1*, predominantly found homozygously deleted in sarcoma, (**j**) *CYLD*, homozygously deleted specifically in multiple myelomas, (**k**) *CDH1*, (**l**) *TP53*, (**m**) *MAP2K4*, (**n**) *NF1* and (**o**) *SMARCB1*, homozygously deleted specifically in brain tumours.

























Supplementary Fig. 4: Homozygous deletions targeting T-cell receptor and immunoglobulin loci

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (**a**) T cell receptor alpha locus, (**b**) immunoglobulin heavy chain locus and (**c**) immunoglobulin light chain locus. Homozygous deletions identified in these loci represent somatic homozygous losses in precursors of normal T and B lymphocytes that later developed into tumour cells. These homozygous deletions *per se* most likely do not play a role in oncogenesis.

o No	nsense	D ESS	SPLICE	♦ Fra	meshift indel	⊽ Inf	rame indel
	Breast		Ovary		Colon		Liver
	Kidney		Lung		Brain		Oesophage
	Sarcoma		Myeloma		Leukaemia		Lymphoma
	Others						







Supplementary Fig. 5: Homozygous deletions targeting 15 known fragile sites

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (a) FRA1H, (b) FRA2F, (c) FRA3B, (d) FRA6A, (e) FRA6H, (f) FRA6D, (g) FRA6F, (h) FRA10F, (i) FRA12C, (j) FRA16B, (k) FRA16D, (l) FRA17A, (m) FRA19A, (n) FRAXB, (o) FRAXC. FRA16B contains known tumour suppressor *CDH1* (**Supplementary Fig. 2h**), specifically homozygously deleted in lung cancer, and FRA17A contains known tumour suppressor *MAP2K4* (**Supplementary Fig. 2j**), most often homozygously deleted in breast cancer.

o No	nsense	D ESS	SPLICE	♦ Fra	meshift indel	⊽ Inf	rame indel
	Breast		Ovary		Colon		Liver
	Kidney		Lung		Brain		Oesophage
	Sarcoma		Myeloma		Leukaemia		Lymphoma
	Others						























Supplementary Fig. 6: Homozygous deletions targeting 24 predicted fragile sites

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (**a**) chr2:61.83-61.96, (**b**) chr3:73.00-73.04, (**c**) chr3:116.69-116.83, (**d**) chr4:78.17-78.21, (**e**) chr6:18.17-18.21, (**f**) chr6:40.56-40.61, (**g**) chr8:3.32-3.39, (**h**) chr8:6.38-6.43, (**i**) chr8:98.84-98.85, (**j**) chr9:121.64-121.65, (**k**) chr9:133.39-133.62, (**l**) chr10:12.21-12.46, (**m**) chr13:28.25-28.26, (**n**) chr14:26.40-28.04, (**o**) chr14:96.22-96.22, (**p**) chr15:50.66-51.11, (**q**) chr16:6.74-6.76, (**r**) chr16:9.40-9.40, (**s**) chr16:10.07-10.08, (**t**) chr16:70.81-71.58, (**u**) chr19:9.06-9.07, (**v**) chr20:15.08-15.11, (**w**) chrX:3.22-4.12, (**x**) chrX:9.10-10.97.

o No	nsense	D ESS	SPLICE	♦ Fra	meshift indel	⊽ Inf	rame indel
	Breast		Ovary		Colon		Liver
	Kidney		Lung		Brain		Oesophage
	Sarcoma		Myeloma		Leukaemia		Lymphoma
	Others						













q













Supplementary Fig. 7: Homozygous deletions targeting 9 unstable (sub)telomeres

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (**a**) chr2:0.92-0.94, (**b**) chr6:170.76-170.91, (**c**) chr7:158.91-159.13, (**d**) chr8:0.42-0.78, (**e**) chr9:0.76-0.88, (**f**) chr13:113.09-115.05, (**g**) chr17:80.94-81.01, (**h**) chr18:76.71-77.80, (**i**) chrX:0.10-1.





a







Supplementary Fig. 8: Homozygous deletions targeting 6 regions showing signatures of positive selection but without a clear target gene

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (a) chr4:99.06-99.14, (b) chr4:182.34-182.70, (c) chr8:34.29-34.30, (d) chr13:20.34-20.45, (e) chr13:39.54-39.54, (f) chr17:0.01-0.05.







d

Supplementary Fig. 9: Homozygous deletions targeting 27 candidate tumour suppressors

Positions of genes are indicated (black lines), as well as truncating mutations annotated in COSMIC, coloured according to tumour type and with symbols according to mutation type (nonsense, essential splice site, frame-shift insertion or deletion, in-frame insertion or deletion). When multiple somatic mutations in the same tumour type are annotated close together in COSMIC, their numbers are shown. Array probe positions are depicted below the genes. Homozygous deletions are shown as bold lines and small hemizygous deletions as dotted lines, both coloured according to tumour type. (**a**) *CASP9*, (**b**) *ARHGEF10L*, (**c**) *IGF2BP2*, (**d**) *N4BP*, (**e**) *HELQ* and *FAM175A*, (**f**) *CASP3*, (**g**) *LINC01060*, (**h**) *PDE4D*, (**i**) *RAD17*, (**j**) *ARHGEF10*, (**k**) *LEPROTL1*, (**l**) *PTPRD*, (**m**) *KAT6B*, (**n**) *CPEB3*, (**o**) *MGMT*, (**p**) *KIAA1551*, (**q**) *USP44*, (**r**) *SETD1B*, (**s**) *LINC00375*, (**t**) *GPC5*, (**u**) *SOX21*, (**v**) *BAZ1A*, (**w**) *MIDEAS* (**x**) *RFWD3*, (**y**) *MAFTRR* and (**z**) *LINC00662*.

o No	nsense	D ESS	SPLICE	♦ Fra	meshift indel	⊽ Infi	rame indel
	Breast		Ovary		Colon		Liver
	Kidney		Lung		Brain		Oesophage
	Sarcoma		Myeloma		Leukaemia		Lymphoma
	Others						



b ARHGEF10L



c IGF2BP2





e HELQ/FAM175A







l PTPRD









 \mathbf{v} BAZ1A







Supplementary Table 1: Overview of studies included in our compendium

Cancer type	Study	Number of samples
	Haverty et al., 2008 ¹	46
	Kadota <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ²	39
Breast cancer	Hawthorn <i>et al.</i> , 2010^3	19
	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	92
	Haverty <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ⁵	29
Ovarian cancer	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	96
	Wertz <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ⁶	16
	Firestein <i>et al.</i> , 2008 ⁷	121
Colorectal cancer	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	8
Hepatocellular	Chiang et al., 2008 ⁸	100
carcinoma	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	7
Denelsensen	Beroukhim et al., 2009 ⁹	66
Renal cancer	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	10
	Weir <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ¹⁰	167
Lung cancer	Bass <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ¹¹	46
	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	207
	Northcott <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ¹²	120
Tumours of the	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ¹³	37
brain or nervous system	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ¹⁴	26
	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	141

Oesophageal	Bass <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ¹¹	28				
cancer	Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ¹⁵	30				
	Barretina <i>et al.</i> , 2010^{16}	206				
Sarcoma	Christensen et al., 2010 ¹⁷	23				
	Beroukhim <i>et al.</i> , 2010^4	19				
Multiple myslome	Avet-Loiseau et al., 2009 ¹⁸	192				
Muniple myeloma	Walker <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ¹⁹	30				
	Paulsson <i>et al.</i> , 2008 ²⁰	45				
	Tosello <i>et al.</i> , 2009^{21}	9				
Leukaemia	Bullinger <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ²²	67				
	Lilljebjörn <i>et al.</i> , 2010 ²³	23				
	Green <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ²⁴	10				
	Kato <i>et al.</i> , 2009 ²⁵	17				
Lymphoma	Hartmann <i>et al.</i> , 2010^{26}	47				
Lymphoma	Scholtysik <i>et al.</i> , 2010^{27}	39				
	Green <i>et al.</i> , 2011 ²⁴	40				
Total unique primary cancer samples2218						

Supplementary Table 2

Peaks of homozygous deletions over established tumour suppressors, T-cell receptor and immunoglobulin regions, known (named) fragile sites, predicted intrachrosomsomal fragile sites, telomeric regions showing increased genomic instability, candidate tumour suppressors and regions showing a signature of positive selection for homozygous deletions but without a clear target gene. Each region's genomic position is shown, the number of homozygous deletions (HDs), a *p*-value (and multiple testingcorrected *q*-value) indicating the probability that the enrichment in homozygous deletions is due to increased genomic instability (rather than due to positive selection), the established or candidate target tumour suppressor gene or fragile site name and a reference to the supplementary figure showing that region.

Known tumour suppressors

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)	Tumour suppressor	Supp Fig.
chr1:51.58-53.53	4	4.16 x 10 ⁻² (7.42 x 10 ⁻²)	CDKN2C	3a
chr3:10.18-10.20	6	0.229 (0.293)	FANCD2/VHL	3b
chr4:187.75-187.90	4	2.57 x 10 ⁻⁵ (2.82 x 10 ⁻⁴)	FAT1	3c
chr9:22.02-22.02	108	$1.30 \ge 10^{-3} (4.38 \ge 10^{-3})$	CDKN2A	3d
			(/CDKN2B) ^a	
chr10:70.05-70.95	8	7.37 x 10 ⁻⁵ (6.09 x 10 ⁻⁴)	TET1	3e
chr10:89.74-89.83	16	6.05 x 10 ⁻⁹ (5.51 x 10 ⁻⁷)	PTEN	3f
chr11:101.95-102.05	6	4.87 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.85 x 10 ⁻³)	BIRC3	3g
			(/BIRC2) ^a	
chr13:32.92-33.05	4	3.18 x 10 ⁻⁸ (1.45 x 10 ⁻⁶)	BRCA2	3h
chr13:49.04-49.09	23	1.52 x 10 ⁻⁷ (4.61 x 10 ⁻⁶)	RB1	3i
chr16:50.72-50.94	5	2.00 x 10 ⁻³ (6.11 x 10 ⁻³)	CYLD	3j
chr16:68.64-69.95	6	9.46 x 10 ⁻³ (2.39 x 10 ⁻²)	CDH1 ^b	3k
chr17:7.58-7.58	4	2.08 x 10 ⁻² (4.21 x 10 ⁻²)	<i>TP53</i>	31
chr17:11.96-12.09	6	3.78 x 10 ⁻³ (1.07 x 10 ⁻²)	MAP2K4 ^b	3m
chr17:29.55-29.83	4	2.02 x 10 ⁻³ (6.11 x 10 ⁻³)	NF1	3n
chr22:24.19-24.48	6	3.29 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.50 x 10 ⁻³)	SMARCB1	30

T-cell receptor and immunoglobulin loci

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)	Locus	Supp. Fig.
chr14:22.35-22.45	5	0.218 (0.288)	TCRA	4a
chr14:106.97-107.25	8	2.79 x 10 ⁻⁵ (2.82 x 10 ⁻⁴)	IGH	4b
chr22:22.91-23.14	18	2.53 x 10 ⁻² (4.95 x 10 ⁻²)	IGL	4c

Known (named) fragile sites

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)	Fragile site	Supp. Fig.
chr1:214.97-214.97	17	0.445 (0.512)	FRA1H	5a
chr2:141.94-142.08	6	0.529 (0.587)	FRA2F	5b
chr3:60.41-60.45	7	0.823 (0.871)	FRA3B	5c
chr6:14.59-14.61	5	0.629 (0.69)	FRA6A	5d
chr6:33.06-33.07	6	0.233 (0.295)	FRA6H	5e
chr6:74.11-74.32	6	0.197 (0.268)	FRA6D	5f
chr6:109.59-109.59	6	0.153 (0.224)	FRA6F	5g
chr10:123.60-123.68	4	0.227 (0.293)	FRA10F	5h
chr12:114.60-114.63	7	0.852 (0.891)	FRA12C	5i
chr16:68.64-69.95	6	9.46 x 10 ⁻³ (2.39 x 10 ⁻²)	FRA16B ^b	5j
chr16:78.72-78.94	5	0.272 (0.335)	FRA16D	5k
chr17:11.96-12.09	6	3.78 x 10 ⁻³ (1.07 x 10 ⁻²)	FRA17A ^b	51
chr19:31.87-31.89	4	0.407 (0.475)	FRA19A	5m
chrX:6.89-7.07	1	1 ^c	FRAXB	5n
chrX:32.81-33.16	1	1 ^c	FRAXC	50

Predicted intrachromosomal fragile sites

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)		Supp. Fig.
chr2:61.83-61.96	6	4.85 x 10 ⁻² (8.48 x 10 ⁻²)		6a
chr3:73.00-73.04	4	5.6 x 10 ⁻² (9.52 x 10 ⁻²)		6b
chr3:116.69-116.83	4	0.197 (0.268)		бс
chr4:78.17-78.21	5	0.143 (0.22)		6d
chr6:18.17-18.21	4	0.213 (0.285)		6e
chr6:40.56-40.61	5	0.907 (0.928)		6f
chr8:3.32-3.39	6	6.45 x 10 ⁻² (0.107)	d	6g
chr8:6.38-6.43	2	3.9 x 10 ⁻² (7.10 x 10 ⁻²)	d	6h
chr8:98.84-98.85	8	0.458 (0.521)		6i
chr9:121.64-121.65	8	0.355 (0.425)		6ј
chr9:133.39-133.62	4	0.166 (0.239)		бk
chr10:12.21-12.46	6	3.33 x 10 ⁻² (6.32 x 10 ⁻²)		61
chr13:28.25-28.26	5	9.53 x 10 ⁻² (0.155)		6m
chr14:26.40-28.04	4	0.150 (0.224)		6n
chr14:96.22-96.22	4	0.758 (0.812)		60
chr15:50.66-51.11	6	0.151 (0.224)		6р
chr16:6.74-6.76	7	0.918 (0.929)		6q
chr16:9.40-9.40	4	0.406 (0.475)		бr
chr16:10.07-10.08	5	0.964 (0.964)		<u>6</u> s
chr16:70.81-71.58	4	0.140 (0.219)		6t
chr19:9.06-9.07	4	0.520 (0.585)		би
chr20:15.08-15.11	8	0.168 (0.239)		6v
chrX:3.22-4.12	3	1 ^c		6w
chrX:9.10-10.97	1	0.742 ^c		6x

Telomeric regions showing increased instability

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)		Supp. Fig.
chr2:0.92-0.94	5	0.900 (0.928)		7a
chr6:170.76-170.91	4	0.140 (0.219)		7b
chr7:158.91-159.13	5	0.248 (0.309)		7c
chr8:0.42-0.78	8	5.65 x 10 ⁻² (9.52 x 10 ⁻²)	d	7d
chr9:0.76-0.88	5	0.680 (0.736)		7e
chr13:113.09-115.05	5	3.62 x 10 ⁻² (6.72 x 10 ⁻²)		7f
chr17:80.94-81.01	6	0.331 (0.401)		7g
chr18:76.71-77.80	4	0.176 (0.247)		7h
chrX:0.10-1	4	0.167 ^c		7i

Regions showing a signature of positive selection for homozygous deletions but without a clear target gene

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)		Supp. Fig.
chr4:99.06-99.14	5	9.11 x 10 ⁻⁵ (6.91 x 10 ⁻⁴)	unknown	8a
chr4:182.34-182.70	4	1.68 x 10 ⁻³ (5.47 x 10 ⁻³)	intergenic	8b
chr8:34.29-34.30	9	2.28 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	intergenic	8c
chr13:20.34-20.45	4	3.9 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.66 x 10 ⁻³)	unknown	8d
chr13:39.54-39.54	6	1.83 x 10 ⁻² (3.97 x 10 ⁻²)	unknown	8e
chr17:0.01-0.05	4	2.08 x 10 ⁻³ (6.11 x 10 ⁻³)	unknown	8f

Candidate tumour suppressors

Peak region	# of HDs	<i>p</i> -value (<i>q</i> -value)		Sup. Fig.
chr1:15.90-15.92	7	4.39 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.74 x 10 ⁻³)	CASP9	9a
chr1:17.58-17.63	4	1.99 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	ARHGEF10L	9b
chr3:185.44-185.53	4	1.85 x 10 ⁻⁶ (3.37 x 10 ⁻⁵)	IGF2BP2	9c
chr4:39.08-39.15	12	8.87 x 10 ⁻⁴ (3.23 x 10 ⁻³)	N4BP2	9d
chr4:83.68-83.68	4	1.04 x 10 ⁻⁶ (2.36 x 10 ⁻⁵)	HELQ/FAM175A	9e
chr4:185.60-185.65	4	2.43 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	CASP3	9f
chr4:189.47-190.50	5	1.04 x 10 ⁻² (2.56 x 10 ⁻²)	LINC01060	9g
chr5:58.41-58.41	4	4.00 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.66 x 10 ⁻³)	PDE4D ^e	9h
chr5:68.40-68.69	6	9.32 x 10 ⁻⁴ (3.26 x 10 ⁻³)	RAD17	9i
chr8:1.77-1.94	8	4.68 x 10 ⁻⁶ (7.09 x 10 ⁻⁵)	ARHGEF10 ^d	9j
chr8:29.97-29.98	4	1.63 x 10 ⁻² (3.72 x 10 ⁻²)	LEPROTL1	9k
chr9:9.42-9.64	5	7.36 x 10 ⁻³ (1.97 x 10 ⁻²)	PTPRD	91
chr10:76.72-76.81	5	3.59 x 10 ⁻⁵ (3.27 x 10 ⁻⁴)	KAT6B	9m
chr10:93.99-94.03	5	1.91 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	CPEB3	9n
chr10:131.42-131.49	5	1.74 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	MGMT	90
chr12:32.15-32.24	5	1.29 x 10 ⁻² (3.01 x 10 ⁻²)	KIAA1551	9p
chr12:95.88-96.27	4	2.01 x 10 ⁻² (4.16 x 10 ⁻²)	USP44	9q
chr12:122.30-122.37	6	2.21 x 10 ⁻⁵ (2.82 x 10 ⁻⁴)	SETD1B	9r
chr13:85.51-85.66	4	1.81 x 10 ⁻² (3.97 x 10 ⁻²)	LINC00375	9s
chr13:92.45-92.45	10	2.16 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	GPC5	9t
chr13:95.39-95.46	4	2.35 x 10 ⁻⁴ (1.16 x 10 ⁻³)	SOX21	9u
chr14:35.09-35.32	6	1.94 x 10 ⁻² (4.11 x 10 ⁻²)	BAZIA	9v
chr14:74.07-74.55	4	2.55 x 10 ⁻² (4.95 x 10 ⁻²)	MIDEAS	9w
chr16:74.65-74.69	5	4.65 x 10 ⁻³ (1.28 x 10 ⁻²)	RFWD3	9x
chr16:79.80-79.80	4	7.58 x 10 ⁻³ (1.97 x 10 ⁻²)	MAFTRR	9y
chr19:28.14-28.15	6	1.18 x 10 ⁻² (2.83 x 10 ⁻²)	LINC00662	9z

^a*CDKN2B* and *BIRC2* are candidate tumour suppressor genes with a high level of evidence. They are always lost together with *CDKN2A* and *BIRC3*, respectively, and likely contribute to positive selection of the homozygous deletions.

^bKnown fragile sites that contain a known tumour suppressor are shown twice in the table.

 ^{c}p -values for regions on the X chromosome derive from testing tumour-type specificity in females only.

^dThe region 1-8Mb on chromosome 8 contains 4 peaks. In order to separate the effects of the different regions, 5 large homozygous deletions (> 5 Mb) overlapping all four peaks are not included in the counts input into the statistical model to separate tumour suppressors from fragile sites.

ePDE4D shows intragenic homozygous deletions, suggesting these deletions may be gain-of-function rather than loss-of-function mutations.

Supplementary References

- Haverty, P. M. *et al.* High-resolution genomic and expression analyses of copy number alterations in breast tumors. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 47, 530-542 (2008).
- Kadota, M. *et al.* Identification of novel gene amplifications in breast cancer and coexistence of gene amplification with an activating mutation of PIK3CA.
 Cancer Res 69, 7357-7365 (2009).
- 3 Hawthorn, L., Luce, J., Stein, L. & Rothschild, J. Integration of transcript expression, copy number and LOH analysis of infiltrating ductal carcinoma of the breast. *BMC Cancer* 10, 460 (2010).
- 4 Beroukhim, R. *et al.* The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. *Nature* **463**, 899-905 (2010).
- 5 Haverty, P. M., Hon, L. S., Kaminker, J. S., Chant, J. & Zhang, Z. Highresolution analysis of copy number alterations and associated expression changes in ovarian tumors. *BMC Med Genomics* **2**, 21 (2009).
- 6 Wertz, I. E. *et al.* Sensitivity to antitubulin chemotherapeutics is regulated by MCL1 and FBW7. *Nature* **471**, 110-114 (2011).
- 7 Firestein, R. *et al.* CDK8 is a colorectal cancer oncogene that regulates betacatenin activity. *Nature* **455**, 547-551 (2008).
- 8 Chiang, D. Y. *et al.* Focal gains of VEGFA and molecular classification of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **68**, 6779-6788 (2008).
- 9 Beroukhim, R. *et al.* Patterns of gene expression and copy-number alterations in von-hippel lindau disease-associated and sporadic clear cell carcinoma of the kidney. *Cancer Res* **69**, 4674-4681 (2009).
- Weir, B. A. *et al.* Characterizing the cancer genome in lung adenocarcinoma.
 Nature 450, 893-898 (2007).
- 11 Bass, A. J. *et al.* SOX2 is an amplified lineage-survival oncogene in lung and esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1238-1242 (2009).
- 12 Northcott, P. A. *et al.* Multiple recurrent genetic events converge on control of histone lysine methylation in medulloblastoma. *Nat Genet* **41**, 465-472 (2009).
- Li, M. *et al.* Frequent amplification of a chr19q13.41 microRNA polycistron in aggressive primitive neuroectodermal brain tumors. *Cancer Cell* 16, 533-546 (2009).

- 14 Chen, R. *et al.* A hierarchy of self-renewing tumor-initiating cell types in glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell* **17**, 362-375 (2010).
- 15 Yang, H. H. *et al.* Influence of genetic background and tissue types on global DNA methylation patterns. *PLoS One* **5**, e9355 (2010).
- 16 Barretina, J. *et al.* Subtype-specific genomic alterations define new targets for soft-tissue sarcoma therapy. *Nat Genet* **42**, 715-721 (2010).
- Christensen, B. C. *et al.* Integrated profiling reveals a global correlation
 between epigenetic and genetic alterations in mesothelioma. *Cancer Res* 70, 5686-5694 (2010).
- 18 Avet-Loiseau, H. *et al.* Prognostic significance of copy-number alterations in multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol* 27, 4585-4590 (2009).
- Walker, B. A. *et al.* A compendium of myeloma-associated chromosomal copy number abnormalities and their prognostic value. *Blood* 116, e56-65 (2010).
- 20 Paulsson, K. *et al.* Microdeletions are a general feature of adult and adolescent acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Unexpected similarities with pediatric disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 6708-6713 (2008).
- 21 Tosello, V. *et al.* WT1 mutations in T-ALL. *Blood* **114**, 1038-1045 (2009).
- 22 Bullinger, L. *et al.* Identification of acquired copy number alterations and uniparental disomies in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia using high-resolution single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis. *Leukemia* 24, 438-449 (2010).
- Lilljebjorn, H. *et al.* The correlation pattern of acquired copy number changes in 164 ETV6/RUNX1-positive childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemias.
 Hum Mol Genet 19, 3150-3158 (2010).
- 24 Green, M. R. *et al.* Integrative genomic profiling reveals conserved genetic mechanisms for tumorigenesis in common entities of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **50**, 313-326 (2011).
- Kato, M. *et al.* Frequent inactivation of A20 in B-cell lymphomas. *Nature* 459, 712-716 (2009).
- Hartmann, S. *et al.* High resolution SNP array genomic profiling of peripheral T cell lymphomas, not otherwise specified, identifies a subgroup with chromosomal aberrations affecting the REL locus. *Br J Haematol* 148, 402-412 (2010).

 Scholtysik, R. *et al.* Detection of genomic aberrations in molecularly defined Burkitt's lymphoma by array-based, high resolution, single nucleotide polymorphism analysis. *Haematologica* **95**, 2047-2055 (2010).