## Character-based DNA barcoding for authentication and conservation of IUCN Red listed threatened species of genus *Decalepis* (Apocynaceae)

Priyanka Mishra<sup>1</sup>, Amit Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Sivaraman Gokul<sup>1</sup>, Ashutosh K. Shukla<sup>2</sup>, Kaliamoorthy Ravikumar<sup>3</sup>, Adrian Slater<sup>4</sup> & Velusamy Sundaresan<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant Biology and Systematics, CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Research Center, Allalsandra, GKVK Post, Bengaluru - 560065, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup> Biotechnology Division, CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, P.O.

CIMAP, Lucknow - 226015, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup> School of Conservation, TransDisciplinary University, 74/2, Jarakabande Kaval, Post

Attur, Via Yelahanka, Bangalore - 560064, Karnataka, India

<sup>4</sup> Biomolecular Technology Group, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, De Montfort University, Leicester, LE1 9BH, UK

\* Correspondence: Dr. V. Sundaresan Senior Scientist Plant Biology and Systematics CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Research Center, Bengaluru - 560065, Karnataka, India Tel: +91 – 80 – 22746956; Fax: +91 – 80 – 28564707 vsundaresan@cimap.res.in

CIMAP Communication Number: CIMAP/PUB/2016/85

## **Supplementary information**

**Figure S1** Morphological view of: (a - b) *Decalepis arayalpathra* fresh roots in wild (c) Raw drug samples of *D. arayalpathra* roots in markets (d) *D. hamiltonii* fresh roots in wild (e) *D. hamiltonii* dried roots in wild (f) Raw drug samples of *D. hamiltonii* roots in markets (g) *D. salicifolia* fresh roots in wild (h) *Hemidesmus indicus* fresh roots in wild (i) Raw drug samples of *H. indicus* roots in markets.

**Figure S2** Relative distribution of intra-specific and inter-specific distances for all the single and combination of favourable barcodes in *Decalepis*; x-axes relate to K2P distances arranged in intervals, and the y-axes correspond to the percentage of occurrences.

**Figure S3** Maximum parsimony trees constructed using candidate barcode markers showing species resolution rates in terms of single locus. Bootstrap support values below 70% are not shown.

**Table S1** Primers used for amplification and sequencing for DNA barcoding of *Decalepis* species.

**Table S2** Mean and maximum intra-specific and nearest neighbor (NN) distance for all the species using candidate barcodes.

**Table S3** Genetic distance (%) measured using Kimura 2-parameter model for the candidate barcode loci and their combinations.

**Table S4** Identification success rates based on analysis of the 'Best Match', 'Best Close Match' and 'All Species Barcodes' function of TaxonDNA software for each DNA barcoding marker and its combinations.







Table S1. Primers used for amplification and sequencing for DNA barcoding of *Decalepis* species.

Region	Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')	Thermal cycling conditions	Mean size	Reference
rhal	1F	5'-ATGTCACCACAAACAGAAAC-3'	95°C 2 min; [35 cycles: 94°C 1 min;		
TDCL	724R	5'-TCGCATGTACCTGCAGTAGC-3'	55°C 30 s; 72°C 1 min]; 72°C 7 min	715 bp	25
	390F	5'-CGATCTATTCATTCAATATTTC-3'	95°C 2 min; [30 cycles: 94°C 1 min;		
matK	1326R	5'-TCTAGCACACGAAAGTCGAAGT-3'	48°C 30 s; 72°C 1 min]; 72°C 7 min	856 bp	70
psbA-	<i>psbA</i> F	5'-GTTATGCATGAACGTAATGCTC-3	94°C 5 min; [35 cycles: 94°C 1 min;		
trnH	<i>trnH</i> R	5'-CGCGCATGGTGGATTCACAATCC-3'	55°C 30 s; 72°C 1.5 min]; 72°C 7 min	400 bp	71
ITC	ITS5a	5'-CCTTATCATTTAGAGGAAGGAG-3'	94°C 5 min; [30 cycles: 94°C 1 min;		
115	ITS4	5'- ATGCGATACTTGGTGTGAAT -3'	50°C 1 min; 72°C 1.5 min]; 72°C 7 min	704 bp	25
1752	S2F	5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'	94°C 5 min; [40 cycles: 94°C 30 sec;		
1152	S3R	5'- GACGCTTCTCCAGACTACAAT-3'	56°C 30 sec; 72°C 45 sec]; 72°C 10 min	450 bp	53

Barcode	Species	Mean intra- specific distance	Max intra- specific distance	Nearest Neighbour	Distance to NN	
rbcL	Decalepis arayalpathra	0.48	1.19	D. salicifolia	0	
	Decalepis hamiltonii	0.51	0.89	D. salicifolia	0	
	Decalepis salicifolia	0.57	1.34	D. arayalpathra	0	
	Hemidesmus indicus	0.89	0.89	D. salicifolia	0.15	
matK	Decalepis arayalpathra	0	0	D. hamiltonii	0.8	
	Decalepis hamiltonii	0.05	0.13	H. indicus	0.4	
	Decalepis salicifolia	0.11	0.27	D. hamiltonii	0.67	
	Hemidesmus indicus	0	0	D. hamiltonii	0.4	
psbA-trnH	Decalepis arayalpathra	0.97	1.62	D. salicifolia	0.8	
	Decalepis hamiltonii	102	1.16	D. salicifolia	0.8	
	Decalepis salicifolia	1.63	4.11	D. hamiltonii	0.8	
	Hemidesmus indicus	0.53	0.53	D. hamiltonii	0.8	
ITS	Decalepis arayalpathra	0	0	D. salicifolia	2.47	
	Decalepis hamiltonii	0.12	0.3	D. salicifolia	1.37	
	Decalepis salicifolia	0.15	0.3	D. hamiltonii	1.37	
	Hemidesmus indicus	2.15	2.15	D. arayalpathra	11.67	
ITS2	Decalepis arayalpathra	0.1	0.25	D. hamiltonii	3.08	
	Decalepis hamiltonii	0.6	1.27	D. salicifolia	2.03	
	Decalepis salicifolia	1.57	2.29	D. hamiltonii	2.03	
	Hemidesmus indicus	0	0	D. arayalpathra	10.69	

Table S2. Mean and maximum intra-specific and nearest neighbor (NN) distance for all the species using candidate barcodes.

## Table S3. Genetic distance (%) measured using Kimura 2-parameter model for the candidate barcode loci and their combinations.

Barcode locus/loci	Range of Intra-specific distances (±S.D.)	Range of Inter-specific distances (±S.D.)
rbcL	$0.004 (\pm 0.001) - 0.008 (\pm 0.003)$	$0.004 (\pm 0.001) - 0.009 (\pm 0.003)$
matK	$0.000 (\pm 0.000) - 0.001 (\pm 0.000)$	0.004 (±0.002) - 0.013 (±0.004)
psbA-trnH	$0.005 (\pm 0.003) - 0.016 (\pm 0.004)$	$0.015 (\pm 0.005) - 0.021 (\pm 0.006)$
ITS	$0.000(\pm 0.000) - 0.215(\pm 0.005)$	$0.015 (\pm 0.004) - 0.132 (\pm 0.015)$
ITS2	$0.000 (\pm 0.000) - 0.015 (\pm 0.004)$	$0.025 (\pm 0.006) - 0.122 (\pm 0.019)$
rbcL+ matK	$0.002 (\pm 0.000) - 0.004 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.006(\pm 0.002) - 0.009(\pm 0.002)$
rbcL+ psbA-trnH	$0.006 (\pm 0.001) - 0.009 (\pm 0.001)$	0.010 (±0.002) - 0.013 (±0.003)
rbcL+ITS	$0.002 (\pm 0.000) - 0.015 (\pm 0.003)$	0.011 (±0.002) - 0.066 (±0.007)
rbcL+ITS2	$0.003 (\pm 0.001) - 0.009 (\pm 0.002)$	0.013 (±0.003) - 0.047 (±0.006)
matK+ psbA-trnH	$0.001 (\pm 0.001) - 0.006 (\pm 0.001)$	0.008 (±0.002) - 0.015 (±0.003)
matK+ITS	$0.000(\pm 0.000) - 0.009(\pm 0.002)$	$0.011 (\pm 0.003) - 0.061 (\pm 0.006)$
matK+ITS2	$0.000(\pm 0.000) - 0.006(\pm 0.001)$	0.013 (±0.003) - 0.043 (±0.006)
psbA-trnH+ITS	0.003 (±0.001) - 0.015 (±0.004)	0.017 (±0.003) - 0.087 (±0.009)
psbA-trnH+ITS2	$0.002 (\pm 0.001) - 0.015 (\pm 0.003)$	0.023 (±0.004) - 0.067 (±0.009)
ITS+ITS2	0.000 (±0.000) - 0.013 (±0.003)	0.019 (±0.004) - 0.128 (±0.011)
rbcL+ matK+ psbA-trnH	0.003 (±0.000) – 0.005 (0.001)	0.008 (±0.002) - 0.011 (±0.002)
rbcL+ matK+ITS	0.001 (±0.000) - 0.009 (±0.002)	$0.010(\pm 0.002) - 0.044(\pm 0.004)$
rbcL+ matK+ITS2	0.001 (±0.000) - 0.005 (±0.001)	0.011 (±0.002) - 0.030 (±0.004)
rbcL+ psbA-trnH+ITS	0.003 (±0.000) - 0.012 (±0.002)	$0.013 (\pm 0.002) - 0.054 (\pm 0.005)$
rbcL+ psbA-trnH+ITS2	$0.004 (\pm 0.001) - 0.011 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.015 (\pm 0.003) - 0.039 (\pm 0.005)$
rbcL+ITS+ITS2	0.002 (±0.000) - 0.011 (±0.002)	0.014 (±0.002) - 0.078 (±0.006)
matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS	0.002 (±0.000) - 0.009 (±0.002)	$0.013 (\pm 0.002) - 0.052 (\pm 0.005)$
matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS2	$0.001 (\pm 0.000) - 0.008 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.015 (\pm 0.002) - 0.038 (\pm 0.005)$
matK+ITS+ITS2	$0.000(\pm 0.000) - 0.007(\pm 0.001)$	$0.014(\pm 0.003) - 0.074(\pm 0.007)$
psbA-trnH+ITS+ITS2	0.002 (±0.000) - 0.011 (±0.003)	$0.019(\pm 0.003) - 0.096(\pm 0.008)$
rbcL+ matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS	$0.002 (\pm 0.000) - 0.008 (\pm 0.002)$	0.011 (±0.002) - 0.040 (±0.004)
rbcL+ matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS2	$0.003 (\pm 0.000) - 0.007 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.013 (\pm 0.002) - 0.029 (\pm 0.003)$
rbcL+ psbA-trnH+ITS+ITS2	0.003 (±0.000) - 0.010 (±0.002)	$0.015(\pm 0.002) - 0.066(\pm 0.006)$
rbcL+ matK+ITS+ITS2	$0.001 (\pm 0.000) - 0.008 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.012 (\pm 0.002) - 0.055 (\pm 0.004)$
matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS+ITS2	$0.001 (\pm 0.000) - 0.007 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.015(\pm 0.002) - 0.063(\pm 0.005)$
rbcL+ matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS+ITS2	$0.002 (\pm 0.000) - 0.007 (\pm 0.001)$	$0.013 (\pm 0.002) - 0.050 (\pm 0.004)$

Barcode locus/loci	Threshold (%)	%) Best match (%)			Best close match (%)				All species barcode (%)
		Successfully identified (%)	Ambiguous (%)	Misidentified (%)	Successfully identified (%)	Ambiguous (%)	Misidentified (%)	No match (%)	
rbcL	1.34	3 (17.64)	10 (58.52)	4 (23.52)	3 (17.64)	10 (58.52)	4 (23.52)	0 (0.00)	0.00
matK	0.25	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	76.47
psbA-trnH	4.09	16 (94.11)	0 (0.00)	1(5.88)	16 (94.11)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.88)	0 (0.00)	17.64
ITS	2.15	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
ITS2	2.27	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	58.82
rbcL+ matK	0.76	14 (82.35)	1 (5.88)	2 (11.76)	14 (82.35)	1 (5.88)	2 (11.76)	0 (0.00)	5.88
rbcL+ psbA-trnH	1.73	13 (76.47)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.52)	13 (76.47)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.52)	0 (0.00)	11.76
rbcL+ITS	1.50	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
rbcL+ITS2	1.69	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	64.7
matK+ psbA-trnH	1.34	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	13 (76.47)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.52)	0 (0.00)	64.7
matK+ITS	0.99	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
matK+ITS2	0.95	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
psbA-trnH+ITS	1.64	14 (82.35)	1 (5.88)	2 (11.76)	14 (82.35)	1 (5.88)	2 (11.76)	0 (0.00)	82.35
psbA-trnH+ITS2	3.16	13 (76.47)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.52)	13 (76.47)	0 (0.00)	4 (23.52)	0 (0.00)	58.82
ITS+ITS2	1.33	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0(0.00)	15 (88.23)	0(0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
rbcL+ matK+ psbA-trnH	1.00	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	47.05
rbcL+ matK+ITS	0.95	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
rbcL+ matK+ITS2	0.99	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	76.47
rbcL+ psbA-trnH+ITS	1.28	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
rbcL+ psbA-trnH+ITS2	1.66	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	47.05
rbcL+ITS+ITS2	1.15	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS	0.94	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
matK+ psbA-trnH+ITS2	1.58	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	82.35
matK+ITS+ITS2	0.76	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
psbA-trnH+ITS+ITS2	1.83	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	82.35
rbcL+ matK+ psbA- trnH+ITS	0.88	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0(0.00)	0(0.00)	2(11.76)	88.23

Table S4. Identification success rates based on analysis of the 'Best match', 'Best close match' and 'All species barcodes' function of TaxonDNA software for each DNA barcoding marker and its combinations.

rbcL+ matK+ psbA- trnH+ITS2	1.09	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
rbcL+ psbA- trnH+ITS+ITS2	1.24	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
rbcL+ matK+ITS+ITS2	0.80	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	15 (88.23)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.76)	88.23
matK+ psbA- trnH+ITS+ITS2	1.19	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23
rbcL+ matK+ psbA- trnH+ITS+ITS2	0.91	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	17 (100)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	88.23

Identification analysis for each barcoding marker and its combinations was performed at their respective threshold calculated from pairwise distances.