## Functional Group Effects on the Photoelectronic Properties of MXene (Sc<sub>2</sub>CT<sub>2</sub>, T = O, F or OH) and Their Possible Photocatalytic Activities

## Kuangwei Xiong<sup>1,2</sup>, PeihongWang<sup>1</sup>, Guang Yang<sup>3</sup>, Zhongfei Liu<sup>1</sup>, Haijun

## Zhang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shaowei Jin<sup>1,\*</sup>, Xin Xu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Materials Science, Anhui Key Laboratory of Information Materials and Devices, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, PR China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, East China Jiaotong University, Nanchang 330013, PR China

<sup>3</sup>College of Energy and Environmental Engineering, Hebei University of Engineering,

Handan 056038, Hebei Province, PR China

<sup>4</sup>School of Mathematics Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

E-mail: <u>hjzhang\_ahu@163.com;</u> jinsw@mail.ustc.edu.cn.



Fig S1 Top view (upper) and side view (lower) of the geometries for (a-c) Sc<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub>-I, II, III; (d-f) Sc<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-I, II, III.



Fig S2 ELF isosurfaces (left) plotted with the value of 0.75 au and ELF map (right) for the  $Sc_2CF_2$ -I. In the ELF map, the red and blue represent the highest (0.8) and lowest value (0) of ELF.



Fig S3 a 2×2 supercell of the Sc<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-I monolayer MXene.



**Fig S4** the Sc<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-I: the relationship between (a) the total energy and uniaxial strain along x direction and y direction, (b) The energy E(k) and the wave vector k near the CBM along x direction. Band edge position shift with respect to the uniaxial strain along (c) x direction, (d) y direction. The red and blue solid lines are the fittings to the data.

**Table S1** Vacuum level, CBM and VBM levels (in V) for  $Sc_2C(OH)_2$ -I and  $Sc_2CF_2$ -I MXenes. *E*<sub>CBM</sub> and *E*<sub>VBM</sub> are band edge positions, which are listed relative to the Fermi level, vacuum level and normal hydrogen electrode (E<sub>NHE</sub> = -4.5 V), respectively.

	Vacuum	Есвм			Evbm		
	level	Vs	Vs	Vs	Vs	Vs	Vs
		fermi	vacuum	NHE	fermi	vacuum	NHE
Sc <sub>2</sub> C(OH) <sub>2</sub> -I	1.472	0.701	-0.763	-3.737	-0.105	-1.568	-2.932
Sc <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> -I	2.258	1.805	-3.462	-1.038	-0.103	-5.37	0.87

**Table S2** Effective mass  $|m^*|$ , DP constant  $E_1$ , xy-plane elastic modulus C, and carrier mobility  $\mu$  for electrons and holes along the x and y directions in the Sc<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub>-I and Sc<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-I MXene.

		m <sup>*</sup> (m <sub>e</sub> )	C(N/m)	E1(eV)	$\mu(cm^2V^{-1}S^{-1})$
Sc <sub>2</sub> C(OH) <sub>2</sub> -I	Electrons(x)	0.38	170.48	3.28	1558
	Hole(x)	1.65	170.48	-4.28	46
	Electrons(y)	0.38	192.24	3.92	1230
	Hole(y)	1.65	192.24	4.92	41
Sc <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>2</sub> -I	Electrons(x)	0.62	169.43	-2.24	1288
	Hole(x)	2.1	169.43	-1.98	139
	Electrons(y)	0.58	191.47	3.94	520
	Hole(y)	2.5	191.47	2.26	85

## **Computational Details of the Carrier Mobility:**

As an example, we give the calculation process of the electron carrier mobility  $\mu$  along x direction of the Sc<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>-I. To obtain the elastic modulus C and deformation potential constant  $E_1$ , we calculated the total energy and band edge positions as a function of the uniaxial strain å as shown in Fig. S4 a, c and d. All the calculated results are listed in Table S2.

As for the effective mass, we plot a curve about the relationship between the energy E(k) and the wave vector k with thirty high-density k points near the CBM (Fig. S4 b), then adopted a fourth order polynomial function to fit this curve:

$$y = Intercept + B_1 x + B_2 x^2 + B_3 x^3 + B_4 x^4$$

The second derivative value of the fitting function:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \underline{y}}{\partial x^2}\Big|_{x=M} = 2B_2 + 6B_3x + 12B_4x^2\Big|_{x=M}$$

According to the definition of carrier effective mass, the electron effective mass along x direction can be calculated.

$$m^* = \hbar^2 / (\partial^2 E(k) / \partial k^2)$$