Appendix 1: Supplementary Tables [posted as supplied by author]

Table A Aneurysm-related re-interventions for life-threatening conditions. These are based on the scoring system developed for the EVAR 1 trial (8), with additional re-interventions scored by IMPROVE trial investigators.

Procedures for life-threatening aneurysm-related complications					
Conversion of failed EVAR to open repair					
Axillo-bifemoral bypass for graft infection or aortic occlusion					
Re-operation of open or endovascular repair for graft infection, aneurysm extension above or below the original graft, secondary rupture or other reasons					
Endovascular repair of proximal (type 1a endoleak) or distal (type 1b endoleak)					
First laparotomy for abdominal compartment syndrome					
Hindquarter amputation					
Colectomy ± stoma formation for mesenteric or colonic ischaemia in 1° or later admission					

Other aneurysm-related procedures included endovascular procedures to the aorta including stapling, ligation, sclerosis or embolization of type 2 endoleaks, femoro-femoral cross-over grafting, distal graft limb revascularisation (open or endovascular), lower limb revascularisation (open or endovascular), lower limb revascularisation (open or endovascular), leg amputation (above, through or below knee), incisional hernia repair, laparotomy for adhesions or small bowel obstruction, access site repairs and other minor procedures.

Time period re- intervention	Principal source according to protocol	Primary	No. re- interventions identified			
		Trial co- ordinator	HES*	Patient questionnaires	Audit	
0-1 year	Trial co- ordinator	162	5	2	22	191, with 0 at non- trial hospitals
1-3 years	Audit, supported by HES	20	10	1	17	48 with 2 at non- trial hospitals

Table B Source of]re-intervention data in 502 patients with repair of rupture started.

*Health Episode Statistics for England only, providing data for trial and non-trial hospitals to 3 years after patient discharge from primary admission. During the first year, all data from HES or questionnaires were subsequently verified by the trial co-ordinators: there were an additional 6 patients with other surgery not related to the AAA. Between 1 and 3 years all data from HES or questionnaires were verified by audit. Data from the trial co-ordinators were verified by audit. Audit also identified patients with first and subsequent re-interventions beyond 3 years. Table C Variables considered for multiple imputations

	Missing values ^a N	
Variable	(%)	Imputation model
Baseline variables and vital status ^a		
Loss of consciousness during care episode	27 (4%)	Logistic regression
Admission haemoglobin	6 (1%)	Predictive mean matching
Admission creatinine	13 (2%)	Predictive mean matching
Acute myocardial ischaemia	52 (8%)	Logistic regression
Lowest recorded systolic blood pressure	46 (8%)	Predictive mean matching
Lowest recorded diastolic blood pressure	53 (9%)	Predictive mean matching
Maximum aortic diameter ^b	95 (15%)	Predictive mean matching
Aneurysm neck diameter ^b	230 (38%)	Predictive mean matching
Aneurysm proximal neck angle ^b	132 (22%)	Predictive mean matching
Aneurysm neck length ^b	132 (22%)	Predictive mean matching
Death within 3 years	4 (1%)	None done
Resource use variables ^a		
Primary admission - Time in theatre	22 (4%)	Predictive mean matching
Primary admission - Days in critical care	13 (2%)	Predictive mean matching
Primary admission - Days in routine ward	48 (8%)	Predictive mean matching
Re-admissions up to 36months - days in critical care	32 (11%)	Predictive mean matching
Re-admission up to 36 months- days in routine ward	31 (10%)	Predictive mean matching
Outpatient visits at 12 months	47 (16%)	Predictive mean matching
Family doctor visits at 12 months	83 (28%)	Predictive mean matching
Nurse at home visits at 12 months	68 (23%)	Predictive mean matching
Quality-of-life (QoL) variables		
EQ-5D at 3 months	66 (21%)	Predictive mean matching
EQ-5D at 12 months	72 (24%)	Predictive mean matching
EQ-5D at 36 months	50 (19%)	Predictive mean matching

^aFor baseline variables, vital status and primary admissions, the overall sample size was all randomised patients (n=613). Baseline EQ-5D was neither obtained nor imputed in critically ill patients requiring urgent surgery to avoid death. For other resource use and QoL variables, the relevant sample sizes were those patients eligible for the 3, 12 and 36 month follow-up (n=318, 3 months, n=301, 12 months, and n=258, 36 months respectively). ^bconditional on ruptured AAA, symptomatic AAA or Incidental AAA in final diagnosis..

Table D Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for endovascular strategy versus open repair, for both all-cause and aneurysm-related mortality in the full trial cohort (n=613)

Overall

	N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
All-cause mortality			
Unadjusted	613	0.92 (0.75, 1.13)	0.41
*Adjusted (complete-	506		0.41
cases)		0.91 (0.72, 1.14)	
*Adjusted (multiply	613	0.90 (0.73, 1.10)	0.31
imputed)			
Aneurysm-related			
mortality			
Unadjusted	613	0.90 (0.69, 1.16)	0.41
*Adjusted (complete-	506	0.89 (0.67, 1.19)	0.44
cases)			
*Adjusted (multiply	613	0.88 (0.68, 1.15)	0.35
imputed)			

*Adjusted for age, sex, Hardman index, and lowest systolic blood pressure.

By time period

	No. remaining under follow-up	Unadjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
All-cause mortality			
0-3 months	613	0.98 (0.76, 1.26)	0.88
>3 months – 3 years	373	0.57 (0.36, 0.90)	0.015
>3 years	293	1.44 (0.80, 2.62)	0.23
Aneurysm-related mortality			
0-3 months	613	0.90 (0.69, 1.17)	0.43
>3 months – 3 years	373	0.88 (0.26, 3.06)	0.85
>3 years	293	0.96 (0.19, 4.78)	0.96

Table E Re-interventions by randomised group according to time period and if for a life-threateningcondition in 502 patients with repair of rupture started.

	Ruptured AAA patients who commenced operation				
	N=!	502			
AAA-related re-intervention	EVAR strategy	Open repair			
No. patients with ≥ 1 re-intervention	75 / 259 (29%)	66 / 243 (27%)			
No. re-interventions/p-years (rate per	121/463.8 (26.0)	110/387.3 (28.4)			
100 person-years)					
Arterial	93 (77%)	63 (57%)			
Laparotomy	16 (13%)	34 (31%)			
Other	12 (10%)	13 (12%)			
For a life-threatening arterial-related					
condition					
Yes	37 (40%)	40 (63%)			
no	56 (60%)	23 (37%)			
For a life-threatening laparotomy-related					
condition	E (240()	4 (4 20()			
Yes	5 (31%)	4 (12%)			
No	11 (69%)	30 (88%)			
Randomisation to 3 months	57 (250 (200))	50 (0.40 (0.40))			
No. patients with at least one re-	57/259 (22%)	52/243 (21%)			
intervention					
No. re-interventions/p-years (rate per	81/43.6 (185.6)	89/38.9 (228.6)			
100 person-years)					
Arterial	60 (74%)	50 (56%)			
Laparotomy	11 (14%)	27 (31%)			
Other	10 (12%)	12 (14%)			
For a life-threatening arterial-related					
condition	26 (1204)				
Yes	26 (43%)	33 (66%)			
no	34 (57%)	16 (34%)			
For a life-threatening laparotomy-related					
condition	2 (1 89/)	2(110/)			
Yes	2 (18%)	3 (11%)			
NO	9 (82%)	24 (89%)			
> 3 months – 3 years					
No. patients with at least one re-	27/167 (16%)	15/146 (10%)			
		21/240.275.0			
No. re-interventions/p-years (rate per	40/420.1 (9.5)	21/348.3 (6.0)			
100 person-years)	22 (820/)	12 (6294)			
Arterial	55 (85%) F (120/)	13 (02%)			
Laparotomy	5 (13%) 2 (F%)	7 (33%)			
Other	2 (5%)	1 (5%)			
condition					
Voc	11 (220/)	7 (540/)			
res	11 (3370) 22 (67%)	6 (A6%)			
IIO	22 (07%)	0 (40%)			
condition					
Voc	2 (60%)	1 (1/10/)			
nes No	2 (10%)	± (±4%) 6 (86%)			
INO	2 (40/0)	0 (00/0)			

Table F Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for EVAR strategy versus open repair, for time to firstAAA re-intervention and time to any reintervention within the first 3-years of follow-uppatients with repair of rupture started.

Rate per 100 person-years Model (no./p-years)		Model	N	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value		
Time to first aneurysm-related re-intervention							
EVAR strategy	Open repair						
		Unadjusted	502	1.11 (0.80, 1.55)	0.53		
		*Adjusted	343	1.08 (0.73, 1.62)	0.70		
22.6	20.9	(complete-					
(75/221 5)	20.0	cases)					
(75/551.5)	(05/515.1)	*Adjusted	502	1.12 (0.80, 1.56)	0.51		
		(multiply					
		imputed)					
Ti	ime to any aneur	ysm-related re-in	tervention (A	nderson-Gill model)			
		Over	all				
EVAR strategy	Open repair						
		Unadjusted	502	1.02 (0.79, 1.32)	0.88		
		*Adjusted	343	0.92 (0.68, 1.26)	0.62		
26.1	28.1	(complete-					
(121/463.8)	(109/387 3)	cases)					
(121/403.0)	(105/507.5)	*Adjusted	502	1.04 (0.80, 1.35)	0.79		
		(multiply					
		imputed)					
	1	0-3 mo	onths				
EVAR strategy	Open repair						
		Unadjusted	502	0.88 (0.65, 1.19)	0.40		
		*Adjusted	343	0.78 (0.55, 1.11)	0.16		
185.6	226.1	(complete-					
(81/43.6)	(88/38.9)	cases)					
(02) 1010)	(00)00.07	*Adjusted	502	0.88 (0.65, 1.20)	0.43		
		(multiply					
		imputed)					
		>3 months	– 3 years	Γ			
EVAR strategy	Open repair						
9.5	6.0	Unadjusted	313	1.57 (0.93, 2.67)	0.09		
(40/420.1)	(21/348.3)	*Adjusted	217	1.67 (0.82, 3.43)	0.16		
		(complete-					
		cases)					
		*Adjusted	313	1.54 (0.90, 2.62)	0.12		
		(multiply					
		imputed)					

*Adjusted for age, sex, Hardman index, lowest systolic blood pressure, neck length.

Aneurysm-related indications	Randomised to	Randomised to	EVAR	Open
For 313 repairs with rupture	EVAR strategy	open repair		repair
started who survived beyond 90	N=167	N=146	N=125	N=188
days				
Access site	3	1	2	2
Bowel ischaemia	2	1	0	3
Distal aneurysm	3	4	3	4
Endograft kinking	2	2	4	0
Endograft migration	1	0	1	0
Endoleak*	14	2	16	0
False aneurysm	1	0	1	0
Graft thrombosis/occlusion	6	1	4	3
Graft infection - aorta	0	2	0	2
Graft infection – fem-fem	0	0	0	0
Incisional hernia	0	3	0	3
Limb ischaemia	2	2	3	1
Ostomy	0	1	0	1
Proximal aneurysm	1	0	1	0
Secondary rupture**	2	0	2	0
Symptomatic adhesions	1	1	0	2
Other indications				
Nutritional support	0	1	0	1
Renal failure	1	0	1	0
Total re-interventions	39 in 27 patients	21 in 15	38 in 26	22 in 16

Table G Indications for midterm re-interventions (between 3 months and 3 years) in 502 patientswith repair of rupture started.

* 4 type 1A (one also had type 2), 2 type 1B (one also had type 2), 9 type 2 only and 1 type 3; ** one patient with a type IA endoleak and renal failure requiring dialysis and one with a type 1B endoleak.

In 3 patients two indications were treated in the same session, one for bowel ischaemia and incisional hernia, one for type 1A endoleak and fistula formation for renal dialysis and one for type 1B endoleak and common iliac aneurysm.

In those randomised to the EVAR strategy, 17/39 of the re-interventions were for a life-threatening condition versus 7/21 in those randomised to open repair. In those having undergone EVAR 14/38 of the re-interventions were for life-threatening conditions versus 10/22 in those having undergone open repair.

Table H Incremental costs (£ GBP), QALYs and net monetary benefits [95% CI] (£ GBP) within 3-years of randomisation, by subgroups, at NICE* recommended willingness to pay threshold for a QALY gain (£30,000 per QALY) in the total trial cohort (n=613).

*NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Subgroup		Incremental cost [95% CI]	Incremental QALY [95% CI]	Incremental net monetary benefit (INB) [95% CI] ^a	Interaction p-value for INB
	Male (N=480)	-4066	0.092	6824	
Sov		[-7573 <i>,</i> -557]	[-0.099, 0.283]	[204, 13444]	
JEX	Female	2817	0.358	7914	p= 0.440
	(N=133)	[-3806, 9439]	[0.038, 0.712]	[-4401, 20229]	
	Hardman=0	-4017	0.016	8878	
	(N=164)	[-9758, 1725]	[-0.141, 0.465]	[-1686, 19442]	
Hardman	Hardman=1	-2448	0.005	2594	
Index	(N=254)	[-7022, 2126]	[-0.244, 0.254]	[-5943, 11131]	p=0.279
	Hardman≥2	-923	0.423	13622	
	(N=121)	[-7306, 5461]	[0.092, 0.755]	[2025, 25219]	
	Length < 22	-769	0.216	7243	
Neck	(N=234)	[-5595, 4057]	[-0.027, 0.459]	[-1216, 15702]	n = 0.461
length	Length ≥ 22	-4250	0.111	7568	p=0.401
	(N=247)	[-8859, 358]	[-0.131, 0.352]	[-959 <i>,</i> 16095]	
	SBP < 90	-3881	0.067	5881	
	(N=263)	[-8604, 842]	[-0.185, 0.319]	[-2949, 14711]	n-0.27F
LOWEST SBP	SBP ≥ 90	-1540	0.213	7932	p=0.275
	(N=305)	[-5879, 2798]	[-0.013, 0.439]	[-14.2, 15878]	

Table I Resource use, costs (£ GBP) and incremental net monetary benefits (£ GBP) up to 3 years, reported across all patients randomised (n=613). Mean (SD) unless stated. Results are reported after multiple imputation.

	Resour	ce use	Cost (£)	
	Endovascula r strategy (n=316)	Open repair (n=297)	Endovascular strategy (n=316)	Open repair (n=297)
Between randomisation and 1 year				
Primary admission				
Days in critical care	5.3 (12.0)	7.4 (11.9)	6672 (16430)	9674 (16446)
Days on routine ward	7.0 (11.9)	7.8 (12.0)	1835 (3107)	2031 (3158)
Other resource use ^a			6563 (3779)	4757 (2896)
Transfer to another hospital, N (%)	10 (3%)	36 (12%)		
Number of inpatient days	0.7 (4.5)	4.8 (21.1)	175 (1159)	1245 (5479)
Total days in primary admission	13.0 (20.5)	20.0 (31.9)	15245 (18356)	17707 (20842)
Re-admissions				
Re-admissions ≥ 1, N (%)	27 (9%)	11 (4%)		
Number of inpatient days	0.7 (4.6)	0.2 (1.5)	215 (1469)	57 (433)
Re-interventions ^b				
Re-interventions ≥ 1, N (%)	64 (20%)	60 (20%)	516 (1315)	655 (1711)
Outpatient and community care ^c			525 (739)	829 (5772)
Total days in hospital up to 1 year	13.7 (21.3)	20.2 (31.9)	16501 (19084)	19248 (22365)
Between 1 and 3 years				
Re-admissions				
Re-admissions ≥ 1, N (%)	24 (8%)	12 (4%)		
Number of inpatient days	0.7 (4.4)	0.3 (2.3)	270 (1667)	137 (981)
Re-interventions				
Re-interventions ≥ 1, N (%)	20 (6%)	11 (4%)	107 (626)	98 (690)
Total days in hospital up to 3 years	14.4 (23.4)	20.5 (32.1)	16878 (19624)	19483 (22412)
Incremental cost (£) [95% CI]			-2605 [-5966, 702]	
Incremental net monetary benefit $(\mathfrak{L})^d$			7637 [18	20, 13454]

^aIncludes costs related to emergency room, CT scan, devices, consumables and theatre (see table J for sources). ^b Includes six re-interventions that were not related to AAA which is why the numbers reported here (n=124) differ to those for tables B and E (n=118). Also it should be noted that an individual patient can have a reintervention in both the time period between randomisation and 1 year and between 1 and 3 years. Hence simply summing the number of reinterventions across the two time periods would not give the same total number of re-interventions as in Table B. ^cIncludes costs related to outpatient, GP and district nurse visits. ^dThe INB for endovascular vs. open repair is calculated by multiplying the mean difference in QALY by NICE's recommended willingness-to-pay threshold (£30,000 per QALY gain) and subtracting from this the incremental cost.

Table J Unit costs (£ GBP) for analyses in table I

Description	Unit	Open repair	Endovascular strategy	Source
Medical devices & parts		repuil	00.00087	
Endovascular stent and parts	Patient		5 700	Medtronic©. Cook Medical© ^a
Vascular graft (straight)	Patient	623		Maguet©
Vascular graft (bifurcated)	Patient	901		Maguet©
Consumables				
Endovascular package	Patient		600	Maguet©, Cook Medical©
Mechanical retractor	Patient	90		Health-Care Equipment©
Cell Salvage	Patient	74		Davies et al 2006 ⁹
Surgical instrument set	Patient	51	51	HealthCare Equipment©
Anaesthetics & other drugs	Patient	184	41	British National Formulary 2012 ^b
Contrast agent	ml	0.10	0.10	IMPROVE centres ^b
Blood	unit	132	132	NHS Blood & Transplant 2012
Platelets	unit	205	205	NHS Blood & Transplant 2012
Fresh frozen plasma	unit	25	25	NHS Blood & Transplant 2012
CT scan	unit	105	105	NHS reference costs 2012
Emergency room	Minute	0.40	0.40	Dixon et al 2009 ¹⁰
Overheads				
Theatre	Minute	2.65	2.65	IMPROVE centres
Staff ^c				
Surgeon (consultant)	Minute	2.20	2.20	PSSRU 2012 [Curtis 2012] 11
Surgeon (registrar)	Minute	1.16	1.16	PSSRU 2012
Anaesthetist (consultant)	Minute	2.20	2.20	PSSRU 2012
Anaesthetist (registrar)	Minute	1.16	1.16	PSSRU 2012
ODA	Minute	0.58	0.58	PSSBU 2012
Scrub Nurse	Minute	0.72	0.72	PSSRU 2012
Runner	Minute	0.58	0.58	PSSRU 2012
Senior House Officer	Minute	0.83		PSSRU 2012
Radiologist (consultant)	Minute		2.20	PSSRU 2012
Radiologist (registrar)	Minute		1.16	PSSRU 2012
Radiographer	Minute		0.58	PSSRU 2012
Radiologist Nurse	Minute		0.72	PSSRU 2012
Critical care				
ITU/HDU – 1 organ supported	Bed-day	630	630	NHS reference costs 2012 (DH 2012)
	Bed-day			NHS reference costs 2012
ITU/HDU – 2 organs supported	,	870	870	refeence costs 2010-2011
ITU/HDU – 3 organs supported	Bed-dav	1214	1214	NHS reference costs 2012
ITU/HDU – 4 organs supported	, Bed-dav	1410	1410	NHS reference costs 2012
ITU/HDU – 5 organs supported	Bed-dav	1587	1587	NHS reference costs 2012
ITU/HDU – 6 organs supported	, Bed-dav	1759	1759	NHS reference costs 2012
ITU/HDU – 7 organs supported	Bed-day	2000	2000	NHS reference costs 2012
Other hospital care	,			
Inpatient Coronary care unit	Bed-dav	436	436	NHS reference costs 2012
Inpatient Stroke unit	Bed-day	309	309	NHS reference costs 2012
Inpatient Routine ward ^d	Bed-dav	260	260	NHS reference costs 2012
Outpatient doctor visit	visit	139	139	PSSRU 2012
Outpatient nurse visit	visit	85	85	PSSRU 2012
Outpatient Haemodialvsis	session	65	65	NHS Blood & Transplant 2012
Community care				
Nursing home	Bed-dav	105	105	PSSRU 2012
Family doctor visit ^e	visit	55	55	PSSRU 2012
Nurse at home visit ^e	visit	18	18	PSSRU 2012

^aAverage (range from £5 400 to £6 500) list price of the EVAR stents and parts most supplied to NHS Hospitals for ruptured AAA (Medtronic Endurant and Cook Medical Zenith Flex). ^bLocal and general anaesthesia components were taken from one IMPROVE centre. ^cTypical levels of staff use in theatre were recorded in 10 IMPROVE centres. ^dSame tariff was applied to routine ward in both primary and secondary hospitals. ^eAssuming 15-minute appointments.