

Figure S1. 6S RNA structural transition and footprinting. Related to Figures 1 and 2.

(**A**) The pRNA-induced structural transition of 6S RNA (Beckmann et al., 2012; Panchapakesan and Unrau, 2012). (*top left*) Secondary structure of wild-type 6S RNA isoform 1 (*top left*) and isoform 2 (*bottom right*). Isoform 2 is stabilized by the RNAPmediated synthesis of pRNA (magenta).

(**B**) RNAse footprinting gels: T1 shows cleavage by RNase T1 specific for G-residues, their positions are indicated on the right side of gels, A and V_1 – cleavage by RNAse A (cleaves 3' of U and C residues) and V_1 (cleaves base-paired nucleotides), respectively. OH* represents hydroxyl radical cleavage, OH⁻ - Alkaline Hydrolysis under single hit conditions, resulting in an RNA 'ladder' with single nucleotide resolution.

(*left*) 5'-labeled RNA. '-' and '+' indicates absence or presence of $E\sigma^{70}$, respectively.

(right) 3'-labeled RNA.

(**C**) Relative abortive transcription activity of wild-type 6S RNA (lane 1) and 6S RNA with the CS truncated (6S RNA Δ CS, lane 2), and 6S RNA Δ CS (lane 3) and 6S RNA* (lane 4).



Figure S2. CryoEM of the 6S RNA/E σ^{70} complex. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Representative micrograph of the 6S RNA/E σ^{70} complex in vitreous ice.

(B) The forty highest populated classes from 2D classification.

(**C**) Angular distribution for 6S RNA/ $E\sigma^{70}$ particle projections.

(**D**) Gold-standard FSC of the 6S RNA/ $E\sigma^{70}$ complex. The gold-standard FSC was calculated by comparing the two independently determined half-maps from RELION. The dotted line represents the 0.143 FSC cutoff, which indicates a nominal resolution of 3.78 Å.

(E) FSC calculated between the refined structure and the half map used for refinement (work), the other half map (free), and the full map.



Figure S3. Data processing pipeline for the cryoEM data. Related to Figure 1.

Flowchart showing the image processing pipeline for the cryoEM data of *Eco* 6S RNA*/ $\Delta\alpha$ CTD-E- Δ 1.1 σ ⁷⁰ starting with 9,405 dose-fractionated movies collected on a 300 keV Titan Krios (FEI) equipped with a K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan). Movie frames were aligned and summed using Unblur (Grant and Grigorieff, 2015). Particles were autopicked and manually revised from these summed images and then sorted by 2D classification in RELION (Scheres, 2012) for subsequent particle polishing using direct-detector-align Imbfgs software (Rubinstein and Brubaker, 2015). After polishing, the dataset contained 782,121 aligned particles. These particles were auto-refined in RELION using a model of *Eco* $E\sigma^{70}$ (PDB ID 4LK1) (Bae et al., 2013) that was low-pass filtered to 60 Å resolution using EMAN2 (Tang et al., 2007) as an initial 3D template. 3D classification into eight classes was performed on the particles using the refined model and alignment angles. No obvious conformational differences were observed between the 3D classes. Class 3, containing 362,926 particles, was the most populated class with a 3.8 Å resolution after auto-refinement and post-processing in RELION.



Figure S4. Overall cryo-EM map and local resolution of 6S RNA/E σ^{70} . Related to Figure 1.

(**A**) The 3.8-Å resolution cryoEM density map of the 6S RNA/E σ^{70} complex colored according to the legend at the top. The view on the right shows a cross section at the position indicated in the left panel.

(**B**) Same views of the cryoEM density map as in **A**, but colored by local resolution (Cardone et al., 2013).





Figure S5. BPA-dependent crossliking to 6S RNA and crosslink mapping. Related to Figure 2.

(**A**) 6S RNA crosslinking to BPA-substituted RNAP is dependent on UV irradiation and position of BPA substitutions. ³²P-labelled RNA [WT, wild-type 6S RNA; M, 6S(M5) RNA] (2 nM) was incubated with BPA-substituted $E\sigma^{70}$ (position of BPA substitutions indicated at top)(~100 nM), exposed to 365-nm light (+UV) or kept in the dark (-UV), and separated on NuPage protein gels. Uncrosslinked RNA is observed near the bottom of the gel (RNA) and crosslinked RNA migrates more slowly in the gel (crosslinked RNA). 6S(M5) RNA is an inactive mutant with a severely reduced central bubble (Trotochaud and Wassarman, 2005). BPA substitutions at β 'K118 and β 'T212 crosslink to 6S RNA in a UV-dependent manner, but not the inactive M5 mutant. BPA substitution at β 'D264 does not crosslink to RNA.

(**B**) An example of crosslinking results with different BPA-substituted RNAPs on ³²Plabeled 6S RNA.

(**C**) An example of primer extension mapping of BPA crosslinking positions in 6S RNA. Unlabelled 6S RNA was incubated with BPA-substituted $E\sigma^{70}$ (position of BPA substitutions indicated at top)(~100 nM), exposed to 365-nm light, followed by Ni-NTA enrichment of crosslinked RNAs (see Methods). Enriched RNAs were used as template for primer extension reactions using ³²P-labeled KW1469 primer (complementary to nucleotides 88-111 in 6S RNA) and separated on a 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel run with a salt gradient. Sequencing reactions (A, C, G, T) were generated with the same labeled primer for reference. The first lane (RNA) is primer extension from uncrosslinked RNA for reference.

5

(**D**) Mapped BPA-crosslinking positions from BPA-substituted RNAPs are indicated on the secondary structure of 6S RNA. Some positions crosslink to multiple nearby nucleotides, as represented by the lines covering RNA nucleotides.



Figure S6. Effects of 6S RNA modifications on $E\sigma^{70}$ binding, and 6S RNA is premelted and does not require the σ^{70} W-dyad for transcription bubble stabilization. Related to Figures 5 and 6.

(A) Illustration of the changes made to remove UB1. Different changes were made that all resulted in basepairing through the UB1 region, but with different effects on the length of the upstream stem. Note, residues changed from wild type or inserted are in red; the red triangles indicate the locations of deleted nucleotides. (*lower right*) A native gel electrophoresis binding assay illustrates the decrease in 6S RNA binding when UB1 is absent.

(**B**, **C**) Native gel electrophoresis binding assays illustrating the strong effect of 6S RNA G82 substitutions on 6S RNA binding (**B**), the absence of altered binding for 6S RNA substitutions at A131, U135, or G136 (**C**).

(**D**) Sequences of the duplex T7 A1 promoter (*top*) and the T7 A1 bubble promoter (*bottom*).

(**E**) Abortive transcription activity (ApUpC synthesis) of $E\sigma^{70}$ (left panel) and meltingdeficient $E\sigma^{70}_{FYWW}$ (Tomsic et al., 2001) on duplex T7 A1 (T7A1 DS, top row), T7 A1 bubble (middle row), and 6S RNA (bottom row).





Chen et al., Figure S7

Figure S7. Interactions between σ^{70} K593 and H600 with 6S RNA, and normalized abortive transcription activity of σ mutants. Related to Figure 7.

(A) Stereo view of the 6S RNA/E σ^{70} cryoEM density map (blue mesh) showing the interaction of σ^{70} K593 and H600 with the 6S RNA phosphate backbone.

(**B**) Histogram showing the normalized abortive transcription initiation activity for the indicated σ 's (bottom) on the indicated templates (σ^{70} -specific promoter T7A1; σ^{70} -specific 6S RNA; σ^{S} -specific promoter DPS (Grainger et al., 2008)). The σ^{S} -holoenzyme activities are shown normalized with respect to wild-type σ^{S} , while the σ^{70} -holoenzymes are shown normalized to wild-type σ^{70} . The histograms represent the average of at least three measurements, the error bars denote S.E.M.s.

		6S RNA/E σ^{70}
	Resolution ^a	3.78 Å
	Molprobity score	1.83
Overall	Clashscore (all atoms)	6.56
	RMS deviations bonds (Å)	0.007
	RMS deviations angles (°)	1.027
	Rotamer outliers	
Protein	Ramachandran favored	92.57%
(3,132 residues)	Ramachandran outliers	0.08%
PNA ^b	Probably wrong sugar puckers	0
(112 nucleotides)	Bad backbone conformations	3 (2.68%)

Table S1. Model statistics from Molprobity (Chen et al., 2010).Related to Figure 1.

^a Gold-standard FSC 0.143 cutoff criteria (Figure S2D) (Rosenthal and Henderson, 2003).

^b ref. (Richardson et al., 2008)

6S RNA element⁵	Strand I	step	Strand II	Minor groove P-P (Å) [°]	Major groove P-P (Å) [°]	Rise (Å)	Twist (°)	Pitch (Å)
DD*	32-33	CG/CG	152-153			3.6	28	
	33-34	GG/CC	151-152			2.9	32	_
	34-35	GC/AC	150-151	11.5	10.4	2.8	33	_
	35-36	CA/UA	149-150	11.2	11.9	4.4	29	_
	36-37	AC/GU	148-149	10.7	13.2	3.2	31	41
	37-38	CA/UG	147-148	10.5	15.2	3.4	24	
	38-39	AU/GU	146-147	10.6	13.8	3.1	39	-
	39-40	UG/CG	145-146			3.7	18	
	40-41	GC/GC	144-145			3.3	31	
	59-60	GA/UC	129-130			2.9	32	
	60-61	AA/UU	128-129	10.9	14.9	3.2	30	-
	61-62	AU/AU	127-128	10.7	12.9	3.2	28	-
	62-63	UG/CA	126-127	10.7	12.7	3.4	27	
UD1	63-64	GU/AC	125-126	10.8	12	3.2	32	35
	64-65	UG/CA	124-125	10.9	11.1	3.2	31	
	65-66	GG/AC	123-124	10.6	10.6	3.1	30	
	66-67	GC/GA	122-123	9.3	9.9	3.2	31	
	67-68	CG/CG	121-122	9.9	8.8	2.9	33	
	72-73	CG/CG	118-119	10.8	9.2	4.4	30	
	73-74	GC/GC	117-118	10.7	11.2	3.1	35	
	74-75	CG/UG	116-117	11.0	12.1	3.3	26	-
UD2	75-76	GG/CU	115-116	11.5	11	2.9	33	33
	76-77	GU/AC	114-115	11.8	10.3	3.1	32	
	77-78	UU/AA	113-114			3.1	29	
	78-79	UG/AA	112-113			1.4	49	
	88-89	GC/GA	103-104	13.3	15	3.2	39	-
UD3	89-90	CU/AG	102-103	12.1	10.8	3.0	25	
	90-91	UC/GA	101-102	12.1	11.5	2.9	30	31
	91-92	CG/CG	100-101	11.9	10.9	2.6	34	
	92-93	GG/CC	99-100			3.0	37	-
	93-94	GU/UC	98-99			2.9	39	
				Canonical A- form nucleic acid ^d	Canonical B- form nucleic acid ^d	6S RNA (average)	
			Rise (Å)	2.6	3.4	3.2 ± 0.5		
			Twist (°)	33	36	32 ± 6		
			Pitch (Å)	28	34	35 ± 4		

Table S2. Minor and major groove widths and axial base pair rise for 6S RNA^a. Related to Figure 4.

^aParameters calculated using 3DNA (Lu and Olson, 2008).

^bSee Figure 1A.

^cDirect P-P distances (with van der Waals radii of phosphate groups, 5.8 Å, subtracted.

^dref. (Egli and Saenger, 2013).

	Strand I		Strand II		
6S RNA element	nucleotide	Sugar pucker	nucleotide	Sugar pucker	
	32C	C3'-endo	153G	C3'-endo	
	33G	C3'-endo	152C	C3'-endo	
DD*	34G	C3'-endo	151C	C3'-endo	
	35C	C3'-endo	150A	C3'-endo	
	36A	C3'-endo	149U	C3'-endo	
	37C	C3'-endo	148G	C3'-endo	
	38A	C3'-endo	147U	C3'-endo	
	39U	C3'-endo	146G	C3'-endo	
	40G	40G C3'-endo 145C		C3'-endo	
	41C	C3'-endo	144G	C3'-endo	
	59G	C3'-endo	130C	C3'-endo	
	60A	C3'-endo	129U	C3'-endo	
	61A	C3'-endo	128U	C3'-endo	
	62U	C3'-endo	127A	C3'-endo	
UD1	63G	C3'-endo	126C	C3'-endo	
	64U	C3'-endo	125A	C3'-endo	
	65G	C3'-endo	124C	C3'-endo	
	66G	C3'-endo	123A	C3'-endo	
	67C	C3'-endo	122G	C3'-endo	
	68G	C3'-endo	121C	C3'-endo	
	72C	C3'-endo	118G	C3'-endo	
UD2	73G	C1'-endo	117C	C3'-endo	
	74C	C3'-endo	116G	C3'-endo	
	75G	C3'-endo	115U	C3'-endo	
	76G	C3'-endo	114C	C3'-endo	
	77U	C3'-endo	113A	C3'-endo	
	78U	C3'-endo	112A	C3'-endo	
	79G	C3'-endo	111A	C2'-endo	
	88G	C3'-endo	104A	C3'-endo	
	89C	C3'-endo	103G	C3'-endo	
	90U	C3'-endo	102A	C3'-endo	
UD3	91C	C3'-endo	101G	C3'-endo	
	92G	C3'-endo	100C	C2'-exo	
	93G	C3'-endo	99C	C3'-endo	
	94U	C3'-endo	98U	C3'-endo	

Table S3. Sugar puckers for 6S RNA^a. Related to Figure 4.

^aCalculated using 3DNA (Lu and Olson, 2008).

6S RNA element ^b	Strand I	step	Intrastrand P—P distance (Å)		Strand II	step	Instrastrand P—P distance (Å)
	32-33	CG	6.0		152-153	CG	5.8
DD*	33-34	GG	6.5		151-152	сс	5.8
	34-35	GC	5.7		150-151	AC	5.9
	35-36	CA	5.6		149-150	UA	5.9
	36-37	AC	5.7		148-149	GU	6.4
	37-38	CA	6.0		147-148	UG	5.6
	38-39	AU	5.9		146-147	GU	6.6
	39-40	UG	5.8		145-146	CG	5.8
	40-41	GC	5.6		144-145	GC	5.4
	59-60	GA	6.3		129-130	UC	5.6
	60-61	AA	7.0		128-129	UU	6.0
	61-62	AU	5.5		127-128	AU	5.8
	62-63	UG	5.9		126-127	CA	5.8
UD1	63-64	GU	5.6		125-126	AC	5.7
	64-65	UG	5.9		124-125	CA	5.8
	65-66	GG	5.8		123-124	AC	6.3
	66-67	GC	5.3		122-123	GA	5.7
	67-68	CG	6.5		121-122	CG	6.2
	72-73	CG	5.7		118-119	CG	5.7
	73-74	GC	6.8		117-118	GC	6.6
	74-75	CG	5.8		116-117	UG	6.1
UD2	75-76	GG	5.7		115-116	CU	5.6
	76-77	GU	6.0		114-115	AC	5.8
	77-78	UU	5.6		113-114	AA	4.9
	78-79	UG	6.2		112-113	AA	8.5
UD3	88-89	GC	5.1		103-104	GA	6.4
	89-90	CU	5.2		102-103	AG	6.2
	90-91	UC	5.3		101-102	GA	5.7
	91-92	CG	5.8		100-101	CG	6.4
	92-93	GG	5.8		99-100	сс	7.0
	93-94	GU	5.3		98-99	UC	6.8
		Canonical A-form nucleic acid ^d		Canonical B-form 6S RNA (avenue) 6S RNA (avenue)		6S RNA (average)	
Intrastrand P—P distance (Å) ^c		5.9	7.0		6.0 ± 0.8		

Table S4. Intrastrand P—P virtual bond distances^a. Related to Figure 4.

^aParameters calculated using 3DNA (Lu and Olson, 2008).

^bSee Figure 1A.

^c ref. (Egli and Saenger, 2013).