Supplemental Data for Hill et al.

Figure S1. Sequence alignments of CC-containing regions of olfactomedin-containing proteins and myocilins across organisms. Related to Figure 1. (A) Overall sequence alignment of CC-containing regions of human proteins found within different olfactomedin subfamilies. (B) Pairwise sequence alignment of myocilin and olfactomedin-1 (22% identity). (C) Pairwise sequence alignment of myocilin and olfactomedin-4 (22% identity) (D). Pairwise sequence alignment of myocilin and olfactomedin-like-2 (22% identity). (E) Pairwise sequence alignment of myocilin and olfactomedin-like-3 (21% identity). (F) Multiple sequence alignment of N-terminal region of myocilin from human, mouse, bovine, rat, and zebrafish (OLF domain excluded). X above alignment indicates position of mutation investigated in this study. In (A-F), identical residues *; similar residues : and . (G) HMM weblogo representation of conservation across the same region as in (F) across myocilin from 75 species.

Figure S2. SEC-SAXS analysis of CC60-185, CC69-185, CC33-111, CC112-185, mLZ93-171. Related to Figure 3. (A) Superdex-75 GL traces. (B) Scattering intensity profiles. I(q), scattered intensity; q, scattering vector. (C) Guinier plots with calculated radius of gyration (Rg). (D) Pairwise distribution plots with calculated maximum particle size (Dmax) and Rg.

Figure S3. Biochemical characterization of constructs in this study. Related to Figures 3, 4, Table 1 (A-D), CC69-184 and CC69-185, (E-L), CC33-111 and CC112-185 (M-T), NTD33-226 variants. (A) Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of CC_{69-184} reveal α -helical signatures and reversible thermal unfolding (B) Superdex-75 preparative SEC traces of CC_{69-184} and CC_{69-185} reveal a total molecular mass of 53 kDa based on a standard calibration curve. Given the calculated mass of 13.7 kDa/monomer the species is a tetramer. (C) CD spectra of CC_{69-185} reveal α-helical signatures and reversible thermal unfolding. (D) SDS-PAGE analysis of CC_{69-185} under non-reducing conditions demonstrate predominantly disulfidedependent dimer species. (E) CD spectrum of CC_{33-111} reveals α -helical signature. (F) Superdex-75 SEC trace of CC_{33-111} . The first peak contains uncleaved and cleaved CC_{33-111} , the second peak contains mostly Factor Xa protease, and the third peak (indicated by a dashed box) contains cleaved CC_{33-111} used in subsequent experiments. The molecular weight of the peak within the dashed box is 40 kDa based on a standard calibration curve, consistent with a 4 or 5-mer of an ~ 8.8 kDa CC₃₃₋₁₁₁ monomer. (G-H) SDS-PAGE analysis of CC33-111 fractions from Superdex- 75 trace with (G) and without (H) βME. Dashed box indicates fractions concentrated for SEC-SAXS. (I) CD spectrum of $CC_{112-184}$ reveals an α-helical signature. (J) Superdex-75 SEC trace of $CC_{112-185}$. The first peak contains uncleaved and cleaved CC_{112} - 185 , and the second peak (indicated by dashed box) contains mostly cleaved $CC_{112-185}$ used in subsequent experiments. The molecular mass of the species is 23 kDa based on a standard calibration curve and thus consistent with a 2- or 3-mer of an \sim 9 kDa CC₁₁₂₋₁₈₅ species. (K,L) SDS-PAGE analysis with (K) and without (L) βME of $CC_{112-185}$ -containing fractions from Superdex-75 trace shown in (J). Dashed box indicates fraction selected for SEC-SAXS. (M) (M) SDS-PAGE analysis (left) of all six purified variants (~30 kDa monomer) corresponding to the fraction (left asterisk) on the chromatograms in Figure 4F. SDS-PAGE analysis (right) of R82C and L95P variants with disrupted tetramer arrangement corresponding to the fraction (right asterisk) on the chromatogram in Figure 4F. (N) CD thermal melts show six variants are indistinguishable from wild-type stability. (O-T) Comparison of CD spectra before (initial) and after (post) thermal melt shows a high level of reversibility for six variants studied.

Figure S4. Pairwise comparisons of *ab initio* **SEC-SAXS models. Related to Figure 3, 4 and Table 2.** (A) Molecular envelopes of CC₆₉₋₁₈₅ with no (P1) symmetry (left), P2 symmetry (middle) and superpositions with SUPCOMB (right). (B) Molecular envelopes of CC_{60-185} (left), CC_{69-185} (middle) and superposition with SUPCOMB (right). (C) Molecular envelopes of $CC_{112-185}$ with no (P1) symmetry (left), P2 symmetry (middle) and superposition with SUPCOMB (right). (D) Molecular envelopes of $CC₁₁₂₋₁₈₅$ with P2 symmetry (left), $CC₆₉₋₁₈₅$ with P2 symmetry (middle) and superposition by manual manipulation in PyMOL (right). (E) Molecular envelopes of $CC_{112-185}$ with P2 symmetry (left), mLZ₉₃. ¹⁷¹ (see F) with P2 symmetry (middle) and superposition with SUPCOMB (right). (F) Molecular envelopes of mLZ₉₃₋₁₇₁ with no (P1) symmetry (left), P2 symmetry (middle), and superposition with SUPCOMB (right).

Figure S5. Molecular dynamics simulations of mLZ₁₂₂₋₁₇₁. Related to Figure 4. (A) Stereoview of Figure 4B. (B) Root mean squared fluctuation (RMSF) values for individual mLZ $_{122-171}$ residues for simulations conducted at 310 K. (C)/(D) Salt bridges formed between individual coils A and B during 100-ns simulation of mLZ₁₂₂₋₁₇₁ at 400 K. For (D), backbone structures are shown for 0 ns (left) and 100 ns (right) of simulation time with salt-bridge-forming residues shown explicitly. Salt bridges were identified by ≤3.2 Å distance between side chain oxygen and nitrogen atoms. (E) Distances between backbone carbonyl carbon atoms of chains A and B for each residue of $mLZ_{122-171}$ during steered molecular dynamics (SMD) simulations at 310 K. Backbone structures from the SMD1 trajectory are shown above the graph with side chains of heptad positions '*a*' and '*d*' shown explicitly. For all protein structures shown: Individual protein chains are labeled A and B. Protein backbone is shown in cartoon representation colored by secondary structure: (magenta) α-helix and (white) random coil. Explicit side chains are shown in licorice representation colored by residue type: (blue) positively charged, (red) negatively charged, (green) polar, and (white) hydrophobic.

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$

D

Figure S6. Additional supplemental material accompanying STAR methods. (A) Identification of DnaK by mass spectrometry as main contaminant (~60 kDa) visible after purification on SDS-PAGE. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of NTD33-226 before and after unfolding/refolding procedure. (C) Comparison of Superdex 200 GL elution profile of two samples in (B). (D) Identification of mLZ93-171 as predominant product after cleavage of mLZ_{55-171} by Factor Xa. (E) Primers used in this study.