The M25 gene products are critical for the cytopathic effect

of mouse cytomegalovirus

Ivana Kutle, Sarah Sengstake, Corinna Templin, Mandy Glaß, Tobias Kubsch,

Kirsten A. Keyser, Anne Binz, Rudolf Bauerfeind, Beate Sodeik, Luka Cicin-Sain,

Martina Dezeljin & Martin Messerle

Supplementary Information

Figure S1. Foci formation of WT MCMV or ΔM25 infected cells. Monolayers of MEF (**a**) or liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (**b**) were infected with the indicated viruses at low MOI. Images of infected cells were taken 5 days p.i. utilizing viral GFP expression. Scale bar, 100 µm.

 $\mathbf b$

Figure S2. Characteristics of the M25 proteins. (**a)** MEF either mock infected or infected with the vM25HA virus at MOI 1 for the indicated time periods were harvested and lysates were subjected to immunoblotting with an M25-specific antibody. Vimentin served as loading control and the viral E1 protein as marker of

viral infection. (**b, c**) Different mobility of the two major M25 proteins is not due to O-glycosylation and phosphorylation. (**b**) Cells were either treated with O-GlcNAcase inhibitor Thiamet G to enhance global levels of O-glycosylation or after lysis at 24 h p.i. lysates were treated with β-N-Acetylhexosaminidase_f (Hexo) to remove O-GlcNAc. The M25 isoforms were detected using the HA antibody, and O-glycosylation using a GlcNAc-specific antibody. GAPDH was used as loading control. (**c**) Lysates were prepared from cells 24 h p.i. with or without phosphatase inhibitors (PPI) and indicated samples were additionally treated with λ phosphatase (λ PP). Immunoblotting was performed with the indicated antibodies. pERK served as control for the treatments and GAPDH as loading control (**d**) The scheme illustrates the structure and the modification of the M25 ORF within the genome of the indicated viruses. The second and sixth ATG are the predicted start codons for synthesis of the 105 and 130 kDa M25 proteins. Insertion of the GFP ORF is expected to give rise to two GFP products and to disrupt expression of HA-tagged M25 protein species. (**e**) Protein species encoded by the modified M25ORF of the vM25GFPstop virus. MEF were infected with the ∆M25, vM25HA or vM25GFPstop viruses at MOI 1 and lysed 36 h p.i. Immunoblotting was performed using HA and GFP antibodies. GAPDH served as loading control. The molecular mass of the protein species indicated by the arrows is in line with initiation of translation at the predicted start codons (ATG2 and ATG6). Please note that the strong GFP signal for the ΔM25 and vM25HA viruses results from a GFP expression cassette, which is driven by the strong HCMV major immediately early promoter and inserted into the m128 (ie2) locus¹. The vM25GFPstop virus is devoid of this GFP expression cassette. (**f**) Presence of M82 and M83 tegument proteins in virions of the ΔM25 mutant. Virions of the indicated viruses were purified as described in Fig. 2f and presence of the indicated proteins was analyzed by immunoblotting.

26001 CCCCGGCCGG CGGCATGAGC CAGTTCGTAC AGCACGTCGC TGACCGTGGC CTCGGCGTCG TCCACCAGCG GCTCGCACGC GGCGTCGAAC CGCGAGCCG 26101 TTTTCCCCGT CGAATCCATC TCCGCATCCG AACCCTGCCC AGAGATGGAG ACGTGCAACT ATATAAAATC ACGAGAGCCG GGGTCTGCGT GCCAGCCGTC $\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & & & & \\ 26201 & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$ 26201 CTATTCCCCG TGCCGGCGCG TCCCTTTCGA CCTCGAACGC CTGTCCGAGA ACCCCCACTC ACCCGAACGA TGAACCGTCG ATCCTCCAAG GACCGTCG A GIRGVPHAPAS NS ATAAAAS EST YKPLSIPSIPSE E LINE E E E E R D E E D (M) S R D G P R R H S Q D D D F T Y A D PA
26501 GAACTAAACG GCGAAGAGGGA GGAGAGAGAC GAAGAAGACA TGTCACGCGA CGGACCCCGC CGGCACAGCC AGGACGACGA CTTTACTTAT GCGGACCCGG **MM**GNRYGGQSRSAATAG R A R N D S A A S 26601 CCGATGTGAG ACTGCGGGCG ATACTGGGCA ATAGGTACGG CGGACAGAGT CGGAGTGCGG CGACAGCGGG AGCAGCGTCC CGGAATGATA GTGGAAGCGT A I I $T S R$ L F D E D G Y P D P P D D S R H V V V D D 26701 GTCGCCCGTG ACCCTTTTTG ATGAGGACGG ATACGCGATA ATCCCCGACC CACCTACTTC GCGCGACGAT TCGCGACACG TCGTCGTGGA CGACGACGAC K Q R Q Q Q Q Q Q P P R R Q S Y H P P P D Y P P P P P P R Q 27001 CGGAGCTCGC CAAAAACAAC GCCAGCAGCA GCAACAACAG CCTCCACGCC GCCAATCTTA CCACCCTCCA CCCGATTATC CTCCCCCACC TCCGCCCGTA A T V S R P L P R T P N A N D D D D D D D D N D E P G P S N T 27101 CAGGCGACTG TGTCGCGTCC TCTCCCCAGG ACCCCGAACG CCAACGACGA CGATGACGAC GATGACAACG ACGAGCCGGG TCCGAGCAAC ACACGCCGGG R R V D H T E N N H L Y E T P I S A T A K TPC RRV DHTE NNH LYE TPIS A TA MUVIDIE DDE
27201 GCAAAACGCC CTGCCGTCGT GTCGATCACA CGGAGAATAA TCATCTATAC GAGACCCCGA TATCCGCCAC CGCCATGGTG ATCGATATCG AAGATGACGA D EET GGAADDA SIV VEDDDEE EEN DCEE ICDGE COGROOSA $E \quad P \quad A \quad S \quad S \quad T \quad P \quad H \quad R \quad T \quad Q \quad P \quad L \quad P \quad V \quad P \quad P \quad S \quad S \quad P \quad R \quad I \quad T \quad R$ 27401 GAAGAGCCGG CAGCAGCAGC AGCAGCAGC GCGGCATCAT CGTCGACTCC TCATCGCACC CAGCCTCTGC CCGTCCCACC GTCGTCTCCG CGCATCACGC 27501 GCGAGCTCGG GTTCCTGCCC T CYP MPPYTLD ALSEPVLTTKKALRCAGVLENG VLRPVI
27601 CACCTGCTAT CCGATGCCGC CGTACACCCT AGACGCGCTA TCTGAGCCGG TCCTGACCAA GAAGGCGCTG CGCTGCCGGG GGGTGCTGCG GCCCGTCATC 27701 AAGCTAGCCA TCCTGGTGAA TTACTACTGC GTAGGGATCG GGCGTCTGGC CCGTGCTCGC GGCCTGTCCA AAGATCTGAT $\mathbf M$ $\frac{1}{27801}$ CGCT $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} \texttt{H} & & \texttt{K} & \texttt{A} & \texttt{S} & \texttt{C} & \texttt{D} & \texttt{T} & \texttt{I} & \texttt{D} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{L} & & \texttt{M} & \texttt{K} & \texttt{P} & \texttt{M} & \texttt{Q} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{R} & \texttt{E} & \texttt{F} \\ \texttt{27901} & \texttt{GCACAGGCC AGCTGCGATA CGGATCGACCA ACTGGATGAAA CCGATGCAAGCC ACTGCTGAAA} & \texttt{CCGATGACGGA ACCGCTGCGATGAC$ $\mathbf M$ $\,$ N $\begin{array}{cc}\n\text{K} & \text{N} & \text{L} & \text{L} \\
\text{28001} & \text{AAGAACCTGC}\n\end{array}$ COCCORRONO COMOMRONEO COCRRONEON ROMACCEORRON CROCACCAO CROCAMACCA $\mathbf M$ \mathbb{H} $\,$ N \bar{D} \overline{A} $\begin{array}{cc}\nL & N & L \\
28101 & CGCTGAACC\n\end{array}$ E \mathbb{R} G $\mathbf M$ I R C F E D $\mathbf L$ T E \mathbb{L} \overline{A} \circ \mathbb{C} $M \tR$ S <u>RALEEL</u>
28201 CTCGCGCGCGCG CTCGAAGAAC TGCGCGGAAT GATCAGATGC CAGTTCGAGG ACCTGACCGA GACCCTGTAC GCGGCCTACT ATCAGTGTCC CATAA D <u>D Y R V L C S E V A N E I T S P R E D G Q G L</u>
28301 GACGACTACC GCGTGCTGTG CTCCGAGGTG GCGAACGAGA TCACGTCGCC CCGTGAAGAC GGACAGGGCC TGTCCGCGTT GTGCCGCCGC M $A \n28401 \nTCGCGG$ TTTTCTCACC GTCGTACGTC AAGTATCTGA 28501 $CTCGC$ GATCTCTGGC GAACCCAGAC ATCTTCCGCC F V R V D G T P S S S
28601 TTCGTGCGCG TCGACGGGAC ACCCTCGTCT 28901 АСССССССА ТСТАСТТСТТ ТСТТССАТАА ТТТСАСТО АЛ ТАААТААЛСА ТСАСАСАСАА АААААСАСАА САСАСАТССТ СТСТТСТСТА АТТССАТТТА

Figure S3. Nucleotide sequence of the MCMV M25 ORF and deduced amino acid sequence. The nucleotide sequence of ORF M25 of the MCMV Smith strain as annotated by Rawlinson et al. $(1996)^2$ (Genbank accession no: $NC_004065.1$) is depicted. A putative TATA box and the polyadenylation signal sequence³ are indicated as boxes. The transcription start sites and the 3´-end of the transcripts mapped in this study are labeled by arrows and a star, respectively. The putative nuclear localization signal in the M25 amino acid sequence (aa 198-204) is put between parentheses and the amino acid sequence displaying similarity to HCMV UL25 (aa 408 - 807) is underlined. The methionines that give rise to the 105 and 130 kDa M25

proteins are circled and other methionines are marked in bold. The initially proposed start codon of the M25 ORF (nt position $26,015$ ² is labeled in bold.

Figure S4. Growth kinetics of WT MCMV and the ΔM25 mutant. (**a**) Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells were infected with indicated viruses at MOI 0.1 or 1 and virus titers in supernatants of infected cells were determined by plaque assay. (**b, c**) MEF were infected with WT MCMV or the ΔM25 mutant at an MOI 0.5 using centrifugal enhancement. At the indicated days p.i. cells and supernatants were collected separately. Titers of cell-associated virus (**b**) and virus in the supernatant (**c**) were measured by plaque assay. Data points in graphs represent means \pm SD of triplicates.

Figure S5. Overview of the morphological changes elicited by WT MCMV and the ΔM25 mutant during the course of infection. NIH3T3 were infected with indicated viruses at MOI 1 or were mock infected. At indicated time points p.i. cells were fixed, stained with phalloidin-TRITC and examined by confocal microscopy. Scale bars, 10 µm.

Supplementary Methods

Mutagenesis of MCMV BACs. Viral sequences were deleted from the MCMV BACs $pSM3fr-GFP¹$ and $pSM3fr⁴$ by replacement with a PCR-amplified kanamycin resistance (knR) cassette (flanked by FRT sites) utilizing red-α, -β, -γ-mediated recombination in *E.coli* as described⁵. Where appropriate, the knR gene was subsequently excised by FLPrecombinase⁵. Primers used for construction of the different mutants are listed in supplementary table S1. Plasmid pOriM25 was generated by cloning a 4.4 kbp StuI-PstI fragment (nt 25,174 to 29,561 of the MCMV genome) into plasmid pOri6k-linker⁵ and was inserted into BAC $\triangle M24$ -m25.2 $\triangle KnR$ by FLP-mediated recombination⁵, resulting in the BAC M25R. The MCMV BAC S-mCherry-SCP (a kind gift of J. Bosse) encoding an mCherry-tagged small capsid protein⁶ served as template to delete the M25 ORF by *en* passant mutagenesis⁷ using a knR cassette amplified from plasmid pOri6KanRIT (Messerle, unpublished) with primers SmCherrySCPdeltaM25f and SmCherrySCPdeltaM25r primers, resulting in BAC S-mCherry-SCPM25. For *in vivo* analysis the virus MCMV_GFP-P2Aie1/3 was generated, which is based on the full-length, MCK-2-positive MCMV BAC pSM3fr-MCK-2fl⁸. To this end, an eGFP-KnR-P2A cassette was amplified with primers MCMV-iee2-prmr A#1 and MCMV_HSF1 and inserted at the 5´-end of the ie1/ie3 coding region, followed by subsequent excision of the knR marker by *en passant* mutagenesis⁷. MCMV-GFP-ie1/3 was found to replicate to comparable titers as unmodified wild-type MCMV. ORF M25 was deleted from MCMV_GFP-P2A-ie1/3 by following the same strategy as for mutagenesis of S-mCherry-SCP, resulting in BAC MCMV_GFP-P2Aie1/3_M25. The genome of the vM25GFPstop mutant was generated in analogous manner, by inserting the sequences for monomeric GFP directly downstream of the sixth ATG codon of the M25 ORF. The PCR product used for recombination was amplified with primers M25GFPstopF and M25GFPstopR using and plasmid pEP-mGFP-in (B. Sodeik, unpublished) as template.

Growth curves and plaque assay. For growth curve analysis *in vitro*, cells were infected at an MOI 0.1 or 1, and supernatants were collected daily, centrifuged for 5 min at $300 \times g$ to remove cell debris, and frozen at – 80°C until analysis. To compare intracellular and extracellular virus yields, single-step growth analysis was performed using an MOI of 0.5, followed by centrifugal enhancement. Supernatants were harvested and cells were scraped in medium, washed three times in PBS, re-suspended in medium and frozen at -80°C. Upon defrosting cells were disrupted by water bath sonication at 4°C (10-s pulses with amplitude of 60% until the sum of the applied energy was 4 kJ), and finally debris was removed by centrifugation for 5 min at $300 \times g$. Plaque assays were performed on sub-confluent MEF. Briefly, serially diluted supernatants were added to cells followed by incubation for 3 h at 37°C. Media was removed and cells were overlaid with 0.75% carboxymethyl cellulose in DMEM with 10% FCS. Plaques were detected based on virus-driven GFP expression and counted at day 5 p.i.

Analysis of post-translational modification. O-glycosylation. Mock-infected or infected NIH 3T3 cells were lysed 24 h p.i. in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% NP-40, 1% glycerol supplemented whit protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem). Some cell samples were treated from 8 to 24 h p.i. with Thiamet G (25 µM; Santa Cruz), an inhibitor of the cellular O-GlcNAcase enzyme, to increase O-glycosylation of proteins. After lysis some of the samples were treated with β-N-Acetylhexosaminidase_f (NEB) for 2 h at 37°C to remove O-linked glycans. Immunoblotting with an HA- and GlcNac-specific antibody (Cell Signaling) was performed to detect the M25 proteins and O-glycosylation, respectively.

Phosphorylation. Cells were lysed 24 h p.i. in PMP buffer (50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 0.01% Brij 35 pH 7.5 at 25°C; NEB) supplemented with 0.2% NP-40 and protease inhibitors cocktail (Calbiochem). Some samples were additionally supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors cocktail (Bimake). For treatment with λ phosphatase (NEB) (200) Units for 30 min at 30 $^{\circ}$ C) MnCl₂ was added to a final concentration of 1 mM. Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting using HA-, pERK- and GAPDH-specific antibodies. pERK was used as positive control and GAPDH as loading control.

Subcellular fractionation. Conditionally immortalized MEF were seeded in 100 mm cell culture dishes and doxycycline was removed 24 h before infection with indicated viruses. Cells were lysed at indicated times p.i. and subcellular fractions were obtained following a published protocol⁹. Briefly, cells were lysed in 500 µl of SF buffer and lysates were passed 10 times through a 24 Gauge needle. After incubation on ice for 20 min, the cytoplasmic fraction was obtained by centrifugation at 750 \times g for 5 min (4^oC) and further cleared by centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min (4°C). Pellets were washed once in SF buffer and passed again 10 times through a 24 Gauge needle. After another centrifugation step at $750 \times$ g for 5 min (4°C), the nuclear pellet was resuspended in 250 µl of NL buffer. Cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting and purity of the fractions was checked by probing membranes for lamin B or tubulin B.

Quantification of viral genomes. To determine genome copy number per cell quantification was performed by qPCR specific for the MCMV M55/gB gene and the cellular gene *pthrp* and normalization to a standard curve determined with known quantity of plasmid pDrive_gB_PTHrP_Tdy¹⁰. Primers and probes are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Briefly, qPCR reactions were prepared using 2μ l of a ten-time dilution of the isolated DNA, 10 μ l of Brillant II qPCR Master Mix with low ROX (Agilent Technologies), 140 nmol of each primer and 50 nmol of probe. qPCR was performed using ABI 7500 RealTime PCR Machine (Applied Biosystems) controlled by ABI 7500 Software. Following initial denaturation (10 min 95°C), 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, and annealing and extension at 60°C for 1 min were performed. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate and mean Ct values were used for calculating genome copy numbers.

References

- 1. Mathys, S. *et al*. Dendritic cells under influence of mouse cytomegalovirus have a physiologic dual role: to initiate and to restrict T cell activation. *J. Infect. Dis.* **187**, 988- 999. (2003).
- 2. Rawlinson, W. D., Farrell, H. E. & Barrell, B. G. Analysis of the complete DNA sequence of murine cytomegalovirus. *J. Virol.* **70**, 8833-8849. (1996).
- 3. Dallas, P. B., Lyons, P. A., Hudson, J. B., Scalzo, A. A. & Shellam, G. R. Identification and characterization of a murine cytomegalovirus gene with homology to the UL25 open reading frame of human cytomegalovirus. *Virology* **200**, 643-650. (1994).
- 4. Wagner, M., Jonjic, S., Koszinowski, U. H. & Messerle, M. Systematic excision of vector sequences from the BAC-cloned herpesvirus genome during virus reconstitution. *J. Virol.* **73**, 7056-7060. (1999).
- 5. Borst, E. M., Benkartek, C. & Messerle, M. Use of bacterial artificial chromosomes in generating targeted mutations in human and mouse cytomegaloviruses in *Current Protocols in Immunology* (eds. Coligan, J. E. et al.,) 10.32.1-10.32.30 (John Wiley & Sons, 2007).
- 6. Bosse, J. B. *et al*. A beta-herpesvirus with fluorescent capsids to study transport in living cells. *PLoS One* **7**, e40585. (2012).
- 7. Tischer, B. K., Smith, G. A. & Osterrieder, N. En passant mutagenesis: a two step markerless red recombination system. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **634**, 421-430. (2010).
- 8. Jordan, S. *et al*. Virus progeny of murine cytomegalovirus bacterial artificial chromosome pSM3fr show reduced growth in salivary Glands due to a fixed mutation of MCK-2. *J. Virol.* **85**, 10346-10353. (2011).
- 9. Yu, Z., Huang, Z. & Lung, M. L. Subcellular fractionation of cultured human cell lines. *Bio-protocol* **3**, e754. (2013).
- 10. Lemmermann, N. A. W. *et al.* CD8 T-cell immunotherapy of cytomegalovirus disease in the murine model in *Methods in Microbiology* (eds. Kabelitz, D. & Kaufmann, S. H. E.) 369-420 (Academic Press, 2010).

Table S1. Oligonucleotides used in this study

A. Oligonucleotides for cloning

B. Oligonucleotides for BAC mutagenesis

C. Oligonucleotides for qPCR

D. Oligonucleodties for probe construction - Northern blot

E. Oligonucleotides for RACE experiments

