

Supporting Table S8: Statistical comparison of performance between CycleFold-TurboFold and plmc. If $p < 0.05$, the name of the program with significantly higher performance is provided.

program 1	Program 2	metric	Significantly better performer	P value
CycleFold	plmc	PPV(canonical)	CycleFold	0.00278
CycleFold	plmc	Sens. (canonical)	none	0.360
CycleFold	plmc	PPV (non-canonical)	none	0.0998
CycleFold	plmc	Sens. (non-canonical)	none	0.149