### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Expression of a peptidoglycan hydrolase from lytic bacteriophages Atu\_ph02 and Atu\_ph03 triggers lysis of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* 

Hedieh Attai, Jeanette Rimbey<sup>\*</sup>, George P. Smith, and Pamela J.B. Brown<sup>#</sup> Division of Biological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA

Running Head: Lytic bacteriophages and lysis proteins impact A. tumefaciens growth

\*Current address: Department of Biological and Insect Control, DuPont-Pioneer, Johnston, Iowa, USA

#Address correspondence to Pamela J.B. Brown, brownpb@missouri.edu

#### 1) SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

- 2) SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES S1-S2
- 3) SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES AND LEGENDS S1 S4
- 4) SUPPLEMENTAL MOVIE LEGENDS
- 5) SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

### SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

Clonal isolation of bacteriophage strains. Water samples were filtered by passing through a 0.45 µm membrane (Millipore Ultrafree – CL, Low-binding Durapore PVDP membrane) and 890  $\mu$ l of filtrate was mixed with 100  $\mu$ l 10X LB and 10  $\mu$ l A. *tumefaciens* C58 at a starting OD<sub>600</sub> of  $\sim$ 0.2. Cultures were incubated at 28°C in LB broth for 16 h while shaking. Cultures that appeared clear were screened for plaque formation. If the culture was turbid, supernatants were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 10 min and 100 µl filtrate were mixed with 100 µl bacteria (OD<sub>600</sub> ~0.2) for another round of amplification. If cultures remained turbid after 5 rounds of amplification, the filtrate was considered to be negative for lytic activity. Filtrates that caused clearing of the bacterial culture within 5 rounds of amplification were examined for evidence of phage activity. Filtrates were screened for phage activity using a spot assay for detection of plagues. Whole plate plaque assays were performed using filtrates which produced plaques in the spot assay. Individual plaques were suspended in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, Mediatech, Inc., Manassas, VA, USA) with gelatin added (1:20). Three rounds of purification comprised of selecting individual plaques after whole plate plaque assays were completed for each bacteriophage to ensure homogenous bacteriophage populations.

**Concentration and partial purification of virions.** Concentrated phage stocks were produced by polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation. For PEG precipitation, filtered lysates were scaled up to 1 L and centrifuged at 11,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C to remove bacterial cells. To the supernatants, 400  $\mu$ l 10 mg/ml RNase A (Sigma) and 1 ml 3.45 mg/ml DNase I (Sigma) were added for removal of bacterial genomic DNA and RNAs.

2

After 1 h of stirring at room temperature, NaCl (final concentration of 500 mM) and 10% w/w PEG 8000 (Fisher) were added and the solution was stirred for 2 h until dissolved. Bacteriophages were precipitated for 16 h at 4°C. Precipitated bacteriophages were collected by centrifugation at 11,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C and resuspended in 30 ml DPBS. The bacteriophage solution was incubated with shaking overnight at 4°C. Insoluble material was removed and the supernatant was recovered. NaCl (final concentration 0.5M) and PEG 8000 (10% w/w) were added to the supernatant and the solution rotated for 2 h at 4°C. Bacteriophages were precipitated, collected by centrifuging for 20 min at 17,000 x g at 4°C, and resuspended 8 ml DPBS. The bacteriophage solution was rotated overnight at 4°C. This viscous solution was centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 2 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected. The bacteriophage solution was mixed with 300  $\mu$ l 10 mM phenol red and 22 ml DPBS and centrifuged at 17,000 x g for 30 min and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was overlayed with a 2 ml sucrose (5% w/w) cushion and ultracentrifuged at 141,000 x g for 2 h at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed in DPBS and dissolved in 1 ml DPBS. All phage stocks were stored at 4°C.

**Preparation of virion DNA.** Two 500- $\mu$ l portions of partially purified virions in 1.5-ml microtubes were extracted twice with 500  $\mu$ l neutralized phenol (water-saturated phenol shaken twice with 1/10 vol 1 M Tris.HCl pH 8, discarding the upper phase each time) and once with 500  $\mu$ l chloroform (a 24:1 mixture of chloroform and isoamyl alcohol), each time discarding the organic (lower) phases. To the final aqueous phases were added 40  $\mu$ l 3 M sodium acetate, pH adjusted to 6 with acetic acid, and 1 ml ethanol; precipitates

were pelleted by a 10-min centrifugation in a microfuge; supernatants were aspirated; pellets were gently washed by adding 1 ml freezer-cold 70% v/v ethanol and aspirating the liquid; pellets were air-dried, dissolved in 100  $\mu$ l TE (10 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA), and centrifuged 10 min in a microfuge to clear insoluble material; supernatants were pooled and stored at -20°C.

**DNA restriction analysis.** Phage genomic DNA was digested with restriction endonucleases from New England Biolabs using the standard protocol. All reactions contained 2.5 μg DNA and were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Restriction patterns were analyzed on a 0.7% agarose gel, which ran at 100 V for 1 h and was stained with SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Thermo Scientific).

# SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Atu_	ph03	Atu_	ph02		Atu_ph03		Atu_ph02		
gene	length	gene	length	percent	gene	length	gene	length	percent
product	in AA <sup>a</sup>	product	in AA <sup>a</sup>	identity <sup>b</sup>	product	in AA <sup>a</sup>	product	in AA <sup>a</sup>	identity <sup>b</sup>
gp1	41	gp1	41	72.5	gp31	327	gp28	327	100
gp2	173	gp2	173	100	gp32	212	gp29	212	99.53
gp3	336	gp3	336	100	gp33	823	gp30	823	99.64
gp4	415	gp4	409	99.26	gp34	169	gp31	169	99.4
gp5	134	gp5	134	100	gp35	1192	gp32	1192	99.83
gp6	449	gp6	449	100	gp36	1255	gp33	1255	99.68
gp7	68	gp7	68	83.58	gp37	507	gp34	507	98.62
gp8	39	gp8	163	77.78	gp38	181	gp35	181	98.33
gp9	123	gp8	163	92.62	gp39	59	gp36	59	100
gp10	486	gp9	486	99.79	gp40	107	gp37	107	100
gp11	224	gp10	210	69.78	gp41	612	gp38	623	100
gp12	55				gp42	122	gp39	122	100
gp13	331	gp11	337	93.75	gp43	91	gp40	91	100
gp14	180	gp12	181	88.27	gp44	149	gp41	149	99.32
gp15	786	gp13	787	98.09	gp45	64	gp42	64	100
gp16	291	gp14	291	100	gp46	289	gp43	289	98.96
gp17	77	gp15	77	100	gp47	47	gp44	47	94.59
gp18	38	gp16	38	100	gp48	222	gp45	222	98.19
gp19	317	gp17	317	98.73	gp49	124	gp46	124	100
gp20	61	gp18	61	100	gp50	61	gp47	60	93.22
gp21	77	gp19	77	96.05			gp48	104	
gp22	128	gp20	128	100	gp51	65	gp49	65	85.94
gp23	816	gp21	816	99.88	gp52	88	gp50	88	49.33
gp24	57	gp22	57	100	gp53	50			
gp25	66	gp23	66	100	gp54	89	gp51	105	59.00
gp26	155	gp24	155	100	gp55	107	gp52	107	93.40
gp27	88				gp56	78	gp53	78	98.70
gp28	68	gp25	68	100	gp57	91	gp54	95	100
gp29	533	gp26	533	99.62	gp58	40	gp55	40	100
gp30	296	gp27	296	99.66					

# Table S1. Comparison of gene products encoded in Atu\_ph02 and Atu\_ph03

<sup>a</sup>Length of each gene product is given in amino acids (AA) <sup>b</sup>Percent identites were determined by blastx analysis using each predicted ORF in

Atu\_ph03 as query against the protein database for Atu\_ph02

		Simila	rity of putativ					
A tu a	<b>h</b> 02	Dha aha?	E-Val	ue (percent	TT7	abiVMV	Τ5	Dutative Eunstian <sup>b</sup>
Atu_	1 41-	Rhe_phe2	Rhe_phes	WedPE-	17	phikiviv	15	Putative Function
gene				Sweel-				
product gp1	111 AA			0.50				OPFon
gp1	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	Uxpathatiaal matain
gp2	1/3	gp014	gp013	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
		8e	$2e^{-1}$					
2	226	(33%)	(34%)					<b>TT</b> (1 (* 1
gp3	330	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical
								peptidoglycan binding
4	417							protein (PPH)
gp4	415	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNA primase
gp5	134	gp020	gp020	gp17	-	-	-	DNA primase
		8e <sup>24</sup>	9e <sup>24</sup>	Se of				
	1.10	(42%)	(42%)	(28%)		1.5		DNA 1 1
gp6	449	gp021	gp021	gp19	-	gp15	-	DNA helicase
		2e <sup>141</sup>	2e <sup>141</sup>	$1e^{124}$		/e <sup>35</sup>		
	(0)	(49%)	(49%)	(43%)		(33%)		ODE
gp7	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp8	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp9	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp10	486	gp022	gp022	gp20	-	-	gp004	A1 protein
		$3e^{-3}$	$3e^{-3}$	$6e^{10}$			/e <sup>100</sup>	
11	22.4	(49%)	(48%)	(43%)			(38%)	
gp11	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	Appointerical protein
gp12 gp12	221	-	- an026	-	-	-	-	ATD dependent DNA
gp15	551	$2e^{46}$	20 <sup>-46</sup>	gp23 $2e^{-21}$	-	-	-	ATF-dependent DNA
		(35%)	(34%)	(28%)				ngase
gn14	180	-	-	-	_	_	-	ORFan
gn15	786	gp028	gp028	gp26	_	9n 19	_	DNA-directed DNA
8r		0.0	0.0	0.0		$5e^{-114}$		polymerase
		(52%)	(52%)	(49%)		(32%)		Polymerase
gp16	291	gp029	gp029	gp28	-	gp21	_	Hypothetical protein
01		9e <sup>-91</sup>	8e <sup>-92</sup>	$3e^{-39}$		$3e^{-27}$		
		(52%)	(53%)	(35%)		(33%)		
gp17	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp18	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp19	317	gp031	gp031	gp29	-	gp22	-	5'-3' exonuclease
		$2e^{-125}$	$2e^{-125}$	3e <sup>-76</sup>		6e <sup>-28</sup>		
		(56%)	(56%)	(41%)		(32%)		
gp20	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp21	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp22	128	gp034	gp034	gp32	-	gp23	-	Recombination
		$2e^{-20}$	$1e^{-26}$	$1e^{-25}$		$2e^{-16}$		endonuclease VII
		(42%)	(42%)	(37%)		(38%)		
gp23	816	gp036	gp037	gp33	gp1	gp26	-	T7-like RNA
		0.0	0.0	0.0	3e <sup>-125</sup>	1e <sup>-90</sup>		polymerase
2.1	- 7	(49%)	(48%)	(42%)	(49%)	(29%)		
gp24	5/	gp03/	gp0.38	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
		40 (60%)	5e (60%)					
L	1	(0070)	(0070)	1	L	1	1	

Table S2. Similarity	v of	putative Atu	ph03	proteins to	proteins ir	i select	bacteriophages
	/ ~ -						

gp25	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
gp26	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	N-acetyltransferase
gp27	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp28	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp29	533	gp043	gp042	gp37	gp8	gp30	-	Tail-head connector
		$1e^{-173}$	$9e^{-176}$	$9e^{-104}$	8e <sup>-55</sup>	$3e^{-37}$		protein
		(50%)	(48%)	(36%)	(29%)	(29%)		
gp30	296	gp043	gp044	gp38	-	gp31	-	Capsid assembly
		$1e^{-41}$	$1e^{-40}$	8e <sup>-19</sup>		0.001		protein
		(38%)	(38%)	(31%)		(30%)		
gp31	327	gp044	gp045	gp39	-	gp32	-	Major capsid protein
		/e <sup>-134</sup>	2e <sup>-155</sup>	8e <sup>-125</sup>		3e <sup>-55</sup>		
20	212	(66%)	(66%)	(53%)		(27%)		
gp32	212	gp045	gp046	-	-	-	-	I all tubular protein A
		(4207)	(4207)					
~~??	012	(45%)	(43%)	~n/1	~ 12	~m24		Toil tubular protain D
gpss	823	gp040	gp047	gp41 0e <sup>-92</sup>	gp12 5e <sup>-62</sup>	2054 10 <sup>-46</sup>	-	Tan tubular protein B
		(14%)	(14%)	9e (20%)	(27%)	(28%)		
gn34	160	(44.70)	(44.70)	(2970)	(2170)	(2070)		Internal virion protein
gp54	109	$4e^{-18}$	$4e^{-18}$	-	-	-	-	internal virion protein
		(40%)	(40%)					
9p35	1192	gp048	gp049	_	_	_	_	Cell wall hydrolase:
SPUS	1172	1e <sup>-68</sup>	1e <sup>-68</sup>					M15 peptidase
		(43%)	(43%)					inits peptidase
gp36	1255	gp049	gp050	gp44	-	gp37	-	Internal virion protein
01		0.0	0.0	$5e^{-78}$		$1e^{-19}$		1
		(33%)	(33%)	(27%)		(24%)		
gp37	507	gp050	gp051	gp45	-	-	-	Tail fiber protein
		3e <sup>-29</sup>	$2e^{-28}$	1e <sup>-19</sup>				_
		(46%)	(46%)	(41%)				
gp38	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
gp39	59	gp052	gp053	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
		3e <sup>-13</sup>	3e <sup>-12</sup>					
		(41%)	(36%)					
gp40	107	gp053	-	gp47	-	gp42	-	Terminase, small
		$2e^{-20}$		1e <sup>-09</sup>		5e <sup>-05</sup>		subunit
4.1	(12	(41%)	0.5.5	(33%)	10	(33%)		
gp41	612	gp054	gp055	gp48	gp19	gp43	-	Terminase, large
		(650)	(6.107)	0/0	$4e^{-6}$	$1e^{-62}$		subunit
~~ 12	100	(03%)	(04%)	(38%)	(33%)	(40%)		Uvnathatiaal matain
gp42	122	$10^{-14}$	$10^{-14}$	-	-	-	-	Hypothetical protein
		(37%)	(37%)					
gp/13	91	(3770)	(3770)		_			OREan
gp43	149				_			ORFan
gp44 gp45	64				_		_	ORFan
 	289	_	_	_	_	_	_	Hypothetical protein
gn47	47	-	-	_	_	_	_	ORFan
gn48	2.2.2	gn006	gn004	_	_	_	_	Hypothetical protein
Br '		1e <sup>-25</sup>	6e <sup>-26</sup>					
		(34%)	(35%)					
gp49	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp50	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan

gp51	65	-	-	_	-	-	-	ORFan
gp52	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp53	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp54	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp55	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp56	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	ORFan
gp57	91	-	-	_	-	_	-	ORFan
gp58	40	-	-	_	-	_	-	ORFan

<sup>a</sup>E-values and percent identity were determined by blastp analysis using each predicted ORF in Atu\_ph03 as query against the protein databases for Rhizobium phage RHEph02 (taxid:1220602), Rhizobium phage RHEph08 (taxid:1220715), Phage MedPE-SWcel-C56 (taxid: 1871314), Bacteriophage T7 (taxid: 10760), Bacteriophage phiKMV (taxid: 204270) and Bacteriophage T5 (taxid: 10726). – indicates that a significant hit was not detected in the pairwise comparison.

<sup>b</sup> ORFans are predicted proteins that do not have significant hits in the nr database (1). Hypothetical proteins share homology with proteins in the nr database.

## SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES



Supplemental Figure S1. Initial characterization of phage genomic DNA shows
Atu\_ph02 and Atu\_ph03 are distinct. (A) Agarose gel containing undigested genomic
DNA extracted from *A. tumefaciens* strain C58, phage Atu\_ph02 (02), and phage
Atu\_ph03 (03). (B) Restriction fragment pattern analysis of Atu\_ph02 (02) and Atu\_ph03
(03) genomic DNA digested with *EcoRI*, *NheI*, and *Hin*dIII.



**Supplemental Figure S2.** Phage Atu\_ph03 and Atu\_ph02 are very similar. (A) Dot plot analysis comparing the nucleotide sequences of phages Atu\_ph03 and Atu\_ph02 genomes. Insets highlight areas of difference. (B) Blast analysis of the protein sequences encoded in Atu\_ph02 and Atu\_ph03. The CDS of Atu\_ph03 (blue arrows) and the Blast comparison with Atu\_ph02 (maroon) are shown.



**Supplemental Figure S3.** Clustal alignment of DUF3380 domains from various phage proteins with similarity to the DUF3380 from Salmonella phage 10 endolysin and bacterial PG-binding proteins with similarity to the DUF3380 found in PPH. Conserved ES residues are present in all sequences and shown in a red box. Consensus identity for the sequence is mapped along the top of the alignment. Green = 100% identical, gold = 30-100%, red = <30%, no color = 0%. (1) *Dickeya* virus Limestone putative endolysin YP 007237392.1 (aa 90-260), (2) Shigella phage Ag3 hypothetical protein YP 003358573.1 (aa 90-261), (3) *Klebsiella* phage 0507-KN2-1 phage-encoded PG binding protein YP 008531963.1 (aa 90-261), (4) Salmonella phage 10 endolysin gp110 ANK36008.1 (aa 90-261), (5) Salmonella phage Vil phage encoded PG-binding protein YP 004327457.1 (aa 90-261), (6) Serratia phage phiMAM1 PG-binding protein YP 007349105.1 (aa 90-261), (7) Erwinia phage phiEa2809 putative PG binding protein YP 009147574.1 (90-261), (8) Brucella abortus hypothetical protein WP 006091019.1 (20-190), (9) Ochrobacterium anthropis PG-binding protein WP 061347616.1 (aa 20-190), (10) Agrobacterium phage Atu ph03 PPH (aa 26-196).



**Supplemental Figure S4.** Growth of *A. tumefaciens* with plasmids to express variants of *pph* under uninduced conditions. (A) Growth curve of *A. tumefaciens* growth when expressing plasmid pSRKKm with variants of *pph* under uninduced conditions. (B) Cell viability of *A. tumefaciens* containing plasmids to express variants of *pph* grown under uninduced conditions.



**Supplemental Figure S5.** Clearing of peptidoglycan is observed when PPH is expressed in *A. tumefaciens*. Zymogram (left) and SDS polyacrylamide gel (right) loaded with 30 µg whole cell lysates of *A. tumefaciens* lacking PPH, expressing PPH, and expressing PPH<sub>E32A</sub>.

### SUPPLEMENTAL MOVIE LEGENDS

**Supplemental Movie S1.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of *A. tumefaciens* strain C58 growing for 7 h, 10 frames per second (fps). Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ . White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 2B, upper panel.

**Supplemental Movie S2.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells infected with Atu\_ph03 at an MOI of 0.01 for 7 h, 10 fps. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 2B, lower panel. Fields 1 and 2 show separate representative fields. **Supplemental Movie S3.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells infected with Atu\_ph02 at an MOI of 0.01 for 7 h, 10 fps. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 2B, center panel. **Supplemental Movie S4.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-sf*gfp* for 16 h, 10 fps. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ . White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5E.

**Supplemental Movie S5.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-PPH growing for 16 h, 10 fps. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ . White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5F.

**Supplemental Movie S6.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-PPH<sub>E32A</sub> growing for 20 h, 10 fps. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ . White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5G.

**Supplemental Movie S7.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-PPH<sub>K328A,K334A,K335A</sub> growing for 7 h, 10 fps. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5H.

**Supplemental Movie S8.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-PPH<sub>E32A,K328A,K334A,K335A</sub> growing for 16 h, 10 fps. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5I.

**Supplemental Movie S9.** Time-lapse microscopy in DIC of cells expressing pSRKKm-Plac-PPH<sub>1-304</sub> growing for 16 h, 10 fps. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ . White asterisk indicates the cell shown in Figure 5J.

### SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

1. **Yin Y, Fischer D.** 2008. Identification and investigation of ORFans in the viral world. BMC Genomics **9:24**.