Supplementary information

Supplementary figures



6

7 Supplementary Fig. 1 | Orexin neurons are activated by emotionally salient cues and contexts.

8 (a) Experimental protocol. (b) Images show Fos immunoreactivity in orexin neurons in cued

9 (n = 4) and contextual (n = 3) fear test compared to home cage control (n = 3) at 24 h after

10 conditioning (Homecage, n = 4; Context test, n = 3; Cued test, n = 4: One-Way ANOVA with

11 Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(2, 8)} = 21.81$, p = 0.0006). Values are presented as mean \pm SEM. **p

12 < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Scale bar, 100 μ m.



Supplementary Fig. 2 | Generation and analysis of OX1R floxed mouse. (a) Schematic 15

- representation of strategy to generate $OX1R^{f/f}$ mice. Mice containing targeted allele were 16
- mated with Flp mice to remove the Neo cassette. Then, these mice were crossed with NAT-17
- *Cre* mice to excise Exons 5 and 6 especially in NA^{LC} neurons. (b) Specific Cre expression in 18
- NA^{LC} neurons in *NAT-Cre* mated with *Rosa26Sor*^{tm1sor} mice stained with β -galactosidase 19
- antibody. Scale bar, 2 mm. (c) Cre-mediated recombination in NA^{LC} neurons of NAT-Cre 20
- mice mated with Rosa26-Td Tomato reporter mice is visualized by red fluorescence. Scale 21

- bar, 100 μ m. (d) Most of the TH-positive neurons in LC were Td-Tomato positive (96.01 \pm
- 23 0.7%, n = 3) and there were a few population of the TH-negative and Td-Tomato positive
- cells around LC $(9.39 \pm 1.1\%, n = 3)$. (e) We confirmed specific deletion of OX1R mRNA in
- 25 NA^{LC} neurons with combination of *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry for
- ²⁶ detecting *OX1R* and TH. Scale bars, 50 µm (Left, low magnified), 25 µm (Right, high
- 27 magnified). (f-h) *NAT-Cre* mice showed normal freezing response in cued fear conditioning
- 28 (*NAT-Cre-*; n = 6, *NAT-Cre+*; n = 8: Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1, 12)} =$
- 0.4461, p = 0.5168), cued fear test (Freezing overtime: Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's
- 30 post-hoc test, $F_{(1, 12)} = 0.0036$, p = 0.9529, left; Average freezing: Average freezing: unpaired
- two-tailed Student's *t*-test, t = 0.0667, p = 0.9483, right) and contextual fear test (Freezing
- overtime: Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1, 12)} = 0.4973$, p = 0.4941, left;
- Average freezing: Average freezing: unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, t = 0.7420, p =
- 0.4724, right). Values are presented as mean \pm SEM.
- 35

b a Input cell (NA^{LC} neurons) Starter cell (NA^{LC} neurons) TΗ ΤН Τ\/Α SAD∆G SAD∆G \triangleleft SADAG Merged Input cell (other neurons) SAD∆G LC ?? С SAD∆G DAP LA ?? p1PAG 'Output' 'Inpuť LH 'Input' LH VM SAD∆G SAD∆G 3V CeA MPB Ba

36

Supplementary Fig. 3 | Input of NA^{$LC \rightarrow LA$} neurons revealed by cTRIO method. (a) Images 37 show $TH^+/TVA^+/SAD\Delta G^+$ cells (starter cells: yellow arrow heads) and $TH^+/SAD\Delta G^+$ cells 38 [input cells (NA^{LC}): red arrow heads]. Scale bar, 50 µm. (b) Cartoon showing classification of 39 starter cells (NA^{LC} neurons), input cells (NA^{LC} neurons) and input cells of other neurons 40 $(SAD\Delta G^+$ cells; white arrow heads). (c) Images showing input cells in the ventral medulla 41 (VM), medial parabrachial nucleus (MPB), Barrington's nucleus (Bar), periaqueductal gray 42 (p1PAG), lateral hypothalamus (LH), central amygdala (CeA), and Paraventricular nucleus 43 (PVN). Scale bars, 300 µm (upper), 100 µm (lower). 44



47 Supplementary Fig. 4 | Trials with failed optic fiber placement in vLWO-mediated inhibition showed no effect on freezing behavior. (a) Positions of optical fiber tip placement. We 48 49 excluded the trial if the tip was not directly above the LC or the tip itself severely destroyed LC. (b) Representative image showing failed tip placement stained with TH and DAPI. (c) 50 Results of fear conditioning with Orexin-Cre-;vLWO Success and Orexin-Cre+;vLWO 51 Failure group, showing no difference between these groups (*Orexin-Cre-;vLWO* Success, *n* = 52 4; Orexin-Cre+; vLWO Failure, n = 6: Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1,8)} =$ 53 0.2584, p = 0.6249). (d) The failed tip placement group showed significantly longer freezing 54 time similar to the Orexin-Cre-;vLWO Success group (Freezing overtime: Two-Way RM 55 ANOVA with Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1, 8)} = 0.0029$, p = 0.9582, left; Average freeizing: 56 unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test, t = 0.2748, p = 0.7906, right). Values are presented as 57 mean \pm SEM. ***p < 0.001. Scale bar, 300 μ m. 58 59



Supplementary Fig. 5 | ChR2-mediated stimulation of orexin fibers showed no effect on 61 freezing behavior. (a) Place of optical fiber tip at the LC for laser stimulation of $\operatorname{orexin}^{LH \to LC}$ 62 confirmed after cued fear conditioning. We excluded the trial if the tip was not precisely 63 placed above the LC or the tip itself severely destroyed the LC. (b) Representative image 64 showing failed tip placement stained with TH and DAPI. (c) Results of fear conditioning with 65 Orexin-Cre-; ChR2 Success and Orexin-Cre+; ChR2 Failure group showing no difference 66 between these groups (*Orexin-Cre-; ChR2* Success, n = 7; *Orexin-Cre+; ChR2* Failure, n = 6: 67 Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1,11)} = 1.104$, p = 0.3160). (d) The Orexin-68 Cre+; ChR2 Failure group with laser stimulation didn't show any increase in freezing time 69 70 similar to the Orexin-Cre-; ChR2 Success group (Freezing over time: Two-Way RM ANOVA with Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1,11)} = 3.191$, p = 0.1016, left; Average freezing: unpaired two-71 tailed Student's *t*-test, t = 1.808, p = 0.0992, right). Values are presented as mean \pm SEM. 72 Scale bar, 300 µm. 73





Supplementary Fig. 6 | Trials with failed optic fiber placement showed no effect on freezing 76 behavior. (a) Summary of optical fiber tip placements for laser stimulation of $NA^{LC \rightarrow LA}$ after 77 cued fear conditioning. We excluded the trial if the tip was not precisely above the LA or the 78 tip itself severely destroyed the LA. (b) Representative image showing failed tip placement 79 around the LA stained with DAPI. (c) Results of cued fear conditioning with NAT-Cre-; ChR2 80 Success and *NAT-Cre+;ChR2* Failure groups showing no difference between these groups 81 (*NAT-Cre-; ChR2* Success, *n* = 7; *NAT-Cre+; ChR2* Failure, *n* = 4: Two-Way RM ANOVA, 82 Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(1, 9)} = 2.406$, p = 0.1553). (d) The *NAT-Cre+; ChR2* Failure group 83 didn't show any increase in freezing time with laser stimulation similar to the NAT-84 Cre-; ChR2 Success group (Freezing over time: Two-Way RM ANOVA, Sidak's post-hoc 85 test, $F_{(1,9)} = 0.1852$, p = 0.6770, left; Average freezing: unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, *t* 86 = 0.1635, p = 0.8749, right). Values are presented as mean \pm SEM. Scale bar, 600 μ m. 87 88



90 Supplementary Fig. 7 | Optogenetic stimulation of NA^{LC} or orexin^{LH} fibers induced increased

91 but delayed freezing behavior. (a) There was no difference in maximum freezing time

between ones induced by 150 s CS presentation or laser stimulation (WT-CS, n = 3; *NAT*-

93 Cre+;ChR2, n = 6; Orexin-Cre+;ChR2, n = 4: One-Way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test,

94 $F_{(2,11)} = 3.492, p = 0.0669$). (b) Photostimulation of NA^{LC} neurons or orexin^{LH} fibers showed

a tendency to induce a delayed freezing response compared with that induced by auditory CS

96 after cued fear conditioning (WT-CS, *n* = 3; *NAT-Cre*+:*ChR2*, *n* = 6; *Orexin-Cre*+:*ChR2*, *n* =

97 5: One-Way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test, $F_{(2,11)} = 2.333$, p = 0.1430). Values are

98 presented as mean \pm SEM.



101

Supplementary Fig. 8 | Fasting increases freezing which is dependent on OX1R. (a) 102 Schematic representation of strategy of fasting manipulation (b) 16 h fasting induced 103 significant reduction of mouse's body weight (Fed, n = 10; Fasted, n = 10; Fasted+ SB, n =104 11: One-Way ANOVA with Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(2,28)} = 185.1$, p < 0.0001). (c) Result of 105 cued fear conditioning before fasting (Two-Way RM ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test, F 106 $_{(2,28)} = 0.2796$, p = 0.7582). (d) Fasted mice showed increased freezing time even in context 107 A' as compared to Fed mice. Fasted mice treated with SB334867(SB) showed almost the 108 same tendency to Fed mice (Two-Way RM ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test, $F_{(2,28)}$ = 109 6.374, p = 0.0052). (e) Fasting-induced freezing was negatively correlated with the weight 110 change (n = 20, r = -6.421, p = 0.0023). (f) Activation of orexin^{LH} neurons was negatively 111 correlated with the weight change (n = 9, r = -0.9433, p = 0.0001). (g) Fasting-induced 112 freezing was positively correlated with the activation of orexin^{LH} neurons (n = 9, r = 0.715, p113 = 0.0304). (h) or exin^{LH} neurons, NA^{LC} neurons and LA neurons were highly activated by 114 fasting condition, and intraperitoneal injection of SB334867 inhibited the activation of NA^{LC} 115 and downstream LA neurons (or exin^{LH}; Fed, n = 5; Fasted, n = 4; Fasted+SB, n = 6: One-116 Way ANOVA with Sidak's post-hoc test, $F_{(2,12)} = 23.28$, p < 0.0001; NA^{LC}; Fed, n = 7; 117 Fasted, n = 7; Fasted+SB, n = 9: One-Way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test, $F_{(2, 20)} =$ 118 5.202, p = 0.0152; LA; Fed, n = 4; Fasted, n = 5; Fasted+SB, n = 4: One-Way ANOVA with 119

Tukey's post hoc test, $F_{(2, 10)} = 9.967$, p = 0.0042). Images show the Orexin⁺/Fos⁺ cells in orexin neurons (left column), TH⁺/Fos⁺ cells in NA^{LC} neurons (center column), Fos⁺ cells in the LA region (mm²) (right column) in each group. Scale bars: 100 µm. Bottom figures show the percentage of these cells in different regions regarding Fed, Fasted, Fasted+SB groups. Values are presented as mean ± SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Scale bar, 500 µm.



126

127 Supplementary Fig. 9 | Change of baseline freezing after fear conditioning. (a) Schematic

drawing showing experimental protocol. (b) Baseline freezing time significantly changed

because of the fear conditioning manipulation (*Orexin-Cre+;ChR2*, n = 5; *Orexin-*

130 Cre+;ChR2 (Vehicle), n = 5; NAT-Cre+;ChR2, n = 6: Pre vs Post, unpaired two-tailed

- 131 Student's *t*-test, t = 3.216, p = 0.0241; t = 2.247, p = 0.0484; t = 2.136, p = 0.0726). Values
- 132 are presented as mean \pm SEM. *p < 0.05.