BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>editorial.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

Time of day variations in novel cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older men

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018264
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Jun-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Sartini, Claudio; University College of London, Primary Care and Population Health Whincup, Peter; St Georges, University of London, Population Health Research Institute Wannamethee, Goya; University College London Jefferis, Barbara ; University College London Lennon, Lucy; UCL, Department of Primary Care and Population Health Lowe, Gordon; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Welsh, Paul; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Sattar, Naveed; University of Glasgow, BHF centre Morris, Richard; University of Bristol, School of Social & Community Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	biological markers, older adults, cardiovascular disease, diurnal variations

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

3	1	Title: Time of day variations in novel cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older
4	2	men
5	2	men
7		
8	3	Claudio Sartini, MSc ^{1*} , Peter H Whincup, PhD ² , S Gova Wannamethee, PhD ¹ , Barbara J
9	0	
10	4	lefferis PhD ¹ Lucy Lennon MSc ¹ Gordon DO Lowe PhD ³ Paul Welsh PhD ³ Naveed
11	·	
12	5	Sattar PhD^{3} and Richard W Morris PhD^{4}
13	5	
15	6	¹ Department of Primary Care & Population Health University College London, Rowland Hill
16	0	Department of Frinary Care & Fopulation Health, Oniversity Conege London, Nowiand Thir
17	7	Street NW/2 2DE London LIK
18	/	Street, NWS 2PP, London. OK
19	0	² Deputation Health Research Institute, St George's University of London, Granmer Terrase
20	8	Population Health Research Institute, St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace
21	0	
23	9	London SW17 URE, UK.
24	10	
25	10	Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 81A
26	11	
27	11	UK
20 29	10	4 calculation of the second state of the secon
30	12	School of Social & Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Rd,
31	10	
32	13	Bristol BS8 2PS, UK.
33		
34	14	
30 36		*
37	15	Corresponding Author
38		
39	16	E-mail: <u>c.sartini@ucl.ac.uk;</u>
40		
41 42	17	
42	10	
44	18	Manuscript number of words: 3897 words (including title page, abstract, manuscript,
45	19	tables).
46		
47	20	
48 40		
49 50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		

- 3 4	21
5 6	22
7 0	23
8 9	24
10 11	25
12 13	26
14 15	27
16 16 17	28
18	29
19 20	30
21 22	31
23 24	32
25	33
26 27	34
28 29	35
30 31	36
32	37
34	38
35 36	39
37 38	40
39 40	41
40 41	
42 43	
44 45	
46	
47	
49 50	
51 52	
53 54	
55 55	
56 57	
58 59	
60	

12

21 Abstract (250 words, now 250)

Objective: We estimated associations of time of day with novel cardiovascular disease (CVD)
 risk factors measured in older men.

24 **Methods:** Novel CVD risk factors (markers of inflammation and haemostasis, and cardiac

25 markers) were measured on one occasion between 08:00-19:00 hours in 4252 men aged 60-

26 79 years from the British Regional Heart Study. Linear models were used to estimate

27 associations between time of day and risk factors. When an association was found, we

28 examined whether the relationship between risk factors and cardiovascular mortality was

29 affected by the adjustment for time of day using survival analyses.

30 **Results**: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP) levels increased by 3.3% per

31 hour [95% Confidence interval (CI) 1.9; 4.8], Interleukin-6 (IL-6) increased by 2.6% per hour

32 (95% CI 1.8; 3.4), while Tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) decreased by 3.3% per hour

33 (95% Cl 3.7; 2.9); these associations were unaffected by adjustment for possible

34 confounding factors. The percentages of variation in these risk factors attributable to time

35 of day were less than 2%. In survival analyses, the association of IL-6, NT-ProBNP, and t-PA

36 with cardiovascular mortality was not affected by the adjustment for time of day. C-Reactive

37 Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T showed no

38 associations with time of day.

39 **Conclusions:** In older men, markers of inflammation (IL-6), haemostasis (t-PA), and a cardiac

40 marker (NT-ProBNP) varied by time of day. The contribution of time of day to variations in

41 these markers was small, and did not appear to be relevant for the CVD risk prediction.

BMJ Open

42 Strengths and limitations of this study (Max 5 points)

- 43 1) In older adults diurnal variations in novel CVD risk factors have not been yet estimated; to
- 44 our knowledge the findings from this study are novel
- 45 2) Previous studies suggested that diurnal variations in CVD risk factors could be relevant for
- 46 cardiovascular risk prediction, but without testing the validity of this statement using
- 47 statistical analysis. Our analysis answered this question and went beyond simple descriptive
- 48 diurnal patterns of CVD risk factors
- 49 3) The BRHS cohort benefits from using a large scale population-based sample of free-living
- 50 older men and this increases statistical power and precision of estimates.
 - 51 4) However, the BRHS comprises male participants only, predominantly of white European
- 52 ethnic origin, so findings may not be generalisable to women and non-white ethnic groups.

53 Background

Previous studies have reported time of day variation in both established and novel cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors in middle aged adults, such as blood pressure, lipids and some well-established inflammatory and haemostatic factors (e.g. white blood cell, red blood cell, and platelets counts) ¹⁻³. However, the extent to which novel CVD risk factors such as Interleukin-6, a marker of inflammation causally associated with CHD in a recent study ⁴, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, a promising marker of heart failure ⁵ vary by time of day have been less studied. Moreover, very little is known on time of day variations in other emerging risk factors prospectively associated with CVD (e.g. t-PA, D-Dimer, von Willebrand factor, and Cardiac Troponin T), although their causal association with CVD remain debated or not yet tested.

We would expect that time of day variations in some novel CVD risk factors measured in older adults may occur, consistent with findings in younger populations ⁶. However, in older adults the degree of difference attributable to time of day has not been yet estimated; establishing its importance and its effects on prediction of CVD risk is important given the potentially wider use of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in risk stratification (as shown in a recent major meta-analysis in the general population 7), and potential causal link between IL-6 and cardiovascular disease⁴. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate how novel CVD risk factors, including markers of inflammation, haemostasis and myocardial function, varied by time of day in older British men.

76 Methods

77 Participants

The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) is a prospective cohort study of cardiovascular
disease involving 7735 middle aged men (40-59 years) selected in 1978-80 from the age-sex
registers of one local primary care centre in 24 British towns⁸. The 24 towns were selected
to represent the variation in cardiovascular disease across the UK⁹. The National Research
Ethics Service (NRES) Committee for London provided ethical approval. Participants
provided informed written consent to the investigation, which was performed in accordance
with the Declaration of Helsinki¹⁰.

BMJ Open

85	
86	Follow-up examination
87	In 1998-2000, an average of 20 years after the initial recruitment, 4252 surviving
88	participants (77% response rate) aged 60-79 years who were resident in the UK attended a
89	physical examination during which nurses took a fasting blood sample on one occasion for
90	each participant The men were asked to fast for a minimum of 6 hours, during which they
91	were instructed to drink only water, as previously reported ² . The blood samples were
92	collected between 08:00 h and 19:00 h and then assayed for a range of biochemical and
93	haematological markers. The participants were also asked to complete a questionnaire
94	which included questions on other established CVD risk factors, such as age, social class,
95	smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Specifically, physical activity
96	levels were self-reported ¹¹ and recently validated using accelerometers ¹² . Incident CVD,
97	including non-fatal stroke and non-fatal MI were recorded: their definitions have been
98	reported elsewhere 13 . Men were also asked whether a doctor had ever told them that they
99	had heart failure 5 . The number of blood samples collected and included in the analyses
100	differ according to the risk factor measurements (the number of observations varied from
101	3580 for N-terminal Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide to 3863 for von Willebrand Factor in
102	complete case analyses including all covariates of interest).
103	
104	Novel risk factors
105	Circulating levels of markers of inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP], Interleukin 6 [IL-6],
106	Fibrinogen), cardiac markers (N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide [NT-ProBNP], cardiac
107	Troponin T [cTnT], and markers of haemostasis (tissue plasminogen activator [t-PA] antigen,
108	fibrin D-dimer, von Willebrand factor [vWF]) were measured.
109	
110	Plasma D-dimer and t-PA levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent
111	assay (ELISA; Biopool AB, Umeå, Sweden), as was VWF antigen (Dako, High Wycombe, UK).
112	C-reactive protein was assayed using ultrasensitive nephelometry (Dade Behring, Milton
113	Keynes, UK). IL-6 was assayed using a high-sensitivity ELISA (R & D Sys-tems, Oxford, UK).
114	Fibrinogen was assayed using an auto-mated Clauss assay in a coagulometer (MDA-180,
115	Organon Teknika, Cambridge, UK). NT-proBNP and hsTnT were measured in plasma samples
116	on an automated clinically validated immunoassay analyzer (e411, Roche Diagnostics,

117 Burgess Hill, United Kingdom) using the manufacturers' calibrators and quality control

reagents. Intra- and inter-assay Coefficient of Variations (CVs) were, respectively: 4.1% and

119 6.6% for t-PA; 3.2% and 4.2% for vWF; 4.7% and 5.2% for D-dimer; 4.7% and 8.3% for CRP;

 $$ 7.5% and 8.9% for IL-6; 2.6% and 3.7% for Fibrinogen, and 4.4% and 7.7% for NT-ProBNP and

121 cTnT.

123 Statistical methods

Firstly, the distributions of the outcomes were examined; the outcomes were logtransformed as the distributions were positively skewed. Therefore, analysis was carried out on their log-transformed values throughout. Unadjusted geometric means and 95% Confidence Intervals [CI] of the outcomes were plotted against hour of the day.

130 Adjusted associations between time of day and the outcomes

Associations between time of day (fitted as a continuous variable, range 8-18) and the outcomes were examined using linear multilevel random intercept models (level 1 = individual, level 2 = town of residence). The results can be interpreted as between-person variations over the course of the examination day; the estimates from the linear model were reported as the difference in the outcome levels per hour of sampling over the examination day. As the outcomes were log-transformed, the results were reported as percent difference in the outcome geometric mean per hour of sampling. All models were initially adjusted for age only. Next, the models were adjusted for age and other possible confounding factors: social class, BMI, previous stroke or myocardial infarction (MI), physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, use of statin, and a seasonal term (fitted using a cosinor function, as in previous studies)¹⁴. As NT-ProBNP and cTnT are principally markers of heart failure, the association with time of day was adjusted for previous heart failure.

146 When the association of time of the day with the outcomes was found to be statistically 147 significant, the proportion of variance associated with time of the day was estimated using 148 partial R-squared.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

149 Sensitivity analyses

Five sensitivity analyses were performed: (i) all models were additionally adjusted for fasting time; (ii) interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by age (fitted as continuous variable); (iii) as NT-ProBNP and cTnT were acknowledged as specific cardiac markers 5 , interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by previous heart failure (yes/no); (iv) to explore the potential of undiagnosed heart failure or cardiac damage influencing findings for NT-ProBNP and cTnT, we repeated regression models after excluding men with NTproBNP > 400 pg/ml; (v) a guadratic term for time of day was added to the models in order to check for non-linearity

As IL-6 has been causally associated with cardiovascular risk ⁴, and prospectively associated with CVD mortality in the BRHS sample used here ¹⁵, we investigated the relevance of time of day to the cardiovascular risk prediction by performing two survival analyses: in the first analysis we used Cox models where unadjusted log IL-6 was used as the predictor and CVD mortality as the clinical outcome; then, we repeated the same analysis using log IL-6 standardised by the time of day rather than unadjusted log IL-6. For completeness of information, we repeated this sensitivity analysis for NT-ProBNP and t-PA.

Results

The characteristics of the study participants (mean age 68.7 years, standard deviation (SD) = 5.5) are reported in Table 1. The associations between time of day (by hour) and risk factors are shown in Figure 1. Evidence of an increase over the course of the day was particularly noticeable for IL-6, and for NT-ProBNP (Figure 1). Also, levels of t-PA were lower in the afternoon in comparison with morning, while variations by time of day for other risk factors were not clearly observable from the plots (Figure 1). The results of corresponding linear regression analyses are shown in Table 2: statistically significant associations between time of the day and some outcomes were found (Table 2): over the course of the examination day NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.3% per hour (95% CI 1.9; 4.8%), IL-6 increased by 2.6% per hour (95% Cl 1.8; 3.4%). Conversely, t-PA decreased by 3.3% per hour (95% Cl 3.7; 2.9%). The proportion of variance associated with time of the day from the fully adjusted models was 0.5%, 1%, and 2% for NT-ProBNP, IL-6, and t-PA respectively.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

C-Reactive Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T
 showed no consistent associations with time of day (Table 2).

Sensitivity analyses

Overall, we found that fasting time did not alter the magnitude of associations between time of the day and the outcomes reported in Table 2. Only the association between time of the day and t-PA was strongly attenuated after accounting for fasting time (fitted as continuous variable): the decrease in t-PA levels was -3.3% (95%Cl -3.7; -2.9) per hour before the adjustment (Table 2) and -1.4% (95%Cl -2.2; -0.1) after the adjustment for fasting.

For all outcomes, we also did not find evidence for an interaction between of time of daywith age (results not shown).

In stratified analysis, NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.4% (95% CI 1.9; 4.8%, p<0.001) per hour in older men without heart failure. Although men who previously had heart failure had increased NT-ProBNP levels, there was no evidence for an interaction between previous heart failure with time of the day (p=0.954). After excluding 466 men with NTproBNP levels >400pg/ml (12% of the sample), associations between time of the day measures and NTproBNP remained statistically significant and slightly increased in magnitude (3.9% [95% Cl 2.7; 5.1%], p<0.001). As reported in the main analysis, no significant associations were found between time of the day and cTnT in stratified analysis.

When adding a quadratic term to the model, we found a significant improvement in model fit for IL-6 only (p=0.030 for the time of day squared term). The association of time of day with IL-6 appeared to be slightly J-shaped, with a linear increase starting from 11:00 until 18:00 hours.

We examined whether adjustment for hour of day affected the associations between risk factors and CVD mortality. In survival analysis, higher levels of log IL-6 were associated with increased CVD mortality (HR=1.70, 95%CI 1.54; 1.87). Standardising IL-6 by time of the day did not change the relationship (HR=1.71, 95%CI 1.55; 1.88). Also, standardising NT-ProBNP

BMJ Open

levels by time of the day did not alter the magnitude of the effect on CVD mortality
(HR=1.92, 95%Cl 1.81; 2.04). Finally, associations of t-PA levels with increased CVD mortality
did not change substantially before (HR=1.74, 95%Cl 1.45; 2.09) and after standardising
(HR=1.77, 95%Cl 1.47; 2.14) by time of the day.

218 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest investigation of relationships between time of day and novel CVD risk factors in older men. After adjusting our analysis for potential confounding factors we demonstrated that some, but not all, novel CVD risk factors levels varied by time of day. In particular, NT-ProBNP and IL-6 increased linearly over the course of the day. Conversely, a decrease in t-PA was also observed; however, after accounting for fasting time the relationship with time of the day was strongly attenuated (therefore fasting time could partially explain the drop in t-PA levels observed in the afternoon vs morning). Also, we observed a weak contribution of time of the day to the overall variation of these markers. In sensitivity analyses, we observed that time of day did not have a sufficiently strong effect to be taken into account when assessing the impact of IL-6, NT-ProBNP, and t-PA on CVD mortality. Lastly, an association of time of day with other novel risk factors was not observed.

Literature on time of day variation in novel CVD markers of inflammation and haemostasis in older adults is limited; to our knowledge this is the first time these findings have been reported in older adults. Findings from earlier studies of younger adults were fairly consistent with ours. For example a recent meta-analysis of several small studies which analysed IL-6 proposed a diurnal pattern, with overall IL-6 levels increased between 08:00 and 18:00 hours as in our study ¹⁶. However, in two previous very small studies of twelve ¹⁷ and five ¹⁸ participants, IL-6 peaked in the night-time. It is possible that peaks in IL-6 levels may be associated with cognitive symptoms of depression ¹⁹ and daily activities, although in the BRHS population this has not yet been investigated. One previous study found that BRHS men were more active in the morning and in early afternoon ²⁰ when the main activities were usually gardening, house works, shopping, or leisure walking. Whether IL-6 was

243 implicated in this daily pattern remains uncertain and can potentially be explored in future244 studies.

 Moreover, one previous study reported increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the course of day ²¹ as we observed in our study. A decrease in t-PA over the examination day was also reported in younger subjects (a 45-year-old UK population of 9377 men and women) ⁶; however, t-PA did not vary by time of the day in a previous study large study of 1288 healthy 25 to 64-year-old men and women ²².

In comparison to our study, findings regarding CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, vWF and cTnT reported in earlier studies of younger adults were similar: a few previous studies reported that they did not find an association of time of day with CRP ²³, D-dimer ²⁴, and vWF ²⁵. In one study, the variation in CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, and vWF attributable to time of day was minimal ⁶. Literature on cTnT is scarce: one small previous study of repeated measures in 7 participants with type 2 diabetes reported a decrease in cTnT between 8am and 8pm ²⁶.

Although one previous study suggested that diurnal variations in CVD risk factors could be relevant for cardiovascular risk prediction ⁶, a prediction model like the one described in our survival analysis was not performed. Our findings suggested the effect of time of the day (from 08:00 h to 19:00 h) is not relevant for the CVD risk assessment. With this sensitivity analysis we wanted to investigate time of day variations beyond simple descriptive diurnal patterns; to our knowledge this is the first time this finding has been reported.

266 Strengths and limitations

The BRHS cohort benefits from using a large scale population-based sample of free-living older men and this increases statistical power and precision of estimates. However, the BRHS comprises male participants, predominantly of white European ethnic origin, so findings may not be generalisable to women and non-white ethnic groups. The CVD risk factors measurements were carried out on one occasion over an extended period of the day (between 08:00 and 18:00 hours), offering only a partial understanding of the variations over the 24 hours ^{27 28}. Therefore, in this study the relationship of the CVD risk factors to time of day was explored using between-participant variation only. In future studies it may Page 11 of 19

BMJ Open

be advantageous to carry out repeated measurement of the risk factors over the 24 hours in order to investigate within-person circadian variations. However, with repeated measurements a possible and genuine diurnal variation may be disrupted and natural sleeping patterns altered (repeated measures are usually taken every 1-2 hours during the night)²⁹.

281 Implications

Variations of some CVD factors (in particular IL-6 and NT-ProBNP) over the course of the day were observed, suggesting the role of time of the day as potential confounder during the measurements. However, standardising these biological markers by time of day was not particularly relevant for the cardiovascular risk prediction. Also, other sensitivity analyses (stratified analysis and interaction tests) did not add relevant insights suggesting that time of day variations may be not important for clinical risk stratification in general. Further studies assessing both CVD risk factors levels and clinical outcomes (e.g. fatal or non-fatal CVD events) during 24h are required to demonstrate whether a rapid increase of IL-6 over the day may be relevant to the increased number of CVD events observed in early and late morning ³⁰, and whether the increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the day are related to the afternoon peak in sudden death following heart failure ³¹.

294 Conclusions

Variations in time of day were associated with variations of some, but not all, novel CVD risk
factors measured in older adults. The contribution of time of the day to the markers' overall
variation was small and unlikely to affect the CVD risk prediction or clinical risk stratification.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

300 CS processed the data, performed statistical analyses, drafted and revised the manuscript, 301 and incorporated revisions of co-authors. PHW, SGW, BJ, and RM contributed to the design 302 of the study and revised the manuscript. LL enrolled participants, and collected data. PHW, 303 RM and SGW raised grant funding. All authors gave an intellectual contribution to the 304 manuscript and approved the final version.

306 Acknowledgements

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

The BRHS is supported by a British Heart Foundation (BHF) programme grant (RG/13/16/30528). This research was supported by a BHF project grant (PG/13/41/30304) which supported CS. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the BHF.

Conflict of interest statement

316 The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest

318 Data sharing statement

- 319 The collection and management of data over the last 39 years of the BRHS has been made
- 320 possible through grant funding from UK government agencies and charities. We welcome
- 321 proposals for collaborative projects and data sharing. For general data sharing enquiries,
- 322 please contact Lucy Lennon l.lennon@ucl.ac.uk

2
3
1
-
5
6
7
8
à
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
33
34
35
26
30
37
38
39
40
11
40
42
43
44
45
16
47
41
48
49
50
51
50
52
53
54
55
56
57
01

Table 1 –Individual characteristics and risk factors levels in BRHS men who attended the

324 examinations in 1998-2000

Demographic and background characteristics	
Age (years), mean (SD)	68.7 (5.5)
Social class (manual)	
Manual, n (%)	2166 (51.1)
Non-Manual, n (%)	1966 (46.3)
HMF, n (%)	112 (2.6)
Physical health	
BMI, mean (SD)	26.9 (3.7)
Prevalence of stroke/myocardial infarction, n (%)	153 (3.6)
Prevalence of heart failure, n (%)	390 (9.2)
Behavioural factors	
Smoking	
Never, n (%)	1233 (29.1)
Ex-smokers, n (%)	2464 (58.0)
Smokers, n (%)	548 (12.9)
Alcohol consumption	
None, n (%)	431 (10.3)
Occasional/light, n (%) ¹	2949 (70.5)
Moderate/Heavy, n (%) ²	779 (18.6)
Physical activity level	
Inactive, n (%)	471 (11.5)
Occasionally, n (%)	957 (23.4)
Light, n (%)	767 (18.7)
Moderate, n (%)	591 (14.4)
Moderate vigorous, n (%)	690 (16.8)
Vigorous, n (%)	621 (15.1)
CVD risk factor, geometric mean (SD)	
CRP, mg/L	1.74 (3.03)
IL-6, pg/mL	2.46 (1.94)
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.19 (1.25)
t-PA, ng/mL	10.23 (1.50)
vWF, IU/dL	132.41 (1.40)
D-dimer, ng/mL	84.32 (2.32)
NT-ProBNP, pg/mL	101.50 (3.32)
cTnT, pg/mL	12.07 (1.64)

326 ¹>=1 and <=15 units per week (1 unit is approximately 1 drink, such as one glass of wine)

² >=16 units per week (1 unit is approximately 1 drink, such as one glass of wine)

Table 2 – Cross-sectional adjusted associations between time of day (fitted as continuous variable) and CVD risk factors measured in BRHS men (aged 60-79) attending the follow-up year 20 examination in 1998-2000. Associations are reported as percent difference in CVD risk factors levels per one hour of sampling over the examination day (08:00-19:00h). The statistically significant associations are marked in bold.

	Model 1: Age adjusted ¹		Model 2: Fully adjusted ²	
	Percent difference (95%CI)		Percent difference (95%CI)	
CVD risk factor	in the CVD risk factor levels		in the CVD risk factor levels	
	per hour of sampling ³ p-value		per hour of sampling ³ p-value	
NT-ProBNP	3.5 (2.0;5.0)	< 0.001	3.3 (1.9; 4.8)	<0.001
IL-6	2.6 (1.7;3.4)	<0.001	2.6 (1.8; 3.4)	<0.001
t-PA	-3.3 (-3.8;-2.9)	<0.001	-3.3 (-3.7; -2.9)	<0.001
Fibrinogen	-0.2 (-0.5;0.0)	0.088	-0.2 (-0.5; 0.1)	0.104
cTnT	-0.4 (-0.9;0.2)	0.194	-0.4 (-1.0; 0.2)	0.174
CRP	-1.0 (-2.3;0.4)	0.151	-0.9 (-2.2; 0.4)	0.175
vWF	-0.2 (-0.6;0.2)	0.374	-0.2 (-0.6; 0.2)	0.380
D-Dimer	-0.0 (-1.0;1.0)	0.929	-0.1 (-1.0; 0.9)	0.890

¹ Model 1: Two level linear models (level 1 = person, level 2 = town of residence during the

336 BRHS recruitment) adjusted for age. Model 1 used the same number of observations of

337 Model 2 (complete case analysis).

² Model 2 = Model 1 additionally adjusted for social class, BMI, smoking status, alcohol

339 consumption, physical activity, use of statin, and season. Associations with IL-6, t-PA,

340 Fibrinogen, CRP, vWF, and D-Dimer were additionally adjusted for prevalence of stroke/MI,

341 while association of time of the day with NT-ProBNP and cTnT models were additionally

- 342 adjusted for prevalence of heart failure.
- ³ Model 1 and Model 2 used the number of observations: 3580 for NT-ProBNP, 3832 for IL-6,
- 344 3863 for t-PA, 3861 for Fibrinogen, 3827 for cTnT, 3838 for CRP, 3863 for vWF, 3859 for D-
- 345 Dimer

 BMJ Open

Figure 1 – Unadjsted geometric means (95% CI) by time of the day ¹ for CRP, IL-6, Fibrinogen t-PA (top), vWF, D-Dimer, NT-ProBNP and cTnT
 (bottom) measured on one occasion in BRHS men aged 60-79 during the years 1998-2000.



¹Total number of men examined per hour was 33(0.7%) at 08:00-08:59, 363(8.5%) at 8:00-9:59h, 699(16%) at 10:00-10:59h, 771(18%) at 11:00-11:59h, 591(14%) at 12:00-12:59h, 99(2%) at 13:00-13:59h, 306(7%) at 14:00-14:59), 560(13%) at 15:00-15:59h, 566(13%) at 16:00-16:59, 260(6%) at 17:00-17:59, and 3(<0.1%) at 18:00-18:59

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

2 3	353		References
4			
5			
0 7	354	I.	Pocock SJ, Ashby D, Shaper AG, et al. Diurnal variations in serum biochemical and
8	355	•	haematological measurements. Journal of clinical pathology. 1989; 42: 172-9.
9	356	2.	Emberson JR, Whincup PH, Walker M, et al. Biochemical measures in a population-
10	357		based study: effect of fasting duration and time of day. Annals of clinical
11	358		<i>biochemistry</i> . 2002; 39: 493-501.
12	359	3.	Elliott WJ. Circadian variation in blood pressure: Implications for the elderly patient.
13	360		American Journal of Hypertension. 1999; 12: 43S-9S.
14	361	4.	IL6R Genetics Consortium and Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Interleukin-6
15	362		receptor pathways in coronary heart disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 82
16	363		studies. The Lancet. 2012; 379: 1205-13.
17	364	5.	Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Papacosta O, et al. Lung function and airway
10	365		obstruction: associations with circulating markers of cardiac function and incident
20	366		heart failure in older men-the British Regional Heart Study. Thorax. 2016; 71: 526-
20	367		34.
22	368	6.	Rudnicka AR, Rumley A, Lowe GD, et al. Diurnal, seasonal, and blood-processing
23	369		patterns in levels of circulating fibrinogen, fibrin D-dimer, C-reactive protein, tissue
24	370		plasminogen activator, and von Willebrand factor in a 45-year-old population.
25	371		<i>Circulation</i> . 2007; 115: 996-1003.
26	372	7.	Natriuretic Peptides Studies Collaboration. Natriuretic peptides and integrated risk
27	373		assessment for cardiovascular disease: an individual-participant-data meta-analysis.
28	374		The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology 2016 [.] 4 [.] 840-9
29	375	8	Shaper AG Pocock SI Walker M <i>et al</i> British Regional Heart Study: cardiovascular
30	376	0.	risk factors in middle-aged men in 24 towns <i>BMJ</i> 1981: 283: 179-86
31	377	9	Pocock SI Shaper AG Cook DG et al British Regional Heart Study: geographic
32 33	378).	variations in cardiovascular mortality and the role of water quality <i>British Medical</i>
34	379		Journal 1980: 280: 1243-9
35	380	10	Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human
36	381	10.	Subjects WMA Declaration of Halsinki WMA Declaration of HelsinkiOctober 2013
37	387	11	Wannamethee G and Shaper AG. Physical activity and stroke in British middle aged
38	382	11.	mon <i>BMI</i> · <i>British Medical Journal</i> 1002: 304: 507 601
39	383	12	Laffaris BL Sartini C. Ash S. at al. Validity of questionnaire based assessment of
40	295	12.	sedentary behaviour and physical activity in a population based ashert of alder man:
41	202		sedentary behaviour and physical activity in a population-based conort of older men,
42	200		And 2016, 12, 14
43	38/	10	Act. 2010; 15: 14.
44 45	388	13.	Sartini C, Barry SJ, wannamethee SG, et al. Effect of cold spells and their modifiers
40	389		on cardiovascular disease events: Evidence from two prospective studies. Int J
40	390	14	Cardiol. 2016; 218: 2/5-83.
48	391	14.	Stolwijk AM, Straatman H and Zielhuis GA. Studying seasonality by using sine and
49	392		cosine functions in regression analysis. Journal of Epidemiology and Community
50	393		Health. 1999; 53: 235-8.
51	394	15.	Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH, Lennon L, et al. Associations Between Fibrin D-
52	395		Dimer, Markers of Inflammation, Incident Self-Reported Mobility Limitation, and
53	396		All-Cause Mortality in Older Men. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2014;
54	397		62: 2357-62.
55	398	16.	Nilsonne G, Lekander M, Akerstedt T, et al. Diurnal variation of circulating
50 57	399		interleukin-6 in humans: a meta-analysis. <i>bioRxiv</i> . 2016.
58			

1

BMJ Open

2			
3	400	17.	Gudewill S, Pollmacher T, Vedder H, et al. Nocturnal plasma levels of cytokines in
4	401		healthy men. European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience, 1992: 242:
5	402		53-6
6	403	18	Bauer I Hohagen F Fhert T <i>et al</i> Interleukin-6 serum levels in healthy persons
7	403	10.	correspond to the sleep wake cycle. The Clinical investigator, 1004: 72: 315
8	404	10	Correspond to the steep-wake cycle. The Chinical Investigator. 1994, 72, 515
9	403	19.	Chineno D, Kivimaki Wi, Brunner EJ, <i>et al.</i> Associations of C-reactive protein and
10	406		interleukin-6 with cognitive symptoms of depression: 12-year follow-up of the
11	407	• •	Whitehall II study. <i>Psychological medicine</i> . 2009; 39: 413-23.
12	408	20.	Sartini C, Wannamethee SG, Iliffe S, et al. Diurnal patterns of objectively measured
13	409		physical activity and sedentary behaviour in older men. BMC Public Health. 2015;
14	410		15: 609.
15	411	21.	Goetze JP, Jorgensen HL, Sennels HP, et al. Diurnal plasma concentrations of
16	412		natriuretic propeptides in healthy young males. <i>Clinical chemistry</i> . 2012; 58: 789-92.
17	413	22.	Eliasson M. Evrin PE. Lundblad D. <i>et al.</i> Influence of gender, age and sampling time
18	414		on plasma fibrinolytic variables and fibrinogen <i>Fibrinolysis</i> 1993: 7: 316-23
19	415	23	Mejer-Ewert HK Ridker PM Rifai N <i>et al</i> Absence of diurnal variation of C-
20	416	25.	reactive protein concentrations in healthy human subjects <i>Clinical chemistry</i> 2001:
21	410		A7: 426 20
22	41/	24	47.420-30.
23	418	24.	Jarri SM, VanKollins M, Ozawa I, et al. Circadian variation in platelet function in
24	419		healthy volunteers. Am J Cardiol. 1992; 69: 951-4.
20	420	25.	Bridges AB, McLaren M, Scott NA, et al. Circadian variation of tissue plasminogen
20 27	421		activator and its inhibitor, von Willebrand factor antigen, and prostacyclin stimulating
20	422		factor in men with ischaemic heart disease. British Heart Journal. 1993; 69: 121-4.
20	423	26.	Klinkenberg LJ, van Dijk JW, Tan FE, et al. Circulating cardiac troponin T exhibits a
20	424		diurnal rhythm. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014; 63: 1788-95.
31	425	27.	Bremner WF, Sothern RB, Kanabrocki EL, et al. Relation between circadian patterns
32	426		in levels of circulating lipoprotein(a), fibringen, platelets, and related lipid variables
33	427		in men American heart journal 2000: 139: 164-73
34	428	28	Kristal-Boneh F. Harari G. and Green MS. Seasonal Change in 24-Hour Blood
35	120	20.	Pressure and Heart Rate Is Greater Among Smokers Than Nonsmokers Hungertansian
36	429		1007: 20: 426 41
37	430	20	1997, 30, 430-41.
38	431	29.	v gontzas AN, Zoumakis M, Bixler EO, et al. Impaired nightime sleep in healthy old
39	432		versus young adults is associated with elevated plasma interleukin-6 and cortisol
40	433		levels: physiologic and therapeutic implications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003; 88:
41	434		2087-95.
42	435	30.	Elliott WJ. Circadian variation in the timing of stroke onset: a meta-analysis. Stroke;
43	436		a journal of cerebral circulation. 1998; 29: 992-6.
44	437	31.	Carson PA, O'Connor CM, Miller AB, et al. Circadian rhythm and sudden death in
45	438		heart failureResults from prospective randomized amlodipine survival trial. <i>Journal of</i>
46	439		the American College of Cardiology, 2000: 36: 541-6.
47			
48	4.40		
49	440		
50			
51			
52			

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20 21
21
22
23
24
20
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
40
48
<u>40</u>
50
57
52 52
ວ ວ ∈ ∕
54
55
56
57
58
59

Title and abstract 1 (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract in informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract adekground/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the introduction, lines incovering the introduction, lines incovering the introduction, lines incover intervention interventintintereventible intervention interventinterventible inth		Item No	Recommendation	
Interview Item of the abstract Interview (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction 3ackground/rationale Introduction, lines ackground/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 5bjectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 5tudy design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Study design 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including Methods, lines 78-81 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of State specific objectives Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 104-121 applicable 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines 86-90 Statistical methods 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines 150-166 (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the	Abstract
(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract introduction Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 66-68 Dijectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 66-7 72-74 Wethods 9 Describe the sorting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection sclection of participants Methods, lines 78-81 2articipants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of sclection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ study size 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If (b) Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 (c) Crescribe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions		-	title or the abstract	
what was done and what was found Introduction Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 30-bjectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 30-bjectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 30-bjectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 30-bjectives 4 Present key elements of study design carly in the paper Methods, line 78-81 3 Methods 10-bjectives, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 2articipants 6 (a) Give the cligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of State specific able of narticipants 4 (a) Give the cligibility criteria, give sources of data and details of Methods, lines 78-90 variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential Methods, lines 9 2 Por each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of Methods, lines 9 91-121 3 State specific the setting logoupings were chosen and why 104-121 104-121			(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	Abstract
ntroduction Introduction 3ackground/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported 66-68 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 66-67 72-74 Methods 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 78-81 Setting Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of stad 86-90 Nethods, lines Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Jata sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods of assessment methods interest potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 46-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252			what was done and what was found	
Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 66-68 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 66-67 72-74 Methods 1 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Stats yea 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If dro Earbidy sites 104-121 Statstical methods 12 (a) Describe any m	Introduction			
investigation being reported 66-68 Dbjectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 66-67 72-74 Methods Present key elements of study design carly in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 78- selection of participants Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 104-121 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Situdy size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines for confounding 122-166 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 10 Explain how missing data were addressed (c) C) Explain how missing data were addressed (b) Gi	Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	Introduction, lines
Dijectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 66-67 72-74 Methods			investigation being reported	66-68
Methods Over 12 / 1 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 104-121 104-121 applicable 7 For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 6-90 Quantitative 12 (a) Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 104-121 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If arriab	Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, lines
Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 78-81 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Aias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 8	Methods			
Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, lines 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines 3ias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If for confounding Methods, lines 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) I applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy Methods, l	Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, line 78-81
periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 86-93 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 104-121 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 91-121 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If for confounding for confounding 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 78-88 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg mumbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, comfirmed elig	Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	Methods, lines
Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 46-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 167 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed 123-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy 123-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed 150-166 124-164 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed 150-166 <	C		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	86-93
selection of participants 81 and 86-90 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Methods, lines Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe anal ytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA e(g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 C Explain how missing data were addressed Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stag	Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	Methods, lines 78-
Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines 3ias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative arriables 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If doe describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy Methods, lines 150-166 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90<			selection of participants	81 and 86-90
confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 104-121 Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control interactions Methods, lines 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA 3ampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	Methods, lines
applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ neasurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 91-121 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	104-121
Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 91-121 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 123-166 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90			applicable	
measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 91-121 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 8 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90	Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	Methods, lines
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90	measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	91-121
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 150-166 NA (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90			assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 86-90 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 Results (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 Not necessary for this study, see	Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines Statistical methods (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252	Results, lines 86-90
variables applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 104-121 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and Methods, lines interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 Results Participants 13* 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see	Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	Methods, lines
Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and Methods, lines interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (f) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 NA Results 13* Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90	variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	104-121
for confounding 123-166 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 150-166 150-166 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	Methods, lines
(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (f) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			for confounding	123-166
interactions 150-166 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 Results Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	Methods, lines
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 Results Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90			interactions	150-166
(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
sampling strategy Methods , lines (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 150-166 Results Methods , lines 78- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- 0 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90			(<i>d</i>) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	NA
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 150-166 Results Image: Construct of the study of			sampling strategy	
150-166 Results Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78-81 and 86-90			(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Methods, lines
Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 81 and 86-90 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 at and 86-90 81 and 86-90 81 and 86-90				150-166
Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 78- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 at an and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Constrained to the study of the study	Results			
numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed81 and 86-90(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90and the study of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90	Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	Methods, lines 78-
eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90 Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	81 and 86-90
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
81 and 86-90 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Methods, lines 78-
(c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90				81 and 86-90
this study, see Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90			(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not necessary for
Methods, lines 78- 81 and 86-90				this study, see
81 and 86-90				Methods, lines 78-
				81 and 86-90

Page 19 of 19

BMJ Open

Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Table 1, Figure 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	Table 2
		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and	
		why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	NA
		categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	Results, lines
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	195-216
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Results, lines 169-
			180 Discussion,
			lines 219-230
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	Discussion, lines
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude	lines 267-279
		of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	Discussion, lines
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	232-244 and 282 -
		studies, and other relevant evidence	292
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, lines
			295-297
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	Acknowledgements,
		present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the	lines 306-314
		present article is based	
-			

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Time of day variations in cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older men

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018264.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Sartini, Claudio; University College of London, Primary Care and Population Health Whincup, Peter; St Georges, University of London, Population Health Research Institute Wannamethee, Goya; University College London Jefferis, Barbara ; University College London Lennon, Lucy; UCL, Department of Primary Care and Population Health Lowe, Gordon; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Welsh, Paul; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Sattar, Naveed; University of Glasgow, BHF centre Morris, Richard; University of Bristol, School of Social & Community Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	biological markers, older adults, cardiovascular disease, diurnal variations

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

2 3 4 5	1	Title: Time of day variations in cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older men
6 7	2	Claudio Sartini, MSc 1* , Peter H Whincup, PhD 2 , S Goya Wannamethee, PhD 1 , Barbara J
8 9	3	Jefferis, PhD 1 , Lucy Lennon, MSc 1 , Gordon DO Lowe, PhD 3 , Paul Welsh, PhD 3 , Naveed
10 11 12	4	Sattar, PhD 3 , and Richard W Morris, PhD 4
13 14	5	¹ Department of Primary Care & Population Health, University College London, Rowland Hill
15 16 17	6	Street, NW3 2PF, London. UK
18 19	7	² Population Health Research Institute, St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace
20 21	8	London SW17 ORE, UK.
22 23 24	9	³ Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA
25 26	10	UK
27 28 29	11	⁴ School of Social & Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Rd,
30 31	12	Bristol BS8 2PS, UK.
32 33 24	13	
34 35 36	14	* Corresponding Author
37 38	15	E-mail: <u>c.sartini@ucl.ac.uk;</u>
39 40 41	16	
42	17	Manuscript number of words: 4847 words (all included: title page, abstract, manuscript, all
43 44	18	tables and references).
45 46	19	
4 <i>1</i> 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56		
57		
วช 59		

20	Abstract (250 words, now 248)
21	Objective: We estimated associations of time of day with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk
22	factors measured in older men.
23	Methods: CVD risk factors (markers of inflammation and haemostasis, and cardiac markers)
24	were measured on one occasion between 08:00-19:00 hours in 4252 men aged 60-79 years
25	from the British Regional Heart Study. Linear models were used to estimate associations
26	between time of day and risk factors. When an association was found, we examined
27	whether the relationship between risk factors and cardiovascular mortality was affected by
28	the adjustment for time of day using survival analyses.
29	Results: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP) levels increased by 3.3% per
30	hour [95% Confidence interval (CI) 1.9; 4.8], Interleukin-6 (IL-6) increased by 2.6% per hour
31	(95% Cl 1.8; 3.4), while Tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) decreased by 3.3% per hour
32	(95% Cl 3.7; 2.9); these associations were unaffected by adjustment for possible
33	confounding factors. The percentages of variation in these risk factors attributable to time
34	of day were less than 2%. In survival analyses, the association of IL-6, NT-ProBNP, and t-PA
35	with cardiovascular mortality was not affected by the adjustment for time of day. C-Reactive
36	Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T showed no
37	associations with time of day.
38	Conclusions: In older men, markers of inflammation (IL-6), haemostasis (t-PA), and a cardiac
39	marker (NT-ProBNP) varied by time of day. The contribution of time of day to variations in
40	these markers was small, and did not appear to be relevant for the CVD risk prediction.
41	

BMJ Open

3
4
5
6
0
1
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
24
20
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
25
30
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
11
44
40
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
50
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

42 Background

43 Previous studies have reported time of day variation in both established and emerging 44 cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors in middle aged adults, such as blood pressure, 45 lipids and some well-established inflammatory and haemostatic factors (e.g. white blood cell, red blood cell, and platelets counts) ¹⁻³. However, the extent to which emerging CVD 46 47 risk factors such as Interleukin-6, a marker of inflammation causally associated with CHD in a recent study ⁴, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, a marker of heart failure ⁵ 48 49 vary by time of day have been less studied. Moreover, very little is known on time of day 50 variations in other emerging risk factors prospectively associated with CVD (e.g. t-PA, D-51 Dimer, von Willebrand factor, and Cardiac Troponin T), although their causal association 52 with CVD remain debated or not yet tested.

53

54 We would expect that time of day variations in some emerging CVD risk factors measured in older adults may occur, consistent with findings in younger populations ⁶. However, in older 55 56 adults the degree of difference attributable to time of day has not been yet estimated; 57 establishing its importance and its effects on prediction of CVD risk is important given the 58 potentially wider use of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in risk stratification (as shown in a recent major meta-analysis in the general population 7), and potential causal link 59 between IL-6 and cardiovascular disease ⁴. Therefore, the aim of this study was to 60 61 investigate how emerging CVD risk factors, including markers of inflammation, haemostasis 62 and myocardial function, varied by time of day in older British men.

63

64 Methods

65 Participants

The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) is a prospective cohort study of cardiovascular disease involving 7735 middle aged men (40-59 years) selected in 1978-80 from the age-sex registers of one local primary care centre in 24 British towns⁸. The 24 towns were selected to represent the variation in cardiovascular disease across the UK⁹. The National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee for London provided ethical approval. Participants provided informed written consent to the investigation, which was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki¹⁰.

Follow-up examination In 1998-2000, an average of 20 years after the initial recruitment, 4252 surviving participants (77% response rate) aged 60-79 years who were resident in the UK attended a physical examination during which nurses took a fasting blood sample on one occasion for each participant. The men were asked to fast for a minimum of 6 hours, during which they were instructed to drink only water, as previously reported 2 . The blood samples were collected between 08:00 h and 19:00 h and then assayed for a range of biochemical and haematological markers. Participants' appointment times were non-systematically allocated. They were offered the opportunity to contact the BRHS team and change the time of examination, if unable to attend; a small proportion of participants did so. The participants were also asked to complete a questionnaire which included questions on

other established CVD risk factors, such as age, social class, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Specifically, physical activity levels were self-reported ¹¹ and recently validated using accelerometers ¹². Incident CVD, including non-fatal stroke and non-fatal MI were recorded: their definitions have been reported elsewhere ¹³. Men were also asked whether a doctor had ever told them that they had heart failure ⁵. The number of blood samples collected and included in the analyses differ according to the risk factor measurements (the number of observations varied from 3580 for N-terminal Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide to 3863 for von Willebrand Factor in complete case analyses including all covariates of interest).

96 CVD Risk factors

97 Circulating levels of markers of inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP], Interleukin 6 [IL-6],
98 Fibrinogen), cardiac markers (N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide [NT-ProBNP], cardiac
99 Troponin T [cTnT], and markers of haemostasis (tissue plasminogen activator [t-PA] antigen,
100 fibrin D-dimer, von Willebrand factor [vWF]) were measured.

D-dimer and t-PA levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
 (ELISA; Biopool AB, Umeå, Sweden), as was VWF antigen (Dako, High Wycombe, UK). C reactive protein was assaved using ultrasensitive nephelometry (Dade Behring, Milton

104 reactive protein was assayed using ultrasensitive nephelometry (Dade Behring, Milton

105 Keynes, UK). IL-6 was assayed using a high-sensitivity ELISA (R & D Sys-tems, Oxford, UK).

BMJ Open

Fibrinogen was assayed using an auto-mated Clauss assay in a coagulometer (MDA-180, Organon Teknika, Cambridge, UK). NT-proBNP and hsTnT were measured in plasma samples on an automated clinically validated immunoassay analyzer (e411, Roche Diagnostics, Burgess Hill, United Kingdom) using the manufacturers' calibrators and quality control reagents. Intra- and inter-assay Coefficient of Variations (CVs) were, respectively: 4.1% and 6.6% for t-PA; 3.2% and 4.2% for vWF; 4.7% and 5.2% for D-dimer; 4.7% and 8.3% for CRP; 7.5% and 8.9% for IL-6; 2.6% and 3.7% for Fibrinogen, and 4.4% and 7.7% for NT-ProBNP and cTnT.

The samples were centrifuged and separated on the morning or afternoon of collection and stored on site at -20°C until they were transferred to a central freezer storage location at -70°C within 2 weeks of sample collection. Samples were then transferred on dry ice to a single central laboratory and were thawed immediately before analysis. Plasma samples were used for all the analyses reported here. The original sample collection took place between January 1998 and March 2000. Most of the analyses described here were carried out during 2000, after a maximum of 3 years storage; NT-ProBNP and cTNT were analysed in 2009.

124 Statistical methods

Firstly, the distributions of the outcomes were examined; the outcomes were logtransformed as the distributions were positively skewed. Therefore, analysis was carried out on their log-transformed values throughout. Unadjusted geometric means and 95% Confidence Intervals [CI] of the outcomes were plotted against hour of the day.

130 Adjusted associations between time of day and the outcomes

131 Associations between time of day (fitted as a continuous variable, range 8-18) and the

132 outcomes were examined using linear multilevel random intercept models (level 1 =

133 individual, level 2 = town of residence). The results can be interpreted as between-person

134 variations over the course of the examination day; the estimates from the linear model

- 135 were reported as the difference in the outcome levels per hour of sampling over the
- 136 examination day. As the outcomes were log-transformed, the results were reported as
- 137 percent difference in the outcome geometric mean per hour of sampling. All models were

initially adjusted for age only. Next, the models were adjusted for age and other possible
confounding factors: social class, BMI, previous stroke or myocardial infarction (MI), physical
activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, use of statin, and a seasonal term (fitted
using a cosinor function, as in previous studies) ¹⁴. As NT-ProBNP and cTnT are principally
markers of heart failure, the association with time of day was adjusted for previous heart
failure.

When the association of time of the day with the outcomes was found to be statistically significant, the proportion of variance associated with time of the day was estimated using partial R-squared.

149 Sensitivity analyses

Six sensitivity analyses were performed: (i) all models were additionally adjusted for fasting time and diabetes; (ii) all models were carried out excluding men with diabetes, (iii) interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by age (fitted as continuous variable); (iv) as NT-ProBNP and cTnT were acknowledged as specific cardiac markers ⁵, interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by previous heart failure (yes/no); (v) to explore the potential of undiagnosed heart failure or cardiac damage influencing findings for NT-ProBNP and cTnT, we repeated regression models after excluding men with NTproBNP > 400 pg/ml; (vi) a quadratic term for time of day was added to the models in order to check for non-linearity

As IL-6 has been causally associated with cardiovascular risk ⁴, and prospectively associated with CVD mortality in the BRHS sample used here ¹⁵, we investigated the relevance of time of day to the cardiovascular risk prediction by performing two survival analyses: in the first analysis we used Cox models where unadjusted log IL-6 was used as the predictor and CVD mortality as the clinical outcome; then, we repeated the same analysis using log IL-6 standardised by the time of day rather than unadjusted log IL-6. For completeness of information, we repeated this sensitivity analysis for NT-ProBNP and t-PA.

Results

169 The characteristics of the study participants (mean age 68.7 years, standard deviation (SD) =

BMJ Open

5.5) are reported in Table 1. The associations between time of day (by hour) and risk factors are shown in Figure 1. Evidence of an increase over the course of the day was particularly noticeable for IL-6, and for NT-ProBNP (Figure 1). Also, levels of t-PA were lower in the afternoon in comparison with morning, while variations by time of day for other risk factors were not clearly observable from the plots (Figure 1). The results of corresponding linear regression analyses are shown in Table 2: statistically significant associations between time of the day and some outcomes were found (Table 2): over the course of the examination day NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.3% per hour (95% CI 1.9; 4.8%), IL-6 increased by 2.6% per hour (95% CI 1.8; 3.4%). Conversely, t-PA decreased by 3.3% per hour (95% CI 3.7; 2.9%). The proportion of variance associated with time of the day from the fully adjusted models was 0.5%, 1%, and 2% for NT-ProBNP, IL-6, and t-PA respectively.

C-Reactive Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T
 showed no consistent associations with time of day (Table 2).

185 Sensitivity analyses

Overall, we found that fasting time did not alter the magnitude of associations between time of the day and the outcomes reported in Table 2. Only the association between time of the day and t-PA was strongly attenuated after accounting for fasting time (fitted as continuous variable): the decrease in t-PA levels was -3.3% (95%Cl -3.7; -2.9) per hour before the adjustment (Table 2) and -1.4% (95%CI -2.2; -0.1) after the adjustment for fasting. An additional adjustment for diabetes status did not alter the magnitude of the association between hour of the day and the outcomes. We also performed the analysis excluding men with diabetes completely (Table 2 – Model 3), but the association between time of day and the outcomes did not substantially change.

For all outcomes, we also did not find evidence for an interaction between of time of daywith age (results not shown).

In stratified analysis, NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.4% (95% Cl 1.9; 4.8%, p<0.001) per
 hour in older men without heart failure. Although men who previously had heart failure had
 increased NT-ProBNP levels, there was no evidence for an interaction between previous

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

heart failure with time of the day (p=0.954). After excluding 466 men with NTproBNP levels
>400pg/ml (12% of the sample), associations between time of the day measures and
NTproBNP remained statistically significant and slightly increased in magnitude (3.9% [95%
Cl 2.7; 5.1%], p<0.001). As reported in the main analysis, no significant associations were
found between time of the day and cTnT in stratified analysis.

When adding a quadratic term to the model, we found a significant improvement in model fit for IL-6 only (p=0.030 for the time of day squared term). The association of time of day with IL-6 appeared to be slightly J-shaped, with a linear increase starting from 11:00 until 19:00 hours.

We examined whether adjustment for hour of day affected the associations between risk factors and CVD mortality. In survival analysis, higher levels of log IL-6 were associated with increased CVD mortality (HR=1.70, 95%Cl 1.54; 1.87). Standardising IL-6 by time of the day did not change the relationship (HR=1.71, 95%CI 1.55; 1.88). Also, standardising NT-ProBNP levels by time of the day did not alter the magnitude of the effect on CVD mortality (HR=1.92, 95%CI 1.81; 2.04). Finally, associations of t-PA levels with increased CVD mortality did not change substantially before (HR=1.74, 95%CI 1.45; 2.09) and after standardising (HR=1.77, 95%Cl 1.47; 2.14) by time of the day.

222 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest investigation of relationships between time of day and CVD risk factors in older men. After adjusting our analysis for potential confounding factors we demonstrated that some, but not all, CVD risk factors levels varied by time of day. In particular, NT-ProBNP and IL-6 increased linearly over the course of the day. Conversely, a decrease in t-PA was also observed; however, after accounting for fasting time the relationship with time of the day was strongly attenuated (therefore fasting time could partially explain the drop in t-PA levels observed in the afternoon vs morning). Our analyses showed that the contribution of time of the day to the overall variation of NT-ProBNP, IL-6, and t-PA was small and without clinical importance; we observed that time of day did not have a sufficiently strong effect to be taken into account when assessing the impact of IL-6,

BMJ Open

NT-ProBNP, and t-PA on CVD mortality. Lastly, an association of time of day with other risk
factors was not observed.

Literature on time of day variation in emerging CVD markers of inflammation and haemostasis in older adults is limited; to our knowledge this is the first time these findings have been reported in older adults. Findings from earlier studies of younger adults were fairly consistent with ours. For example a recent meta-analysis of several small studies which analysed IL-6 proposed a diurnal pattern, with overall IL-6 levels increased between 08:00 and 19:00 hours as in our study ¹⁶. However, in two previous very small studies of twelve ¹⁷ and five ¹⁸ participants, IL-6 peaked in the night-time. It is possible that peaks in IL-6 levels may be associated with cognitive symptoms of depression ¹⁹ and daily activities, although in the BRHS population this has not yet been investigated. One previous study found that BRHS men were more active in the morning and in early afternoon ²⁰ when the main activities were usually gardening, house works, shopping, or leisure walking. Whether IL-6 was implicated in this daily pattern remains uncertain and can potentially be explored in future studies.

Moreover, one previous study reported increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the course of day ²¹ as we observed in our study. A decrease in t-PA over the examination day was also reported in younger subjects (a 45-year-old UK population of 9377 men and women) ⁶; however, t-PA did not vary by time of the day in a previous study large study of 1288 healthy 25 to 64-year-old men and women ²².

In comparison to our study, findings regarding CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, vWF and cTnT reported in earlier studies of younger adults were similar: a few previous studies reported that they did not find an association of time of day with CRP ²³, D-dimer ²⁴, and vWF ²⁵. In one study, the variation in CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, and vWF attributable to time of day was minimal ⁶. Literature on cTnT is scarce: one small previous study of repeated measures in 7 participants with type 2 diabetes reported a decrease in cTnT between 8am and 8pm ²⁶.

Although one previous study suggested that diurnal variations in CVD risk factors could be relevant for cardiovascular risk prediction 6 , a prediction model like the one described in our

survival analysis was not performed. Our findings suggested the effect of time of the day (from 08:00 h to 19:00 h) is not relevant for the CVD risk assessment. With this sensitivity analysis we wanted to investigate time of day variations beyond simple descriptive diurnal patterns; to our knowledge this is the first time this finding has been reported.

270 Strengths and limitations

The BRHS cohort benefits from using a large scale population-based sample of free-living older men and this increases statistical power and precision of estimates. However, the BRHS comprises male participants, predominantly of white European ethnic origin, so findings may not be generalisable to women and non-white ethnic groups. The CVD risk factors measurements were carried out on one occasion over an extended period of the day (between 08:00 and 19:00 hours), offering only a partial understanding of the variations over the 24 hours ^{27 28}. Therefore, in this study the relationship of the CVD risk factors to time of day was explored using between-participant variation only. In future studies it may be advantageous to carry out repeated measurement of the risk factors over the 24 hours in order to investigate within-person circadian variations. However, with repeated measurements a possible and genuine diurnal variation may be disrupted and natural sleeping patterns altered (repeated measures are usually taken every 1-2 hours during the night)²⁹.

285 Implications

Variations of some CVD factors (in particular IL-6 and NT-ProBNP) over the course of the day were observed, suggesting the role of time of the day as potential confounder during the measurements. However, standardising these biological markers by time of day was not particularly relevant for the cardiovascular risk prediction. Also, other sensitivity analyses (stratified analysis and interaction tests) did not add relevant insights suggesting that time of day variations may be not important for clinical risk stratification in general. Further studies assessing both CVD risk factors levels and clinical outcomes (e.g. fatal or non-fatal CVD events) during 24h are required to demonstrate whether a rapid increase of IL-6 over the day may be relevant to the increased number of CVD events observed in early and late morning ³⁰, and whether the increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the day are related to the afternoon peak in sudden death following heart failure ³¹.

2 3	297	
4 5	298	Conclusions
6	299	Variations in time of day were associated with variations of some, but not all, CVD risk
8	300	factors measured in older adults. The contribution of time of the day to the markers' overall
9 10	301	variation was small and unlikely to affect the CVD risk prediction or clinical risk stratification.
11 12	302	
13 14	303	AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS
15	304	CS processed the data, performed statistical analyses, drafted and revised the manuscript,
17	305	and incorporated revisions of co-authors. PHW, SGW, BJ, and RM contributed to the design
18 19	306	of the study and revised the manuscript. LL enrolled participants, and collected data. PHW,
20 21	307	RM and SGW raised grant funding. All authors gave an intellectual contribution to the
22 23	308	manuscript and approved the final version.
24	309	Acknowledgements
26	310	The BRHS is supported by a British Heart Foundation (BHF) programme grant
27 28	311	(RG/13/16/30528). This research was supported by a BHF project grant (PG/13/41/30304)
29 30	312	which supported CS. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study;
31 32 33 34 35	313	collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or
	314	approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The
	315	views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of
36 37	316	the BHF.
38 39	317	Conflict of interest statement
40 41	318	The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest
42	319	Data Sharing statement
43 44	320	The collection and management of data over the last 39 years of the BRHS has been made
45 46	321	possible through grant funding from UK government agencies and charities. We welcome
47 48	322	proposals for collaborative projects and data sharing. For general data sharing enquiries,
49 50	323	please contact Lucy Lennon (I.lennon@ucl.ac.uk).
50 51 52		
53		
54 55		
56		
ວ <i>1</i> 58		
59		

Demographic and background characteristics	
Age (years), mean (Standard Deviation, SD)	68.7 (5.5)
Social class (manual)	
Manual, n (%)	2166 (51.1)
Non-Manual, n (%)	1966 (46.3)
Armed Forces, n (%)	112 (2.6)
Physical health	
Body Mass Index, mean (SD)	26.9 (3.7)
Prevalence of stroke/myocardial infarction, n (%)	153 (3.6)
Prevalence of heart failure, n (%)	390 (9.2)
Diabetes, n (%)	478 (11.2)
Behavioural factors	
Smoking	
Never, n (%)	1233 (29.1)
Ex-smokers, n (%)	2464 (58.0)
Smokers, n (%)	548 (12.9)
Alcohol consumption	
None, n (%)	431 (10.3)
Occasional/light, n (%) ¹	2949 (70.5)
Moderate/Heavy, n (%) ²	779 (18.6)
Physical activity level	
Inactive, n (%)	471 (11.5)
Occasionally, n (%)	957 (23.4)
Light, n (%)	767 (18.7)
Moderate, n (%)	591 (14.4)
Moderate vigorous, n (%)	690 (16.8)
Vigorous, n (%)	621 (15.1)
CVD risk factor, geometric mean (SD) †	
CRP, mg/L	1.74 (3.03)
IL-6, pg/mL	2.46 (1.94)
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.19 (1.25)
t-PA, ng/mL	10.23 (1.50)
vWF, IU/dL	132.41 (1.40)
D-dimer, ng/mL	84.32 (2.32)
NT-ProBNP, pg/mL	101.50 (3.32)
cTnT.pg/mL	12.07 (1.64)

-PA),

von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac Troponin T (cTnT)

 BMJ Open

Table 2 – Cross-sectional adjusted associations between time of day (fitted as continuous variable) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk
 factors measured in the British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) men (aged 60-79) attending the follow-up year 20 examination in 1998-2000.
 Associations are reported as percent difference in CVD risk factors levels per one hour of sampling over the examination day (08:00-19:00h).
 The statistically significant associations are marked in hold

	Model 1:		Model 2:		Model 3:			
	Age adjuste	d ¹	Fully adjusted	2	Fully adjusted ² excludi	ing men with diabetes		
CVD risk factor [†]	Percent difference (95%CI) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ³	p-value	Percent difference (95%CI) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ³	p-value	Percent difference (95%Cl) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ⁴	p-value		
NT-ProBNP	3.5 (2.0;5.0)	<0.001	3.3 (1.9; 4.8)	<0.001	3.5 (2.0; 5.0)	<0.001		
IL-6	2.6 (1.7;3.4)	<0.001	2.6 (1.8; 3.4)	<0.001	2.4 (1.6; 3.3)	<0.001		
t-PA	-3.3 (-3.8;-2.9)	<0.001	-3.3 (-3.7; -2.9)	<0.001	-3.2 (-3.6; -2.7)	<0.001		
Fibrinogen	-0.2 (-0.5;0.0)	0.088	-0.2 (-0.5; 0.1)	0.104	-0.2 (-0.5; 0.1)	0.149		
cTnT	-0.4 (-0.9;0.2)	0.194	-0.4 (-1.0; 0.2)	0.174	-0.4 (-1.0; 0.2)	0.165		
CRP	-1.0 (-2.3;0.4)	0.151	-0.9 (-2.2; 0.4)	0.175	-0.9 (-2.2; 0.5)	0.191		
vWF	-0.2 (-0.6;0.2)	0.374	-0.2 (-0.6; 0.2)	0.380	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.3)	0.703		
D-Dimer	-0.0 (-1.0;1.0)	0.929	-0.1 (-1.0; 0.9)	0.890	-0.1 (-1.2; 0.9)	0.801		

¹ Model 1: Two level linear models (level 1 = person, level 2 = town of residence during the BRHS recruitment) adjusted for age. Model 1 used the same number of observations of Model 2 (complete case analysis).

337 ² Model 1 additionally adjusted for social class, Body Mass Index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, use of statin, and season. Associations with IL-6, t-

338 PA, Fibrinogen, CRP, vWF, and D-Dimer were additionally adjusted for prevalence of stroke/MI, while association of time of the day with NT-ProBNP and cTnT models were 339 additionally adjusted for prevalence of heart failure.

340 ³ Model 1 and Model 2 used the same number of observations: 3580 for NT-ProBNP, 3832 for IL-6, 3863 for t-PA, 3861 for Fibrinogen, 3827 for cTnT, 3838 for CRP, 3863 for vWF, 3859 for D-Dimer

⁴ Model 3 number of observations: 3176 for NT-ProBNP, 3398 for IL-6, 3429 for t-PA, 3427 for Fibrinogen, 3398 for cTnT, 3405 for CRP, 3429 for vWF, 3425 for D-Dimer

¹ Abbreviations: C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Fibrinogen, tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA), von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac Troponin T (cTnT)

2			
3	345		References
4			
с С	246	1	
7	346	1.	Pocock SJ, Ashby D, Shaper AG, <i>et al.</i> Diurnal variations in serum biochemical and
8	34/	•	haematological measurements. Journal of clinical pathology. 1989; 42: 1/2-9.
9	348	2.	Emberson JR, Whincup PH, Walker M, et al. Biochemical measures in a population-
10	349		based study: effect of fasting duration and time of day. Annals of clinical
11	350		<i>biochemistry</i> . 2002; 39: 493-501.
12	351	3.	Elliott WJ. Circadian variation in blood pressure: Implications for the elderly patient.
13	352		American Journal of Hypertension. 1999; 12: 43S-9S.
14	353	4.	IL6R Genetics Consortium and Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Interleukin-6
15	354		receptor pathways in coronary heart disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 82
16	355		studies. The Lancet. 2012; 379: 1205-13.
1/	356	5.	Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Papacosta O, et al. Lung function and airway
10	357		obstruction: associations with circulating markers of cardiac function and incident
19	358		heart failure in older men-the British Regional Heart Study. Thorax. 2016; 71: 526-
20	359		34.
27	360	6.	Rudnicka AR, Rumley A, Lowe GD, et al. Diurnal, seasonal, and blood-processing
23	361		patterns in levels of circulating fibrinogen, fibrin D-dimer, C-reactive protein, tissue
24	362		plasminogen activator, and von Willebrand factor in a 45-year-old population.
25	363		<i>Circulation</i> , 2007: 115: 996-1003.
26	364	7	Natriuretic Peptides Studies Collaboration Natriuretic peptides and integrated risk
27	365	,.	assessment for cardiovascular disease: an individual-participant-data meta-analysis
28	366		The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology 2016: 4: 840-9
29	367	8	Shaper AG Pocock SI Walker M et al British Regional Heart Study: cardiovascular
30	368	0.	risk factors in middle-aged men in 24 towns <i>RMI</i> 1981: 283: 179-86
31	360	0	Pocock SI Shaper AG Cook DG at al British Regional Heart Study: geographic
32	370	9.	variations in cardiovascular mortality and the role of water quality. British Medical
33 34	370		Lowrad 1080: 280: 1243.0
35	272	10	Dealeration of Helginki Ethical Dringinles for Medical Research Involving Human
36	272	10.	Subjects WMA Declaration of Helginki WMA Declaration of Helginki October 2012
37	272	11	Subjects. WMA Dectaration of Heisinki. WMA Dectaration of Heisinki October 2015.
38	3/4	11.	wannametnee G and Snaper AG. Physical activity and stroke in Brush middle aged
39	3/5	10	men. BMJ : British Medical Journal. 1992; 304: 597-601.
40	3/6	12.	Jefferis BJ, Sartini C, Ash S, et al. Validity of questionnaire-based assessment of
41	377		sedentary behaviour and physical activity in a population-based cohort of older men;
42	378		comparisons with objectively measured physical activity data. Int J Behav Nutr Phys
43	379		Act. 2016; 13: 14.
44	380	13.	Sartini C, Barry SJ, Wannamethee SG, et al. Effect of cold spells and their modifiers
45	381		on cardiovascular disease events: Evidence from two prospective studies. Int J
46	382		<i>Cardiol</i> . 2016; 218: 275-83.
47 79	383	14.	Stolwijk AM, Straatman H and Zielhuis GA. Studying seasonality by using sine and
40 70	384		cosine functions in regression analysis. Journal of Epidemiology and Community
50	385		Health. 1999; 53: 235-8.
51	386	15.	Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH, Lennon L, et al. Associations Between Fibrin D-
52	387		Dimer, Markers of Inflammation, Incident Self-Reported Mobility Limitation, and
53	388		All-Cause Mortality in Older Men. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2014;
54	389		62: 2357-62.
55	390	16.	Nilsonne G, Lekander M, Åkerstedt T, et al. Diurnal variation of circulating
56	391		interleukin-6 in humans: a meta-analysis. <i>bioRxiv</i> . 2016.
57			
58			

1

BMJ Open

2			
3	392	17.	Gudewill S. Pollmacher T. Vedder H. <i>et al.</i> Nocturnal plasma levels of cytokines in
4	393		healthy men <i>European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience</i> 1992 [.] 242 [.]
5	394		53-6
6	395	18	Bauer I Hohagen F Ebert T <i>et al</i> Interleukin-6 serum levels in healthy persons
7	396	10.	correspond to the sleen-wake cycle The Clinical investigator 1994: 72: 315-
8	307	10	Gimeno D Kivimaki M Brunner EL at al Associations of C reactive protein and
9	208	17.	interlaukin 6 with acquitive symptoms of depression: 12 year follow up of the
10	200		Whitehall II study. Druchological medicing, 2000: 20: 412-22
11	399	20	Wintenan II study. <i>Psychological medicine</i> . 2009, 59. 415-25.
12	400	20.	Sartini C, wannametnee SG, Infre S, <i>et al.</i> Diurnal patterns of objectively measured
13	401		physical activity and sedentary behaviour in older men. BMC Public Health. 2015;
14	402		15: 609.
15	403	21.	Goetze JP, Jorgensen HL, Sennels HP, et al. Diurnal plasma concentrations of
10	404		natriuretic propeptides in healthy young males. <i>Clinical chemistry</i> . 2012; 58: 789-92.
10	405	22.	Eliasson M, Evrin PE, Lundblad D, et al. Influence of gender, age and sampling time
10	406		on plasma fibrinolytic variables and fibrinogen. Fibrinolysis. 1993; 7: 316-23.
20	407	23.	Meier-Ewert HK, Ridker PM, Rifai N, et al. Absence of diurnal variation of C-
20	408		reactive protein concentrations in healthy human subjects. <i>Clinical chemistry</i> . 2001;
22	409		47: 426-30.
23	410	24.	Jafri SM, VanRollins M, Ozawa T, <i>et al.</i> Circadian variation in platelet function in
24	411		healthy volunteers Am J Cardiol 1992: 69: 951-4
25	412	25	Bridges AB McLaren M Scott NA <i>et al.</i> Circadian variation of tissue plasminogen
26	413	20.	activator and its inhibitor yon Willebrand factor antigen and prostacyclin stimulating
27	41 <i>5</i> //1/		factor in men with ischaemic heart disease <i>British Heart Journal</i> 1993: 60: 121-4
28	415	26	Klinkenberg I I van Dijk IW. Tan FF <i>et al.</i> Circulating cardiac trononin T exhibits a
29	415	20.	diurnal rhythm Lournal of the American College of Candiology 2014: 62: 1782 05
30	410	27	diumai myumi. Journai of the American Conege of Caralology. 2014, 05. 1788-95.
31	41/	27.	Bremner WF, Solnern KB, Kanabrocki EL, et al. Relation between circadian patterns
32	418		in levels of circulating lipoprotein(a), fibrinogen, platelets, and related lipid variables
33	419	• •	in men. American heart journal. 2000; 139: 164-73.
34	420	28.	Kristal-Boneh E, Harari G and Green MS. Seasonal Change in 24-Hour Blood
30	421		Pressure and Heart Rate Is Greater Among Smokers Than Nonsmokers. <i>Hypertension</i> .
30 27	422		1997; 30: 436-41.
30 30	423	29.	Vgontzas AN, Zoumakis M, Bixler EO, et al. Impaired nighttime sleep in healthy old
30	424		versus young adults is associated with elevated plasma interleukin-6 and cortisol
40	425		levels: physiologic and therapeutic implications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003; 88:
41	426		2087-95.
42	427	30.	Elliott WJ. Circadian variation in the timing of stroke onset: a meta-analysis. <i>Stroke</i> ;
43	428		a journal of cerebral circulation. 1998; 29: 992-6.
44	429	31	Carson PA O'Connor CM Miller AB. <i>et al.</i> Circadian rhythm and sudden death in
45	430		heart failure Results from prospective randomized amlodinine survival trial <i>Journal of</i>
46	431		the American College of Cardiology 2000: 36: 541-6
47	1.5.1		ne miner tean conege of caractery, 2000, 50, 511 0.
48	125		
49	432		
50			
51			

Figure 1 – Unadjsted geometric means (95% CI) by time of the day ¹ for C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Fibrinogen, tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA), von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac Troponin T (cTnT) measured on one occasion in BRHS



Figure 1 – Unadjsted geometric means (95% CI) by time of the day

186x99mm (300 x 300 DPI)



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
2 Q	
0	
9	~
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
2	2
2	1
ວ າ	4
ა ი	S
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	6
۵	7
1	2
4	0
4	3
5	U
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	5
5	6
5	7
5	8
2	0

Title and abstract (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of Abstract what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential controders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 97-123 97-123 applicable 0 Introduction thom on group Methods, lines 75-78 Bata sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of interest give was arrived at 2252 Methods, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handle		Item No	Recommendation	
title or the abstract title or the abstract title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, line 66-72 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Study design 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participantis 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66- 72 sad 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 97-123 Basesement methods of assessment (methods if there is more than one group Basessment methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study si	Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the	Abstract
(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Introduction Introduction, lines investigation being reported Introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 Methods Introduction, lines 54-56 Introduction, lines 54-56 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Methods, lines 66- 72 and 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 send 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ mesaurement * For each variable of interest, give sources of bias Study size Quantiative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantiative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the			title or the abstract	
what was done and what was found Introduction Introduction, lines Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Methods 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (d) Give the cligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of bias 75-123 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If dec orboral dist, and the sources of bias 116-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) 11f applicable, describe analytical			(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	Abstract
Introduction Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-63 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of theods, lines 66-72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For cach variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods of assessment methods in there is more than one group Bias Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias States (a) Describe and with groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 Guantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were chosen and why 91-114 Statist			what was done and what was found	
Background/rational 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (d) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66- 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 interactions (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Introduction			
Investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines State State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines State Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of 75-123 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias States 10 Statistical methods 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any sensitivity analys	Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	Introduction. lines
Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, lines 64-56 and 60-62 Setting Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (a) Give the cligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 12 (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactio		_	investigation being reported	54-62
S4-56 and 60-62 Methods Stady design 4 Present key elements of study design carly in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If wariables Methods, lines 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, lines
Methods Methods Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-selection of participants 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines 75-78 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 91-114 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 152 (d) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and				54-56 and 60-62
Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 sud 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If do Describe any sethods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 12-159 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Id 14 Idendos, lines 6	Methods			
Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, lines Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-38 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 152 (d) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 12-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy Methods, lines 152 (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 66-18 13-159 Results 13* </td <td>Study design</td> <td>4</td> <td>Present key elements of study design early in the paper</td> <td>Methods, line 66-72</td>	Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, line 66-72
periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results. Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA sampling strategy <td< td=""><td>Setting</td><td>5</td><td>Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including</td><td>Methods, lines</td></td<>	Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	Methods, lines
Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 152 quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines incoming 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers optentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see M	C		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	75-84
selection of participants 72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Methods, lines 125-159 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152-159 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg mumbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage<	Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	Methods, lines 66-
Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If for confounding Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers, potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, sce Methods, lines 66-76	*		selection of participants	72 snd 75-84
confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Total Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lin	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	Methods, lines
applicable Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control interactions Methods , lines 6 Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines 152- and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 66- 68 and 91-95 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-partricipation at eac			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	97-123
Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 75-123 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results [g] Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 Not necessary for			applicable	
measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 8 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If wethods, lines applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 152 infor confounding 125-159 Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95 Not necessary for this study, see	Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	Methods, lines
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods , lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95	measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	75-123
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed for eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed for eligible, study, see Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95			assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If methods, lines applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods , lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and methods , lines 152 interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95	Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 66	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252	Results, lines 75-78
variables applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-668 and 91-95 76 Not necessary for this study, see	Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	Methods, lines
Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and Methods, lines 152 interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 66-	variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	91-114
for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	Methods, lines
(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			for confounding	125-159
interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 113*			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	Methods, lines 152
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95			interactions	and 156-158
(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
sampling strategy Methods , lines (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 151-159 Results Methods , lines 66- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66- 0 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	NA
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results Methods , lines 66- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95			sampling strategy	
151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligibile, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95			(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Methods, lines
Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 76 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				151-159
Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Results			
numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed68 and 91-95(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 66- 76(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	Methods, , lines 66-
eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	68 and 91-95
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 66- 76(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, seeMethods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 Methods, lines 66-			(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Methods, lines 66-
(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, seeMethods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				76
this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not necessary for
Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				this study, see
68 and 91-95				Methods, lines 66-
				68 and 91-95

Page 19 of 19

BMJ Open

Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Table 1, Figure 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	Table 2
		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and	
		why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	NA
		categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	Results, lines
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	187-195
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Results, lines 170-
			181 Discussion,
			lines 224-235
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	Discussion, lines
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude	lines 272-284
		of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	Discussion, lines
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	230-234 and 272 -
		studies, and other relevant evidence	284
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, lines
			287-289
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	Acknowledgements,
		present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the	lines 287-302
		present article is based	

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

BMJ Open

Associations of time of day with cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older men: results from the British Regional Heart Study

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2017-018264.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Sartini, Claudio; University College of London, Primary Care and Population Health Whincup, Peter; St Georges, University of London, Population Health Research Institute Wannamethee, Goya; University College London Jefferis, Barbara ; University College London Lennon, Lucy; UCL, Department of Primary Care and Population Health Lowe, Gordon; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Welsh, Paul; University of Glasgow, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences Sattar, Naveed; University of Glasgow, BHF centre Morris, Richard; University of Bristol, School of Social & Community Medicine
Primary Subject Heading :	Epidemiology
Secondary Subject Heading:	Public health
Keywords:	biological markers, older adults, cardiovascular disease, diurnal variations

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts



Page '	1 of 19	BMJ Open
1		
2 3	1	Title: Associations of time of day with cardiovascular disease risk factors measured in older
4 5	2	men: results from the British Regional Heart Study
6	3	с ,
8	4	Claudio Sartini, MSc ^{1*} , Peter H Whincup, PhD ² , S Gova Wannamethee, PhD ¹ , Barbara J
9 10	5	Jefferis. PhD ¹ . Lucy Lennon. MSc ¹ . Gordon DO Lowe. PhD ³ . Paul Welsh. PhD ³ . Naveed
11 12	6	Sattar, PhD 3 , and Richard W Morris, PhD 4
13	7	¹ Department of Primary Care & Population Health, University College London, Rowland Hill
14 15	,	
16 17	8	Street, NW3 2PF, London. UK
18 19	9	² Population Health Research Institute, St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace
20	10	London SW/17 OPE LIK
21 22	10	
23 24	11	³ Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA
25	12	UK
26 27	10	
28 29	13	School of Social & Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Canynge Hall, 39 Whatley Rd,
30 31	14	Bristol BS8 2PS, UK.
32	15	
33 34	10	
35 36	16	[*] Corresponding Author
37	17	E-mail: <u>c.sartini@ucl.ac.uk;</u>
30 39	10	
40 41	18	
42 43	19	Manuscript number of words: 4847 words (all included: title page, abstract, manuscript, all
44	20	tables and references).
45 46		
47 48		
49		
50 51		
52		
53 54		
55		
56 57		
58		
59 60		
		For peer review only - http://bmionen.hmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml

21	Abstract (250 words, now 248)
22	Objective: We estimated associations of time of day with cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk
23	factors measured in older men.
24	Methods: CVD risk factors (markers of inflammation and haemostasis, and cardiac markers)
25	were measured on one occasion between 08:00-19:00 hours in 4252 men aged 60-79 years
26	from the British Regional Heart Study. Linear models were used to estimate associations
27	between time of day and risk factors. When an association was found, we examined
28	whether the relationship between risk factors and cardiovascular mortality was affected by
29	the adjustment for time of day using survival analyses.
30	Results: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP) levels increased by 3.3% per
31	hour [95% Confidence interval (CI) 1.9; 4.8], Interleukin-6 (IL-6) increased by 2.6% per hour
32	(95% CI 1.8; 3.4), while Tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) decreased by 3.3% per hour
33	(95% Cl 3.7; 2.9); these associations were unaffected by adjustment for possible
34	confounding factors. The percentages of variation in these risk factors attributable to time
35	of day were less than 2%. In survival analyses, the association of IL-6, NT-ProBNP, and t-PA
36	with cardiovascular mortality was not affected by the adjustment for time of day. C-Reactive
37	Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T showed no
38	associations with time of day.
39	Conclusions: In older men, markers of inflammation (IL-6), haemostasis (t-PA), and a cardiac
40	marker (NT-ProBNP) varied by time of day. The contribution of time of day to variations in
41	these markers was small, and did not appear to be relevant for the CVD risk prediction.
42	

BMJ Open

2	
5	
4	
5	
6	
2	
1	
8	
õ	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
21	
24	
25	
26	
27	
21	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
202	
33	
34	
35	
200	
36	
37	
38	
00	
39	
40	
⊿1	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
45	
46	
47	
40	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
55	
56	
50	
57	
58	
59	
03	
b()	

43 Background

44 Previous studies have reported time of day variation in both established and emerging 45 cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors in middle aged adults, such as blood pressure, 46 lipids and some well-established inflammatory and haemostatic factors (e.g. white blood cell, red blood cell, and platelets counts) ¹⁻³. However, the extent to which emerging CVD 47 48 risk factors such as Interleukin-6, a marker of inflammation causally associated with CHD in a recent study ⁴, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, a marker of heart failure ⁵ 49 50 vary by time of day have been less studied. Moreover, very little is known on time of day 51 variations in other emerging risk factors prospectively associated with CVD (e.g. t-PA, D-52 Dimer, von Willebrand factor, and Cardiac Troponin T), although their causal association 53 with CVD remain debated or not yet tested.

54

55 We would expect that time of day variations in some emerging CVD risk factors measured in older adults may occur, consistent with findings in younger populations ⁶. However, in older 56 57 adults the degree of difference attributable to time of day has not been yet estimated; 58 establishing its importance and its effects on prediction of CVD risk is important given the 59 potentially wider use of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide in risk stratification (as shown in a recent major meta-analysis in the general population 7), and potential causal link 60 between IL-6 and cardiovascular disease ⁴. Therefore, the aim of this study was to 61 62 investigate how emerging CVD risk factors, including markers of inflammation, haemostasis 63 and myocardial function, varied by time of day in older British men.

64

65 Methods

66 Participants

The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) is a prospective cohort study of cardiovascular disease involving 7735 middle aged men (40-59 years) selected in 1978-80 from the age-sex registers of one local primary care centre in 24 British towns⁸. The 24 towns were selected to represent the variation in cardiovascular disease across the UK⁹. The National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee for London provided ethical approval. Participants provided informed written consent to the investigation, which was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki¹⁰.

Follow-up examination In 1998-2000, an average of 20 years after the initial recruitment, 4252 surviving participants (77% response rate) aged 60-79 years who were resident in the UK attended a physical examination during which nurses took a fasting blood sample on one occasion for each participant. The men were asked to fast for a minimum of 6 hours, during which they were instructed to drink only water, as previously reported 2 . The blood samples were collected between 08:00 h and 19:00 h and then assayed for a range of biochemical and haematological markers. Participants' appointment times were non-systematically allocated. They were offered the opportunity to contact the BRHS team and change the time of examination, if unable to attend; a small proportion of participants did so. The participants were also asked to complete a questionnaire which included questions on other established CVD risk factors, such as age, social class, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Specifically, physical activity levels were self-reported ¹¹

89 and recently validated using accelerometers ¹². Incident CVD, including non-fatal stroke and

91 also asked whether a doctor had ever told them that they had heart failure 5. The number of

non-fatal MI were recorded: their definitions have been reported elsewhere ¹³. Men were

92 blood samples collected and included in the analyses differ according to the risk factor

measurements (the number of observations varied from 3580 for N-terminal Pro-Brain
 Natriuretic Peptide to 3863 for von Willebrand Factor in complete case analyses including all

95 covariates of interest).

97 CVD Risk factors

98 Circulating levels of markers of inflammation (C-reactive protein [CRP], Interleukin 6 [IL-6],
99 Fibrinogen), cardiac markers (N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide [NT-ProBNP], cardiac
100 Troponin T [cTnT], and markers of haemostasis (tissue plasminogen activator [t-PA] antigen,
101 fibrin D-dimer, von Willebrand factor [vWF]) were measured.

D-dimer and t-PA levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
 (ELISA; Biopool AB, Umeå, Sweden), as was VWF antigen (Dako, High Wycombe, UK). C reactive protein was assayed using ultrasensitive nephelometry (Dade Behring, Milton

106 Keynes, UK). IL-6 was assayed using a high-sensitivity ELISA (R & D Sys-tems, Oxford, UK).

BMJ Open

Fibrinogen was assayed using an auto-mated Clauss assay in a coagulometer (MDA-180, Organon Teknika, Cambridge, UK). NT-proBNP and hsTnT were measured in plasma samples on an automated clinically validated immunoassay analyzer (e411, Roche Diagnostics, Burgess Hill, United Kingdom) using the manufacturers' calibrators and quality control reagents. Intra- and inter-assay Coefficient of Variations (CVs) were, respectively: 4.1% and 6.6% for t-PA; 3.2% and 4.2% for vWF; 4.7% and 5.2% for D-dimer; 4.7% and 8.3% for CRP; 7.5% and 8.9% for IL-6; 2.6% and 3.7% for Fibrinogen, and 4.4% and 7.7% for NT-ProBNP and cTnT.

The samples were centrifuged and separated on the morning or afternoon of collection and stored on site at -20°C until they were transferred to a central freezer storage location at -70°C within 2 weeks of sample collection. Samples were then transferred on dry ice to a single central laboratory and were thawed immediately before analysis. Plasma samples were used for all the analyses reported here. The original sample collection took place between January 1998 and March 2000. Most of the analyses described here were carried out during 2000, after a maximum of 3 years storage; NT-ProBNP and cTNT were analysed in 2009.

125 Statistical methods

Firstly, the distributions of the outcomes were examined; the outcomes were logtransformed as the distributions were positively skewed. Therefore, analysis was carried out on their log-transformed values throughout. Unadjusted geometric means and 95% Confidence Intervals [CI] of the outcomes were plotted against hour of the day.

131 Adjusted associations between time of day and the outcomes

132 Associations between time of day (fitted as a continuous variable, range 8-18) and the

133 outcomes were examined using linear multilevel random intercept models (level 1 =

134 individual, level 2 = town of residence). The results can be interpreted as between-person

135 variations over the course of the examination day; the estimates from the linear model

- 136 were reported as the difference in the outcome levels per hour of sampling over the
- 137 examination day. As the outcomes were log-transformed, the results were reported as
- 138 percent difference in the outcome geometric mean per hour of sampling. All models were

initially adjusted for age only. Next, the models were adjusted for age and other possible
confounding factors: social class, BMI, previous stroke or myocardial infarction (MI), physical
activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, use of statin, and a seasonal term (fitted
using a cosinor function, as in previous studies) ¹⁴. As NT-ProBNP and cTnT are principally
markers of heart failure, the association with time of day was adjusted for previous heart
failure.

When the association of time of the day with the outcomes was found to be statistically significant, the proportion of variance associated with time of the day was estimated using partial R-squared.

150 Sensitivity analyses

Six sensitivity analyses were performed: (i) all models were additionally adjusted for fasting time and diabetes; (ii) all models were carried out excluding men with diabetes, (iii) interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by age (fitted as continuous variable); (iv) as NT-ProBNP and cTnT were acknowledged as specific cardiac markers ⁵, interactions were fitted to test whether the time of day associations were modified by previous heart failure (yes/no); (v) to explore the potential of undiagnosed heart failure or cardiac damage influencing findings for NT-ProBNP and cTnT, we repeated regression models after excluding men with NTproBNP > 400 pg/ml; (vi) a quadratic term for time of day was added to the models in order to check for non-linearity

As IL-6 has been causally associated with cardiovascular risk ⁴, and prospectively associated with CVD mortality in the BRHS sample used here ¹⁵, we investigated the relevance of time of day to the cardiovascular risk prediction by performing two survival analyses: in the first analysis we used Cox models where unadjusted log IL-6 was used as the predictor and CVD mortality as the clinical outcome; then, we repeated the same analysis using log IL-6 standardised by the time of day rather than unadjusted log IL-6. For completeness of information, we repeated this sensitivity analysis for NT-ProBNP and t-PA.

Results

170 The characteristics of the study participants (mean age 68.7 years, standard deviation (SD) =

BMJ Open

5.5) are reported in Table 1. The associations between time of day (by hour) and risk factors are shown in Figure 1. Evidence of an increase over the course of the day was particularly noticeable for IL-6, and for NT-ProBNP (Figure 1). Also, levels of t-PA were lower in the afternoon in comparison with morning, while variations by time of day for other risk factors were not clearly observable from the plots (Figure 1). The results of corresponding linear regression analyses are shown in Table 2: statistically significant associations between time of the day and some outcomes were found (Table 2): over the course of the examination day NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.3% per hour (95% CI 1.9; 4.8%), IL-6 increased by 2.6% per hour (95% CI 1.8; 3.4%). Conversely, t-PA decreased by 3.3% per hour (95% CI 3.7; 2.9%). The proportion of variance associated with time of the day from the fully adjusted models was 0.5%, 1%, and 2% for NT-ProBNP, IL-6, and t-PA respectively.

C-Reactive Protein, Fibrinogen, D-Dimer, von Willebrand Factor, and cardiac Troponin T
 showed no consistent associations with time of day (Table 2).

186 Sensitivity analyses

Overall, we found that fasting time did not alter the magnitude of associations between time of the day and the outcomes reported in Table 2. Only the association between time of the day and t-PA was strongly attenuated after accounting for fasting time (fitted as continuous variable): the decrease in t-PA levels was -3.3% (95%Cl -3.7; -2.9) per hour before the adjustment (Table 2) and -1.4% (95%CI -2.2; -0.1) after the adjustment for fasting. An additional adjustment for diabetes status did not alter the magnitude of the association between hour of the day and the outcomes. We also performed the analysis excluding men with diabetes completely (Table 2 – Model 3), but the association between time of day and the outcomes did not substantially change.

For all outcomes, we also did not find evidence for an interaction between of time of daywith age (results not shown).

In stratified analysis, NT-ProBNP levels increased by 3.4% (95% CI 1.9; 4.8%, p<0.001) per
 hour in older men without heart failure. Although men who previously had heart failure had
 increased NT-ProBNP levels, there was no evidence for an interaction between previous

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

heart failure with time of the day (p=0.954). After excluding 466 men with NTproBNP levels
>400pg/ml (12% of the sample), associations between time of the day measures and
NTproBNP remained statistically significant and slightly increased in magnitude (3.9% [95%
Cl 2.7; 5.1%], p<0.001). As reported in the main analysis, no significant associations were
found between time of the day and cTnT in stratified analysis.

When adding a quadratic term to the model, we found a significant improvement in model fit for IL-6 only (p=0.030 for the time of day squared term). The association of time of day with IL-6 appeared to be slightly J-shaped, with a linear increase starting from 11:00 until 19:00 hours.

We examined whether adjustment for hour of day affected the associations between risk factors and CVD mortality. In survival analysis, higher levels of log IL-6 were associated with increased CVD mortality (HR=1.70, 95%Cl 1.54; 1.87). Standardising IL-6 by time of the day did not change the relationship (HR=1.71, 95%CI 1.55; 1.88). Also, standardising NT-ProBNP levels by time of the day did not alter the magnitude of the effect on CVD mortality (HR=1.92, 95%CI 1.81; 2.04). Finally, associations of t-PA levels with increased CVD mortality did not change substantially before (HR=1.74, 95%CI 1.45; 2.09) and after standardising (HR=1.77, 95%Cl 1.47; 2.14) by time of the day.

223 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest investigation of relationships between time of day and CVD risk factors in older men. After adjusting our analysis for potential confounding factors we demonstrated that some, but not all, CVD risk factors levels varied by time of day. In particular, NT-ProBNP and IL-6 increased linearly over the course of the day. Conversely, a decrease in t-PA was also observed; however, after accounting for fasting time the relationship with time of the day was strongly attenuated (therefore fasting time could partially explain the drop in t-PA levels observed in the afternoon vs morning). Our analyses showed that the contribution of time of the day to the overall variation of NT-ProBNP, IL-6, and t-PA was small and without clinical importance; we observed that time of day did not have a sufficiently strong effect to be taken into account when assessing the impact of IL-6,

BMJ Open

NT-ProBNP, and t-PA on CVD mortality. Lastly, an association of time of day with other risk
factors was not observed.

Literature on time of day variation in emerging CVD markers of inflammation and haemostasis in older adults is limited; to our knowledge this is the first time these findings have been reported in older adults. Findings from earlier studies of younger adults were fairly consistent with ours. For example a recent meta-analysis of several small studies which analysed IL-6 proposed a diurnal pattern, with overall IL-6 levels increased between 08:00 and 19:00 hours as in our study ¹⁶. However, in two previous very small studies of twelve ¹⁷ and five ¹⁸ participants, IL-6 peaked in the night-time. It is possible that peaks in IL-6 levels may be associated with cognitive symptoms of depression ¹⁹ and daily activities, although in the BRHS population this has not yet been investigated. One previous study found that BRHS men were more active in the morning and in early afternoon ²⁰ when the main activities were usually gardening, house works, shopping, or leisure walking. Whether IL-6 was implicated in this daily pattern remains uncertain and can potentially be explored in future studies.

Moreover, one previous study reported increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the course of day ²¹ as we observed in our study. A decrease in t-PA over the examination day was also reported in younger subjects (a 45-year-old UK population of 9377 men and women) ⁶; however, t-PA did not vary by time of the day in a previous study large study of 1288 healthy 25 to 64-year-old men and women ²².

In comparison to our study, findings regarding CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, vWF and cTnT reported in earlier studies of younger adults were similar: a few previous studies reported that they did not find an association of time of day with CRP ²³, D-dimer ²⁴, and vWF ²⁵. In one study, the variation in CRP, Fibrinogen, D-dimer, and vWF attributable to time of day was minimal ⁶. Literature on cTnT is scarce: one small previous study of repeated measures in 7 participants with type 2 diabetes reported a decrease in cTnT between 8am and 8pm ²⁶.

Although one previous study suggested that diurnal variations in CVD risk factors could be relevant for cardiovascular risk prediction 6 , a prediction model like the one described in our

survival analysis was not performed. Our findings suggested the effect of time of the day
(from 08:00 h to 19:00 h) is not relevant for the CVD risk assessment. With this sensitivity
analysis we wanted to investigate time of day variations beyond simple descriptive diurnal
patterns; to our knowledge this is the first time this finding has been reported.

271 Strengths and limitations

The BRHS cohort benefits from using a large scale population-based sample of free-living older men and this increases statistical power and precision of estimates. However, the BRHS comprises male participants, predominantly of white European ethnic origin, so findings may not be generalisable to women and non-white ethnic groups. The CVD risk factors measurements were carried out on one occasion over an extended period of the day (between 08:00 and 19:00 hours), offering only a partial understanding of the variations over the 24 hours ^{27 28}. Therefore, in this study the relationship of the CVD risk factors to time of day was explored using between-participant variation only. In future studies it may be advantageous to carry out repeated measurement of the risk factors over the 24 hours in order to investigate within-person circadian variations. However, with repeated measurements a possible and genuine diurnal variation may be disrupted and natural sleeping patterns altered (repeated measures are usually taken every 1-2 hours during the night)²⁹.

286 Implications

Variations of some CVD factors (in particular IL-6 and NT-ProBNP) over the course of the day were observed, suggesting the role of time of the day as potential confounder during the measurements. However, standardising these biological markers by time of day was not particularly relevant for the cardiovascular risk prediction. Also, other sensitivity analyses (stratified analysis and interaction tests) did not add relevant insights suggesting that time of day variations may be not important for clinical risk stratification in general. Further studies assessing both CVD risk factors levels and clinical outcomes (e.g. fatal or non-fatal CVD events) during 24h are required to demonstrate whether a rapid increase of IL-6 over the day may be relevant to the increased number of CVD events observed in early and late morning ³⁰, and whether the increased levels of NT-ProBNP over the day are related to the afternoon peak in sudden death following heart failure ³¹.

2 3	298	
4 5	299	Conclusions
6	300	Variations in time of day were associated with variations of some, but not all, CVD risk
8	301	factors measured in older adults. The contribution of time of the day to the markers' overall
9 10	302	variation was small and unlikely to affect the CVD risk prediction or clinical risk stratification.
11 12	303	
13 14	304	AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS
15 16	305	CS processed the data, performed statistical analyses, drafted and revised the manuscript,
17	306	and incorporated revisions of co-authors. PHW, SGW, BJ, and RM contributed to the design
18 19	307	of the study and revised the manuscript. LL enrolled participants, and collected data. PHW,
20 21	308	RM and SGW raised grant funding. All authors gave an intellectual contribution to the
22 23	309	manuscript and approved the final version.
24	310	Acknowledgements
26	311	The BRHS is supported by a British Heart Foundation (BHF) programme grant
27 28	312	(RG/13/16/30528). This research was supported by a BHF project grant (PG/13/41/30304)
29 30	313	which supported CS. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study;
31 32	314	collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or
33	315	approval of the manuscript; and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The
35	316	views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of
36 37	317	the BHF.
38 39	318	Conflict of interest statement
40 41	319	The authors report no relationships that could be construed as a conflict of interest
42	320	Data Sharing statement
43 44	321	The collection and management of data over the last 39 years of the BRHS has been made
45 46	322	possible through grant funding from UK government agencies and charities. We welcome
47 48	323	proposals for collaborative projects and data sharing. For general data sharing enquiries,
49 50	324	please contact Lucy Lennon (I.lennon@ucl.ac.uk).
50 51 52		
53		
54 55		
56		
ວ <i>1</i> 58		
59		

Demographic and background characteristics	
Age (years), mean (Standard Deviation, SD)	68.7 (5.5)
Social class (manual)	
Manual, n (%)	2166 (51.1)
Non-Manual, n (%)	1966 (46.3)
Armed Forces, n (%)	112 (2.6)
Physical health	
Body Mass Index, mean (SD)	26.9 (3.7)
Prevalence of stroke/myocardial infarction, n (%)	153 (3.6)
Prevalence of heart failure, n (%)	390 (9.2)
Diabetes, n (%)	478 (11.2)
Behavioural factors	
Smoking	
Never, n (%)	1233 (29.1)
Ex-smokers, n (%)	2464 (58.0)
Smokers, n (%)	548 (12.9)
Alcohol consumption	
None, n (%)	431 (10.3)
Occasional/light, n (%) ¹	2949 (70.5)
Moderate/Heavy, n (%) ²	779 (18.6)
Physical activity level	
Inactive, n (%)	471 (11.5)
Occasionally, n (%)	957 (23.4)
Light, n (%)	767 (18.7)
Moderate, n (%)	591 (14.4)
Moderate vigorous, n (%)	690 (16.8)
Vigorous, n (%)	621 (15.1)
CVD risk factor, geometric mean (SD) †	
CRP, mg/L	1.74 (3.03)
IL-6, pg/mL	2.46 (1.94)
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.19 (1.25)
t-PA, ng/mL	10.23 (1.50)
vWF, IU/dL	132.41 (1.40)
D-dimer, ng/mL	84.32 (2.32)
NT-ProBNP, pg/mL	101.50 (3.32)
cTnT.pg/mL	12.07 (1.64)

Abbreviations: C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Fibrinogen, tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA),

von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac 331 Troponin T (cTnT)

 BMJ Open

Table 2 – Cross-sectional adjusted associations between time of day (fitted as continuous variable) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk
 factors measured in the British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) men (aged 60-79) attending the follow-up year 20 examination in 1998-2000.
 Associations are reported as percent difference in CVD risk factors levels per one hour of sampling over the examination day (08:00-19:00h).
 The statistically significant associations are marked in hold

	Model 1:		Model 2:		Mod	Model 3:	
	Age adjusted ¹		Fully adjusted ²		Fully adjusted ² excluding men with diabetes		
CVD risk factor [†]	Percent difference (95%CI) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ³	p-value	Percent difference (95%CI) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ³	p-value	Percent difference (95%CI) in the CVD risk factor levels per hour of sampling ⁴	p-value	
NT-ProBNP	3.5 (2.0;5.0)	<0.001	3.3 (1.9; 4.8)	<0.001	3.5 (2.0; 5.0)	<0.001	
IL-6	2.6 (1.7;3.4)	<0.001	2.6 (1.8; 3.4)	<0.001	2.4 (1.6; 3.3)	<0.001	
t-PA	-3.3 (-3.8;-2.9)	<0.001	-3.3 (-3.7; -2.9)	<0.001	-3.2 (-3.6; -2.7)	<0.001	
Fibrinogen	-0.2 (-0.5;0.0)	0.088	-0.2 (-0.5; 0.1)	0.104	-0.2 (-0.5; 0.1)	0.149	
cTnT	-0.4 (-0.9;0.2)	0.194	-0.4 (-1.0; 0.2)	0.174	-0.4 (-1.0; 0.2)	0.165	
CRP	-1.0 (-2.3;0.4)	0.151	-0.9 (-2.2; 0.4)	0.175	-0.9 (-2.2; 0.5)	0.191	
vWF	-0.2 (-0.6;0.2)	0.374	-0.2 (-0.6; 0.2)	0.380	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.3)	0.703	
D-Dimer	-0.0 (-1.0;1.0)	0.929	-0.1 (-1.0; 0.9)	0.890	-0.1 (-1.2; 0.9)	0.801	

¹ Model 1: Two level linear models (level 1 = person, level 2 = town of residence during the BRHS recruitment) adjusted for age. Model 1 used the same number of observations of Model 2 (complete case analysis).

338 ² Model 1 additionally adjusted for social class, Body Mass Index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, use of statin, and season. Associations with IL-6, t-

PA, Fibrinogen, CRP, vWF, and D-Dimer were additionally adjusted for prevalence of stroke/MI, while association of time of the day with NT-ProBNP and cTnT models were
 additionally adjusted for prevalence of heart failure.

341 ³ Model 1 and Model 2 used the same number of observations: 3580 for NT-ProBNP, 3832 for IL-6, 3863 for t-PA, 3861 for Fibrinogen, 3827 for cTnT, 3838 for CRP, 3863 for 342 vWF, 3859 for D-Dimer

⁴ Model 3 number of observations: 3176 for NT-ProBNP, 3398 for IL-6, 3429 for t-PA, 3427 for Fibrinogen, 3398 for cTnT, 3405 for CRP, 3429 for vWF, 3425 for D-Dimer

¹ Abbreviations: C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Fibrinogen, tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA), von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac Troponin T (cTnT)

2			
3	346		References
4			
6	247	1	Descely SI Aghby D. Shanan A.C. at al Diamol variations in communications in a
7	347 240	1.	POCOCK SJ, ASIDY D, Shaper AG, <i>et al.</i> Diurnal variations in serum diochemical and
8	348	2	naematological measurements. <i>Journal of clinical pathology</i> . 1989, 42: 172-9.
9	349	2.	Emberson JR, whincup PH, walker M, et al. Biochemical measures in a population-
10	350		based study: effect of fasting duration and time of day. Annals of clinical
11	351	•	<i>biochemistry</i> . 2002; 39: 493-501.
12	352	3.	Elliott WJ. Circadian variation in blood pressure: Implications for the elderly patient.
13	353		American Journal of Hypertension. 1999; 12: 438-98.
14	354	4.	IL6R Genetics Consortium and Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Interleukin-6
15	355		receptor pathways in coronary heart disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 82
16	356		studies. The Lancet. 2012; 379: 1205-13.
17	357	5.	Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Papacosta O, et al. Lung function and airway
10	358		obstruction: associations with circulating markers of cardiac function and incident
20	359		heart failure in older men-the British Regional Heart Study. Thorax. 2016; 71: 526-
20	360		34.
22	361	6.	Rudnicka AR, Rumley A, Lowe GD, et al. Diurnal, seasonal, and blood-processing
23	362		patterns in levels of circulating fibrinogen, fibrin D-dimer, C-reactive protein, tissue
24	363		plasminogen activator, and von Willebrand factor in a 45-year-old population.
25	364		<i>Circulation</i> . 2007; 115: 996-1003.
26	365	7.	Natriuretic Peptides Studies Collaboration. Natriuretic peptides and integrated risk
27	366		assessment for cardiovascular disease: an individual-participant-data meta-analysis
28	367		The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology 2016 [.] 4 [.] 840-9
29	368	8	Shaper AG Pocock SI Walker M <i>et al</i> British Regional Heart Study: cardiovascular
30	369	0.	risk factors in middle-aged men in 24 towns <i>BMJ</i> 1981: 283: 179-86
31	370	9	Pocock SI Shaper AG Cook DG et al British Regional Heart Study: geographic
32 33	371).	variations in cardiovascular mortality and the role of water quality <i>British Medical</i>
34	372		Journal 1980: 280: 12/13-9
35	372	10	Declaration of Helsinki Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human
36	373	10.	Subjects WMA Declaration of Halsinki WMA Declaration of HelsinkiOctober 2013
37	374	11	Wannamethea G and Shaper A.G. Dhysical activity and stroke in British middle aged
38	276	11.	mon. PML: Pritish Medical Journal 1002: 204: 507 601
39	570 277	10	Inferio DI Sortini C. Ash S. et al. Validity of quastionnaire based accessment of
40	270	12.	Jenenis DJ, Saltini C, Asii S, et al. validity of questioninane-based assessment of
41	3/8		sedentary behaviour and physical activity in a population-based conort of older men,
42	3/9		comparisons with objectively measured physical activity data. In J Benav Nuir Phys
43	380	10	Act. 2016; 13: 14.
44	381	13.	Sartini C, Barry SJ, Wannamethee SG, et al. Effect of cold spells and their modifiers
40	382		on cardiovascular disease events: Evidence from two prospective studies. Int J
40 //7	383		Cardiol. 2016; 218: 275-83.
48	384	14.	Stolwijk AM, Straatman H and Zielhuis GA. Studying seasonality by using sine and
49	385		cosine functions in regression analysis. Journal of Epidemiology and Community
50	386		<i>Health</i> . 1999; 53: 235-8.
51	387	15.	Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH, Lennon L, et al. Associations Between Fibrin D-
52	388		Dimer, Markers of Inflammation, Incident Self-Reported Mobility Limitation, and
53	389		All-Cause Mortality in Older Men. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2014;
54	390		62: 2357-62.
55	391	16.	Nilsonne G, Lekander M, Åkerstedt T, et al. Diurnal variation of circulating
56	392		interleukin-6 in humans: a meta-analysis. bioRxiv. 2016.
57			
58			

1

BMJ Open

2			
3	393	17.	Gudewill S, Pollmacher T, Vedder H, et al. Nocturnal plasma levels of cytokines in
4	394		healthy men. <i>European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience</i> , 1992; 242:
5	395		53-6
6	396	18	Bauer I Hohagen F Ebert T <i>et al</i> Interleukin-6 serum levels in healthy persons
7	397	10.	correspond to the sleen-wake cycle The Clinical investigator 1994: 72: 315-
8	308	10	Gimeno D. Kivimaki M. Brunner EL at al. Associations of C reactive protein and
9	200	19.	interleukin 6 with acquitive summtoms of depression: 12 year fellow up of the
10	399		Whitehall II study. Druckalagiant modicing 2000, 20, 412-22
11	400	20	whitehall II study. <i>Psychological medicine</i> . 2009, 59: 413-23.
12	401	20.	Sartini C, wannametnee SG, liffe S, et al. Diurnal patterns of objectively measured
13	402		physical activity and sedentary behaviour in older men. BMC Public Health. 2015;
14	403		15: 609.
15	404	21.	Goetze JP, Jorgensen HL, Sennels HP, et al. Diurnal plasma concentrations of
16	405		natriuretic propeptides in healthy young males. Clinical chemistry. 2012; 58: 789-92.
17	406	22.	Eliasson M, Evrin PE, Lundblad D, et al. Influence of gender, age and sampling time
10	407		on plasma fibrinolytic variables and fibrinogen. Fibrinolysis. 1993; 7: 316-23.
20	408	23.	Meier-Ewert HK, Ridker PM, Rifai N, et al. Absence of diurnal variation of C-
20	409		reactive protein concentrations in healthy human subjects. <i>Clinical chemistry</i> . 2001;
22	410		47: 426-30.
23	411	24	Jafri SM VanRollins M Ozawa T <i>et al</i> Circadian variation in platelet function in
24	412	2	healthy volunteers Am I Cardiol 1992: 69: 951-4
25	413	25	Bridges AB McLaren M Scott NA <i>et al.</i> Circadian variation of tissue plasminogen
26	41J 414	23.	activator and its inhibitor yon Willebrand factor antigen, and prostagualin stimulating
27	414		factor in man with isohoomia hourt discose. <i>Pritish Heart Journal</i> 1002: 60: 121.4
28	413	20	Raciol III men with Ischaeffic heart disease. <i>British Heart Journal</i> . 1995, 09. 121-4.
29	410	26.	Klinkenberg LJ, van DIJK JW, Tan FE, <i>et al.</i> Circulating cardiac troponin T exhibits a
30	41/		diurnal rhythm. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014; 63: 1/88-95.
31	418	27.	Bremner WF, Sothern RB, Kanabrocki EL, et al. Relation between circadian patterns
32	419		in levels of circulating lipoprotein(a), fibrinogen, platelets, and related lipid variables
33	420		in men. American heart journal. 2000; 139: 164-73.
34	421	28.	Kristal-Boneh E, Harari G and Green MS. Seasonal Change in 24-Hour Blood
35	422		Pressure and Heart Rate Is Greater Among Smokers Than Nonsmokers. Hypertension.
36	423		1997; 30: 436-41.
37	424	29.	Vgontzas AN, Zoumakis M, Bixler EO, et al. Impaired nighttime sleep in healthy old
38	425		versus young adults is associated with elevated plasma interleukin-6 and cortisol
39	426		levels: physiologic and therapeutic implications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003: 88:
40	427		2087-95
41	428	30	Elliott WI Circadian variation in the timing of stroke onset: a meta-analysis <i>Stroke</i> :
43	429	50.	a journal of cerebral circulation 1998: 29: 992-6
44	129	31	Carson PA O'Connor CM Miller AB <i>et al</i> Circadian rhythm and sudden death in
45	430	51.	baset failure Desulta from prograative randomized emladining survival trial <i>Journal</i> of
46	431		the American College of Crudiology 2000, 26, 541 6
47	432		the American College of Caralology. 2000, 50. 541-0.
48			
49	433		
50			
51			
52			

Figure 1 – Unadjsted geometric means (95% CI) by time of the day ¹ for C-Reactive Protein (CRP), Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Fibrinogen, tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA), von Willebrand factor (vWF), fibrin D-dimer, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-ProBNP), and cardiac Troponin T (cTnT) measured on one occasion in BRHS men aged 60-79 during the years 1998-2000.

- ¹ Total number of men examined per hour was 33(0.7%) at 08:00-08:59, 363(8.5%) at 8:00-9:59h, 699(16%) at
- 10:00-10:59h, 771(18%) at 11:00-11:59h, 591(14%) at 12:00-12:59h, 99(2%) at 13:00-13:59h, 306(7%) at
- 14:00-14:59), 560(13%) at 15:00-15:59h, 566(13%) at 16:00-16:59, 260(6%) at 17:00-17:59, and 3(<0.1%) at
- 18:00-18:59

BMJ Open



Figure 1 – Unadjsted geometric means (95% CI) by time of the day

186x99mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
2 Q	
0	
9	~
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
2	2
2	1
ວ າ	4
ა ი	S
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	6
۵	7
1	2
4	0
4	3
5	U
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	5
5	6
5	7
5	8
2	0

Title and abstract (a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of Abstract what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential controders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 97-123 97-123 applicable 0 Introduction thom on group Methods, lines 75-78 Bata sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of interest give was arrived at 2252 Methods, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handle		Item No	Recommendation	
title or the abstract title or the abstract title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, line 66-72 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Study design 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participantis 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66- 72 sad 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposure, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if 97-123 Basesement methods of assessment (methods if there is more than one group Basessment methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study si	Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the	Abstract
(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found Abstract Introduction Introduction Introduction, lines investigation being reported Introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 Methods Introduction, lines 54-56 Introduction, lines 54-56 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Methods, lines 66- 72 and 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 send 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ mesaurement * For each variable of interest, give sources of bias Study size Quantiative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantiative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the			title or the abstract	
what was done and what was found Introduction Introduction, lines Background/rationale 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Methods 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (d) Give the cligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of bias 75-123 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If dec orboral dist, and the sources of bias 116-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) 11f applicable, describe analytical			(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of	Abstract
Introduction Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-63 Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of theods, lines 66-72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For cach variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods of assessment methods in there is more than one group Bias Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias States (a) Describe and with groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 Guantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were chosen and why 91-114 Statist			what was done and what was found	
Background/rational 2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported Introduction, lines 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (d) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66- 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 interactions (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Introduction			
Investigation being reported 54-62 Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines State State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines State Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of 75-123 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias States 10 Statistical methods 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any sensitivity analys	Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	Introduction. lines
Objectives 3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses Introduction, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, lines 54-56 and 60-62 Methods Methods, lines 64-56 and 60-62 Setting Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, lines 66-72 Participants 6 (a) Give the cligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 12 (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactio		_	investigation being reported	54-62
S4-56 and 60-62 Methods Stady design 4 Present key elements of study design carly in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If wariables Methods, lines 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction, lines
Methods Methods Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, line 66-72 Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-selection of participants 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines 75-78 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 91-114 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines 152 (d) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and				54-56 and 60-62
Study design 4 Present key elements of study design early in the paper Methods, lines Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 sud 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If do Describe any sethods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 12 (a) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 12-159 (b) Describe any sensitivity analyses Id 14 Idendos, lines 6	Methods			
Setting 5 Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection Methods, lines Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of Methods, lines 66-38 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 152 (d) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 12-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy Methods, lines 152 (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 66-18 13-159 Results 13* </td <td>Study design</td> <td>4</td> <td>Present key elements of study design early in the paper</td> <td>Methods, line 66-72</td>	Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods, line 66-72
periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection 75-84 Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results. Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA sampling strategy <td< td=""><td>Setting</td><td>5</td><td>Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including</td><td>Methods, lines</td></td<>	Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	Methods, lines
Participants 6 (a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants Methods, lines 66-72 snd 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 152 quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If Methods, lines incoming 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers optentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see M	C		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	75-84
selection of participants 72 and 75-84 Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Methods, lines 125-159 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152-159 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg mumbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage<	Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of	Methods, lines 66-
Variables 7 Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable Methods, lines Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Methods, lines Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If for confounding Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers, potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, sce Methods, lines 66-76	*		selection of participants	72 snd 75-84
confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable 97-123 Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group Methods, lines Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Total Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lin	Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	Methods, lines
applicable Data sources/ measurement 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 8 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control interactions Methods , lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions methods and 156-158 12 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessa			confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	97-123
Data sources/ 8* For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 75-123 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods , lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results [g] Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 Not necessary for			applicable	
measurement methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group 75-123 Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias 8 Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If wethods, lines applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines 152 infor confounding 125-159 Methods, lines 152 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95 Not necessary for this study, see	Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of	Methods, lines
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods , lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95	measurement		methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of	75-123
Bias 9 Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods, lines 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed for eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed for eligible, study, see Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95			assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Study size 10 Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252 Results, lines 75-78 Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If methods, lines applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why more splicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why more splicable, describe and statistical methods, including those used to control methods, lines for confounding for confounding 125-159 Methods, lines 152 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and methods, lines 152 interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA Results (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-66 and 91-95	Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	
Quantitative 11 Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 66	Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at 4252	Results, lines 75-78
variables applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why 91-114 Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA sampling strategy (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-668 and 91-95 76 Not necessary for this study, see	Quantitative	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If	Methods, lines
Statistical methods 12 (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control Methods, lines for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and Methods, lines 152 interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 66-	variables		applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	91-114
for confounding 125-159 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control	Methods, lines
(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Methods, lines 152 and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			for confounding	125-159
interactions and 156-158 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed NA (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 113*			(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	Methods, lines 152
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines (c) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods, lines 151-159 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95			interactions	and 156-158
(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy NA (g) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
sampling strategy Methods , lines (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 151-159 Results Methods , lines 66- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, lines 66- 0 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(d) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of	NA
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses Methods , lines 151-159 Results Methods , lines 66- Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95 68 and 91-95			sampling strategy	
151-159 Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligibile, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed 68 and 91-95 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95 Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95			(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Methods, lines
Results 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- 76 (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				151-159
Participants 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg Methods, , lines 66- numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed 68 and 91-95 eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Methods, lines 66- (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66- 76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Results			
numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed68 and 91-95(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 66- 76(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95	Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	Methods, , lines 66-
eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage Methods, lines 66-76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66-68 and 91-95			numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed	68 and 91-95
(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stageMethods, lines 66- 76(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, seeMethods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
76 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Not necessary for this study, see Methods, lines 66- Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95 Methods, lines 66-			(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Methods, lines 66-
(c) Consider use of a flow diagramNot necessary for this study, seeMethods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				76
this study, see Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95			(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not necessary for
Methods, lines 66- 68 and 91-95				this study, see
68 and 91-95				Methods, lines 66-
				68 and 91-95

Page 19 of 19

BMJ Open

Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Table 1, Figure 1
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	Table 2
		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and	
		why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	NA
		categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	NA
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	Results, lines
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	187-195
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Results, lines 170-
			181 Discussion,
			lines 224-235
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	Discussion, lines
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude	lines 272-284
		of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	Discussion, lines
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar	230-234 and 272 -
		studies, and other relevant evidence	284
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Discussion, lines
			287-289
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	Acknowledgements,
		present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the	lines 287-302
		present article is based	

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.