



**Supplementary Figure 1. Shell organic cover autofluorescence images.** (a) Confocal projection of unstained 27hpf larva imaged at high laser intensity and using a long – pass filter (LP 505 nm) instead of the band – pass filter (BP 505-530 nm) used for calcein imaging. Autofluorescence of shell organic cover and of numerous vesicles is visible; (b) confocal section illustrating autofluorescence of organic portion of hinge. The hinge is not calcified at this point of time (see Fig. 1) (c) same larva, merged transmission and fluorescence images (d) same larva, different optical plane to illustrate organic shell cover; (e) same larva, merged transmission and fluorescence images (f, g, h) same larva, close – up of shell margin fluorescence (f), merged fluorescence and transmission images (g) and transmission light

image (**h**). Organic shell cover and organic shell matrix are fluorescent and illustrate the ca. 3-5  $\mu\text{m}$  gap between growing edges of organic vs. biomineral phases. Scale bars: 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (b,c,d,e), 5  $\mu\text{m}$  (f,g,h).

**Supplementary Table 1. Seawater carbonate chemistry parameters calculated from  $C_T$  and  $\text{pH}_{\text{NBS}}$  using CO2SYS.** Carbonate chemistry measurements were obtained once for each fertilization / experimental run. The last three columns indicate, which measurements were conducted with the respective experimental runs (a: for Fig. 3 and 4 (microsensors), b: for Fig. 5 (shell length), c: for Fig. 5 (shell dissolution)).

Exp	Sal. (psu)	Temp. (°C)	Treat ment	$C_T$ (μmol/ kg SW)	$\text{pH}_{\text{NBS}}$	$p\text{CO}_2$ (μatm)	$A_T$ (μmol/kg SW)	$\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ (μmol/kg SW)	$\Omega_{\text{arag}}$	a	b	c
Exp 3_1	15.1	16.5	1	1915.83	8.19	445	2018.91	94.66	1.52	X		
	15.1	16.5	2	1955.05	8.07	607	2026.11	74.44	1.19	X		
	15.1	16.5	3	1994.27	7.86	1020	2013.69	46.71	0.75	X		
	15.1	16.5	4	2042.31	7.73	1398	2032.53	35.68	0.57	X		
	15.1	16.5	5	2045.25	7.64	1721	2014.06	28.89	0.46	X		
Exp 3_2	15.0	16.5	1	2008.59	8.14	534	2098.89	88.09	1.41	X	X	
	15.0	16.5	2	2033.09	8.04	687	2096.73	71.59	1.15	X	X	
	15.0	16.5	3	2055.64	7.86	1054	2074.63	47.85	0.77	X	X	
	15.0	16.5	4	2083.09	7.61	1879	2043.21	27.24	0.44	X	X	
	15.0	16.5	5	2088.97	7.40	3004	1990.86	16.56	0.27	X	X	
Exp 3_3	14.8	16.5	1	1903.7	8.12	528	1984.40	79.58	1.27	X	X	
	14.8	16.5	2	1938.99	8.01	693	1992.87	63.74	1.02	X	X	
	14.8	16.5	3	1986.04	7.77	1229	1985.66	37.96	0.61	X	X	
	14.8	16.5	4	2010.55	7.66	1602	1984.70	29.68	0.48	X	X	
	14.8	16.5	5	2018.39	7.60	1842	1978.15	25.88	0.41	X	X	
Exp 3_4	13.8	16.5	1	1917.55	8.16	474	2004.39	84.45	1.35	X	X	
	13.8	16.5	2	1956.65	8.09	572	2025.49	73.53	1.18	X	X	
	13.8	16.5	3	1982.23	7.81	1112	1986.16	39.46	0.63	X	X	
	13.8	16.5	4	2040.05	7.66	1616	2009.33	28.57	0.46	X	X	
	13.8	16.5	5	2038.88	7.59	1892	1991.36	24.22	0.39	X	X	
Exp 3_5	15.4	16.8	1	1903.70	8.14	500	1995.87	87.01	1.40		X	
	15.4	16.8	2	1938.99	8.00	701	1995.66	65.44	1.05		X	
	15.4	16.8	3	1986.05	7.76	1250	1986.99	38.77	0.62		X	
	15.4	16.8	4	2010.55	7.65	1634	1985.46	30.23	0.49		X	
	15.4	16.8	5	2018.39	7.55	2064	1968.32	23.87	0.38		X	
Exp 3_6	15.2	16.8	1	1899.53	8.16	476	1996.34	90.14	1.45		X	
	15.2	16.8	2	1953.97	8.03	666	2016.56	69.35	1.11		X	
	15.2	16.8	3	2001.23	7.78	1220	2004.86	40.15	0.64		X	
	15.2	16.8	4	2030.44	7.68	1555	2010.82	32.29	0.52		X	
	15.2	16.8	5	2056.19	7.58	1974	2011.90	25.86	0.42		X	
Exp 4_1	14.6	16.8	1	1909.79	8.14	507	1997.65	84.70	1.36			X
	14.6	16.8	2	1945.37	7.99	735	1994.95	61.61	0.99			X
	14.6	16.8	3	2009.76	7.77	1264	2008.99	38.46	0.62			X
	14.6	16.8	4	2030.36	7.69	1533	2011.12	32.26	0.52			X
	14.6	16.8	5	2059.10	7.57	2038	2010.33	24.68	0.40			X
	14.6	16.8	6	2084.12	7.47	2577	2007.78	19.69	0.32			X

**Supplementary Table 2.** Compiled information related to regressions reported in Fig. 4 with N=4 experimental fertilizations and 4-5 treatment levels per fertilization. Regressions were conducted on treatment mean values (all 4 replicate fertilizations). Test for heterogeneity of slopes were conducted using slopes of regressions for individual fertilizations. SW = sea water, CS = calcifying space, x =  $p\text{CO}_2$  in  $\mu\text{atm}$ .

Parameter	Compartment	Regression Equation	ANOVA	P-value	R <sup>2</sup>	Heterogeneity of Slopes	Intercepts
pH <sub>NBS</sub>	SW CS	y = - 0.0004x+8.3191  y = - 0.0006x+8.5765	F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =303.2  F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =516.3	<0.001  <0.001	0.94  0.96	<b>P=0.01, t=5.2,</b> <b>df=3</b>	<b>P=0.001,</b> <b>t=15.8,</b> <b>df=3</b>
ΔpH <sub>NBS</sub>	CS-SW	y = - 0.0001x+0.2574	F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =139.1	<0.001	0.89		
Δ[H <sup>+</sup> ] nmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	CS-SW	y = 0.84+4/(1+exp(-(x-1612)/-16.6))	F <sub>(3,15)</sub> =10.7	<0.001	0.68		
[CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ] μmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	SW CS	y = - 43.51ln(x)+350.01  y = - 48.51ln(x)+395.23	F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =22.54  F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =27.37	<0.001  <0.001	0.89  0.86	P>0.05, t=0.3, df=3	P>0.05, t=2.1, df=3
Δ[CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ] μmol kg <sup>-1</sup>			F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =2.546	>0.05	0.13		
Log Ω <sub>aragonite</sub>	SW CS	y = - 0.001x+0.7236  y = - 0.0013x+1.2994	F <sub>(1,16)</sub> =263.2  F <sub>(1,16)</sub> =468.3	<0.001  <0.001	0.94  0.96	<b>P&lt;0.05, t=3.7,</b> <b>df=3</b>	<b>P=0.004,</b> <b>t=8.0,</b> <b>df=3</b>
Δlog Ω <sub>aragonite</sub>	CS-SW	y = - 0.0004x+0.6595	F <sub>(1,16)</sub> =155.5	<0.001	0.90		
[Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] mmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	SW CS		F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =0.82  F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =0.58	>0.05  >0.05	0.04  0.03		
Δ[Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] mmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	CS-SW		F <sub>(1,17)</sub> =0.20	>0.05	0.01		